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There was a time before Atlantic history. Two hundred million years ago, in the early Jurassic, no waters formed either barriers or bridges among what are now the Americas, Europe and Africa. These landmasses comprised a single supercontinent of Pangaea until tectonic shifts gradually pushed them apart. The movement continues to this day, as the Atlantic basin expands at about the same rate that the Pacific’s contracts: roughly two centimetres a year. The Atlantic Ocean, at an average of about 4,000 kilometres wide and 4 kilometres deep, is not as broad or profound as the Pacific, the Earth’s largest ocean by far, although its multi-continental shoreline is greater than that of the Pacific and Indian Oceans combined.1 The Atlantic is now but a suburb of the world ocean. Despite the best efforts of international organisations to demarcate it precisely,2 the Atlantic is inextricably part of world history, over geological time as well as on a human scale.

There was Atlantic history long before there were Atlantic historians. There were histories around the Atlantic, along its shores and within its coastal waters. There were histories in the Atlantic, on its islands and over its open seas. And there were histories across the Atlantic, beginning with the Norse voyages in the eleventh century and then becoming repeatable and regular in both directions from the early sixteenth century onwards, long after the Indian and Pacific Oceans had become so widely navigable.3 For almost five centuries, these memories and experiences

---

comprised the history of many Atlantics—north and south, eastern and western; Amerindian and African; enslaved and free; Spanish and Portuguese; British, French, Dutch, creole and hybrid—but not yet a single Atlantic history. More comprehensively Atlantic histories began to appear in the late nineteenth century; later, various species of political and geo-political Atlanticism flourished after the two world wars, but it would take until the latter part of the twentieth century for self-identified Atlantic historians to come onto the scene. Only in the early part of our own century did Atlantic history briefly emerge as a discrete field of study before oceanic history and global history engulfed it once more. In retrospect, Atlantic history appears to have peaked in the early 2000s. ‘We are all Atlantic historians now’, I provocatively declared in 2002, a notorious line usually quoted without its sceptical codicil: ‘or so it would seem’ from the explosion of interest in the Atlantic and the Atlantic world as subjects of study among historians of North and South America, the Caribbean, Africa and western Europe. Within less than a decade, almost thirty collective volumes on Atlantic history had appeared alongside countless articles, theses and monographs (and not just by historians). In the words of one friendly critic at the time, Atlantic history was ‘not merely trendy, but . . . clearly winning the day’. It challenged the methodological nationalism that had informed professional history-writing since at least the late nineteenth century. It transcended national boundaries and largely ignored territorial frontiers. It stressed mobility and circulation and focused on exchange and hybridity, integration and communication. It treated the ‘creation, destruction and re-creation of communities as a result of the movement, across and around the Atlantic
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7 Karen Oeda/Kueperman, The Atlantic in world history (Oxford, 2012); Christoph Strobel, The global Atlantic 1400 to 1900 (Abingdon, 2015).
basin, of people, commodities, cultural practices, and ideas. And it did so in a context defined by empires as well as states and within the perspective of developing political economy. At its most ambitious, Atlantic history joined distinct regions and expanded conventional chronologies into narratives of large-scale change over three or four centuries. During these boom years, what was good for Atlantic history seemed to be good for oceanic history more generally, and even for transnational history tout court.

However, every boom risks a bust. Atlantic history’s ascendency was palpable but short-lived. The cause was less exhaustion than a certain repetition within the field along with increasing competition from without. Scholarly production of Atlantic histories hardly ceased but the field’s adolescent energy waned and it was increasingly challenged as an historiographical inspiration. Its greatest booster and entrepreneur, Harvard historian Bernard Bailyn, noted in 2015 that Atlantic history had ‘developed so swiftly that by 2010 some Atlantic historians had become Atlantic world weary and the basic idea was becoming passe’. Supranational areas of study experienced their own growth spurs. New global histories, as well as other oceanic histories, began to overshadow and even to subsume Atlantic history. Atlantic world-weariness led to the sobering conclusions that the field had ‘fallen on hard times’, that it ‘certainly had not lived up to the promises of its early supporters’ and that ‘this ocean was not necessarily more influential than local, regional or global factors in the everyday lives of many inhabitants of Africa, America and Europe’. A new consensus was emerging. We were not all Atlantic historians now. We never had been. Probably, we never would be. At its zenith, Atlantic history sat mostly in splendid isolation. Its reigning vision was holistic but somewhat hermetic. It encompassed interconnected and transoceanic connections but it was in denial about its eclectic ancestry and drew little sustenance from other oceanic histories. ‘How had the idea of Atlantic history developed?’, Bailyn asked in 2005.

12 Sophus Reinert and Pernille Ruge, eds., The political economy of Empire in the early modern world (Basingstoke, 2015).
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‘Not in imitation of Fernand Braudel’s concept of Mediterranean history’, he replied, nor did he believe it was an extension of imperial history or the product of the history of encounters and exploration. Now that Atlantic history is no longer even first among equals, it is clear that both the Mediterranean and the Indian Ocean challenge the Atlantic for chronological priority as maritime zones and as spaces of historical memory. There is also a stronger case that the Pacific Ocean had been generating historical inspiration for decades before Atlantic history briefly asserted its predominance. And it is more obvious than ever that the historiographies of other seas and oceans – the Red Sea and Black Sea; the Baltic and South China seas; the Southern Ocean and the Arctic Ocean – owe little debt to the historiography of the Atlantic. Atlantic history is poised to become a consumer rather than a producer of models for other oceanic histories. Accordingly, this chapter attempts to show what those models have to offer Atlantic historians, in a post-Atlantic world of plurality and proliferation.

Atlantic History’s Hundred Horizons

Before there was the Atlantic, or Atlantic history, there was a ‘complex of seas’, formed of many segmented and discontinuous Atlantics – even if they were not known by that name or placed in that frame. There were no westward probes across the ocean until centuries after eastward-moving peoples had created pathways across the sea: to this extent, the history of the ocean’s becoming ‘the Atlantic’ must still start with Europe and with Europeans. Until the fifteenth century, most navigation was coastal, leading to cartographies both mental and formal that resembled road-maps more than navigational charts, much like early modern Japanese representations of a ‘small eastern sea’ rather than an open Pacific

19 See the relevant chapters in this volume by Jonathan Miran, Stella Ghervas, Michael North, Eric Tagliacozzo, Alessandro Antonello and Sverker Sörlin.
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'Oceano Australe', 'Oceano Meridionale' or 'Mare Magnum Australe'. The Afro-Latin Atlantic, with Brazil and Angola at its extremities, was the arena for the 'longest and most intense forced migration of the modern era', in which almost five million enslaved persons were transported westwards from Africa between 1556 and the end of the Brazilian slave-trade in 1850. As Luiz Felipe de Alencastro has persuasively argued, that mid-nineteenth-century moment marked a major watershed in Atlantic history by diminishing the significance of one maritime system – the South Atlantic (as in which currents and winds had determined routes of travel in the age of sail – at the point when steamships were liberating mariners and their vessels from the winds and allowed the northern and southern Atlantic systems to be more firmly sutured together. It was not a coincidence that perhaps the greatest emancipated voice of the age, Frederick Douglass, proclaimed in 1852 that, 'Oceans no longer divide, but link nations together. From Boston to London is now a holiday excursion. Space is comparatively annihilated. Thoughts expressed on one side of the Atlantic, are distinctly heard on the other.' Douglass was no doubt thinking of 'the Atlantic proper', but his words increasingly described the Atlantic basin as a whole, north and south as well as east and west.

The emergence of this integrated Atlantic – post-emancipation, post-colonial, if not quite (or perhaps ever) post-imperial – made possible the imagination of larger Atlantic histories in the sense of historical accounts that took the Atlantic basin as their bailiwick. Indeed, a narrative arising from this moment and constructed around the rise and fall of the slave-trade and the histories of slavery and emancipation, may be the most promising point of origin for Atlantic history itself. W. E. B. Du Bois's *The suppression of the African slave-trade to the United States of America, 1638–1870* (1896) is emblematic of this post-emancipation historiography, a history of the black Atlantic a century before the sociologist Paul Gilroy made the term fashionable while using Du Bois's own concept of 'double consciousness' as a lens. Du Bois's was a study on an inter-continental scale over a *longue durée* of almost 250 years. Without his

27 Frederick Douglass, 'What to the slave is the fourth of July? An address delivered in Rochester, New York, on 5 July 1852', in The Frederick Douglass papers, series one: Speeches, debates, and interviews, ed. John W. Blasingame, 5 vols. (New Haven, CT, 1979–92), II, p. 387.
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community erected after the next great war. Lippmann emerged afresh as a promoter of Atlanticism as a species of internationalism in the era of US-led building of international institutions, from the Atlantic Charter (1941) and the United Nations to UNESCO to NATO, that is often held to be the seedbed for Atlantic history as an integrated field of focus.33 It is from this moment that we get the initial conception of 'Atlantic world' as a geopolitical expression of an Atlantic community and as a historical entity in the writings of diplomats and legal internationalists but not yet among historians.34 It was in the early 1970s that the idea of an 'Atlantic world' first broke free of these origins to migrate into broader historiography. It only became a widespread term of art in the new century, when its usage ballooned in historical work after 2000: six scholarly articles in the English used the term in their titles in the 1990s but over fifty did so in the 2000s, and there has been a similar pattern of invocations since 2010.35

The post-Second World War genealogy of Atlantic history underpinned a narrative with a durable chronology and implied geography. European westward expansion into oceanic space led to waves of emigration premised on the dispossession of Indigenous peoples and the destruction or transmutation of their communities in order to facilitate settler colonialism, initially under the supervision of European metropoles. The increasingly insatiable slave trade pumped expendable labour into a system of early capitalist production, leading to escalating inequality and racial domination. These hierarchies did not collapse when perceived political oppression and the creole response to it sparked a series of 'Atlantic revolutions' that led to political independence, the formation of new nation-states (which were retrofitted with their own national histories) and, with a delay of decades and sometimes as a result of civil war, the emancipation of the enslaved. This was the teleological narrative that informed Atlantic history at the height of its fortunes in the early twenty-first century. It settled into a timeline between the late fifteenth century and the first third of the nineteenth century but inevitably missed the watershed of 1850 and the later Brazilian abolition of 1888 and only

21 On Haushofer's Pacific vision, see Alison Bashford, 'Karl Haushofer's Geopolitics of the Pacific Ocean', in Kate Fullagar, ed., *The Atlantic world in the antiglacies: Effects and transformations since the eighteenth century* (Newcastle upon Tyne, 2012), pp. 120-43.
23 For more on these genealogies of Atlantic history, see Sylvia Marzagalli, 'Sur les origines de l"Atlantis conceptualisé à l"histoire des espaces atlantiques à l"époque moderne', *Dix-huitième Siècle*, 33 (2001): 17-31; O'Reilly, *Genealogies of Atlantic history*.
25 The first use of 'Atlantic world' in the title of a historical monograph in English seems to be K. G. Davies, *The North Atlantic world in the seventeenth century* (Minneapolis, MN, 1974), JSTOR articles with the words 'Atlantic World' in the title appeared at the following rate: 1970s (1); 1980s (2); 1990s (6); 2000s (52); 2010-16 (32).
belatedly incorporated the Haitian Revolution as a pivotal event. It was, by accident or design, but still without acknowledgment, an Atlantic history whose chronology revealed its geography as still centred on that ‘proper’ Atlantic above the Equator.

This was a history of the North Atlantic as the Mediterranean of modernity, strung between European expansion and early industrialisation and emancipation, both personal and political. In the hands of the French historian Jacques Godechot and his American collaborator, R. R. Palmer, this political narrative produced a history of modern Western civilisation with the Atlantic at its heart. However, the Atlantic itself was more a hole than a centre. Their seminal paper, ‘Le problème de l’Atlantique’, presented in Rome in 1955, depicted an Atlantic world without an ocean: like Palmer’s great solo work, The age of the democratic revolution (1959–64), their piece can hardly be said to contribute to Atlantic history as an oceanic history, though Godechot himself had written the first maritime history of the Atlantic in French in 1947.\(^{36}\) (The first English-language histories of the Atlantic, published in 1957 by two mariner-writers, the American Leonard Outhwaite and the Australian Alan Villiers, were popular works firmly focused on the North Atlantic.)\(^{37}\) It would take students of the early modern Hispanic Atlantic inspired by Fernand Braudel in the 1950s, such as Vittorio Magliabechi Godinho, Huguette Chaunu and Pierre Chaunu and Frédéric Mauro, to apply Mediterranean models to the Atlantic and its regions, even if Braudel himself found their work ‘arbitrary’ for failing to achieve his holistic ambitions.\(^{38}\) As a result, these avatars of Atlantic history were rarely invoked later as originators of the field, in favour of dry-footed historians like Palmer who had little interest in the history of the Atlantic Ocean per se.


Until the explosion of self-consciously Atlantic history in the late 1990s and early 2000s, scholarship flowed largely in the channels cut after the Second World War. These intellectual conduits directed Atlantic history into imperial and national histories, within a chronology from encounter to emancipation between the late fifteenth and early nineteenth centuries. The major exceptions were those African historians like Philip Curtin who followed Du Bois and his successors in studying the long-term dynamics of the slave trade: their work necessarily affirmed the established periodisation of Atlantic history, but it penetrated deeper into the South Atlantic context and into Africa, it integrated the Caribbean more firmly within Atlantic history and it focused on a slave-system driven by national entities, in Portugal and Britain especially, but decidedly supranational and international in scope.\(^{39}\)

The challenge for Atlantic history in the late twentieth century was threefold: first, to integrate the various streams of Atlantic history – political, economic and cultural; black and white Atlantics; national and transnational histories; second, to press against conventional chronological and geographical boundaries; and third, to define the identity of the field without cutting it off from other areas of historical inquiry. The rapid maturation and equally speedy dissolution of Atlantic history in the early 2000s only partly rose to those challenges. To be sure, as the proliferation of seminars and conferences, monographs and articles demonstrated, Atlantic history offered an expansively integrative approach at just the moment when historians were becoming increasingly sceptical that a national frame was adequate to capture the processes, both local and global, in which they were interested. The major syntheses that emerged in the wake of this expansion of monographic work, in the form of textbooks and surveys as Atlantic history became a widespread teaching field, consolidated this integrative tendency, especially by combining the black, white and ‘red’ (or Indigenous) Atlantics into multi-ethnic narratives.\(^{40}\) They were less successful in breaching the apparently impenetrable barrier of the mid-nineteenth century: the moment when both Atlantics were finally joined into a single communications system continued to mark the outer limit of Atlantic history, at least chronologically. Historians of early globalisation centred on the late nineteenth-century Atlantic economy, students of the US Civil War era and scholars of migration who note the movement of sixty-five million Europeans across the ocean between 1830 and 1930, have made the case

39 See, for example, Philip Curtin, The Atlantic slave trade: A censum (Madison, WI, 1969).

40 See especially Benjamin, The Atlantic world, and Thornton, A cultural history of the Atlantic world, 1250–1820, as well as the guide to ‘Further Reading’ for this chapter.
Three (More) Concepts of Atlantic History

Fifteen years ago, I proposed three concepts of Atlantic history to anatomise existing approaches and to point up prospective pathways for the field: these were *circum*-Atlantic history, *trans*-Atlantic history and *cis*-Atlantic history.\(^{44}\) By *circum*-Atlantic history, I meant 'the history of the Atlantic as a particular zone of exchange and interchange, circulation and transmission': in short, Atlantic history as transnational history.\(^{45}\) *Trans*-Atlantic history is 'the history of the Atlantic world told through comparisons' between empires, nations, states and similar communities or formations, such as cities or plantations — that is, Atlantic history as international, interregional or, as we might now say, *inter-poli* ty history.\(^{46}\) And *cis*-Atlantic history comprises 'the history of any particular place — a nation, a state, a region, even a specific institution — in relation to the wider Atlantic world', or Atlantic history conceived as local history and even as microhistory.\(^{47}\)

This typology was not exhaustive and I intended the three categories to be mutually reinforcing: *circum*-Atlantic history made *trans*-Atlantic history possible and both depended on *cis*-Atlantic histories; these emerged in turn from *circum-* and *trans*-Atlantic connections and circulations. At the time, and for many years after, they adequately captured the bulk of work conducted as the history of an Atlantic world that was largely defined against inter-oceanic and global connections, conceived of as a holistic, multi-continental system and viewed as the sum of experiences above the waves and on the territories adjoining and within the Atlantic Ocean. By now, they no longer seem as comprehensive as they once did, not least because they were derived mostly inductively, from existing practices within Atlantic history itself.

The evolution of oceanic history in the last decade suggests a pressing need to extend my original trichotomy to take account of more recent developments, within and beyond Atlantic history, and to imagine new prospects for Atlantic history itself. With these goals in mind, let me offer three more concepts of Atlantic history in addition to my original triad:

1. *Infra*-Atlantic history — the subregional history of the Atlantic world.
2. *Sub*-Atlantic history — the submarine history of the Atlantic world.
3. *Extra*-Atlantic history — the supraregional history of the Atlantic world.

My aim in the latter part of this chapter is to describe each approach, with examples drawn from Atlantic history and its historiographical neighbours, to account for their significance and to suggest how each
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can draw Atlantic history into closer and more productive dialogue with other oceanic histories. These three new concepts supplement but do not supplant my earlier trichotomy. Taken together, they can offer novel ways to re-energise the field of Atlantic history and to increase its integration with other areas of historical analysis.

Infra-Atlantic History

Infra-Atlantic history is the inverse of circum-Atlantic history as 'the history of the ocean as an arena distinct from any of the particular, narrower, oceanic zones that comprise it'. In contrast to that integrative approach, it focuses instead on those more specific and bounded regions that flow into or abut upon the larger ocean but which have their own integrity as islands and archipelagos, littorals and beaches, straits, gulfs and seas in their own right. It is the history of the peoples who inhabited these sub-regions, who lived by the sea or pursued maritime lives in coastal and insular waters. This is not the Atlantic as a congeries of cis-Atlantic histories, because there is no assumption that those places should be connected to a larger circuit of communication. Nor is it the Atlantic as a 'world' or a 'system' but instead as a series of distinct spaces and the competing visions that emerged from them. To paraphrase a distinction Greg Dening made for Pacific history, it is history in the Atlantic rather than history of the Atlantic.

Infra-Atlantic history draws inspiration from adjacent oceanic histories that have also attempted to break down wider oceans into their component parts. As Jonathan Miran notes in his essay on the Red Sea in this volume, 'most maritime spaces are innately fractured, fragmented and unstable areas'; with this, he affirms the argument of Peregrine Horden and Nicholas Purcell that the Mediterranean should be spared from Braudelian synthesis by decomposition into many microecologies or Sugata Bose's similar claims in favour of the dizzyingly various 'hundred horizons' visible in the Indian Ocean arena. It has been suggested that the future of global history in an age of resurgent nationalism, populism and anti-globalism lies in narrating disintegration as well as integration. On this view, a segmented Atlantic has as much to reveal as a co-ordinated one. This is because it is more likely to reflect particular experiences than to fall into the traps of Eurocentrism – the assumption that the Atlantic was a European preserve or invention – or whiggism, the premise that Atlantic integration was inevitable, even irreversible.

Infra-Atlantic history can be discovered first throughout the islands of the Atlantic. That search takes us back to one possible root of the term 'Atlantic' itself. Around 355 BCE, Plato in the Timaeus imagined the island empire of Atlantis, in the western ocean beyond the Mediterranean 'frog-pond', which worried with Athens before disappearing in a catastrophic flood. The first European voyages into the Atlantic lent his myth fresh plausibility – or, at least, utility in accounting for earlier links with the Americas – though it later became a western analogue to the Indian Ocean's Lemuria, a sunken superpower around which identities later swirled. The first recorded westward explorer of the Atlantic was Plato's near-contemporary, the island-hopping Pytheas of Massalia (Marseille), who made it to Britain, Orkney and Shetland and possibly even Iceland in the fourth century BCE. Long thereafter, the Atlantic would be a realm of imaginary islands – the Fortunate Isles, St Brendan's Isle, the Island of the Seven Cities and Ultima Thule, among others – before Europeans learned that it was indeed a sea fringed with many insular formations, from Orkney and Shetland in the north to the Canaries and the Azores in mid-Atlantic and the Greater and Lesser Antilles in the Caribbean. All had their own infra-Atlantic histories before trans-Atlantic contact and their inhabitants would continue to live such histories even when they became more deeply implicated in an emergent Atlantic world.

The Atlantic gradually came into focus as a sea with islands, but was it also a sea of islands? Pacific studies prompt the question. In that oceanic history, the paradigm of a sea of islands expresses Indigenous

---

54 John R. Gillis, Islands of the mind: How the human imagination created the Atlantic world (Basingstoke, 2004); compare Andrew Jennings, Silke Reeploog and Angela Watt, eds., Northern Atlantic islands and the sea: Seascapes and dreamiscapes (Newcastle upon Tyne, 2017).
consciousness of attachment and importance; it reframes as plenitude what outsiders put down as the absence or insignificance of territories in the ‘Earth’s empty quarter’. There were no Indigenous Atlantic equivalents to the immense colonising voyages of the Polynesian navigators, which made islands into stepping-stones across vast oceanic expanses. The ‘Atlantic Mediterranean’, populated by islands from the Canaries to the Azores and joined together by Atlantic winds, could hardly compare with these, though the Caribbean islands and the adjacent coastal regions of southern North America and northern South America have a claim to be a ‘trans-oceanic Mediterranean’ or even an ‘Atlantic Oceania’, albeit on a far smaller scale than anything within the Pacific. Territories such as Ascension Island, Tristan da Cunha and St Helena remained remote from each other and from the five continents until well into the twentieth century, and for much of the eighteenth century, St Helena had functioned as a gateway to the Indian Ocean world while the Falkland Islands ‘open[ed] ... facilities of passing into the Pacific Ocean’. These were islands in the Atlantic, but not quite of it.

Meditating between the Atlantic, its islands and the lands that surround it are its coasts and beaches. All maritime activity begins from these regions where land and sea meet but their potential within Atlantic history has only just begun to be explored. Within the historiography of the Pacific, the beach holds a special place as a metaphor for the meeting of cultures and a space where mutual understandings and misunderstandings were performed and identities continually reshaped. The beach has not functioned as illuminating in Atlantic historiography, perhaps because of a later European association with the seaside as a location for leisure and pleasure, aesthetics and athleticism. Infra-Atlantic history might restore significance to such spaces by doing what Henry David Thoreau pungently called ‘litterally ... walking down to the shore, and throwing your line into the Atlantic’, to look for more local and bounded objects of study where the ‘ocean is but a larger lake’. Here were points of interaction between the human and the natural (especially protein-rich resources such as fish) and between land and sea – oceanic histories in miniature, in effect.

The histories of frontiers and borderlands have been largely terrestrial and located within the interiors of continents but there is great potential for examination of the ‘saltwater frontier’ where incomers and indigenes, especially, met from the early fifteenth century in Africa and from the early sixteenth century onwards in the Americas, both Caribbean and continental. Exchange and interchange, followed often by conflict and dispossession, took place first in these liminal spaces, as native habitation were transformed into bridgeheads for settlers to protect themselves by sea or project their power over land, for example along the eastern seaboard of seventeenth-century North America. ‘The American coasts can be said to have been Europe’s initial New World frontier’, and that idea can be extended around the edges of the Atlantic world, especially along its western shores.

Infra-Atlantic history extends well beyond the moment of early interactions. After the initial period of encounter and occupation, European powers attempted to integrate new territories and subjects into their networks of sovereignty and authority. Imperial entanglement was always incomplete because a patchwork of corridors and enclaves rendered empires uneven in their penetration and, like any network, made up as much of holes as linkages. Within the Atlantic world, coasts, rivers, estuaries and islands were sites for the elaboration of empire, both on the fringes of continents and in archipelagos like the West Indies where empires competed for control cheek by jowl with one another in contested ‘interpolity microregions’ well into the nineteenth century. When

60 Greg Dening, Beach crossings: Voyaging across times, cultures and self (Carlton, Vic., 2004).

63 Andrew Lipman, The saltwater frontier: Indians and the contest for the American coast (New Haven, CT, 2015).
examined at this granular level of micro-regions, infra-Atlantic history shows that two features of Atlantic history usually assumed to have an elective affinity, connectivity and integration, were only contingently related: to be enmeshed within Atlantic networks was not necessarily to be part of an ever more entangled Atlantic world. Yet infra-Atlantic history, may still appear superficial, in the literal sense of the term. Like most species of Atlantic history, it starts on the surface of land and ocean and builds upwards and outwards from there. To go deeper, we need to consider ‘sub-Atlantic’ history.

Sub-Atlantic History
Sub-Atlantic history is history from below – not in the traditional, social-historical, meaning of that phrase as the history of those beneath the elites, but rather as history that took place ‘below the water line’ or ‘below the waves’. The term ‘sub-Atlantic’ seems to have emerged at that pivotal moment in the middle of the nineteenth century when the two Atlantics were increasingly united by the advent of steam navigation and when the telegraph joined both sides of the Atlantic for the first time: for example, the Oxford English Dictionary’s earliest instances of ‘subatlantic’ come from 1854 and 1875, respectively: ‘subatlantic telegraphy’ and the ‘subatlantic cable enterprise’. More recently, the word has been invoked, with reference to Caribbean thinkers such as Édouard Glissant and the late Derek Walcott, to cover the realm of ‘the sub-Atlantic as a repository of historical memory’. Sub-Atlantic history can cover all these senses and more, to denote the world beneath the waves of the Atlantic, its currents, sea-floor and waters, as well as the denizens of marine ecosystems, human interactions with the natural world of the Atlantic, and the history that took place within the ocean itself.

67 OED, s.v., ‘sub-Atlantic’.
68 James Delbouyo, Divers things: Collecting the world under water’, History of Science, 49 (2011): 162; see ibid., 167, on ‘a sub-Atlantic unity made by the deaths of Africans’ in the poetry of Derek Walcott.

Sub-Atlantic history remedies the striking absence of ‘one area of inquiry ... from Atlantic history: the ocean itself’, considered as ‘a single oceanic unit, a huge bioregion differentiated by human activities at different rates in specific subregions’. It can be an adjunct to infra-Atlantic history, as the examination of a particular segment of the ocean and its interactions with animals, land and humans, an approach exemplified in Jeffrey Bolster’s richly illuminating history of the fishing banks of the Northwestern Atlantic. Oceans may appear to be timeless, the profound and unchanging stage for what, in Braudelian terms, might appear to be the spume of events on the crest of its waves. By contrast, sub-Atlantic history reveals the history of the sea as a variable and shifting entity transformed by human activity (for example, through overfishing or by polluting) as well as by more overarching processes like climate change. Sub-Atlantic history accordingly brings Atlantic history more fully into alignment with environmental history as a whole.

Sub-Atlantic history should also encompass the histories of activities beneath the ocean. The Atlantic does not have the same large migratory populations of aquatic animals on the scale of the Pacific, with its whales, fish and pinnipeds, for example; human migration and settlement in pursuit of those creatures has not shaped Atlantic history to the same degree as it has the human history of the Pacific. However, humans have long hunted whales up into the high Arctic reaches of the Atlantic and demands for protein from dried fish determined sailing and settlement patterns in the North Atlantic and colonial linkages between New England and the Caribbean (for provisioning the enslaved population) in the eighteenth century. Access to the products of mammals and fish thereby shaped forms of Atlantic integration for centuries, as did the winds and currents of the basin until the advent of steam. Much Atlantic history has taken for granted the ocean and its inhabitants that
drove these developments. Future Atlantic historians will want to look at the ocean, as well as across it, to discern its true historical dimensions.

Consciousness of the ocean qua ocean also forms part of sub-Atlantic history. Because most white inhabitants of the Atlantic world until the early nineteenth century shared a post-Roman prejudice against swimming, ‘it is most certain that the Indians, and the Negroes excelled all others in [the] Arts of Swimming and Diving’. For this reason, Africans, African Americans and Native Americans were on the leading edge of submarine knowledge-gathering in the Atlantic, for example working to recover specimens for Sir Hans Sloane in Jamaica, diving for pearls or salvaging materials from wrecks. They were also more likely to fall victim to ferocious fauna like sharks: ‘the shark and the slave trade had gone together from the beginning’. More generally, while the geography of the Atlantic was reasonably well known by the late sixteenth century, its oceanography and hydrography only began to be explored in the late eighteenth century. Before them, although fishermen and sailors possessed vernacular understandings of the winds and waters of the Atlantic and its animal populations, exploration of the ocean was confined to coastal waters. The first deep-sea sounding of the Atlantic took place from HMS Racehorse in the Norwegian Sea in 1773 but major scientific work on the deep ocean did not take off until the late nineteenth century, with the Challenger expedition of 1872–76. The invention of sonar allowed much deeper investigation and led, in the 1950s, to the great achievement of Marie Tharp and Bruce Heezen in mapping the mid-Atlantic ridge – a breakthrough not just for sub-Atlantic history but also for the emergent theory of plate tectonics. More than half a century later, the Atlantic, like much of the rest of the world’s deep oceans, still remains largely uncharted territory – an inner space awaiting scientific exploration, but also ripe for historical investigation as well.
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oceanographic connections among the oceans ensure that any attempt to separate them will be artificial and constraining. There is a myth of oceans as well as a myth of continents. The means to break such myths is by acknowledging those continuities. Oceans connect, Atlantic history links to many other oceanic histories. If taken in isolation, its own history might simply appear to be arbitrarily infra-oceanic. And if the Atlantic is too large to capture some historical processes, it is certainly too small to encompass those that operated on interoceanic, transregional and global scales.

From the fifteenth century onwards, historical actors would never have mistaken the Atlantic for a discrete oceanic realm. For Columbus, what would later be known as the Atlantic was a gateway to Asia, an alternative to a Mediterranean and trans-continental route increasingly blocked by the Ottoman Empire. His successors in the sixteenth, seventeenth and eighteenth centuries who sought a North-West Passage likewise assumed the Atlantic was not bounded and land-locked. Throughout the early modern world, globe-trotting cosmopolitans – sailors, soldiers, merchants, clerics, pilgrims and the like – moved between oceanic worlds, Mediterranean, Atlantic and Indian Ocean. Slave-traders and planters who carried forms of staple production and of enforced labour from the Mediterranean and the Atlantic islands across the ocean assumed – like promoters of import substitution for goods like wine, olives and silk from Richard Hakluyt to John Locke and beyond – that climate connected the Atlantic Americas with the lands around the Mediterranean in southern Europe and North Africa. With the large-scale extraction of silver from mines in Mexico and Peru, the first empire on which the sun never set – the Spanish Monarchy – became the vehicle for the first circuit of early modern globalisation with the Manila galleons as its conveyor-belt from 1571 to 1815. When the Philippines were administered from the vice-royalty of New Spain, it was clear even by the late sixteenth century that the Hispanic Atlantic world extended far across the Pacific. Indeed, in

the eyes of European powers well into the eighteenth century, the North American continent remained a geopolitical bridge between the Atlantic and Pacific worlds.

The political economy of empires and transnational trading companies likewise shaped the linkages between the Atlantic and other oceanic regions. The English East India Company could not have functioned in the Indian Ocean without its Atlantic outpost on St Helena; its Scottish successor and competitor, the short-lived Company of Scotland Trading to Africa and the Indies of the late seventeenth century, proposed a bi-hemispheric vision of global trade centred on the Isthmus of Darién (hence its popular name, the Darien Company). Until the opening of the Suez Canal, the Cape of Good Hope was the pivot between the Atlantic world and the Indian Ocean, a 'tavern of the seas' where empires joined and oceans connected: up until 1869, the two oceanic worlds could not be distinguished. Commodities such as rice, indigo and breadfruit were transplanted from the Indian Ocean and Pacific Ocean into the Atlantic as staples for settlers and the enslaved and products for intercontinental commerce; the tea dumped into Boston harbour on the eve of the American Revolution came from China to North America in East India Company ships. Later demands for labour especially after emancipation, drew Chinese and Indian workers into the region, joining Atlantic migration to global circuits of mobility and transportation in the nineteenth and early twentieth centuries. It was only in the twentieth century that the Atlantic was perceived to be a 'world', entire of itself, and distinct from global history more generously conceived. Now is the
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time to reconnect it to that broader history, to bring Atlantic history out of almost one hundred years of solitude.

* * * * *

All three of these newer Atlantic histories, intra-Atlantic, sub-Atlantic and extra-Atlantic, expand and deepen Atlantic history, both in time – beyond its default boundaries within early modern history – and in space: beneath its surface, across its waters and into the broader reaches of the world ocean as a whole. By drawing methods and inspirations from other oceanic histories, they may help to bring Atlantic historiography into a more productive and enduring dialogue with oceanic history tout court. They might also provide remedies for some of the Atlantic world-weariness that has beset the field in recent years. If Atlantic history does have a future, it will be as a subset of world history viewed through the lenses of oceanic history. We are all global oceanic historians now – even the avowed Atlanticists among us.

Further Reading


