# Fast Mixing Monte Carlo For Biological Networks

## Dan Barker Supervisors: Sach Mukherjee & Mario Nicodemi

(d.j.barker@warwick.ac.uk, s.n.mukherjee@warwick.ac.uk, m.nicodemi@warwick.ac.uk)





#### **Biological Networks**

• Biological Networks describe the interactions of a set of molecular constituents, e.g. Proteins or genes.

• They can be represented mathematically using a graph.

Figure 1: An example of a biological system and their interactions. Some constituent biological components of the Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor system.



• Use **Bayes'** theorem to relate the

 $P(G|\mathbf{X}) \propto P(\mathbf{X}|G)P(G)$ 

likelihood

prior

"marginal likelihood" to the

"posterior distribution".

posterior

# QT Q

Figure 4: Tunnelling moves avoid getting stuck around local maxima.

• Tunnelling Monte Carlo supplements the edge flipping moves

#### **Alternative Schemes**

- Simulated Tempering makes moves at various temperatures.
- The higher temperature moves allow the chain to move freely through graph space.

• We can only keep the  $\beta$ =1 samples so we must generate **many samples** for every one we retain.



# Inference

- We use a stochastic **model** for the biological network called a "graphical model".
- The graph must be acyclic (DAG).
- The **Structure** of the network can be inferred from data.



# **Monte Carlo**

- We want *P*(*G* | **X**) but this requires enumerating all DAGs.
- For 11 nodes the number of DAGs is comparable to the number of **stars in** the known universe!
- Enumeration is simply not possible.
- We can still **estimate** the posterior distribution by using Monte Carlo.
- The most common method is the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm.
- Addition Deletion Reversing

of Metropolis-Hastings.

- Long range jumps between precomputed modes are possible.
- These long range jumps stop the Markov chain getting stuck in local maxima.

Figure 5: To generate a few correct samples takes many disregarded samples in simulated tempering.

#### **Initial Results**

• Convergence to the posterior is measured (for 4 and 5 nodes) using the total variation norm.

$$\Delta P = \sum_{i \in \mathcal{G}} |p_i - q_i|$$

- Initial results show that in the **high entropy** regime considered methods provide no advantage over MH.
- In fact ST performs much worse since we must disregard many samples.



Figure 6: For 5 nodes in the high entropy case all schemes converge relatively quickly (except simulated tempering).

## **Extensions & Further Work**

- In the **low entropy** (many data)
- Modification of the proposal

• We move around graph space by adding, deleting and reversing edges.

 Moves are accepted or rejected with certain probabilities to ensure detailed balance.

Figure 2: Possible moves in graph space consist of adding, deleting or reversing single edges. All are possible as long as the resulting graph is still acyclic.

P(G | X) # of Measurements G<sub>rapr</sub>

Figure 3: As we increase the number of measurements the probability distribution becomes more peaked. This can cause trouble if the peaks are highly separated.

• Metropolis-Hastings can be slow to converge (mix).

- Also, more data can pose a challenge for Metropolis-Hastings.
- If the most likely graphs are highly separated our estimate of the posterior will be poor.

• Schemes such as simulated tempering and tunnelling Monte Carlo attempt to over come this.

regime the tunnelling and simulated tempering schemes may offer some advantage.

- Run the schemes with more data.
- Computation time becomes more of an issue.

distribution for use with MH algorithm.

- Changing more than one edge at a time.
- Possible application of **cluster algorithms** from statistical physics such as Swendsen-Wang.

#### References

[1] S. Mukherjee and T. P. Speed. Network inference using informative priors. PNAS, 105(38):14313-14318, Sept. 2008.

- [2] E. Marinari and G. Parisi. Simulated tempering: a new monte carlo scheme. *Europhys. Lett.*, 19(6):451 458, July 1992
- [3] C. J. Geyer and E. A. Thompson. Annealing Markov chain Monte Carlo with applications to ancestral inference. Journal of the American Statistical Association, 90(431):909-920, Sept. 1995.
- [4] S. L. Lauritzen. Graphical Models. O.U.P. 1996
- [5] N. Metropolis, A. Rosenbluth, M. Rosenbluth, A. Teller and E. Teller. Equations of state calculations by fast computing machines. Journal of Chem. Phys., 21(6):1087-1092, 1953.