

Public Confidence and Crime Reduction: The Impact of Forensic Property Marking

Jacqueline S. Hodgson Kim Wade Neil Stewart Kevin Hearty Natalie Kyneswood Edika Quispe Torreblanca Tim Mullett

Centre for Operational Police Research, University of Warwick warwick.ac.uk/copr

What we did

- Warwick University evaluated police interventions intended to reduce burglary and improve public satisfaction with policing.
- We evaluated 3 areas treated with commercial property marking (A,B & C), 1 area with West Mercia Police property marking We Don't Buy Crime (D), and a control site (E) (although victims of burglary and their immediate neighbours received property marking kits in all 5 sites).
- We analysed burglary rates across 14 treated sites (including A-D).

Findings: Crime rate for burglary across 14 treated sites



fewer burglaries than expected.*

*We are 95% sure the number lies between 15-41% less than the Shropshire average

Using a 'difference in difference' analysis we contrasted the average rate of burglary across Shropshire with the actual rate in treated areas postintervention during 2015-2017

Findings: Public confidence in policing









Police interviews

Prolific offender interviews



49% of 492 survey comments complained of a lack of police presence

Less fear of crime showed a correlation with the distribution of free commercial property marking packs and crime deterrent stickers to local residents:



Less fear of being physically attacked by strangers



11% Less fear of having their house broken into and something stolen



Confidence paradox Confidence in policing

was not associated with low levels of crime or low fear of crime



9% Less fear of being mugged or robbed

Centre for Operational Police Research

Warwick Law School Social Sciences Building University House The University of Warwick Coventry CV4 7AL

□ warwick.ac.uk/

Funded by the Economic and Social Research Council Impact Acceleration Account