Despite the fuss about sex and censorship, and the charismatic presence of Marlon Brando, the first thing to be said about *Last Tango in Paris* is that it is a new film by the director of *Before the Revolution* and *The Conformist*. Few films have been so distorted by advance scandal: the sex scenes are important and necessary, but they are but one element in a complex and only slightly unbalanced whole.

The film’s self-evident beauty and integrity renders merely ludicrous the protests of those who wished to ban it without having seen it. A genuinely difficult work, its difficulty is a matter not of wilful obscurity (no film could appear more lucid) but of the complexity of the creative impulse behind it. As with most difficult works, the best route to an understanding is to see it in the context of the artist’s previous achievements.

Bertolucci’s films reveal a thematic consistency the more striking in that (prior to *Last Tango*, his first original screenplay) they were adapted from or inspired by quite heterogeneous literary sources: Stendhal (*Before the Revolution*), Dostoevsky (*Partner*), Borges (*The Spider’s Strategy*), Moravia (*The Conformist*). At the centre of their structure is the notion of a divided personality. In *Partner* this is dramatised literally, the protagonist having a secret double of ambiguous ‘reality’. The split is generally characterised in political terms as an opposition of revolutionary and conformist attitudes, but it also expresses itself stylistically in a conflict between ‘modern’ and traditional views of cinema – between the influence of Godard (most dominant, and disastrous in *Partner*) and the allegiance to formalist directors like Welles, Ophuls and Sternberg explicit in Bertolucci’s interviews and clearly manifest in the stylistic luxuriance of *The Conformist*.

Within this general pattern, *Before the Revolution* and *The Conformist* reveal particular structural affinities, in their close association of sexual and political attitudes. In the earlier film Fabrizio is torn between a passionate liaison with his young aunt and an archetypally bourgeois marriage, as he is between radicalism and conservativism; his rejection of the aunt and his rejection of revolution are aspects of the same psychological movement.

These opposed attitudes are dramatised in *The Conformist* in the contrast between Marcello (Jean-Louis Trintignant) and Anna (Dominique Sanda): he, repressing deviant sexual impulses, careful to preserve public respectability, member of an organisation that suppresses subversives, right wing; she accepting and freely expressing her deviant drives, indifferent to public reaction, passionately opposed to political persecution, left wing. The scene in which Marcello, madly attracted to Anna who embodies everything that is repressed in him, impotently watches her shot down by the Fascist organisation he belongs to is an extension of the ending of *Before the Revolution*: Fabrizio driving away with his socially acceptable bride while the aunt, distraught, passionately clutches his younger brother.

*The Conformist* already multiplies the complexities of its forerunners, the characters and the values they embody presented with increased ambivalence. In interviews, Bertolucci may wish to dissociate himself from Marcello and Anna; in practice, one cannot but see them and the tensions expressed in their opposition as extremely personal to him.

*Last Tango in Paris* shows an even more marked development. The essential thematic structure recurs, but the oppositions are more intricate, the ambivalences of attitude all pervasive. Here the notion of bourgeois conformity has been pushed into the background and into the past (hence no longer a temptation), embodied chiefly in the Brando character’s mother-in-law, and more ambiguously in his relationship with his dead wife, the corruptness of which complements the older woman’s circumscribed respectability.

On the other hand, sexual and political radicalism are no longer identified but opposed. The treatment of revolutionary politics has become somewhat perfumy, confined to a few verbal references, but linked with Jeanne’s fiancé, the (in certain respects) Godard-like filmmaker played, appropriately, by Jean-Pierre Léaud. The essential opposition is conceived in terms other than political: on the one hand, Brando’s insistence on animal-like physical contact, non-personal, the lovers not even knowing each other’s names; on the other the super-consciousness implied by Léaud ‘s insistence on filming everything – Jeanne, their relationship, her past history, her daily life: Brando’s penis against Léaud’s camera, sensual knowledge against mental ‘knowing’, D. H. Lawrence against Godard. Neither pole is regarded simply. Jeanne’s experience with Brando is poised
between liberation and degradation: it is perhaps related to the ambiguous release the male prostitute offered Marcello at the end of The Conformist. Against it, the Léaud scenes are airily exuberant, yet in the strict sense superficial, never penetrating the surfaces of experience his camera photographs.

The sense of dislocation is at the centre of Bertolucci’s work, the Francis Bacon paintings of male and female that back the credit-titles constituting an explicit acknowledgment of this. None of the characters of Last Tango is whole; in a sense, all are Bertolucci, but the balance striven for (though disturbed by Brando’s penchant for extended improvisation) results in a more successful distancing than was achieved in The Conformist. The flamboyant rhetorical gestures of that film, creating effects in excess of any communicated meaning, are absent from Last Tango, the beauty and complexity of which are enhanced by the new stylistic rigour. The fact that the division of attitude is located primarily in Jeanne (Maria Schneider) – the first time a woman has been structurally central to a Bertolucci film – may also contribute to this distancing.

How completely successful is the film? I don’t know yet. I do know that I shall return to it again and again; that it extends and deepens Bertolucci’s exploration of disjunction; that the last 20 minutes (from Jeanne’s return to the empty flat) offer one of the most moving experiences in modern cinema; that the total effect is as liberating as it is disturbing; that, for all its apparent pessimism, I came out feeling happy and strengthened, and feeling for Bertolucci not just admiration but a deep affection.
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