Using "Decoding" to Develop Curricula and Departmental Response to Teaching Challenges

The History Learning Project
Arlene Díaz and Leah Shopkow
History in Higher Education Conference, April
1, 2009

This project has been funded by the Teagle and Spencer Foundations, and by the Vice-Provost for Undergraduate Education, the College of Arts and Sciences, and the History Department of Indiana University

I. Opening Discussion

- Why change the History curriculum at your institution?
- Are there areas in which your curriculum doesn't function as well as you would like in regard to
 - your needs as a teacher of particular classes
 - the needs of your students as learners
 - the needs of your students as citizens
 - the culture of your department
 - professional development

II. Curricular Issues at Indiana University

- Institutional framework
- The typical student at IU
- The typical history student

Third-year students in Indiana History Classes

Year in College	Number of History classes previously taken (in %)						
	No courses	1 course	2 courses	3 or more	N=		
First Year	86	8	2	4	250		
Second Year	58	26	11	5	224		
Third Year	40	21	10	29	205		
Fourth Year	30	15	7	48	162		

II. Curricular Issues at Indiana University

- Student expectations of course levels
- Student career paths

Shift departmental culture

What did you see happening in this video?

- Shift departmental culture
- Figure out where students are getting stuck

Some bottlenecks identified in interviews with History faculty:

- Misunderstanding the nature of history as a discipline
- Interpreting primary sources
- Constructing and evaluating arguments
- Identifying with people in another place and time
- Maintaining appropriate emotional distance

Level of Thinking	100-Level Comprehend/ Recognize	200-Level Interpret/ Apply	300-Level Explain/ Evaluate	400-Level Create
Students	• recognize broad arguments about historical change, based on and supported by course materials	 produce arguments based various sources recognize the what's behind historical thinking and evaluate which arguments are more plausible. 	 produce explanations based on evidence imagine counterarguments speak in their own voices when constructing arguments 	 develop arguments in original research apply appropriate conceptual frameworks choose the most relevant sources to support their arguments.

IV. Closing Discussion

This is how we have begun to address the issue of curriculum at our institution.

- What have we discussed today that might be beneficial within the context of your department and institution?
- Do you have feedback for us?