The Cultural Turn

Selected Writings on the Postmodern 1983–1998

FREDRIC JAMESON



Culture and Finance Capital

capitalism not yet fully elucidated. Giovanni Arrighi's The Long a history of capitalism, whereas, I think, its secret originality is and difficult to digest, but also I think, because it purports to be attention it deserves, partly no doubt because it is substantial well as about the turn of history in the 1980s, stimulated by the also secret doubts, about the Marxian model of production, as advanced societies, where 'advanced' certainly has something to of land values (ground rent)? Why should land speculation and ture) have anything to do with a mutation in the very dynamic first place? Where does all this excessive speculation come from? anyway? How can you have profit without production in the industrial production that seems on the point of disappearing ment and the stock market getting more attention than an vague perplexities, quizzicalities that never paused long enough capital. No doubt it swarmed around our heads in the form of to have given us a new structural understanding of features of I want here to report on a book which has not yet received the the most fundamental form of class struggle, one so basic that Reaganite/Thatcherite tax cuts. We seemed to be returning to do with production as well? All of these nagging questions were do with technology but presumably ought to have something to the stock market come to the fore as dominant sectors in Does the new form of the city (including postmodern architecto become real questions: Why monetarism? Why are investprocess of crystallizing a solution to it: the problem of finance for producing a problem we did not know we had, in the very Twentieth Century¹ is remarkable, among many other things,

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

it spelled the end of all those Western-Marxist and theoretical subtleties that the Cold War had called forth.

Indeed, during the long period of the Cold War and of Western Marxism – a period one really needs to date from 1917 – a complex analysis of ideology needed to be developed in order to unmask the persistent substitutions of incommensurate dimensions, the passing off of political arguments in the place of economic ones, the appeal to alleged traditions – freedom and democracy, God, manichaeism, the values of the West and of the Judaeo-Christian or Roman-Christian heritage – as answers to new and unpredictable social experiments; in order, as well, to accommodate the new conceptions of the operations of the unconscious discovered by Freud and presumably also at work in the layering of social ideology.

In those days, the theory of ideology constituted the better mousetrap: and every self-respecting theorist felt the obligation to invent a new one, to ephemeral acclaim and momentarily attracting a horde of curious spectators always ready to move on to the next model at a moment's notice, even when that next model meant revamping the very name of ideology itself and substituting episteme, metaphysics, practices, or whatever.

situation than the newer models; but it also poses more objective vulgar Marxism may once again be more relevant to our contemporary politics seems much easier to grasp: namely, that selves far more transparent. Now that, following master thinkanalysis seem enormously simplified, and the ideologies themopening of markets everywhere, the problems of ideological the Cold War period. problems about money itself which had seemed less relevant in the rich want their taxes lowered. This means that an older no longer seem to need an elaborate machinery of decoding and ers like Hayek, it has become customary to identify political of immense investments and increases in production to come, hermeneutic reinterpretation; and the guiding thread of all freedom with market freedom, the motivations behind ideology based on the deregulation and privatization and the obligatory peared, and, faced with the Reagan-Kemp and Thatcher utopias But today many of these complexities seem to have disap-

The rich were certainly doing something with all this new

but it did not seem to go into new factories, but rather to get invested in the stock market. Whence a second perplexity. The Soviets used to joke about the miracle of their system, whose edifice seemed comparable only to those houses kept standing by the swarm of termites eating away inside them. But some of us had the same feeling about the United States: after the disappearance (or brutal downsizing) of heavy industry, the only thing that seemed to keep it going (besides the two prodigious American industries of food and entertainment) was the stock market. How was this possible, and where did the money keep coming from? And if money itself rested on so fragile a basis, why did 'fiscal responsibility' matter so much in the first place, and on what was the very logic of monetarism itself grounded?

about the Bundesbank and its interest policies; imperia nations of the capitalist First World, despite a few complaints and Lenin appropriated it in this sense for his 1916 pamphlet on which may or may not be more powerful than its predecessor do not (yet) seem the locus of ferocious competition between the in our own past; imperialism is gone, replaced by neo-colonialdisplacing it on to the power relations and competition between so forth - the answer seemed to lie in the concept of monopoly, their relations with the banks and eventually the Flottenbau, and of the great German trusts of the pre-World War One period, answer to an economic and structural question: the techniques ing's Finance Capital of 1910,2 seemed to give a historical ment or nourishment by the tradition. One old book, Hilferdthe opprobrium called down upon it in recent years. but which is now part of an allegedly united Europe. So these Germany meanwhile has been replaced by a Federal Republic ism and globalization; the great international hnancial centres the great capitalist states. But these 'highest stages' now lie wel to do away with finance capital by changing its name and finance capitalism was not given much theoretical encouragehere the teleological ('highest stage') does seem fully to merit al historical descriptions do not seem to do us much good; and Imperialism: the Highest Stage of Capitalism, which also seemed Yet the dawning suspicion that we were in a new period of

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

But where the economist could only give us empirical history,³ it remained for a historical narrative to give us the structural and economic theory we needed to solve this conundrum: finance capital has to be something like a stage, in the way it distinguishes itself from other moments of the development of capitalism. Arrighi's luminous insight was that this peculiar kind of telos need not lie in a straight line, but might well organize itself in a spiral (a figure which also avoids the mythical overtones of the various cyclical visions).

as though this were the last stage conceivable, or as though the already posited in the Grundrisse (but which is less evident in of accounting for capitalism's resilience, which Marx himself activity and a wider field of penetration, of control, investment expansive. With each crisis, it mutates into a larger sphere of preferable.) 'jüngste', the latest or most recent, which is obviously have seen, while Hilferding, more prudently, simply calls it the of the term is meant as a homage to Mandel, and not particuprocess were some uniform historical progression. (My own use has turned on the latent teleology of his slogan 'late capitalism', the 1980s and 1990s). But the objection to Mandel's positions tications (immediately after two World Wars, and then again in Capital itself), and which has repeatedly unsettled left prognos-Ernest Mandel in his great book Late Capitalism, has the merit and transformation: this doctrine, most forcefully argued by capitalism's movement must be seen as discontinuous but larly as a prophetic forecast; Lenin does say 'highest', as we It is a picture that unites various traditional requirements:

The cyclical scheme now allows us to co-ordinate these features: if we position discontinuity not only in time but also in space, and if we add back in the historian's perspective, which clearly enough needs to reckon in the national situations and the uniquely idiosyncratic developments within the national states, let alone within the greater regional groupings (Third versus First Worlds, for example), then the local teleologies of the capitalist process can be reconciled with its own spasmodic historical developments and mutations as those leap from geographical space to space.

Thus, the system is better seen as a kind of virus (not Arrighi's

(better still, a rash of epidemics, an epidemic of epidemics). The figure), and its development as something like an epidemic

my figures suggest.) ners lose and losers sometimes win, is far more dialectical than and economies: Deleuze calls this an axiomatic, as opposed to the older precapitalist, tribal or imperial codes. But epidemics economic articulation of these paradoxical turns, whereby winment. (I hasten to add that Arrighi's complex political and which the preconditions are favourable to renewed developand they also leap to new and more propitious settings, in sometimes play themselves out, like a fire for want of oxygen; destroys the logic of more traditional or pre-capitalist societies system has its own logic, which powerfully undermines and

a full participant in the new moment. It is a kind of dialectical ship to Genoa, which financed the Empire and which was thus development, to match it, to succeed where you fell short. willing to posit a kind of propagation by rivalry and negation reproduced in the later discontinuous history, unless one is also is to be understood in close functional and symbiotic relationsilver. But Arrighi stresses the way in which Spanish capitalism the way in which the enemy is led to take on your own link to the earlier Italian city-state moment, which will not be mined by the conquest of the New World and the fleets of form of capitalism, of course, which was disastrously underessentially symbiotic form: we knew that Spain had an early Arrighi's great insight lies in the analysis of this moment as an economic). Then the process leaps over into Spain, where about the way in which form (the political) restricts content (the sheltered environment. The political form, here, the city state it should not be extrapolated into some more general thesis itself, stands as an obstacle and a limit to development, although way of scope, but which offers a still relatively restricted and proportions which does not allow the new thing much in the great city states - all this is evidently a Petri dish of modest Bookkeeping in Renaissance Italy, the nascent commerce of the starts; any number of new beginnings, on an ever larger scale. capitalism has known any number of false starts and fresh Thus, in the new scheme of The Long Twentieth Century,

For such is the next moment, the leap to Holland and the

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

opment in the twentieth century; and Arrighi leaves a question different synchronic mode. globalization perhaps now demand something else of a wholly constitute yet another cycle and another stage, to replace an mark, fraught with doubts, about the capacity of Japan to way for a more successful English development along the same zation of the ocean and the waterways. After that, the story representativity, and the complex realities of contemporary perhaps, Arrighi's model has touched the limits of its own American hegemony in full internal contradiction. At this point, lines. The United States becomes the centre of capitalist develbecomes more familiar: the limits of the Dutch system pave the Dutch, to a system more resolutely based on the commerciali-

of his new 'universal history'). moments (this may be taken to be the local teleological content moments replicates itself and reproduces a series of three the way in which capitalist development in each of these Arrighi's history, namely the internal stages of the cycle itself, Yet we have not yet come to the most exciting feature of

not be invested in further expansion'.4 or profit' endemic to the second stage in general: 'profits are still and transforms its associated area into a centre of production. is invested in agriculture and manufacture: it is territorialized, second classic moment, then, that money becomes capital, and with trade which in one way or another, and often by way of accumulation. The first phase of the tripartite process has to do generates supplementary money, in an expanding dialectic of high, but it is a condition for their maintenance that they should production, distribution and consumption alike; a 'falling rate But this second stage knows internal limits: those that weigh on being a quantity of money for eventual capitalization. In the the violence and brutality of primitive accumulation, brings into M', in which money is transformed into capital, which now These are modelled on the famous formula of Capital: M-C-

'a sign of autumn'.' Speculation, the withdrawal of profits from Braudel's remark that 'the stage of financial expansion' is always recurrent moment of a cyclical finance capitalism is inspired by primarily interests us here. Arrighi's treatment of this the At this point, the third stage begins, which is the moment that

national and international capitalist zone, and seeks to die and of capital itself, as in its cycles it exhausts its returns in the new extraordinary analysis finance capital is not only a kind of be reborn in some 'higher' incarnation, a vaster and immeasur 'highest stage', but the highest and last stage of every moment capital as such, and it now becomes clear how on Arrighi's put it, vying against each other in a vast world-wide disembodadvanced one in the same line of manufacturing, but rather in nor even one productive technology against another more ied phantasmagoria. This is of course the moment of finance the form of speculation itself: spectres of value, as Derrida might bility, but not as one industry competing with another branch, the floor of the stock market, jostling for more intense profitawill begin to live its life in a new context; no longer in the the pondered or hasty moving on to greener pastures and higher factories and the spaces of extraction and production, but on long ago as Baran and Sweezy's Monopoly Capital of 1965) investments (a process prophetically described for the US as free-floating capital, on its frantic search for more profitable rates of investment return, and of cheaper labour. Now this that there exists such a thing as capital flight: the disinvestment, as well, of the dying cities also) that the term is literal. We know workers in the older moments of capitalism, of local merchants only replicates the bitter experience of the dead, of disemployed Arrighi shows us that this contemporary knowledge of ours prepares to take flight. We know today only too well (but separates itself off from that concrete breeding ground and like. Now, like the butterfly stirring within the chrysalis, it money, or wheat money, textile money, railway money and the national moment money still had a content - it was cotton abstract in the first and basic sense): as though somehow in the second sense and to a second degree abstract (it always was crete context' of its productive geography. Money becomes in a and compensates for the closing of its productive moment, as such - these are the ways in which capitalism now reacts to Capital itself becomes free-floating. It separates from the 'conmuch for new markets (these are also saturated) as for the new kind of profits available in financial transactions themselves and the home industries, the increasingly feverish search, not so

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

again the three fundamental stages of its implantation, its ably more productive one, in which it is fated to live through productive development, and its financial or speculative final

unrepresentable symptoms in late-capitalist everyday life. around the globe are incalculable, yet already have clearly effectuated from one national zone to another. The results of abolishes space and time and can be virtually instantaneously cations technology to the point at which capital transfers today produced new kinds of political blockage and also new and these lightning-like movements of immense quantities of money the cybernetic 'revolution', the intensification of communiheightened, tor our own period, by a reminder of the results of All of which, as I suggested above, might be dramatically

a way of distinguishing its dynamic from the older, 'productive' nology (which it is a misnomer to call 'post-industrial' except as society, the abstractions brought with it by cybernetic techabstraction and deterritorialization of Lenin's 'imperialist stage'. significant offshoot the emergence of what we call modernism ing and culturally diagnosed by Georg Simmel in his pathmoney and number in the big cities of nineteenth-century expressions. Real abstractions in an older period - the effects of capital is a part - must also be grasped in its cultural capitalism will need to reach out into the expanded realm of moment). Thus any comprehensive new theory of finance finance capitalism: the finance-capital moment of globalized tology of yet another stage of abstraction, qualitatively and industrial capitalism, the very phenomena analysed by Hilferdcultural production to map its effects: indeed mass cultural have drawn on Arrighi to characterize as our own moment of structurally distinct from that one, which the preceding pages Today, what is called postmodernity articulates the symptomain all the arts. In this sense, modernism faithfully - even breaking essay 'Metropolis and Mental Life' - had as one economic as the other productive areas of late capitalism, and zation and the new information technology – are as protoundly production and consumption themselves – at one with globalirealistically' - reproduced and represented the increasing For the problem of abstraction – of which this one of finance

as fully integrated into the latter's generalized commodity

satisfying, account of the role of money in these processes. new, or perhaps we should simply say a more complex and is worth vulgarizing that work by suggesting that it offers us a aesthetic, but it is not part of our work here. Arrighi's work gives us themes and materials to work with in this area; and it is an indispensable part of interpretation, whether historical or I argued in The Political Unconscious,6 we need to separate hasten to add that the political level, the level of social classes, from the economic frame in order to avoid category mistakes. I than on that of social classes, a level of interpretation which, as mode of production (or in brief, that of the economic) rather global theory of these three cultural stages or moments, it being ticularly in the work of Lukács). I want to show how Arrighi's although not without their occasional local suggestivity (parunderstood that the analysis will be staged on the level of the work now puts us in a position to frame a better and more modernism being on the whole largely negative and contrastive, attention and analysis in the Marxist tradition, the attacks on first of these - realism - has been the object of much serious many of us in recent years. For better or for worse, only the realism, modernism and postmodernism, which has interested for the understanding of the historical or structural sequence of theory for cultural and literary interpretation, and in particular Now I want to speculate on the potential uses of this new

allows Locke his extraordinary dual and superimposed systems. state of nature itself. Money, MacPherson demonstrates, is what ous richness of Locke's ideological solution was predicated on follows the social contract of civilized society, but also in the the positioning of money in both places, in the modernity that turns on the transition to a money economy, while the ambigunot merely in narrower cultural terms). In his classic work,7 modernity, taken in its largest sense as capitalist society (and novelty, the central mystery, at the heart of the transition to identified money far more clearly than we do as the central C. B. MacPherson has shown how Locke's vision of history Hobbes to Locke, and including the Scottish Enlightenment, all Indeed, the classical political thinkers of the period, from

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

and as a historical analyst of social and economic change what allows Locke to operate as a philosopher of human nature same time; or, if you prefer, the peculiar nature of money is of nature and of history, of equality and of class conflict at the simultaneously.

centre of Marx's work, the structural account of the historic expected an engagement of the literary critics with the very most often of one class in particular, namely the bourgeoisie. the system of capitalism itself, than it has in terms of class, and to analyse its objects in terms of capital and value, in terms of economic category than a social one. In other words, Marxist of a Marxian analysis of culture, where it is less often a purely and art for that other emergent group which is the industrial alongside the forms and texts themselves. Money enters the of a merchant and business class, with its emergent class culture, too many mediations (no doubt in the spirit in which Oscar originality of capitalism - but such efforts seem to have involved This is obviously something of a paradox: one would have literary criticism - to limit ourselves to that - has less often tried working class.) problem of imagining some equivalent and parallel class culture modern times are for Marxism almost exclusively linked to the bourgeois class life. (Meanwhile, the aesthetic dilemmas of picture here insofar as only exchange, merchant activity and the It was thus much simpler to establish the more direct mediation being of some historically original burgher or city merchant, like, and later on nascent capitalism, determine the coming into Wilde complained that socialism required too many evenings). Money has continued to play this kind of role in the traditions

sively turned on the question of realism, insofar as that is enlightening and sometimes significant results.) At any rate, I does the latter deviate from the realistic path? (It is true that in ernism have taken a negative and critical form: how and why (with some famous and signal exceptions) its analyses of modassociated with a bourgeois class culture; and for the most part realism by way of Arnold Hauser's Social History of Art. I refer would like briefly to illustrate this traditional Marxian focus on the hands of a Lukács, this kind of question can produce This means that Marxian cultural theory has almost exclu-

of perception as such and the emergence of new kinds of social relations. But there is a hidden mediation here, which perceptions. Hauser does not articulate: and that is the matter of the history money on social life and on the emergence of new kinds of prisingly proposes a heightened influence of commerce and requires a further social determination in its turn, and unsurenough. Hauser rightly feels that the religious determination to substitute monotheism would probably be explanation nate of the spirit of a given society, Ikhnaton's abortive attempt philosophical tradition for which it is religion that is determi-Indeed, if one persists in a much older anthropological and tendencies stand out sharply against the hieratic tradition so dom at the moment of Ikhnaton's abortive revolution. These naturalistic tendencies in the Egyptian art of the Middle Kingyou, for example, to the moment in which Hauser notes the familiar to us, and therefore suggest the influence of new factors

able, and encouraged by their new publics. which more realistic art forms are not only possible but desirof behaviour - and in the long run create the conditions in tions, both physical and social - new kinds of seeing, new types and all this may be supposed to develop new kinds of perceppsychological and characterological traits of their interlocutors; interest in the sensory nature of their wares as well as in the trade. The merchants and their consumers need to take a keener as the supersession of concrete use and function by an essentially exchange value a new interest in the physical properties of the new and more lively human relationships developed by leads to a more realistic interest in the body of the world and in idealistic and abstract 'fetishism of commodities') here rather money form (which in standard Marxian economics is grasped objects comes into being. Their equivalence by way of the nations: for it is tacitly assumed that with the emergence of Herein lies the unorthodox kernel of these orthodox expla-

obviously suggestive implications for plot and character, the tical reversals in the later stages; above all, except for the the proposition is also subject to radical and unexpected dialecsatisfying for anyone seeking to scrutinize the individual text; It is an epochal explanation or account, which will not be

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

rather, I would like to suggest, an immense Bildungsroman ence on the transfer of popular language to writing, which is, whose protagonist is Syntax itself, as it develops throughout the tion tactfully underpins Auerbach's work and informs an insistschema, even though a notion of expanding social democratizarelations between realism and language, Erich Auerbach, to this relevance of the account for language itself is less clear. It would Western European languages. He does not cite Mallarmé: Wordsworthian emphasis on plain speech and speakers, but however, by no means his central argument. For this is no be abusive to assimilate the one great theoretician of the

à l'intelligibilité? Il faut une garantie -Quel pivot, j'entends, dans ces contrastes, La Syntaxe (!)8

remain to be worked out, but clearly include many of the social ical thread of Auerbach's history, whose multiple determinants complexity of the Real - this is the great narrative and teleologway that each can now register a hitherto unperceived local features already mentioned. lution of the incidental clauses of the new sentence in such a ing of hierarchical sentence structure, and the differential evo-Proust, is the deeper narrative of Mimesis: the gradual unlimber-Yet the adventures of syntax down the ages, from Homer to

ments and laboratories. mentality in order to develop their new experimental instrurealisms, which must clear away a useless and jumbled monunecessarily subversive and critical, destructive, character of their inherited syntax of a previous literary period, both insist on the of the break and the Novum: for whether it is with the older kind of first stage. They also include the great modernist topos modernism) of which, however, here realism can be seen as a absolutely and fundamentally linked to modernity (if not yet hieratic conventions of a formulaic art, or the cumbersome the new artistic and perceptual categories are grasped as being It should also be noted that in both these theories of realism,

register the two contributions I have felt able to make to some This is the point at which, without false modesty, I want to

and their suffusion through ever greater zones of social life (including individual subjectivity), it is as though the force that and the subjectivities, the institutions and the forms, of an older stages in a dialectic of reification, which seizes on the properties that it demands description in some of the ways we have into quality. With the intensification of the forces of reification, chical or religious content. Realism and secularization are a first pre-capitalist life world, in order to strip them of their hierartraditionally reserved for modernism itself - the break, the ism, or a realism which is so fundamentally a part of modernity ism. The first of these proposes a dialectical theory of the as yet unformulated and properly Marxian theory of modernnow hegemonic modernisms themselves. which now has utterly unexpected and dialectical results for the same way as a further intensification of the forces of reification, modernism by the postmodern is predictably enough read in the take their place. And in this narrative, the supersession of ist forms - generated by the very same pressure of reification its turn. The ideological and social preconditions of realism generated the first realism now turns against it and devours it in it comes as something like a leap and an overturn from quantity Enlightenment moment in that process: what is dialectical about incompatible modes of realism and modernism, as so many I proposed was to see these historically distinct, and seemingly Novum, the emergence of new perceptions, and the like. What paradox we have just encountered: namely realism as modernthemselves unmasked, demystified and discredited; and modernits naive belief in a stable social reality, for example – are now

seeing modernist reification in terms of analysis, decomposition, can be linked dialectically to both. For this 'theory' of modernist cantly influential in either realism or postmodernism, but which process in the modern which seemed to me much less signifisufficient of what were formerly parts of a whole. It is something of 'autonomization', of the becoming independent and selfformal processes I wanted to follow Lukács (and others) in interesting and productive to see this particular process in terms hypothesizing modernism in various contexts, I also found it but above all of internal differentiation. Thus, in the course of As for my other contribution, it posited a specific formal

> cultural and structural analysis of modernism as such. that labour process, and seems to me full of suggestions for the Monopoly Capital9 remains the cornerstone of any approach to ideological word for it). Harry Braverman's classic Labor and with the labour process itself: and here the great phenomenon and also in the Proustian sentence. I wanted to establish a their reorganization around principles of 'efficiency' (to use the its own right, by way of the separation of different stages and Adam Smith) now becoming a method of mass production in of Taylorization (contemporaneous with modernism) slowly done when people talk about the sources of modernity) as rather kinship here, not so much with the sciences (as is customarily that can be observed in the chapters and sub-episodes in Ulysses, imposes itself; a division of labour (theorized as long ago as

capital which underpins and sustains postmodernity as such. Arrighi teaches us, nothing is quite so abstract as the finance seem very appropriate in the postmodern context; and yet, as ernist, forms. Nor does the conventional notion of abstraction sumption today is based on those older, once anti-social modrather, our entire system of commodity production and condecoration to artistic production) and no longer shock anyone; sense, from advertising to commodity styling, from visual entered the mainstream of cultural consumption (in the largest ugly, dissonant, scandalous, indecent or repulsive, have also sphere, forms of abstraction which in the modern period seemed particular logic no longer seems to obtain; just as in the cultural But now, in what some people like to call post-Fordism, this

great modernist independent filmmaker like Stan Brakhage, for example. So a process and a logic of extreme fragmentation still of distinct shots or images, without in the least provoking the only half a minute can today include an extraordinary number modernist estrangement and bewilderment of the work of a intensified in television editing, where an advertisement lasting ized classical American film - it is a process that has everywhere rapidity of the editing and the sequence of shots that characterthe Europeans were the first, for example, to be struck by the izes the modern, it is still very much with us in postmodernity: the becoming independent of the parts or fragments - character-At the same time, it also seems clear that if autonomization -

and the contingent. existentialism - the loss of meaning everywhere in the modern even archaic local coding systems? This is, however, an interpreone then to imagine, with Deleuze, that we here confront a seems to obtain here, but without any of its earlier effects. Is regressing to religion or making an absolute out of the private world, followed by the attempt locally to re-endow it, either by between the axiomatic and the code really is from classical how different this opposition Deleuze and Guattari develop tation that raises embarrassing questions: and, in particular, back into private gardens, private religions, vestiges of older or whose intolerable axiomatics are everywhere locally turned thing he posits as an operation inseparable from late capitalism. 'recoding' of hitherto decoded or axiomatic materials - some-

sumption are to be thought of as essentially religious practices, tary and canonization. Unless entertainment and visual convery socialization, only through a process of collective commenwhich selectively achieved their universalization, indeed their obsessions and private thematic hobbies of the great moderns, media languages. They are thus very different from the solitary postmodernity are universal, in the sense in which they are that it is not a local but a general process: the languages of absurd, to take only one example, are themselves recaptured now seems to have been fully absorbed by a more fully of the death of god and the end of religion and metaphysics another (more existential) way, it can be said that the scanda more anodyne exhibits. offers them for consumption fully as much as its other seemingly and recontained by a new and postmodern cultural logic, which visual culture of consumerism as such. So the anxieties of the placed the moderns in a situation of anxiety and crisis, which then, the notion of recoding seems to lose its force here. Put been saturated and neutralized, not by new values, but by the humanized and socialized, culturalized society: its voids have What also militates against the concept of 'recoding' here is

examine in terms of the category of abstraction itself and in capitalism makes a signal contribution, which I first propose to and it is in its theorization that Arrighi's analysis of finance It is thus to this new break that we must turn our attention,

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAI

engine and the new velocities and technologies of the motorcar, one, in the onset of an abstraction which becomes identified as to live independent existences as fields of perception and as and shape free themselves from their former vehicles and come stable substances and their unifying identifications. Thus, if all equivalence has as its result a withdrawal from older notions of equivalence: if the latter had once announced and provoked a a whole new and more abstract way of thinking and perceiving, city, very much including the abstract flows of money, determine and Mental Life', in which the processes of the new industrial immediately preceding and following the turn of the century. the steamship liner and the flying machine - in the decades trialization - that of oil and electricity, that of the combustion to the historical period of the second stage of capitalist indusaesthetic modernism, but which in hindsight should be limited artistic raw materials. This is then a first stage, but only a first autonomous, qualities or perceptual features; and both colour may now purchase as it were their various, henceforth semihas levelled their intrinsic differences as individual things, one these objects have become equivalent as commodities, if money new interest in the properties of objects, now, in this new stage, transformation of the effects of exchange value and monetary cities and countryside. What is at stake here is dialectical radically different from the object world of the older merchant tion for our purposes is Georg Simmel's great essay, 'Metropolis of more ancient and non-figurative visual materials, which he particular of that peculiar form of abstraction which is money associates with a kind of death drive. But the crucial intervenintensifying assimilation, into the West's 'imaginary museum', to distinct cultural impulses, and finally drew its force from the itself. Worringer's pathbreaking essay on abstraction10 linked it

and ends with a purchase'. The merchant sells C and with the such: 'the simple circulation of commodities begins with a sale another formula, C-M-C, which characterizes commerce as Marx began, as will be remembered, with an inversion of formula, M-C-M', into a supple and cyclical historical narrative. imaginative way in which Arrighi exfoliates Marx's famous return to Arrighi for a moment. We have already spoken of the But before continuing this dialectical narrative, we need to

stance, that can only lead to a more realistic aesthetic. attention, along with the philosphical categories of the subspecial commodities such as salt or spice can be transformed except in those instances between trading regions in which very when money is received in return for commodities, and comes physical commodites themselves determines a kind of perceptual Besides this, as has already been said, the centrality of the into money as exceptions to the general law of equivalence It is not, as one can readily imagine, a very profitable trajectory, to an end when money is given up in return for commodities' M(oney) received, buys another C: 'the whole process begins

and the individual worker. autonomization of the process of capital accumulation, which words, the transformation of riches into capital itself, the observe the gradual imposition of the prime on the second M: explanation (in chapter 4 of Capital, Volume I), and merely capital) is transformed into capital tout court. I abridge Marx's dialectical space in which commerce (or if you prefer merchant tion of goods as such, as well as over the individual entrepreneur asserts its own logic over that of the production and consumpits own sake, but to increase the return of M, now M': in other the commodity but on money, and in which its impulse now lies the moment in which the focus of the operation is no longer on reversal of this one, which has now become M-C-M, will be the in the investment of money in commodity production, not for It is, however, the other formula that interests us, for that

and which seems to me dramatically to enhance our sense of struction of the central role of Marx in the Deleuze-Guattari assert that its first and as it were foundational meaning lies in widely used for all kinds of different phenomena; but I wish to word 'deterritorialization', and I think it will immensely clarify what is at stake in this momentous transformation: this is the time very relevant (his most famous and successful, I believe) and most fateful deterritorialization is then this one, in which the meaning of Arrighi's story. It is a term which has been very this very emergence of capitalism itself, as any patient reconwhat Deleuze and Guattari call the axiomatic of capitalism Capitalism and Schizophrenia would demonstrate. 11 The first Now I want to introduce a Deleuzian neologism which is this

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

abandonment of that kind of specific production, along with its saturation of local and even foreign markets - determines an a moment in which the logic of capitalism - faced with the indeed no use-value as such, namely money. So it is that in any element which by definition has no content or territory and and individual needs, but rather in its transformation into that inherent nature of the product becomes insignificant, a mere which the content (to revert to Hegelian language) has definirather implies a new ontological and free-floating state, one in even occasionally 'recoded' in new historical situations): for it areas and situations. But deterritorialization is far more absolute you can imagine one) will always be recontextualized in new suggests that anything wrenched out of its original context (ii media word, 'decontextualization': a term which not improperly altogether more frivolous and even more successful current resonance of the new terms can be measured against an 'liberates' them for new and more functional combinations. The decodes the terms of the older precapitalist coding systems and ruins, takes its flight to other more profitable ventures. factories and trained workforce, and, leaving them behind in specific region of production, as Arrighi shows us, there comes in any specific market, any specific set of consumers or social marketing pretext, while the goal of production no longer lies tively been suppressed in favour of the form, in which the than that (although its results can indeed be recaptured and

speculation today is that of land and city space: the new own kinds of ironies: for one of the privileged forms of which the capital of an entire centre or region abandons geographical regions. Another is the grimmer conjuncture, in and more profitable forms of production, often enough in new moment is a deterritorialization in which capital shifts to other suggestive contribution for cultural analysis today. For one Of course, here the word 'deterritorialization' can celebrate its speculation, the money market, and finance capital in general. non-productive spaces, which, as we have seen, are those of production altogether in order to seek maximization in those Arrighi's most fundamental originality, and also his most demonstration of the two stages of deterritorialization that I see Or, rather, that moment is a dual one: and it is in this

THE CULTURAL TURN

national or imperial ones. Globalization is rather a kind of other one lies in the ultimate deterritorialization of globalization right. Land speculation is therefore one face of a process whose rialization, that of territory as such - the becoming abstract of called) thus result very specifically from the ultimate deterritopostmodern informational or global cities (as they have been material world. one nodal point to another across the former globe, the former cyberspace in which money capital has reached its ultimate itself, 12 where it would be a great mistake to imagine something or context of commodity exchange into a commodity in its own land and the earth, the transformation of the very background dematerialization, as messages which pass instantaneously from like 'the globe' as yet a new and larger space replacing the older

call postmodernity. What is wanted is an account of abstraction abstraction, in particular, which are sharply to be distinguished standard here, which fatally tends to suggest a really solid and don't particularly want to introduce the theme of the gold market frenzies of the eighties are to the Great Depression. I an older modernist autonomization as global financial specuin cultural production today, or in what people have come to this new logic of finance capital - its radically new forms of systems they operate in. If modernism is a kind of cancelled modification in the very nature of cultural tokens, and the in its own right. What we want to be able to theorize is a that it was also grasped as an artificial and contradictory system theme of gold would become relevant again only to the degree plastic (or information on your computer). Or perhaps, the tangible kind of value as opposed to various forms of paper and lation is to an older kind of banking and credit; or as the stock in which the new deterritorialized postmodern contents are to from those of modernism as such – can be observed to operate ups and downs suddenly leads to the introduction of new and tiates some initial mimetic starting point, then it might be realism, as I have suggested, one which segments and differenhistorically original financial and speculative instruments and likened to a largely accepted paper money, whose inflationary I now want to offer some speculations as to the way in which

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

as modernism, namely with the Schlegels. It will be understood cultural moments. The rhetoric of the fragment has been with terms of the fragment and its destiny throughout these various developed and expanded, becoming a far more comprehensive actually I think this can be documented by our own experience. some kind will be, for whatever reason, unnecessary. But require in order to apprehend a series of images as a story of culture like our own, the elaborate preparations we used to fifteen minutes apiece: the implication being that in a Late Show twenty-first century, all fiction films will last no longer than recalling Ken Russell's seemingly jocular remark, that in the and I'll go on using it in this brief discussion. I want to begin by parts as possible and as needed in order to solve them' each of the difficulties I want to examine into as many smaller tion or extreme wear or tear, but rather of analysis ('to divide contents in question are the result, not of breakage, incomplethat I think it is something of a misnomer, since the image us since the dawn of what will later retroactively be identified something that has to do with the quality of the film (although need. You no longer need to see the 'full' two-hour version momentous discovery: namely, that the preview is really all you attractions (five or six of them preceding every feature presenplot's twists and turns, and to preview the entire plot in advance samples of the high points, but virtually to recapitulate all the not merely to exhibit a few images of the stars and a few teaser for the film in store for us. Now the preview is obliged, in the very structure of the preview. The latter has had to be for now inveterate television viewers has led to a transformation Descartes). But the word is convenient for want of a better one, story they seem to tell is not the same as the 'real story' in the tation, and replacing the older kinds of shorts) is led to make a At length, the inveterate viewer of these enforced coming the way in which intensified competition by the film industry Everyone who still visits movie theatres has become aware of the better ones being cunningly arranged in such a way that the it may have something to do with the quality of the preview, (unless the object is to kill time, which it so often is). Nor is this 'real film'). Nor does this new development have much to do It is a point of historical change which I want to examine in

a new form, a new kind of minimalism, whose generic satisfacminutes, but only two or three! twenty-first century, but already in this one; and not fifteen Ken Russell was imperfectly prophetic in his forecast: not in the tions are distinct from the older kind. It would thus seem that antiquated by these new developments. Whereas the preview is narrative structures of a similar type, structures both equally feature film and its book version, we have to do with completed original it replicates. The difference is that, in the case of the as those novelized films, written after the fact of the movie and something of the same relationship to its supposed final product published later on as a kind of xeroxed reminder, is to the filmic the preview, as a structure and a work in its own right, bears connections of the former plot. At that point it would seem that provided in the seemingly brief anthology of shots and highmore than a pretext on which to suspend a perpetual present of themselves, without the benefit of the laborious threads and lights offered by the preview, and they are fully satisfying in thrills and explosions. It is thus the images of these which is contemporary action film, the former story has become little with knowing the plot or the story - for, in any case, in

a mineralized mass of limbs, a form of 'inorganic life' (Deleuze) shaft in which, in the style of Giacometti or Dubuffet, it becomes and seeps into the metamorphoses that follow immediately ominous menace is not the least mystery of this visual artifact through an early-industrial background, before entering a mine upon it: the horse now together with its background evolving sky itself, by metonymy, comes to stand for a movement whose spans swiftly scudding clouds against an omnipresent sky; the shot from below in such a way that its distended body in flight Southern, there erupts upon the screen a horse in full career, aesthetic quality of great intensity. Thus, in a sequence purport into an Arcimbaldo assemblage of gadget parts that gallop ing to celebrate the transportation conglomerate Norfolk commercials as such, which can, at their best, achieve an cessors less in Disney and in music animation than in television tations of music in visual analogues find their immediate prededifferent, for he was evoking MTV, whose imaginative represen-Of course, what he had in mind was something rather

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

shifting content. Yet that content is little more than a fullness of container, the empty universal tirelessly refilled with new and alone entitled to certify temporal novelty? Metamorphosis - as cargoes, from the industrial to the agricultural in some peculiar trunks: the whole sequence no doubt activating some system of and prostheses traversing a wood of stripped and smooth tree to reach a final metamorphosis as wooden assemblage of joints to the organic as a composite being made up of corn ears and self-consciously offered for the eye's consumption. This particuallowing us to disregard it and to consume a visual plenitude in means of holding on to the thread of narrative time while violent and convulsive, yet static, variation - certainly offers a present is this, and how to disentwine an attention to the and extraction to heavy industry. What kind of a perpetual reversal of the normal evolutionary chronology from agriculture the senses at the same time that it emits messages about its kernels - Arcimbaldo again! - that races across a field of grain that strangely echoes the rock surface behind it, before returning tunity - investment of images promoting investment of capital. motions, it is clearly meant to designate an investment opporwhere, unlike the accompanying automobile and hotel proscreened during an hour-long programme of financial news, into dead leaves, but rather of banalities into elegant visuals images and stereotypes: the creative transformation not of riches the present instant; yet it also stands as the abstract monetary persistencies of the Same from that shock of visual difference lar commercial advertisement, it is worth noting, is regularly

Jarman's epic Last of England (1987). or of the very different experimental film-making of Stan instructive to contrast the full currency of Bunuel's surrealist films, An Andalusian Dog (1928) or The Golden Age (1930), fragment with some emergent postmodern one. It thus seems direction and to juxtapose an explicitly aesthetic practice of the Brakhage's Dog Star Man (1965), with the junk bonds of Derek But it also seems appropriate to turn in a more familian

also expressed the same formal interest in the innovations of MTV as Russell, but, unlike him, deplored the temporal restrictions of the new mode and dreamed of immense epic-length As a matter of fact, we ought to note in passing that Jarman

a text like Gravity's Rainbow. the epic temptations of a Jarman or the literal interminability of to juxtapose the brevity of the Russell conception of MTV with opposed to the infinite temporal expansion of Mahler or Proust. Here, in what some people call the postmodern, we might want minimalism of a Webern or a Beckett on the one hand, as in two distinct and antithetical tendencies or strategies: the Yet, even in the modern, the practice of the fragment resulted ative quality of their interminabilities that is here in question). 1987 (the longer films by Buñuel and Brakhage run some sixtyinto practice in just such a work as this ninety-minute film from two and seventy-five minutes respectively, but it is the compardeployment of this image language, something he was to put

with the structurally incomplete, which, however, dialectically Both of these practices, however, share the will to confront us art of the phoneme rather than of the morpheme or the syllable. habituated to our Western visual languages: something like an somehow visually incomplete to eyes still trained for and quarter tones, of analytic segments of the image which are could be described, in analogy with music, as a deployment of experimental video rather than of mainstream cinema). This suggested is to be inserted into a kind of ideal genealogy of medium, that of experimental film (which I have elsewhere as befits a different historical period and also virtually a different other. Brakhage's practice is completely different from this one, incomprehensible language which cannot be translated into any obsessions and eruptions, the symptom in its pure form as an Stroheim) under what he calls naturalism: 'The naturalist image, apparently idiosyncratic classification of Buñuel (along with incomprehensible psychic catastrophe, abrupt upshoulderings, fragments in Buñuel are thus forever incomplete, markers of kinds of signs: symptoms and idols or fetishes?.13 The image-Deleuze has indeed thus brilliantly described them, in his only classical modern movement, as a practice of the symptom. characterize those of Buñuel, working at the very centre of the property of such image-fragments. It seems appropriate to of the cultural impact of finance capital, is a rather different l'image-pulsion (the image as drive or libido), knows in fact two But what I want to bring out, for this speculative discussion

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

thing else that is not given and perhaps never can be affirms its constitutive relationship with an absence, with some-

subjectivity (as in Buñuel) or some organizing aesthetic direction sometimes alternate and oscillate, overprint each other as in certainly longer than anything in Buñuel or Brakhage, yet they visual reasons. The narrative or pseudo-narrative segments are which alternate between black-and-white and colour for purely by a painterly eye in order to generate mesmerizing sequences predictable parody of the royal wedding, all of this is processed music classical and popular, along with Hitler's speeches, a hackneyed features of a dystopian future: terrorists, canned of the stereotype, including the rage itself; the most familiar and Objective Spirit. But everything here is impersonal on the mode entities floating through the empty public realm of a galactic if by that is meant the substitution for some agonizing persona at that. One can certainly speak of the death of the subject here about themselves with lead pipes, the disgust with the royal developed here: the impotent rage of its punk heroes smiting obsessive precision of a Buñuel. kind of cliché in its own right and radically different from the Dog Star Man, while generating an oneiric feeling which is a but these feelings are themselves clichés and disembodied ones family and with traditional trappings of an official English life. front is the commonplace, the cliché. A feeling tone is certainly (as in Brakhage) a Flaubertian autonomous life of banal media like surrealist have loosely been bandied, what we really con-In Jarman's Last of England, however, about which words

with some more fundamental contingency or meaninglessness ogies, which denounce the excess of meaning in clichés and man's fragments are meaningful or intelligible, Buñuel's or themselves imply structural ones? I find myself reverting to a proposition that holds either from an existential or a semiotic Authentic language- or image-practice then tries to keep faith experience or the existential, was richly exercised in his Mytholporary world there is an incompatibility between meaning and Roland Barthes' extraordinary insights in Mythologies: Jarideologies, and the nausea that sheer meaning brings with itself. Brakhage's are not. Barthes' great dictum, that in the contem-How to account for these qualitative differences, which surely

perspective. Barthes meanwhile tried to account for the overdose of meaning in the stereotypical by way of the notion of connotation as a kind of second-degree meaning built up provisionally on more literal ones. It is a theoretical tool he was later to abandon, but that we have every interest in revisiting,

particularly in the present context.

pieces of the image world. situation of contingency or meaninglessness, of alienation, has to soak up content and to project it in a kind of instant reflex. modernist processes, and rather in its newly acquired capacity emitting a complete narrative message in its own right. It has narrative context as a whole, has now become capable of of a narrative, that was once incomprehensible without the mass culture.14 What happens here is that each former fragment ment Barthes' diagnosis of connotation at an earlier stage of a concept of the renarrativization of these fragments to complewith a cultural and mediatic meaning; and here I think we need been superseded by this cultural renarrativization of the broken Whence the vanishing away of affect in the postmodern: the become autonomous, but not in the formal sense I attributed to too meaningful, for in him the fragments have been re-endowed less, although in a different way. But Jarman's total flow is only descent into the fractional states of the image is also meaningdoubt as another side of the carpet we will never see. Brakhage's remains meaningless: the Buñuel symptom is no doubt meaning Buñuel and Brakhage, the play of autonomized fragments ful as such, but only at a distance and not for us, meaningful no For I want to suggest that in the modern moment, of both

What does all this have to do with finance capital, you may well want to inquire? Modernist abstraction, I believe, is less a function of capital accumulation as such, than rather of money itself in a situation of capital accumulation. Money is here both abstract (making everything equivalent) and empty and uninteresting, since its interest lies outside itself: it is thus incomplete like the modernist images I have been evoking, it directs attention elsewhere, beyond itself, towards what is supposed to complete (and also abolish) it, namely production and value. It knows a semi-autonomy, certainly, but not a full autonomy in which it would constitute a language or a dimension in its own

CULTURE AND FINANCE CAPITAL

a play of monetary entities which needs neither production (as right. But that is precisely what finance capital brings into being: capital does) nor consumption (as money does): which supremely, like cyberspace, can live on its own internal metabsuffused with it and colonized by it, so that it has no outside in space of art, but rather because the real world has already been culture withdrew from that real world into an autonomous not because, as in the modern (or even the romantic) period, or dimension which is independent of the former real world, eotypical postmodern language: suggesting a new cultural realm content. But so do the narrativized image-fragments of a sterolism and circulate without any reference to an older type of tingly towards a crash I must leave for another book and of financial speculation. That each of these also steers unwitlacking in that sense, and neither is the total flow of the circuits terms of which it could be found lacking. Stereotypes are never another time.