

WESS ECONOMETRICS

Exercise Sheet 3

Again we are looking at the data file *qlfs14q1.dta*, and we will be adding to the Stata DO file that we created for Exercise Sheet 1 and then extended in Exercise Sheet 2.

1. Consider the model estimated in the last exercise sheet

$$\ln(\text{HOURPAY}_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 \text{EDAGE}_i + \beta_2 \text{AGE} + \beta_3 [\text{AGE}]^2 + \beta_4 F + \varepsilon_i \quad (1)$$

- (a) Using equation in (1) test, using Stata, the hypothesis $H_0 : \beta_4 = 0$. Is there any evidence of gender pay differential?
- (b) What will happen to the coefficient estimates in (1) if you used $M=1$ if male and 0 if female, instead of the variable F ?
- (c) In this model we assume that an additional year of schooling (EDAGE) adds a constant proportion to an individual's earnings, irrespective of whether one gets an actual qualification. Re-estimate equation (1), including dummy variables for each individual's highest qualification (based on the variable HIQULIID , using "no qualification" as the default qualification).
- (d) Interpret the coefficient on the first degree dummy.
- (e) Use Stata to test the hypothesis that the coefficients on the highest qualification dummies are jointly equal to zero.
- (f) Re-estimate the equation in (c) using "GCE, A-level or equivalent" as the default (omitted) qualification. Interpret the coefficient on the first degree dummy. Account for the difference in this coefficient estimate compared to that reported in (c).
- (g) Compare the coefficient estimate on EDAGE for the model estimated (c) with that in (f). Account for the difference in the two coefficient estimates.
- (h) Generalise the model in (c) by adding interaction terms between F and the variables EDAGE , AGE and $[\text{AGE}]^2$, that is estimate the model:

$$\begin{aligned} \ln(\text{HOURPAY}_i) = & \alpha + \beta_1 \text{EDAGE}_i + \beta_2 \text{AGE} + \beta_3 \text{AGE}^2 + \beta_4 \text{FEMALE} \\ & + \sum_{j=1, j \neq 7}^8 \delta_j (\text{QUAL} - j_i) + \gamma_1 \text{FEMALE} \times \text{EDAGE} + \gamma_2 \text{FEMALE} \times \text{AGE} \\ & + \gamma_3 \text{FEMALE} \times [\text{AGE}]^2 + \varepsilon_i \end{aligned}$$

- (i) Interpret the coefficient on γ_1 .

(j) Using equation in (f) test, using Stata, the hypothesis $H_0 : \gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = \gamma_3 = 0$. Is there any evidence of gender pay differential according to education and/or age?

(k) Estimate the model:

$$\ln(HOURPAY_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 EDAGE_i + \beta_2 AGE + \beta_3 AGE^2 + \beta_4 F + \sum_{j=1, j \neq 7}^8 \delta_j (QUAL_j_i) + \gamma_1 W + \varepsilon_i$$

where, $W=1$ if $ETHUKEUL=1$ and 0 otherwise. Interpret the coefficient on W and test the hypothesis of no ethnic discrimination.

(l) Estimate the model:

$$\ln(HOURPAY_i) = \alpha + \beta_1 W + \beta_2 F + \beta_3 F \times W + \varepsilon_i$$

Interpret the coefficients $\alpha, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3$ and reconcile these with the results reported in Exercise Sheet 2 (question 10)