# Appendix B

## **Analysis of Best Domain per Group**

For each UK class, each group created two Domains, whereas for the 2012 Romania class the students only created one domain per group. This table considers only the best domain created by each group to make comparison between the groups easier.

Class	Group	Concepts	Relationships	<b>Relationship Types</b>
2012RO	G3	73	0	0
2012RO	G7	14	9	1
2012RO	G1	51	0	0
2012RO	G5	39	9	1
2012RO	G6	49	7	2
2012RO	G4	34	0	0
2012RO	G8	37	8	1
2012RO	G2	18	9	1
2012UK	SLJ	52	0	0
2012UK	Alpha	18	0	0
2012UK	WetMonkey	27	0	0
2012UK	FMV	31	0	0
2012UK	FFZ	26	0	0
2012UK	IceCream	22	0	0
2010UK	Trifactor	57	0	0
2010UK	Group1	38	0	0
2010UK	IntangibleBacon	37	0	0
2010UK	Group T	24	0	0
2010UK	MDK	24	0	0
2010UK	Group S	26	0	0
2010UK	NPE	23	0	0

Table 1 The number of concepts and (non-Parent) relationships created

Cmoun	AT.	Maan	Ctd Daviation
Group	IN	Mean	<b>Std. Deviation</b>
	7	32.71	12.43
2010 UK (MOT3.1)			
	6	29.33	11.96
2012 UK (MOT4)			
	8	39.38	15.01
2012 RO (MOT4)			

**Table 2 Mean and Standard Deviation for each group** 

#### ANOVA

### Concepts

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	371.649	2	185.824	.809	.461
Within Groups	4136.637	18	229.813		
Total	4508.286	20			

#### Table 3 ANOVA analysis for the three groups

Whilst the average number of concepts created by the Romanian group was slightly higher, Table 2 shows that the ANOVA analysis did not find the difference to be statistically significant. Similarly, on average, more concepts were created by the 2010 CS411 group than the 2012 CS411 group although this is also not statistically significant.