EDITOR'S NOTE

FETISCHISMUS

(A) GERMAN EDITIONS:

Almanach 1928, 17–24. Int. Z. Psychoanal., 13 (4), 373–8. Gesammelte Werke, 14, 311–17.

1927

(B) ENGLISH TRANSLATIONS:

'Fetishism'

1928 Int. J. Psycho-Anal., 9 (2), 161-6. (Tr. Joan Riviere.)
1950 Collected Papers, 5, 198-204. (Revised reprint of above.)
1961 Standard Edition, 21, 147-57.

The present edition is a reprint of the Standard Edition version with some editorial changes.

This paper was finished at the end of the first week of August, 1927 (Jones, 1957, 146), and was published the same autumn. In his earliest discussion of fetishism, in the Three Essays (1905d), pp. 65-8 above, Freud wrote that 'no other variation of the sexual instinct that borders on the pathological can lay so much claim to our interest as this one', and he in fact returned many times to a consideration of it. In this first account he did not go much further than maintaining that 'the choice of a fetish is an after-effect of some sexual impression, received as a rule in early childhood', and he left it at that in some passing comments on foot-fetishism in Chapter II of his study on Gradiva (1907a) a year or two later. His next approach

to the subject seems to have been in an unpublished paper 'On the Genesis of Fetishism', read to the Vienna Psycho-Analytical Society on February 24, 1909 (Jones, 1955, 332). At that time he was on the point of preparing the 'Rat Man' analysis (1909d) for publication, and very near the end of that work he mentioned a fresh point - the connection of fetishism with pleasure in smell - which he enlarged upon in a footnote added to the Three Essays in its second edition of 1910 (see p. 68 above). But soon afterwards a new and more important connection must have occurred to him, for this same added footnote contained the first assertion that the fetish stands for the missing penis of the woman, which had figured prominently among the infantile sexual theories to which he had recently devoted a paper (1908c), pp. 193-6 above. This new explanation of the fetish was also mentioned (as Freud remarks on p. 352 n.1 below) in his study on Leonardo (1910c). Chapter III, published very soon after the Three Essays foot-

The special question of the origin of foot-fetishism (referred to in the present paper, p. 354 below) attracted Freud's attention a few years later. On March 11, 1914, he read another paper to the Vienna Psycho-Analytical Society, on 'A Case of Foot-Fetishism'. This too remains unpublished, but this time we fortunately have a summary of it from Ernest Jones (1955, 342-3). The explanation of the choice of the foot as a fetish approach to the woman's genitals from below -, which was arrived at there, was published in a further addition to the same footnote of the Three Essays in its third edition of 1915, p. 68 above. Another similar case history was reported very briefly by Freud in Lecture 22 of his Introductory Lectures (1916-17), P.F.L., 1, 393. But though the present paper is of importance as bringing together and enlarging on Freud's earlier views on fetishism, its major interest lies in a very different direction - namely, in a fresh metapsychological development which it introduces. For several years past Freud had been using the concept of 'disavowal' ('Verleugnung')

especially in relation to children's reactions to the observation of the anatomical distinction between the sexes. And in the present paper, basing himself on fresh clinical observations, he puts forward reasons for supposing that this 'disavowal' necessarily implies a split in the subject's ego. At the end of his life Freud took up this question again and widened its scope: in an unfinished and posthumously published paper on 'Splitting of the Ego in the Process of Defence' (1940e [1938]) and in the last paragraphs of Chapter VIII of An Outline of Psycho-Analysis (1940a [1938]). But though fetishism is specially considered in both these works, Freud there points out that this 'splitting of the ego' is not peculiar to fetishism but is in fact to be found in many other situations in which the ego is faced with the necessity of constructing a defence, and that it occurs not only in disavowal but also in repression.

1. See p. 193£ above and an Editor's footnote, p. 194 n. 1, to 'The Infantile Genital Organization' (1923e).

te mail expedition for the second

रक्षणांत् केल्या के लिए अहीर हो है। उन उन

a da a vitalidada da escripcia e

Commission of the commission o

The second secon

Still for which the

In the last few years I have had an opportunity of studying analytically a number of men whose object-choice was dominated by a fetish. There is no need to expect that these people came to analysis on account of their fetish. For though no doubt a fetish is recognized by its adherents as an abnormality, it is seldom felt by them as the symptom of an ailment accompanied by suffering. Usually they are quite satisfied with it, or even praise the way in which it cases their crotic life. As a rule, therefore, the fetish made its appearance in analysis as a subsidiary finding.

held from publication; I cannot, therefore, show in what way accidental circumstances have contributed to the choice of a fetish. The most extriaordinary case seemed to me to be one in which a young man had exalted a certain sort of shine on the nose' into a fetishistic precondition. The surprising explanation of this was that the patient had been brought up in an English nursery but had later come to Germany, where he forgot his mother-tongute almost completely. The fetish, which originated from his rearliest childhood, had to be understood in English, not German. The 'shine on the nose' [in German' Glanz and der Nase'] – was in reality a 'glance at the nose'. The hose was thus the fetish, which, incidentally, he endowed at will with the luminous shine which was not perceptible to others.

In every instance, the meaning and the purpose of the fetish turned out; in analysis, to be the same. It revealed itself so naturally and seemed to me so compelling that I am prepared to expect the same solution in all cases of fetishism. When now I announce that the fetish is a substitute for the penis, I shall certainly create disappointment; so I hasten to add that

352

it is not a substitute for any chance penis, but for a particular and quite special penis that had been extremely important in early childhood but had later been lost. That is to say, it should normally have been given up, but the fetish is precisely designed to preserve it from extinction. To put it more plainly: the fetish is a substitute for the woman's (the mother's) penis that the little boy once believed in and - for reasons familiar to us - does not want to give up. 10 (and cod bar

What happened, therefore, was that the boy refused to take cognizance of the face of his having perceived that a woman does not possess a penis. No, that could not be true: for if a woman had been castrated, then his own possession of a penis was in danger; and against that there rose in rebellion the portion of his narcissism which Nature has, as a precaution, attached to that particular organ. In later life a grown man may perhaps experience a similar panic when the cry goes up that Throne and Altar are in danger, and similar illogical consequences will ensue. If I am not mistaken, Laforque would say in this case that the boy scotomizes his perception of the woman's lack of a penis. A new technical term is justified when it describes a new fact or emphasizes it. This is not so here. The oldest word in our psychoanalytic terminology, 'repression', already relates to this pathological process. If we wanted to differentiate more sharply between the vicissitude of the idea as distinct from that of the affect; and reserve the

1. This interpretation was made as early as 1910, in my study on Leonardo da Vinci, without any reasons being given for it. [See Chapter III of the study. Cf. also the Editor's Note above, p. 348.]

2. I correct myself, however, by adding that I have the best reasons for supposing that Laforgue would not say anything of the sort. It is clear from his own remarks [Laforgue, 1926] that scotomization is a term which derives from descriptions of dementia praecox, which does not arise from a carrying-over of psychoanalytic concepts to the psychoses and which has no application to developmental processes or to the formation of neuroses. In his exposition in the text of his paper, the author has been at pains to make this incompatibility clear.

3. [This is considered in a passage near the middle of the paper on

word 'Verdrängu. ['repression'] for the affect, then the correct German word for the vicissitude of the idea would be "Verleugnung" ['disavowal']. 'Scotomization' seems to me particularly unsuitable, for it suggests that the perception is entirely wiped out, so that the result is the same as when a visual impression falls on the blind spot in the retina. In the situation we are considering, on the contrary, we see that the perception has persisted, and that a very energetic action has been undertaken to maintain the disavowal. It is not true that. after the child has made his observation of the woman, he has preserved unaltered his belief that women have a phallus. He has retained that belief, but he has also given it up. In the conflict between the weight of the unwelcome perception and the force of his counter-wish, a compromise has been reached, as is only possible under the dominance of the unconscious laws of thought - the primary processes. Yes, in his mind the woman has got a penis, in spite of everything; but this penis is no longer the same as it was before. Something else has taken its place has been appointed its substitute, as it were, and now inherits the interest which was formerly directed to its predecessor. But this interest suffers an extraordinary increase as well, because the horror of castration has set up a memorial to itself in the creation of this substitute. Furthermore, an aversion, which is never absent in any fetishist, to the real female genitals remains a stigma indelebile of the tepression that has taken place. We can now see what the fetish achieves and what it is that maintains it. It remains a token of triumph over the threat of castration and a protection against it. It also saves the fetishist from becoming a 121/1. [Some discussion of Freud's use of this term and of the English rendering of it appears in an Editor's footnote to the paper on 'The Infantile Genital Organization' (1923e), p. 310, n. 1 above. It may be remarked that in Chapter VIII of the Outline of Psycho-Analysis (1940a [1938]) Freud makes a different distinction between the uses of the two

words: 'repression' applies to defence against internal instinctual

demands and 'disavowal' to defence against the claims of external

reality.]

Priishism

homosexual, by endowing women with the characteristic which makes them tolerable as sexual objects. In later life, the fetishist feels that he enjoys yet another advantage from his substitute for a genital. The meaning of the fetish is nor known to other people, so the fetish is not withheld from him; it is easily accessible and he can readily obtain the sexual satisfaction attached to it. What other men have to woo and make exertions for can be had by the fetishist with no trouble, at all.

Probably no male human being is spated the fright of castration at the sight of a female genital. Why some people become homosexual as a consequence of that impression, while others fend it off by creating a fetish, and the great majority surmount it, we are frankly not able to explain. It is possible that, among all the factors at work, we do not yet know those which are decisive for the rare pathological results. We must be content if we can explain what has happened, and may for the present leave on one side the task of explaining why something has not happened.

as a fetish, crystallize the moment of undressing, the last member; pieces of underclothing, which are so often chosen - are a fixation of the sight of the pubic hair, which should as a fetish - or a part of it - to the circumstance that the inhave been followed by the longed-for sight of the female from her legs up; fur and velvet - as has long been suspected quisitive boy peered at the woman's genitals from below retained as a fetish. Thus the foot or shoe owes its preference last impression before the uncanny and traumatic one is in traumatic amnesia. As in this latter case, the subject's appear as symbols of the penis in other connections as well. process occurs which reminds one of the stopping of memory factor. It seems rather that when the fetish is instituted some This may happen often enough, but is certainly not a deciding interest comes to a halt half-way, as it were; it is as though the substitutes for the absent female phallus would be such as One would expect that the organs or objects chosen as

moment in inchiche the twoman could still be regarded as phallic. But I do not maintain that it is invariably possible to discover with certainty how the fetish was determined.

severe injury to aniadultary our mark the higher degree of differentiation in the psychical apparatus; guilty of an error in my characterization of neurosis and childhood are by no means rare, and I believed that I had been as the unwelcome fact of women's castration is disavowed in undoubtedly important had been disavowed by the ego, just things might be permissible to a child which would entail My formula needed only to hold good where there was a psychosis. It is true that there was one way out of the difficulty. setishists. I also began to suspect that similar occurrences in he was ten - had failed to take cognizance of the death of his ventured so far. In the analysis of two young men I learned had developed a psychosis. Thus a piece of reality which was beloved father - had (scoromized) it - and yet neither of them later on. But soon after this I had reason to regret that I had from a piece of reality. I returned to this theme once again in a psychosis it lets itself be induced by the id to detach itself in the service of reality, suppresses a piece of the id, whereas that each - one when he was two years old and the other when theoretical interestras well. Recently, along quite speculative between neurosis and psychosis was that in the former the ego, lines! I arrived at the proposition that the essential difference 25 For the; the explanation of fetishism had another point of derived from a supposed accollection of the trauma of birth. female genital has some other ground - for instance, that it is plex or who cam still believe, that fright at the sight of the An investigation of fetishism is strongly recommended to anyone who still doubts the existence of the castration com-

But further research led to another solution of the contradiction. It turned out that the two young men had no more

r. [Cf. Rank, 1904, 23-4; English trans., 1929.]

I. [Cf. Editor's Note, p. 348 above.]

^{2. &#}x27;Neurosis and Psychosis' (1924b) and 'The Loss of Reality in Neurosis and Psychosis' (1924e).

'scotomized' their father's death than fetishist does the castration of women. It was only one current in their mental life that had not recognized their father's death; there was another current which took full accounts of that fact. The attitude which fitted in with the wish and the attitude which fitted in with reality existed side by side. In one of my two cases this split had formed the basis of a moderately severe obsessional neurosis. The patient oscillated in every situation in life between two assumptions: the one, that his father was still alive and was hindering his activities; the other, opposite one, that he was entitled to regard himself as his father's successor. I may thus keep to the expectation that in a psychosis the one current—that which fitted in with reality—would have in fact been absent a page of the current and the current which fitted in with reality—would have in fact been absent a page of the current and the current

... Returning to my description of fetishism, I may say that there are many and weighty additional proofs of the divided attitude of fetishists to the question of the castration of women. In very subtle instances both the disavowal and the affirmation of the castration have found their way into the construction of the fetish itself. This was so in the case of a man whose fetish was an athletic support-belt which could also be worn, as bathing drawers. This piece of clothing covered up the genitals entirely and concealed the distinction between them. Analysis showed that it signified that women were castrated and that they were not castrated; and it also allowed of the hypothesis that men were castrated, for all these possibilities could equally well be concealed under the belt - the earliest rudiment of which in his childhood had been the fig-leaf on a statue. A fetish of this sort, doubly derived from contrary ideas, is of course especially durable. In other instances the divided attitude shows itself in what the fetishist does with his fetish, whether in reality or in his imagination. To point out that he reveres his fetish is not the whole story; in many cases he treats it in a way which is obviously equivalent to a representation of castration. This happens particularly if he has developed a strong identification with his father and plays the

part of the law; for it is to him that as a child he ascribed the woman's castration. Affection and hostility in the treatment of the fetish - which run parallel with the disavowal and the acknowledgement of castration - are mixed in unequal proportions in different cases, so that the one or the other is more clearly recognizable. We seem here to approach an understanding, even if a distant one, of the behaviour of the coupeur de nattes'. In him the need to carry out the castration which he disavows has come to the front. His action contains in itself the two mutually incompatible assertions: 'the woman has still got a penis' and 'my father has castrated the woman'. Another variant, which is also a parallel to fetishism in social psychology, might be seen in the Chinese custom of mutilating the female foot and then revering it like a fetish after it has been mutilated. It seems as though the Chinese male wants to thank the woman for having submitted to being castrated.

In conclusion we may say that the normal prototype of fetishes is a man's penis, just as the normal prototype of inferior organs is a woman's real small penis, the clitoris.

I. [A pervert who enjoys cutting off the hair of females. Part of the present explanation was given by Freud in his study of Leonardo (1910c), Chapter III.]

2. [This is an allusion to Adler's insistence on 'organ-inferiority' as the basis of all neuroses. Cf. a footnote to the paper on 'Some Psychical Consequences of the Anatomical Distinction between the Sexes' (1925j), p. 337-8 above, and a longer discussion in Lecture 31 of the New Introductory Lectures (1933a), P.F.L., 2, 97-8.]