BILL McKIBBEN



ANCHOR BOOKS

DOUBLEDAY

NEW YORK LONDON TORONTO SYDNEY AUCKLAND

dates that schoolchildren don't even try to remember; great feats of engineering now crumble in the desert. Man's efforts, even at their mightiest, were tiny compared with the size of the planet—the Roman Empire meant nothing to the Arctic or the Amazon. But now, the way of life of one part of the world in one half-century is altering every inch and every hour of the globe.

he End of Nature

Almost every day, I hike up the hill out my back door. Within a hundred yards the woods swallows me up, and there is nothing to remind me of human society—no trash, no stumps, no fence, not even a real path. Looking out from the high places, you can't see road or house; it is a world apart from man. But once in a while someone will be cutting wood farther down the valley, and the snarl of a chain saw will fill the woods. It is harder on those days to get caught up in the timeless meaning of the forest, for man is nearby. The sound of the chain saw doesn't blot out all the noises of the forest or drive the animals away, but it does drive away the feeling that you are in another, separate, timeless, wild sphere.

Now that we have changed the most basic forces around us, the noise of that chain saw will always be in the woods. We have changed the atmosphere, and that will change the weather. The temperature and rainfall are no longer to be entirely the work of some separate, uncivilizable force, but instead in part a product of our habits, our economies, our ways of life. Even in the most remote wilderness, where the strictest laws forbid the felling of a single tree, the sound of that saw will be clear, and a walk in the woods will be changed—

tainted-by its whine. The world outdoors will mean much the same thing as the world indoors, the hill the same thing as

the wind, the rain, the sun-were too strong, too elemental. really thought we could: it was too big and too old; its forcesthought that we had wrecked nature. Deep down, we never organs, block the path of the lymph or blood. We never spoiled and polluted parts of that nature, inflicted environmenpicks: though it hurt, annoyed, degraded, it did not touch vital a plant. The idea in this case is "nature," the separate and wild tal "damage." But that was like stabbing a man with toothunder whose rules he was born and died. In the past, we province, the world apart from man to which he adapted, An idea, a relationship, can go extinct, just like an animal or

produced the carbon dioxide—we are ending nature. late to altogether prevent them from happening. We have things may or may not have yet begun to happen, but it is too and dryness, breed storms in new places, breed deserts. Those and of agriculture so productive it would free most of us from and other gases we were producing in our pursuit of a better heat. And that increase could change the patterns of moisture farming-could alter the power of the sun, could increase its life-in pursuit of warm houses and eternal economic growth But, quite by accident, it turned out that the carbon dioxide

the meaning of the wind, the sun, the rain-of nature-has sunlight may become more important forces in our lives. It is from some other sphere, some inhuman place. already changed. Yes, the wind still blows-but no longer how much hotter the sun will shine. That is for the future. But too early to tell exactly how much harder the wind will blow, We have not ended rainfall or sunlight; in fact, rainfall and

with three beaver lodges, a blue heron, some otter, a family of every afternoon for a swim. It is a dogleg Adirondack lake, In the summer, my wife and I bike down to the lake nearly

> of a hard kick and the pull of your arms. of the water around your body and the rippling, muscular joy forty minutes-plenty of time to forget everything but the feel at one end, but mostly it is surrounded by wild state land. During the week we swim across and back, a trip of maybe mergansers, the occasional loon. A few summer houses cluster

days, just as the planet is utterly different now society and of people. The lake is utterly different on these mind. You're forced to think, not feel-to think of human hangs low over the water. It's that the motorboat gets in your die by Evinrude. It's not even so much the blue smoke that It is not so much the danger—few swimmers, I imagine, ever the boat is, thinking about what you will do if it comes near. you must be alert, looking up every dozen strokes to see where yourself, and even yourself except for the muscles and the skin, changes entirely. Instead of being able to forget everything but and down the lake. And then the whole experience changes, bring a boat out for waterskiing, and make pass after pass up But on the weekends, more and more often, someone will

course, was not entirely unaltered by man when the colonists many places, it was wilderness. arrived, but its previous occupants had treated it fairly well. In of our modern notion of nature developed. North America, of enough back, for it is man's idea of nature that is important here, and it was in response to this wild new world that much primal stew. The European exploration of this continent is far tion to the past. Not the ancient past, not the big bang or the them. But to understand what's ending requires some attenfound objections to it are possible, and I will try to answer THE ARGUMENT that nature is ended is complex; pro-

tion, when William Bartram, one of America's first profes-And most of it was wilderness still on the eve of the Revolu-

a number of his nights with gentlemen farmers on their plantastews the first in the juices of the second over his fire. stops for dinner, he catches a trout, picks a wild orange, and rice gave way quickly to the wilderness. And not the dark and it gives the sharpest early picture of this fresh continent. try, the Extensive Territories of the Muscogulges, or Creek their rich juice dyeing my horse's feet and ankles." When he profusely productive of flowers and fragrant strawberries, "I continued several miles [reaching] verdant swelling knolls, nal shouts of the fecundity, the profligacy, of this fresh land. humming, fertile paradise. Every page of Bartram's long jourforbidding wilderness of European fairy tales but a blooming, tions), the settlement was sparse, and the fields of indigo and Though some of the land he traveled had been settled (he spent Confederacy, and the Country of the Choctaws" is a classic; Carolina, Georgia, East and West Florida, the Cherokee Counthe South. His report on that trip through "North and South sional naturalists, set out from his native Philadelphia to tour

grandiflora-and also with the warm common names: the bank mantled" Philadelphus inodorus, Pinus sylvestris, Populus of a thousand plants and animals—Kalmia latifolia, "snowy fumes." His diary brims over with the grand Latin binomials to steady himself he tears up several plants of a new species of cending a "steep, rocky hill," he slips and reaching for a shrub out discovering something: near the Broad River, while asbeauty. He could not even stumble in this New World withmore indicative of his mood. On one page, in the account of plover, the bumblebee. But the roll call of his adjectives is even martin, the water wagtail, the mountain cock, the chattering these "sportive vegetables"!), Rheum rhubarbarum, Magnolia tremula, Dionea muscipula ("admirable are the properties" of "filled the air with animating scents of cloves and spicy per-Caryophyllata (geum odoratissimum). Fittingly, their roots Whatever direction he struck off in, Bartram found vigorous

> the untouched world in which he wandered. ful" and "sweet" seem to have been technical descriptions of a scientist recording his observations, and words like "cheerdistant lake." But he is no Disney—this is no Fantasia. He is gladness and sporting in the transparent waters of some far emerge again from the dreary vaults, and appear exulting in disgust, after many days' absence from the surface of the world and beholding new and unthought-of scenes of pleasure and secret rocky avenues, and after encountering various obstacles, they are separated from each other by innumerable paths, or and healthy. Even where he can't see, he imagines marvels: the a single afternoon, he musters fruitful, fragrant, sylvan (twice), moderately warm, exceedingly pleasant, charming, fine, joyhsh disappearing into subterranean streams, "where, probably, (twice), high and airy, brisk and cool, clear, moonlit, sweet, harmonious, soothing, tuneful, sprightly, elevated, cheerful blue green, velvet black, orange, prodigious, gilded, delicious, ful, most beautiful, pale gold, golden, russet, silver (twice)

good many (even, or especially, among the hunters and loga buffalo as something to hunt, a forest as something to cut pigeons raining down in the slaughter), there were always a aspect in this country. If most of the pioneers, to be sure, saw with bears "drunk with grapes, and reeling on the branches of (farmers would bring their hogs to feed on the carcasses of down, a flock of passenger pigeons as a call to heavy artillery the elm trees." But the rapturous fever took on a healthier Atala, for instance, describes the American wilderness as filled ness with the Romantics; Chateaubriand's immensely popular designed excrescences." This silliness changed into a new silli-Andrew Marvell, for instance, referred to mountains as "illuntil the Romantic movement of the late eighteenth century. much of literature had regarded wilderness as ugly and crude a given; as Paul Brooks points out in Speaking for Nature, This sort of joy in the natural was not a literary convention,

gers) who recognized and described the beauty and order of this early time.

as a baseline, a reminder of where we began. start of each sentence, it could be Genesis; it sticks in my mind which hemmed it in." If this passage had a little number at the and bounding out and over the gracefull swells of the prairies sunflowers, with their thousand tall and droopy heads, to the flowers of all tints and various sizes, from the modest wild of lofty bois d'arcs and elms, spreading their huge branches as lilies that stood, and the violets that crept, beneath them. the green carpet that was everywhere decked out with wild purple clusters that hung in the most tempting manner over plum trees that supported festoons of grapevines with their if offering protection to the rounded groups of cherry and bunches and copses of the most picturesque foliage, consisting easy and simple death. This little lawn was surrounded by enough for delicious food and too unsophisticated to avoid an fish; and every now and then, a fine brood of ducks, just old on the banks of a cool and rippling stream, that was alive with man," he writes, "an enchanting little lawn of five or six acres, even far more beautiful than could be imagined by mortal was "in one of the most lovely little valleys I ever saw, and to the Missouri River in order to escape an epidemic. His camp ... The wild deer were repeatedly rising from their quiet lairs, scribes a night he spent while riding north from Fort Gibson paint the portraits of American Indians. In his journal he decomes from George Catlin, who traveled across the frontier to Of a thousand examples, my favorite single description

SUCH VISIONS of the world as it existed outside human history became scarcer with each year that passed, of course. By the 1930s, when Bob Marshall, the founder of the Wilderness Society, set off to explore Alaska's Brooks Range, all the

tion. This, beyond a doubt, was an unbeaten path." new ground. There were no musty signs of human occupa-At every step there was the exhilarating feeling of breaking ing of immensity.... Best of all it was fresh-gloriously fresh not have conveyed the sense of the continuous, exulting feelnowned Half Dome would be trivial-yet with all that I would waterfalls, but with rock domes beside which the world-reprecipices; I could liken the valley to a Yosemite without scenery. . . . I could make mention of thousand-foot sheer of years ago. Nor is there any adequate way of describing the streams and peaks under his eye and hence into human history. meled as at the dawn of geological eras hundreds of millions finding this broad valley lying there, just as fresh and untramangles to the west. I cannot convey in words my feeling in ined, but from a hidden valley which turned almost at right Clear River emerged from none of three gorges we had imag-One morning he came around a corner to discover that "the been before. Each day brought eight, ten, a dozen ridges and where no one, not even an Alaskan Eskimo, seems ever to have adventures comparable to those of Lewis and Clark." And he might have had the joy of being the first person to discover it," of surpassing beauty, I had wished selfishly enough that I found them, on the upper reaches of the Koyukuk River, ing account of the great falls of the Missouri. I yearned for he wrote. "I had been thrilled reading Captain Lewis's glow-Grand Canyon or Avalanche Lake or some other natural scene "Often, as when visiting Yosemite or Glacier Park or the lower forty-eight states had been visited, mapped, and named.

Marshall was very near the last to see surroundings unpolluted even by the knowledge that someone had been there before. Though his explorations were made not long before World War II, they were an anomaly, a last gasp of the voyages of discovery that marked an earlier epoch. It is hard for us to credit that only a hundred and twenty years ago the

white man until 1837, a generation after the return of Lewis and dacks, our highest peak, Mt. Marcy, was not climbed by a from which her ancestors have sledded fuel for generations, all, some villager's familiar wood-lot, some widow's thirds, try is," Thoreau wrote. "Those Maine woods differ essentially am reminded by my journey how exceedingly new this counof the five Europeans who had preceded him up the peak. "I climbed Maine's Mt. Katahdin in 1846 he could list the names minutely described in some old deed." Here in the Adironreminded that the wilderness which you are threading is, after from ours [in Concord, Massachusetts]. There you are never on maps of the Southwest, or that sixty years before that the valley of the Colorado-the Grand Canyon-was a blank spot Rockies were a rumor among white men. That when Thoreau

skied around Mt. Everest.) Not even the moon to conquer! It has a lot more to do with style now." (He had previously the blank spots on the map or to climb the mountains anymore viewed a man who was rowing to Antarctica from Tierra del the Macy's escalators with a heavy balsam scent. I once interneously. The trail up Mt. Marcy on a holiday weekend is like of campers-some days hundreds are at the summit simultais so popular that the authorities must strictly limit the number of knights and dragons. Katahdin, though preserved as a park, ness. That era of discovery is as firmly closed to us as the age Fuego because, he explained, "you can't be the first to explore We are rarely reminded anymore of the continent's new-

site of his cabin. In something of the same fashion, we have to escape man, we dutifully trek around the shore to see the by the wagon trains; at Walden Pond, where Thoreau sought and interest. On the prairies we search for the rutted tracks left to appreciate the history of a spot as a source of added pleasure that we'll not be the first up any hill, and, indeed, we've come Over time, though, we've reconciled ourselves to the idea

> see it. The wonder of nature does not depend on its freshness. ... grand that we can cope with not being the first people to the geologic forces that carved it. The Grand Canyon is so the south wall of the Grand Canyon even while understanding tion-to know that we can marvel with undiminished awe at come to accept, and enjoy, the intrusion of scientific explana-

an Exxon tanker has foundered off the port of Valdez, tarring at the American Antarctic research station in McMurdo Sound the beaches with petroleum. antennas, a blank spot if not on the map then on the surface. not only because it may or may not harm the caribou but who are upset that oil companies want to drill there. And upset on Alaska's northern shore, is reached by just a few hundred surrounded by buildings there are vast places where the world have likely spread toxic waste on that remote continent, or that It sickens us to hear that "improper waste disposal practices" because here is a vast space free of roads and buildings and people a year, but it has a vivid life in the minds of many more, goes on as it always has. The Arctic National Wildlife Refuge, BUT STILL WE FEEL the need for pristine places, them, they matter to us. We need to know that though we are places substantially unaltered by man. Even if we do not visit

where no man is at the moment. parks. But in these few spots it makes a stand. If we can't have overwhelmed in many places, even in many of our national who does not remain." Pristine nature, we recognize, has been words of the federal statute, "the earth and its community of "wilderness"—to set aside vast tracts of land where, in the places where no man has ever been, we can at least have spots life are untrammeled by man, where man himself is a visitor decision that Americans and others have made to legislate One proof of the deep-rooted desire for pristine places is the

Segregating such wilderness areas has not been easy. The quiet of the land behind my house, fifty thousand acres of state wilderness, is daily broken by Air Force jets practicing flying beneath radar; they come in pairs, twisting and screeching above the hills, so that for a moment, and a few moments after that, it is no wilderness at all. And often, of course, man invades more insidiously: the synthetic compounds of man's pesticides, for instance, worm their way slowly but inevitably into the fabric of life.

simply sky, not "airspace." Standing in the middle of a grimy ried small amounts of the pesticide, as did the livers of two the strawberries, and the wild rhubarb all tested clean, though untouched-no DDT in the fish, the beaver, the beluga, the instead of salmon tins." When Rachel Carson wrote Silent empty that even in the filthy heart of civilization you find fields thought: "In spite of hard trying, man has not yet succeeded in our minds. Most of the day, the sky above my mountain is Eskimos who had been away to the hospital in Anchorage. two snowy owls, probably as a result of their migrations, carcaribou, the moose, the polar bear, the walrus. The cranberries, Spring, she was able to find some parts of the Arctic still tor them you might even find streams with live fish in them where the grass is green instead of grey; perhaps if you looked in doing his dirt everywhere. The earth is so vast and still so English mill town, George Orwell records this "encouraging" But, even under such stress, it is still wilderness, still pristine

In other words, as pervasive a problem as DDT was, and is, one could, and can, always imagine that somewhere a place existed free of its taint. (And largely as a result of Carson's book there are more and more such places.) As pervasive and growing as the problem of acid rain surely is, at the moment places still exist with a rainfall of an acceptable, "normal" pH. And if we wished to stop acid rain we could; experimenters have placed tents over groves of trees to demonstrate that if the

acid bath ceases, a forest will return to normal. Even the radiation from an event as nearly universal as the explosion at the Chernobyl plant has begun to fade, and Scandinavians can once more eat their vegetables.

We can, in other words, still plausibly imagine wild nature—or, at least, the possibility of wild nature in the future—in all sorts of places.

mas, you don't look down-your eye is trained to think this said, stopping the van. "When you look at Western panorabottoms obscured like icebergs. "That's the problem," Jacobs sion where it was, for the clouds dropped over its edge, their couldn't see the canyon you knew with heart-stopping preciwas a glorious day, the sky a polarized blue, and though you the Grand Canyon about fifteen miles from the south rim. It natural long-stemmed grasses. But the West has been a pasture where there are wildfowl they trample their nests. In their stant grazing, the cattle convert the rangelands into barren produce about 3 percent of America's beef. And by their conthat does not pay for itself and each year requires tax subsidies, in the West. The cows, which range over 70 percent of the driving around Arizona in a van with a man named Lyn degradation, is considerable. A few years ago I spent some days blue sky above them, and the clouds." desert is normal. You tend to look at the mountains and the Jacobs and I drove along a ranch road that ran just parallel to grass there can't grow more than a foot high. One morning, so long that practically no one notices. People just assume that wake they leave stands of cheatgrass and thistle in place of the pastures. Where there are streams they cave in the banks: federal land in the American West under a leasing program difficult battle to restrict the grazing of cattle on public lands Jacobs, one of a small number of environmentalists fighting a stroyed areas from our minds, to see beauty around man's This idea of nature is hardy. Our ability to shut the de-

drop huge quantities of lime into lakes in order to reduce their stead "restore" nature. (And, indeed, people have begun to do acid or DDT, we can still imagine that someday soon it will it fresh and untainted elsewhere. When elsewhere, too, it rains tion of the entire globe. It has endured the pesticides and the or some place where it is, and that works nearly as well someplace where it's clear; if we can't travel to someplace and trodden, we look at the sky; if the sky is smoggy, we travel even chewed up. It can survive all sorts of pollution, even the remains, for the damage always seems local acne, or even skin cancer-but our faith in its essential strength acidity.) In our minds, nature suffers from a terrible case of be better, that we will stop polluting and despoiling and inpollution. When the nature around us is degraded, we picture tions. Wildness, the idea of wildness, has outlasted the explorawhere it's clear, we imagine ourselves in Alaska or Australia ceaseless munching of a million cows. If the ground is dusty our minds once the land has been discovered and mapped and just this sort of work: here in the Adirondacks, helicopters Nature, while often fragile in reality, is durable in our imaginathe "normal" destruction of nature. Wildness can survive in The idea of wildness, in other words, can survive most of

BUT NOW THE BASIS of that faith is lost. The idea of nature will not survive the new global pollution—the carbon dioxide and the CFCs and the like. This new rupture with nature is different not only in scope but also in kind from salmon tins in an English stream. We have changed the atmosphere, and thus we are changing the weather. By changing the weather, we make every spot on earth man-made and artificial. We have deprived nature of its independence, and that is fatal to its meaning. Nature's independence is its meaning; without it there is nothing but us.

prosthesis is not a leg. crops grow-but it will not be summer, just as even the best retain some of its relative characteristics—it will be hotter than thing else that will be called "summer." This new summer wil winter, or spring. Summer is going extinct, replaced by somenow will never know a natural summer, a natural autumn, back of your neck, that's fine, but it isn't nature. A child born saying, "Well, that's nature." Or if the sun feels sweet on the sun is beating down on you, you will not have the comfort of by the laws of nature but by the laws of nature as they have may never form, or may veer off in some other direction, not same thing. The storm that might have snapped the hot spell what nature intended or a total invention. Or, at the very least, the rest of the year, for instance, and the time of year when been rewritten, blindly, crudely, but effectively, by man. If the it might be a man-made phenomenon, which amounts to the non. It will be a man-made phenomenon—an amplification of there's a heat wave in London, it won't be a natural phenomeonly in our minds, the real situation is more like this: if in July it would be forty below. Since most of us get to the North Pole twenty below and the wind is howling-perhaps absent man will not know whether the temperature is what it is "supyou are standing in the equivalent of a heated room. If it is posed" to be, or whether, thanks to the extra carbon dioxide, farthest corner of the Arctic and it is a mild summer day, you If you travel by plane and dog team and snowshoe to the

And, of course, climate determines an enormous amount of the rest of nature—where the forests stop and the prairies or the tundra begins, where the rain falls and where the arid deserts squat, where the wind blows strong and steady, where the glaciers form, how fast the lakes evaporate, where the seas rise. As John Hoffman, of the Environmental Protection Agency, noted in the *Journal of Forestry*, "trees planted today will be entering their period of greatest growth when the

climate has already changed." A child born today might swim in a stream free of toxic waste, but he won't ever see a natural stream. If the waves crash up against the beach, eroding dunes and destroying homes, it is not the awesome power of Mother Nature. It is the awesome power of Mother Nature as altered by the awesome power of man, who has overpowered in a century the processes that have been slowly evolving and changing of their own accord since the earth was born.

Those "record highs" and "record lows" that the weathermen are always talking about—they're meaningless now. It's like comparing pole vaults between athletes using bamboo and those using fiberglass poles, or dash times between athletes who've been chewing steroids and those who've stuck to Wheaties. They imply a connection between the past and the present which doesn't exist. The comparison is like hanging Rembrandts next to Warhols; we live in a postnatural world. Thoreau once said he could walk for half an hour and come to "some portion of the earth's surface where man does not stand from one year's end to another, and there, consequently, politics are not, for they are but the cigar-smoke of a man." Now you could walk half a year and not reach such a spot. Politics—our particular way of life, our ideas about how we should live—now blows its smoke over every inch of the globe.

About a half mile from my house, right at the head of the lake, the town has installed a streetlight. It is the only one for miles, and it is undeniably useful—without it, a car or two each summer would undoubtedly miss the turn and end up in the drink. Still, it intrudes on the dark. Most of the year, once the summer people have left, there is not another light to be seen. On a starry night the Milky Way stands out like a marquee; on a cloudy night you can walk in utter pitch-black, unable to see even the dog trotting at your side. But then, around the corner, there is the streetlamp, and soon you are in its sodiumvapor circle, a circle robbed of mystery by its illumination. It's

wildlife buzzing around it than in any square acre of virgin forest. But it breaks up the feeling of the night. And now it is as if we had put a huge lamp in the sky, and cast that same prosaic sterile light at all times on all places.

While I was stacking wood one morning last fall I noticed a lot of ash floating through the air. "Did you make a fire?" I asked my wife through the window. "No," she said. I wandered off down the road to see if it was coming from the nearest occupied house—but that's quite a way off. I finally stopped long enough to trap a piece of the ash in my fist so I could look at it. It turned out to be a bug I had never seen before—a blackflylike creature with a gray, woolly clump of something on its back that certainly looked like ash. Not man! Nature!

If only that were the case with most of the changes around us—if only all the analogies were just analogies. If only they were all figments, and the world were the same old place it had always been. But the world, the whole world, is touched by our work, even when that work is invisible.

In a famous essay, "Sootfall and Fallout," which was written at the height of the atmospheric atomic testing in the early 1960s, E. B. White says that the joy he always took in his newly dug garden patch "has been spoiled by the maggots that work in the mind. Tomorrow we will have rain, and the rain falling on the garden will carry its cargo of debris from old explosions in distant places. Whether the amount of this freight is great or small, whether it is measurable by the farmer or can only be guessed at, one thing is certain: the character of rain has changed, the joy of watching it soak the waiting earth has been diminished, and the whole meaning and worth of gardens has been called into question." Happily, we have ceased atmo-

spheric atomic testing. Unhappily, White's words still hold true; only, now the culprits—carbon dioxide, methane, nitrous oxide, chlorofluorocarbons—are the result not of some high and distant drama, a few grand explosions, but of a billion explosions of a hundred million pistons every second, near and far and insidiously common.

upon causes for the most part cosmical, and, of course, beyond conditions and movements of the atmosphere and seas, depend sons, with their alterations of temperatures, and of length of day and night, the climate of different zones, and the general was a horrible idea, yet he said, "The revolutions of the searonmentalist, knew a century ago that cutting down forests then be safe." George Perkins Marsh, the first modern envitiplied, as on account of dollar value they are likely to be, then seedlings suffer, and should the woolly locusts be greatly multhe forests too, in time, may be destroyed. Only the sky will ing touch. They cannot hurt the trees, though some of the and flowers, but in this mighty wilderness they seem but a sheep through the valley: "Thousands of feet trampling leaves safe." And John Muir, the Scottish-born explorer of Yosemite, feeble band, and a thousand gardens should escape their blightwrote one day in his diary about following a herd of grazing whiskers to hide its nakedness, but, thank God, the sky was between the Atlantic and the Mississippi, said that soon the matically altered. Thoreau, complaining about the logging comprehend that the atmosphere, the climate, could be dra-East "would be so bald that every man would have to grow that eventually destroyed virtually every stand of virgin timber Even the most farseeing naturalists of an earlier day couldn't We will have a hard time believing this new state of affairs.

And even as it dawns on us what we have done, there will be plenty of opportunity to forget, at least for a while, that anything has changed. For it isn't natural *beauty* that is ended;

in fact, in the same way that the smog breeds spectacular sunsets, there may appear new, unimagined beauties. What will change is the meaning that beauty carries, for when we look at a sunset, we see, or think we see, many things beyond a particular arrangement of orange and purple and rose.

forms that now dominate the earth. indeed, these produced the successful oxygen-synthesizing life gen poisoned most microbial life, which "had no defense my house to Annapurna. "This was by far the greatest pollucation and duplication, gene transfer and mutation." And, against this cataclysm except the standard way of DNA replition crisis the earth has ever endured," Margulis writes. Oxydioxide from 280 to 560 parts per million is as the hill behind percent to 21 percent. Compared to that, the increase in carbon one part in a million to one part in just five-from o.ooor caused, in short order, an increase in atmospheric oxygen from now crossed. About two billion years ago, the microbiologist example more closely resembling the sharp divide we have creased its brightness; it has grown nearly 30 percent more organisms. On an even larger scale, the sun has steadily in-Lynn Margulis writes, the spread of certain sorts of bacteria though perhaps not for some billions of years. Or consider an scientists say, may have destroyed 90 percent of all living of all present stocks of nuclear weapons. Such events, some forever scrambling to stay ahead—a race it will eventually lose, luminous since life on earth began, forcing that life to keep times as much energy as would be liberated by the explosion releasing, according to James Lovelock, perhaps a thousand at sixty times the speed of sound have crashed into the earth, formed, planetesimals up to ten miles in diameter and traveling in the globe's history. Perhaps thirty times since the earth IT IS ALSO TRUE that this is not the first huge rupture

But each of these examples is different from what we now experience, for they were "natural," as opposed to man-made. A pint-sized planet cracks into the earth; the ice advances; the sun, by the immutable laws of stars, burns brighter till its inevitable explosion; genetic mutation sets certain bacteria to spewing out oxygen and soon they dominate the planet, a "strictly natural" pollution.

tion, no matter the separation in space or time of that replicacreatures, since any "invention of human beings is ultimately and nothing we can do is "unnatural." This view can be, and or even damaged nature, makes no sense, since we are nature, ago that "our species with its technology is simply an inevitation from the invention." based on a variety of processes including that of DNA replicaponders the question of whether robots can be said to be living is, carried to even greater lengths; Lynn Margulis, for instance, advanced beavers. In this view, to say that we "ended" nature, ble part of the natural scene," nothing more than mechanically thing. The British scientist James Lovelock wrote some years between matter and consciousness—nature included everyof the earliest Greek philosophers, who saw no difference "natural," because man is part of nature. This echoes the views One can, of course, argue that the current crisis, too, is

But one can argue this forever and still not really feel it. It is a debater's point, a semantic argument. When I say that we have ended nature, I don't mean, obviously, that natural processes have ceased—there is still sunshine and still wind, still growth, still decay. Photosynthesis continues, as does respiration. But we have ended the thing that has, at least in modern times, defined nature for us—its separation from buman society.

That separation is quite real. It is fine to argue, as certain poets and biologists have, that we must learn to fit in with nature, to recognize that we are but one species among many, and so on. But none of us, on the inside, quite believe it. The

Sophists contrasted the "natural" with the "conventional"—what exists originally with what it becomes as the result of human intervention. And their distinction, filtered through Plato and Christianity and a dozen other screens, survives, because it agrees with our instinctive sense of the world. I sit writing here in my office. On the wall facing me there is a shelf of reference books—dictionaries, the Guinness Book of World Records, a set of encyclopedias—and a typewriter and a computer. There's another shelf of books, all dealing with American history, on my left, and, on my right, pictures of my family, a stack of mail-order catalogs for Christmas shopping, and a radio broadcasting a Cleveland performance of Ravel's Piano Concerto in D for the left hand. Visible through the window is a steep mountain with nearly a mile of bare ridge and a pond almost at the peak.

The mountain and the office are separate parts of my life; I do not really think of them as connected. At night it's dark out there; save for the streetlamp by the lake there's not a light for twenty miles to the west and thirty to the south. But in here the light shines. Its beams stretch a few yards into the night and then falter, turn to shadow, then black. In the winter it's cold out there, but in here the fire warms us until near dawn, and when it dwindles the oil burner kicks in.

What happens in here I control; what happens out there has always been the work of some independent force. That is not to say that the outside world isn't vitally important; I moved here so I could get to the mountains easily, and I think nature means a good deal even to the most inured city dweller. But it is enough for now to say that in our modern minds nature and human society are separate things. It is this separate nature I am talking about when I use the word—"nature," if you like.

One could also argue that we destroyed this independent nature long ago, that there's no present need for particular distress. That the day man made his first tool he irrevocably

was not dead in California in 1870; in 1870, John Muir was just altering the environment, is too broad. Independent nature environment—even a bird building a nest—and it is true that when early agribusiness followed gold miners and shepherds. makes the case that everything people do-including our atof the free and wild could live. man. As long as some places remained free and wild, the idea beginning his sojourn in Yosemite that would yield some of it that have often been employed as a rationale for further by human society." But Anderson's argument, and others like we cannot, as he puts it, "return to a natural order untouched is "one way or another human intervention." California, his altered nature, or the day he planted his first crop. Walter the greatest hymns to and insights into that world beyond Technically, of course, he is correct. Any action alters its home, was permanently changed by the 1870s, he contends, tempts to set aside wilderness or protect endangered species— Truett Anderson, in his recent book To Govern Evolution,

The invention of nuclear weapons may actually have marked the beginning of the end of nature: we possessed, finally, the capacity to overmaster nature, to leave an indelible imprint everywhere all at once. "The nuclear peril is usually seen in isolation from the threats to other forms of life and their ecosystems, but in fact it should be seen at the very center of the ecological crisis, as the cloud-covered Everest of which the more immediate, visible kinds of harm to the environment are the mere foothills," wrote Jonathan Schell in *The Fate of the Earth*. And he was correct, for at the time he was writing (less than a decade ago!) it was hard to conceive of any threats of the same magnitude. Global warming was one obscure theory among many. Nuclear weapons were unique (and they remain so, if only for the speed with which they work). But the

nuclear dilemma is at least open to human reason—we can decide not to drop the weapons, and indeed to reduce and perhaps eliminate them. And the horrible power of these weapons, which has been amply demonstrated in Japan and on Bikini and under Nevada and many times in our imaginations, has led us fitfully in that hopeful direction.

By contrast, the various processes that lead to the end of nature have been essentially beyond human thought. Only a few people knew that carbon dioxide would warm up the world, for instance, and they were for a long time unsuccessful in their efforts to alert the rest of us. Now it is too late—not too late, as I shall come to explain to, to ameliorate some of the changes and so perhaps to avoid the most gruesome of their consequences. But the scientists agree that we have already pumped enough gas into the air so that a significant rise in temperature and a subsequent shift in weather are inevitable.

cutting fossil fuel use and saving the rain forests, that will keep fire and pest control, fertilization, and irrigation, net annual genetic screening, spacing, thinning, pruning, weed control, years. It might be possible to achieve that sort of growth cies) planted at four-foot intervals and "harvested" every four be covered with American sycamore trees (a fast-growing spesurrounding area to a radius of 24.7 kilometers would need to counteract just the carbon dioxide generated by that plant, the at 38 percent thermal efficiency and 70 percent availability. To ating station that produces a thousand megawatts and operates air. Take, for argument's sake, a new coal-fired electric genernatural method anyone has suggested involves growing enorsolutions that might bring things back to "normal." The most things from being any worse than they need to be, but the scientists have proposed to save us-not the remedies, like rate—a government forestries expert told the Senate that with mous numbers of trees to take the carbon dioxide out of the Just how inevitable we can see from the remedies that some

growth could be "very much higher than at present." Even if it worked, though, would this tree plantation be nature? A walk through an endless glade of evenly spaced sycamores, with the weed-control chopper hovering overhead, and the irrigation pipes gurgling quietly below, represents a fundamental break with my idea of the wild world.

sphere before they have a chance to reach the ozone layer. Dr. a laser to "scrub" chlorofluorocarbons from the earth's atmogested firing a continuous barrage of "bullets" of frozen ozone, a chemical engineer, has suggested employing dozens of aircessing." Down at the University of Alabama, Leon Y. Sadler, carbons a year—a procedure he refers to as "atmospheric pro-Stix calculates that an array of infrared lasers spaced around scribed in the New York Times springs from the brain of Dr. sulfur dioxide into the atmosphere would increase acid rain cal problems may hamper these various solutions; Dr. mend launching "giant orbiting satellites made of thin films" reflect sunlight away from the earth. Other scientists recommillion tons of sulfur dioxide into the stratosphere annually to sidered a "fleet of several hundred jumbo jets" to ferry 35 ing problem, Columbia geochemist Wallace Broecker has conwhich would melt in the stratosphere). To deal with the warmplanes to carry ozone into the stratosphere (others have sugthe world could "blast apart" a million tons of chlorofluoro-Thomas Stix at Princeton: he proposes the possibility of using society needs some sort of insurance policy on how to main work. And perhaps, as Dr. Broecker contends, "a rational "and give the blue sky a whitish cast." Still, they just might Broecker, for instance, admits that injecting large quantities of house effect with a sort of venetian-blind effect. Certain practithat could cast shadows on the earth, counteracting the greenplanet remains habitable—it will not be the same. The whitish tain a habitable planet." But even if they do work-even if the Other proposals get even odder. One "futuristic idea" de-

afternoon sky blessed by the geometric edge of the satellite cloud will fade into a dusk crisscrossed by lasers. There is no way to reassemble nature—certainly not by following the suggestion of one researcher that, in order to increase the earth's reflectivity and thus cool its temperature, we should cover most of the oceans with a floating layer of white Styrofoam chips.

essayist Wendell Berry quotes from an advertisement for a only a tenuous understanding of the natural world. I can drive suburbs, and even though I live on the edge of the wild I have vest every week with a shopping cart? I am a child of the superficial. The seasons don't matter to most of us anymore and camp in the rugged backcountry of the national parks has slight decline (the number of people requesting permits to hike enjoys the outdoors, another likes cooking, a third favors coaster." Nature has become a hobby with us. One person dent killed five of them, one of the survivors told reporters that will mean little. A couple of years ago a group of executives new tractor: "Outside—dust, noise, heat, storm, fumes. Infarmers have a lessened feel for the world around them. The figure out what's growing in them, unless it's corn. And even past hundreds of miles of fields without ever being able to heavy circulation. Why celebrate the harvest when you harnow take place in late August, while tourist dollars are still in this part of the nation, the fair that once marked the harvest except as spectacles. In my county and in many places around in rapid order a people whose conscious need for nature is through visitors has continued to increase). We have become dropped by half since 1983, even as the number of drivenature hobby boomed during the 1970s; now it is perhaps in breaking into military computers over his phone line. The the party had regarded the river as "a sort of ersatz rollerwent rafting down a river in British Columbia; after an acci-I here are some people, perhaps many, to whom this rupture

side—all is quiet, comfortable, safe. . . . Driver dials 'inside weather' to his liking. . . . He pushbuttons radio or stereo-tape entertainment."

Even this is several steps above the philosophy expressed by a mausoleum director in a full-page newspaper ad that seems to run once a week in my newspaper: "Above-Ground. The Clean Burial. Not Underground with Earth's Disturbing Elements." Four of his "clean, dry, civilized" vaults are already sold out, and a fifth is under construction. While we are still alive, we do sometimes watch a nature program, an account of squid or wildebeest, usually sponsored by Mutual of Omaha. Mostly, however, we watch *L.A. Law*.

STILL, THE PASSING of nature as we have known it, like the passing of any large idea, will have its recognizable effects, both immediately and over time. In 1893, when Frederick Jackson Turner announced to the American Historical Association that the frontier was closed, no one was aware that the frontier had been the defining force in American life. But in its absence it was understood. One reason we pay so little close attention to the separate natural world around us is that it has always been there and we presumed it always would. As it disappears, its primal importance will be clearer—in the same way that some people think they have put their parents out of their lives and learn differently only when the day comes to bury them.

How will we feel the end of nature? In many ways, I suspect. If nature means Bartram's great joy at fresh and untrammeled beauty, its loss means sadness at man's footprints everywhere. But, as with the death of a person, there is more than simply loss, a hole opening up. There are also new relationships that develop, and strains and twists in old relationships. And since this loss is peculiar in not having been

inevitable, it provokes profound questions that don't arise when a person dies.

The first of these questions, I think, has to do with God. It may seem odd to take a physical event and go straight to the metaphysical for its meaning. But, as we have seen, nature is as much an idea as a fact. And in some way that idea is connected with God. I hesitate to go further than that, for I am no theologian; I am not even certain what I mean by God. (Perhaps some theologians join me in this difficulty.)

It is not a novel observation that religion has been in decline in the modern era. Despite the recent rise of fundamentalism, the crisis of belief continues. Many people, including me, have overcome it to a greater or a lesser degree by locating God in nature. Most of the glimpses of immortality, design, and benevolence that I see come from the natural world—from the seasons, from the beauty, from the intermeshed fabric of decay and life, and so on. Other signs exist as well, such as instances of great and selfless love between people, but these, perhaps, are less reliable. They hint at epiphany, not at the eternity that nature proclaimed. If this seems a banal notion, that is exactly my point. The earliest gods we know about were animals—tigers, birds, fish. Their forms and faces peer out from ancient ruins, and from the totems and wall paintings of our first religions.

And though, as time went on, we began to give our gods human features, much feeling still adheres to the forests and fields and birds and lions—else why should we moan about the "desecration" of our environment? I am a reasonably orthodox Methodist, and I go to church on Sunday because fellowship matters, because I find meaning in the history of the Israelites and in the Gospels, and because I love to sing hymns. But it is not in "God's house" that I feel his presence most—it is in his outdoors, on some sun-warmed slope of pine needles or by the surf. It is there that the numbing categories men have

devised to contain this mystery—sin and redemption and incarnation and so on—fall away, leaving the overwhelming sense of the goodness and the sweetness at work in the world.

"God himself culminates in the present moment, and will nights entertainments" that humans concoct for themselves. added, "no atheists and skeptics in regard to this knowledge." lap the visible universe is held and nourished." There are, he the power that is everywhere present and active, and in whose the turn of the century, "and, I suppose, back of it all we mean as our fathers used the word God," John Burroughs wrote at dangerous animals. "We now use the word Nature very much world as more than a source of raw materials or the home of great American naturalists who first helped us see the outdoor nature bas meant, and meant not just to the ancients but to the scarred by man. . . . It was a specimen of what God saw fit to men planted grain; but here not even the surface had been "Perhaps where our wild pines stand and leaves lie on the rounds us." That drenching could come in the woods around the perpetual instilling and drenching of the reality that surenabled to apprehend at all what is sublime and noble only by never be more divine in the lapse of all the ages. And we are people now perceive God through the Christian Broadcasting make this world." The earth is a museum of divine intent. forest floor in Concord, there were once reapers, and husband-Katahdin, Thoreau looked around at the uncut miles and said: Walden, but better in true wilderness. On his trip to Mt. Nature is reality, Thoreau said—distinct from the "Arabian Network. There is no question, though, that this is one thing Perhaps this emotion has dimmed in an urban age, and most

Simply saying that we apprehend God in nature, however, is just a beginning. It may be true, as a mystic once contended, that most people, sometime in their lives, are moved by natural beauty to a "mood of heightened consciousness" in which "each blade of grass seems fierce with meaning," but the ques-

tion is: what meaning? "All nature," contended another mystic a century ago, "is the language in which God expresses his thought." Very well, but what thought is that?

rocks and stars; none of them seems to me important in itself, "The parts change and pass, or die, people and races and enduring role. The poet Robinson Jeffers, a deeply pessimiseven be general misanthropes, or feel that our lives are unimtrace ourselves back through our parents; some of us may care much for how they have turned out; we may not care to freedom, I might say a kind of salvation. . . ." is worthy of a deeper sort of love; and that there is peace but only the whole. . . . It seems to me that this whole alone tic man with regard to the human condition, once wrote, plants, to name just one cycle—gives us some sense of a more flesh feeding on these plants, flesh decaying to nourish more But the earth and all its processes—the sun growing plants portant, brief, and hurried rushes toward a final emptiness desperately alone: we may not have children, or we may not desire for a kind of immortality. As individuals, we can feel nearly forever, and branches reaching forward just as far. that we are part of something with roots stretching back an order comforting in its intricacy. And the most appealing Purely human life provides only a partial fulfillment of this part of this harmony, perhaps, is its permanence—the sense The chief lesson is that the world displays a lovely order,

John Muir expressed this sense of immortality best. Born to a stern Calvinist father who used a belt to help him memorize the Bible, Muir eventually escaped to the woods, traveling to the Yosemite Valley of California's Sierra Nevada. The journal of his first summer there is filled with a breathless joy at the beauty around him. Again and again in that Sierra June, "the greatest of all the months of my life," he uses the word "immortality," and he uses it in a specific way, designed to contrast with his father's grim and selfish religion. Time ceases to

old nor young, sick nor well, but immortal." and rocks, in the waves of the sun-a part of all nature, neither inseparable part of it, thrilling with the air and trees, streams transparent as glass to the beauty around us, as if truly an every pore and cell of us. Our flesh-and-bone tabernacle seems tains, and they are now in us, making every nerve quiet, filling cal sort of immortality." In a mood like this, space is no more imposing a boundary than time: "We are now in the mounthan do the trees and stars. This is true freedom, a good practishort, and we take no more heed to save time or make haste onward we know not where. Life seems neither long nor Sierra day in which one seems to be dissolved and sent pulsing have its normal meaning in those hills: "Another glorious

sense of his meaning requires only a trip to Utah, where the immense burden of guilt" for the ecological crisis; to get some ment, Lynn White, Jr., said that Christianity bears "an tial essay written at the height of the environmental movesociety. Therefore, we control nature, or try to. In an influenposed to the nature-oriented mythology of an earth-cultivating the "socially-oriented mythology" of a mobile people, as opsnail darters. The biblical tradition, Joseph Campbell says, is subdue it, and have dominion over the fish of the sea and over story, with its emphasis upon dominion ("Fill the earth and forests, running roads through every wild place, killing off the birds of the air and over every living thing that moves upon ronmentalist, as elevating man above all others. The Genesis as saying anything about nature, it is usually seen as antienvithe earth"), appears the perfect rationale for cutting down the degree that our dominant Judeo-Christian tradition is seen this fuzzy notion of God is all there is, just as for many others God is all too obvious in his likes and his dislikes. In fact, to didn't have a name or a doctrine. For many of us in the West dental. For Burroughs and for Muir and for Thoreau, God Still, moving as it is, all this remains slightly vague, transcen-

> the wild could be the motivation. project of subduing nature, erecting some towns in places so state motto is "Industry" and the Mormons have made a great barren and dry and steep that only missionary zeal to conquer

heart of what the loss of nature will mean to us. of nature free from the hand of man. The argument gets at the of the most far-reaching defenses ever written of wilderness, contains in many places, but especially in the book of Job, one think, the Scriptures go much deeper. The Old Testament God instructed him to "cultivate and keep it." But actually, I that immediately after giving man dominion over the earth "stewardship" of the planet instead of a careless subjugation, opposite messages resound, though we have been slow to hear short passages; when the Bible is read as a whole, I think, the theologians have contended that the Bible demands a careful counseling moderation, love of land. In recent years, many them. For every passage like the one in Genesis there is a verse urges the rape of the land. Both rely on narrow readings of the text that the Bible countenances chattel bondage as that it deed, one could make at least as convincing an argument from But Christianity was long the bulwark of slavery, too; in-

view, that all the earth revolves around man, and every conknows he is innocent. sequence is explained by man's action, doesn't satisfy Job: he cept the reasoning of his orthodox friends-that he has unchildren dead, his flock scattered, his property gone. He on the edge of town, his flesh a mass of oozing sores, his The devil wagers God that Job's piety is merely a function of knowingly sinned and is therefore being punished. Their him and an explanation of his misfortune. Job refuses to acrefuses to curse God, but he does demand a meeting with God agrees to the bet, and soon Job is living on a dunghill his success; bring him down and he will curse you, he says. Job is, of course, the story of a just and prosperous man.

Finally, God arrives, a voice from the whirlwind. But instead of engaging in deep metaphysical discussion he talks at some length about nature, about concrete creation. "Where were you when I laid the earth's foundation?" he asks. In an exquisite poem he lists his accomplishments, his pride in his creation always evident. Was Job there when he "put the sea behind closed doors?" Job was not; therefore Job could not hope to understand many mysteries, including why rain falls "on land where no one lives, to meet the needs of the lonely wastes and make grass sprout upon the ground." God seems to be insisting that we are not the center of the universe, that he is quite happy if it rains where there are no people—that God is quite happy with places where there are no people, a radical departure from our most ingrained notions.

The end of the book contains descriptions of Behemoth and Leviathan, two creatures God has made and constrained. "Behold now Behemoth," booms God. "He eateth grass as an ox. Lo now, his strength is in his loins. And his force is in the muscles of his belly. He moveth his tail like a cedar. . . . His bones are as tubes of brass. His limbs are like bars of iron. Behold, if a river overflow he trembleth not. He is confident, though Jordan swell even to his mouth. Shall any take him when he is on the watch, or pierce through his nose with a snare?" The answer, clearly, is no; the message, though not precisely an answer to Job's plaint, is that we may not judge everything from our point of view—that all nature is not ours to subdue.

There are some who have heard that message, even as most of the Western world has gone along its prideful way. Among the company of Christian saints, not one is more beloved than Francis of Assisi. We all have a mental image of him, usually that of a man in a brown robe whose shoulders and arms are covered with birds. His pastoral vision was not entirely unprecedented: for at least the first five centuries of the Church,

the dominant Christian symbol had been Christ as the Good Shepherd instead of Christ on the Cross. And, granted, Francis's understanding of the importance of nature was somewhat different from ours—because water was used in baptism, says his biographer William Armstrong, Francis took pains not to tread where he had emptied his washbasin. But his essential idea was not baroque: Just as God had sent Jesus to manifest him in human form, so too he represented himself in birds and flowers, streams and boulders, sun and moon, the sweetness of the air. Holding a small duck in his hand, wrote Bonaventure, Francis was in religious ecstasy: "He beheld in fair things Him who is the most fair."

almighty hand. He sends the snow in winter, The warmth to freshing rain. All good gifts around us Are sent from heaven swell the grain, The breezes and the sunshine, And soft rethe good seed on the land, But it is fed and watered by God's ture they found around them. "We plow the fields and scatter where people depended for both life and meaning on the najust metaphors; they are also the old reality of the earth, a place and harvests and the other common motifs of the Bible are not reflect thy rays," we sing to Beethoven's "Ode to Joy." Sheep "All thy works with joy surround thee, Earth and Heaven hymn book rings with the imagery of the untouched outdoors. operate freely? It is not chance that every second hymn in the was, beyond human reach? In what other sphere could a deity about who he is. How could it be otherwise? What else is, or talk about who he is—even, as in Job, a way for God to talk Wild nature, then, has been a way to recognize God and to

So WHAT WILL the end of nature as we have known it mean to our understanding of God and of man? The important thing to remember is that the end of nature is not an imper-

sonal event, like an earthquake. It is something we humans have brought about through a series of conscious and unconscious choices: we ended the natural atmosphere, and hence the natural climate, and hence the natural boundaries of the forests, and so on. In so doing, we exhibit a kind of power thought in the past to be divine (much as we do by genetically altering life).

We as a race turn out to be stronger than we suspected—much stronger. In a sense we turn out to be God's equal—or, at least, his rival—able to destroy creation. This idea, of course, has been building for a while. "We became less and less capable of seeing ourselves as small within creation, partly because we thought we could comprehend it statistically, but also because we were becoming creators, ourselves, of a mechanical creation by which we felt ourselves greatly magnified," writes the essayist Wendell Berry. "Why, after all, should one get excited about a mountain when one can see almost as far from the top of a building, much farther from an airplane, farther still from a space capsule?" And our atomic weapons obviously created the possibility that we could exercise godlike powers.

But the possibility is different from the fact. We actually seem to have recognized the implications of nuclear weapons, and begun to back away from them—an unprecedented act of restraint. In our wholesale alteration of nature, though, we've shown no such timidity. And just as challenging one's parents and getting away with it rocks one's identity, so must this. Barry Lopez reports that the Yupik Eskimos refer to us Westerners "with incredulity and apprehension as 'the people who change nature.'" When changing nature means making a small modification in what we have found—a dam across a river—it presents few philosophical problems. (It presents some, especially when the river is a beautiful one, but they tend not to be ultimate problems.) When changing nature means changing everything, then we have a crisis. We are in charge

now, like it or not. As a species we are as gods—our reach global.

And God has not stopped us. The possibilities—if there is or was any such thing as God, the eternal, the divine—include at least the following. God thoroughly approves of what we have done; it is our destiny. God doesn't approve, but is powerless to do anything about it, either because he is weak or because he has created us with free will. Or God is uninterested, or absent, or dead.

upon spring as a sign of his existence and a clue to his meanand there is no meaning in life outside of human solidarity." culture. The lesson of the Holocaust is that humanity is alone ship between God and person, God and community, God and mously. For some Jewish thinkers, wrote the theologian Marc at least for the moment, has less physical reality. But it may events: the latter is an idea, like the closing of the frontier, and and what I am calling the end of nature are not comparable he not stop us? Why did he allow it? and replaced it with a new one of our own devising? Why did ing-what does it mean that we have destroyed the old spring us who have tended to locate God in nature-who, say, look question by the Holocaust.) In a similar fashion, for those of protect them, the Holocaust crushed belief or altered it enorbuilt on God's covenant with the Israelites, on his promise to have similar faith-shattering effects. To many whose faith was sche said some time ago that God was dead, and a lot of people (And human solidarity, of course, is eternally thrown into Ellis, "the Holocaust represents the severing of the relationbegan to agree with him after the Holocaust. The Holocaust That last option is not a new formulation, of course. Nietz-

Perhaps it is all for the best, a break with some Druidic past. But it seems infinitely sad. And it seems to feed on itself, unlike the Holocaust, whose lessons maybe just possibly did increase the chances of human caring. How are we to be humble in any

way if we have taken over as creators? Thoreau once stood in the woods watching "an insect crawling amid the pine needles on the forest floor, and endeavoring to conceal itself from my sight." It reminded him, he said (and Thoreau was not an especially humble man) of "the greater Benefactor and Intelligence that stands over me, the human insect." But what stands over us?

Religion will not end—far from it. We are probably in for a siege of apocalyptic and fanatic creeds. But a certain way of thinking about God—a certain language by which to describe the indescribable—will disappear. The stern God of Muir's father talked constantly of sin and condemnation, and in booming, angry tones. Muir's God spoke to him in the rush of water across the rocks and the cry of the jays around his camp. They were different Gods. "If we have a wonderful sense of the divine, it is because we live amid such awesome magnificence," wrote religious scholar Thomas Berry. "If we lived on the moon, our mind and emotions, our speech, our imagination, our sense of the divine would all reflect the desolation of the lunar landscape."

And even if we manage to control the physical effects of our actions—if we come to live in a planet-size park of magnificent scenery—our sense of the divine will change. It will be, at best, the difference between a zoo and a wilderness. The Bronx Zoo has done a wonderful job of exchanging cages for wide, grassy fields, but even though the antelope have room to get up to speed and the zebra wander as a striped herd, it never crosses your mind that you are actually in the bush instead of the Bronx. We live, all of a sudden, in an Astroturf world, and though an Astroturf world may have a God, he can't speak through the grass, or even be silent through it and let us hear.

"SCIENCE," OF COURSE, replaced "God" as a guiding concept for many people after Darwin. Or, really, the

and perhaps attend interplanetary football matches in the Rose nals; be happy, healthy, and kittenish at a hundred years of age; and on he goes, through the wonders of DDT, the high hopes Bowl." als from the oceans; clothe themselves from the coal and oil effectively farm the land and the seas; obtain necessary minertics, the problem of synthetic shoe uppers will be solved." On search." Leather, too: "With durable, moisture-absorbing plascompletely unfamiliar with the potentialities of chemical rereplaced," Adams scoffs. "These words were spoken by one button era," he concludes. "In the future citizens will more mechanized, electrified, abundant, easy, because of the pushbluegrass lawn," and a hundred other miracles. "Today life is for chemicals that will "effectively kill the crabgrass in the recently that the demand for wool as a fabric will never be creation. "An official of the wool industry made a statement ... be cured of any ailments by a variety of drugs and mediciwith "new, better, and cheaper compounds" of their own Future. Chemists, he predicts, will replace natural products Adams forecasts the wonderful epoch ahead in Man's Syntbetic particular age, a thirteen-page treatise in which one Roger filled with the wisdom of the ages, essays dating back to Hipastronomer Harlow Shapley. Called A Treasury of Science, it is day through a volume from the 1950s edited by the eminent landed us in the fix we now inhabit. I was browsing the other mindless worship of a miracle future, the pursuit of which has two were rolled up into a sticky ball. To some degree this was pocrates. But it also includes one example of the wisdom of our

Not everyone who fell in love with science was such a glib Dacron worshiper. An example, typical of a certain strain, was Donald Culross Peattie, a nature writer prominent in the years around World War II. (Though his work has been largely forgotten, one of his books, *An Almanac of Moderns*, was chosen by a book club as the American volume written in the three years preceding 1940 that was "most likely to become a clas-

sic.") Peattie defends the scientific faith as fiercely as any man could: "What is the force, the discipline, the brotherhood bound by vows to the pursuit of incorruptible truth, which proves every step, is forever returning to verify, will abandon any cherished tenet the moment it is not convincing?" he asks. "What is it that works all the modern miracles, has put the practicality into compassion for the suffering, has unchained men from their superstitions, has endured persecution and martyrdom, and still knows no fear?" Well, science, of course. But science is only a method of getting at truth; it's the truth that matters. And in Peattie's case, and in many others, the truth that emerged was—nature.

Peattie lived at the moment when ecological understanding was beginning to break through, and he found great comfort and safety in the repeating patterns of nature, in the constant elements of the periodic table that make up the earth and the stars. "If by 'supreme command' I may express an order in nature that a man can understand and revere, then that command, that order, has always been there. In fact, it is nature itself, revealed in science." Biologists, astronomers, and physicists, "those who have looked most deeply," were the "surest, serenest" men that Peattie knew, because they understood that "the immutable order of Nature is on our side. It is on the side of life."

The hope that science could replace religion as a way for human beings to cope with the world, then, was really a hope that "nature" could replace "God" as a source of inspiration and understanding. Harmony, permanence, order, and an idea of our place in that order—scientists searched for all that as diligently as Job, with their unceasing attention to the "web of life" and the grand cycle of decay and rebirth. But nature, it turned out, was fragile: men could turn it on its head so that it was no longer "immutable" and no longer "on the side of life." The atom bomb proved that, by combining some of the

elements in a new and interesting way that clearly held the possibility of wiping out most life. The useful ecological insight that, in Peattie's words, "it is even good to die, since death is a natural part of life" clearly didn't apply to atomic annihilation, nor, I think, does it apply to death in a world where the natural cycles have been so altered. What is a "natural part of life" in an unnatural world? How, if the seasons are no longer inevitable, can we accept the inevitability, and even the beauty, of death?

around the garbage can. the leaves turning color on the maples, and the raccoons continue unchanged; it is not the vast and strange worlds and The nature that matters is the temperature, and the rain, and fields and fluxes that scientists can find with their telescopes. fuzziness of electrons and quarks and neutrinos, which will hear around us. The nature that matters is not the whirling edge. We draw our lessons from what we can see and feel and is a minor and secondhand comfort, an occult, esoteric knowlhundred people who really understand the math, though, this DNA and other bits of "information." To all but the few Wright, in his Three Scientists and Their Gods, in stitches of mysteries of quantum theory, or, more recently, says Robert talked about God in the interstices of the atom, or in the in charge, still our master. And some physicists have always absorbing the earth's reflected heat are proof that nature is still the chemical reactions even now eating away the ozone or Scientists may argue that natural processes still rule—that

We can no longer imagine that we are part of something larger than ourselves—that is what all this boils down to. We used to be. When we were only a few hundred million, or only a billion or two, and the atmosphere had the composition it would have had with or without us, then even Darwin's revelations could in the end only strengthen our sense of belonging to creation, and our wonder at the magnificence and abun-

dance of that creation. And there was the possibility that something larger than us—Francis's God, Thoreau's Benefactor and Intelligence, Peattie's Supreme Command—reigned over us. We were as bears—we slept less, made better tools, took longer to rear our young, but we lived in a world that we found made for us, by God, or by physics and chemistry and biology, just as bears live in a world they find waiting for them. But now we make that world, affect its every operation (except a few—the alteration of day and night, the spin and wobble and path of the planet, the most elementary geologic and tectonic processes).

threatens us. Forget the interplanetary Rose Bowl; "man's exercise power to any real end. And, ultimately, that violence and to destroy all that is good and worthwhile, but not to sit astride the world like some military dictator, some smelly Papa Doc—we are able to wreak violence with great efficiency would. It is a brutish, cloddish power, not a creative one. We large-the power looks nothing like what we thought it at least since the eviction from Eden-the domination some tion. This is, I suppose, the victory we have been pointing to change the course and destination of every drop of precipitahave always dreamed of. But it is the story of King Midas writ it is us. Our actions will determine the level of the sea, and tilt the waterskins of the heavens?" we can now answer that with doors . . . and prescribed bounds for it?" and "Who can earth. When he asks, as he does in Job, "Who shut in the sea may not be acting in many other ways, is not controlling the retrievers. And there is nobody above us. God, who may or it. Bears hold more or less the same place now as golden though we've done it badly, we've domesticated all that live on on our hot new planet. By domesticating the earth, even they have to hope we can figure out a way for them to survive distinctly different order of being, creatures in our zoo, and As a result, there is no one by our side. Bears are now a

synthetic future" has more to do with not going out in the sun for fear of cancer.

BUT THE CANCER and the rising sea level and the other physical effects are still in the future. For now, let's concentrate on what it feels like to live on a planet where nature is no longer nature. What is the sadness about?

but from a world that is of our own making, as surely as frogs, for all I know-but they will be messengers not from hear the frogs." There may still be frogs-there may be more and be joined by others. I will feel a whole lot better when I Manhattan is of our own making. And while Manhattan has another world, whose permanence and routine can comfort us, ditch or low place, a frog will awake, raise his voice in praise, too, that on some not too distant night, somewhere in pond or our lives and clouding our future . . . it is hard to foretell what near Mt. Desert in Maine, said that "with so much disturbing man and a world of nature. And we cling to that idea in part fence, a spot of color to the vast gray-and-white world. I know, yellow dress, lending, along with the faded pink of the snow bas happened: the willow by the brook has slipped into her is going to happen." But, he continued, "I know one thing that White, in one of his last essays, written from his saltwater farm because it makes that world of men easier to deal with. E. B. not of our own making surrounds us, that there is a world of We are used to the idea that something larger than we are and tion, growth take us away from old comforts and assurances. inevitable passages such as these are attended by grief. Ambigrowing up to be stronger than his mother, nature. But even nature. It may have been an inevitable progression-man not have been meant to live forever within the constraints of It may have been an inevitable divorce: man, so powerful, may In the first place, merely the knowledge that we screwed up.

many virtues, I have never heard anyone say that its sounds make you feel certain that the world, and you in it, are safe.

Anyway, I don't think that this separation was an inevitable divorce, the genetically programmed growth of a child. I think it was a mistake, and that consciously or unconsciously many of us realize it was a mistake, and that this adds to the sadness. Many have fought to keep this day from coming to pass—fought local battles, it is true, perhaps without realizing exactly what was at stake, but still understanding that the independent world of nature was gravely threatened. By the late 1960s an "environmental consciousness" had emerged, and in the 1970s and 1980s real progress was being made: air pollution in many cities had been reduced, and wilderness set aside, and Erie, the dead lake, that symbol of ultimate degradation, rescued from the grave.

So there is the sadness of losing something we've begun to fight for, and the added sadness, or shame, of realizing how much more we could have done—a sadness that shades into self-loathing. We, all of us in the First World, have participated in something of a binge, a half century of unbelievable prosperity and ease. We may have had some intuition that it was a binge and the earth couldn't support it, but aside from the easy things (biodegradable detergent, slightly smaller cars) we didn't do much. We didn't turn our lives around to prevent it. Our sadness is almost an aesthetic response—appropriate because we have marred a great, mad, profligate work of art, taken a hammer to the most perfectly proportioned of sculptures.

THERE IS ALSO another emotional response—one that corresponds to the cry "What will I do without him?" when someone vital dies.

I took a day's hike last fall, walking Mill Creek from the spot

none saw noon in that pleasant state. my shelf.) And though I started the day with eight dry socks, them to be found in any of the many guides and almanacs on or employed a macheteist (The worst thing about battling walked to Wevertown." I felt I should have carried a machete, mous-gray sticks, green stalks with reddish thorns, none of through brake and bramble of this sort is that it's so anony-Creek, or at least it said, "Go home and tell your wife you where I have not called thee, and then complain because you find me but a stepmother?" Nature said this to me on Mill relentlessly drive thee hence to where I am kind. Why seek me neighbors. I cannot pity nor fondle thee there, but forever for thy feet, this air for thy breathing, these rocks for they on Katahdin, nature said to him, "I have never made this soil weary, into the steeper wooded sections. When Thoreau was and vines, emerging only every now and then, scratched and bling through overgrown marsh, batting at ten-foot saplings follow the creek-and, as a consequence, I spent hours stumshot. On the other hand, I had made an arbitrary plan-to opened I didn't have to sing as I walked to keep from getting degrees, and since it was the week before the hunting season generally downhill, the temperature stuck at an equable 55 store for a liverwurst sandwich at lunchtime, the path was strict sense, it wasn't much of an adventure. I stopped at the able to feel a bit exploratory—a budget Bob Marshall. In a and curves. Mill Creek cuts some fancy figures, and so I was county road near Wevertown. It's a distance of maybe nine lessly follow pointless, time-wasting, uneconomical meanders miles as the car flies, but rivers are far less efficient, and endwhere it runs by my door to the place where it crosses the main

If it was all a little damp and in a minor key, the sky was nonetheless bright blue, and rabbits kept popping out from my path, and pheasants fired up between my legs, and at each turning some new gift appeared: a vein of quartz, or a ridge

where the maples still held their leaves, or a pine more than three feet in diameter that beavers had gnawed all the way around and halfway through and then left standing—a forty-foot sculpture. It was October, so there weren't even any bugs. And always the plash of the stream in my ear. It isn't Yosemite, the Mill Creek Valley, but its small beauties are absorbing, and one can say with Muir on his mountaintop, "Up here all the world's prizes seem as nothing."

soms we brewed beer.) These ruins are humbling sights, reand I found, in one abandoned meadow, a hop vine planted at the world as we know it. minders of the negotiations with nature that have established section of stone wall as a memorial. (Last fall, though, my wife of individual men to circumvent them, and so the farms rea small Angkor Wat of free enterprise.) Large sections of the least a century before. It was still flowering, and with its blosturned to forest, with only a dump of ancient bottles or a more than a hundred days, and the limits established by that higher authority were stronger than the (powerful) attempts area were once farmed; but the growing season is not much him. The path in is faint now, but his chimney, too, still stands, sledge. He rebuilt after a fire; finally an avalanche convinced tried to extract pigment for paint and pack it out on mule and there's a mine where a hundred and fifty years ago a visionary schemes and disruptions of man. (A mile or so off the creek, a lot of rusty pipe and collapsed concrete testifies to the old mill has endured and outlived and with dignity reclaimed so many they're almost comforting, reminders of the way that nature that once stood there. But these aren't disturbing sightsspaced at fifty-yard intervals for comfort in fishing. At one old tion now filled by a graceful birch. Near the one real waterfall, bors has left several kitchen chairs along his stretch of the bank, homestead, a stone chimney stands at either end of a founda-And so what if it isn't nature primeval? One of our neigh-

Changing socks (soaking for merely clammy) in front of the waterfall, I thought back to the spring before last, when a record snowfall melted in only a dozen or so warm April days. A little to the south, an inflamed stream washed out a highway bridge, closing the New York Thruway for months. Mill Creek filled till it was a river, and this waterfall, normally one of those diaphanous-veil affairs, turned into a cataract. It filled me with awe to stand there then, on the shaking ground and think, This is what nature is capable of.

and breakfast is now not another world, and there is nothing except us alone. as nature anymore—that other world that isn't business and art from. There's nothing there except us. There's no such thing was a steer, not a deer. And that was where the loneliness came over which I had no control, either. The rain bore a brand; it from the skidder towing logs on Cleveland Road-all things activity: a phenomenon like smog or commerce or the noise mysterious existence, the rain had become a subset of human a cloud and send it this way. I had no more control, in one when they make it hot enough over some tropical sea to form begin to rain and snow when the particular mix of chemicals lonelier. Instead of a world where rain had an independent and sense, over this process than I ever did. But it felt different, and we've injected into the atmosphere adds up to rain or snowits beauty, but it changed its meaning. It has begun or will soon accommodate the overflow of a reservoir. That didn't decrease spiring or instructive, or even lulling, in the fall of the water. summer we'd just come through, there was nothing awe-in-It suddenly seemed less like a waterfall than like a spillway to But as I sat there this time, and thought about the dry

At the same time that I felt lonely, though, I also felt crowded, without privacy. We go to the woods in part to escape. But now there is nothing except us and so there is no escaping other people. As I walked in the autumn woods I saw

poison into an ant farm and "observing the effects"). good science-fair project but a cloddish one, like pumping world and turn it into a science-fair project (and not even a enough, so I've done my share to take this independent, eternal hundred times what Thoreau conclusively proved was the cheapest way to deal with it, and I live on about four collapsed barn behind the house next week because it is much the person I was fleeing most fearfully was myself, for I drive cape, I slipped on another rock, and in I went again. Of course, (I drove forty thousand miles one year), and I'm burning a woods were pretty densely populated. As I attempted to esnecessity nowadays, let's go to the mall. By this point, the aspirations. We like to drive, they said, air conditioning is a before long the whole human race had arrived to explain its art of compromise, very busy with the war on drugs) and selves to do anything about it (personally favor but politics the then there were the congressmen who couldn't bring themexplaining why they had to burn coal to make electricity there were the presidents of the Midwest utilities who kept a lot of sick trees. With the conifers, I suspected acid rain. (At (cheaper, fiduciary responsibility, no proof it kills trees) and they know). And so who walked with me in the woods? Well, least I have the luxury of only suspecting; in too many places,

The walk along Mill Creek, or any stream, or up any hill, or through any woods, is changed forever—changed as profoundly as when it shifted from pristine and untracked wilderness to mapped and deeded and cultivated land. Our local shopping mall now has a club of people who go "mall walking" every day. They circle the shopping center en masse—Caldor to Sears to J. C. Penney, circuit after circuit with an occasional break to shop. This seems less absurd to me now than it did at first. I like to walk in the outdoors not solely because the air is cleaner but because outdoors we venture into a sphere larger than ourselves. Mall walking involves too many

other people, and too many purely human sights, ever to be more than good-natured exercise. But now, out in the wild, the sunshine on one's shoulders is a reminder that man has cracked the ozone, that, thanks to us, the atmosphere absorbs where once it released.

The greenhouse effect is a more apt name than those who coined it imagined. The carbon dioxide and trace gases act like the panes of glass on a greenhouse—the analogy is accurate. But it's more than that. We have built a greenhouse, a buman creation, where once there bloomed a sweet and wild garden.