Languages of Slavery in the Spanish American Wars of Independence (1810-1825)

‘Three Hundred Years of Slavery’ was an insurgent catch-phrase used to condemn the colonial era.


... The legitimacy of independence thus derived in part from the legitimacy of the pre-conquest civilisations that had governed America before the arrival of the Spanish. Spanish rule, in contrast, was nothing more than an unjustified ‘usurpation’, and Spain a ‘vile usurper’. The colonial period itself was dismissed as a time of darkness, three centuries of barbarism. As the historian Hans-Joachim König has shown, the phrase ‘three hundred years of slavery’ became a slogan of the independence movement in many parts of Spanish America. The Peruvian national anthem, composed in 1821, for example condemned the colonial era’s ‘three centuries of horror’, while in his opening address to the Buenos Aires Patriotic Society in 1812 the insurgent lawyer Bernardo de Monteagudo lamented that ‘for the space of more than three hundred years humanity in this part of the world has groaned with no comfort other than suffering, and no consolation other than waiting for death, and seeking in the ashes of the tomb asylum from oppression’. The phrase’s importance as a slogan is
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demonstrated indirectly by the efforts royalist propagandists took to debunk it. Colombian royalist José Antonio Torres y Peña satirised the insurgents’ constant repetition of the expression, complaining that it was used even by men whose own fathers held lucrative colonial posts, and who therefore could scarcely claim to be victims of Spanish oppression.  

(I will return to the ambiguities of insurgent rhetoric later in this chapter.) As in Mexico, evidence that colonial rule had truly been three hundred years of slavery was found particularly in the mistreatment of the indigenous population. The abuse had begun with the conquest, as the 1819 constitution of the United Provinces of South America affirmed:

Since the Spanish seized these countries, their preferred system of domination was extermination, destruction and humiliation. The plans for this devastation were put into action and have continued without intermission for the space of three hundred years. They began by assassinating the monarchs of Peru and then did the same with the other princes and primates they encountered.

(Preconquest America thus consisted of legitimate monarchies governed by princes, rather than savage tribes ruled by chieftains, as many royalists claimed.) For revolutionaries in South America as in Mexico, independence was therefore a resumption of ancient rights lost in the conquest. A ‘national song’ published in a Lima newspaper in 1822, a year after the city’s conversion to the insurgent cause, expressed that view clearly:

Now revives the beloved patria of the Incas, the sons of the sun, the empire of the great Moctezuma, [and] the ancient nation of the Zipas. Indian heroes, all America salutes you with hymns of love, and offers you, in just homage, the broken sceptre of the cruel Spaniard.
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An independent Peru was therefore a revival of the Inca empire, independent Mexico the same as the Aztec empire, and independent Colombia a resuscitated version of the preconquest Muisca ‘empire’, whose rulers, the Zipas, enjoyed a sudden elevation in status, becoming the equals of the Inca and Aztec monarchs in insurgent discourse. Moreover, just as Mexican revolutionaries described independence as avenging Montezuma, so insurgent writers further south labelled Spain’s defeat the revenge of Lautaro and the Inca Atahualpa. ‘And now it is known across the land/That the cold ashes of the Incas/Revive in the tomb and, roused,/Proclaim San Martín their avenger’, wrote the insurgent Colombian poet José Joaquín de Olmedo, thus labelling the insurgent general José de San Martín an avenger of the Incas.⁷ At last the unquiet ghosts of the Incas and Aztecs could be laid to rest. ‘Sated now with Iberian blood sleep/The shades of Atahualpa and Montezuma’, as the Venezuelan scholar Andrés Bello put it in 1826.⁸
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