

# Leading a working group to develop modules, resources and strategies to support progress in research

## CETL Milestones Report

Michele Paule

Date	Stage/Activity	Status
Sept-Oct 2008	<p><b>Stage 1:</b> Identify cross-division, divisional and field specific issues; identify existing good practice/models for <b>ALP modules</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Working group set up involving most UMP programmes and the Library.</li> <li>• An ALP module shell outline has been developed, and adapted and validated for individual programmes</li> <li>• Teaching and planning materials and approaches have been developed and shared across programmes</li> </ul>
Oct-Dec 2008	<p><b>Stage 2:</b> Develop model <b>ALP modules</b> with working group members. Disseminate via seminars/field meetings. Produce compendium of generic strategies and resources</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hazel Rothera and the Library team have developed information literacy modules and training sessions which have been very successful in trial</li> <li>• Pilot ALP programmes have been delivered and evaluated for Philosophy, Performing Arts, Education and Human Development by Constantine Sandis, Mark Cain and Susannah Wright</li> <li>• Work has been disseminated via the VLE and a dedicated seminar at the WIE annual Learning and Teaching conference (see appended report) This was well received by colleagues and received good evaluations.</li> <li>• Student feedback and peer observation reports of trail modules were positive. Development issues mostly focus on:               <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Staff and student preference for field-specific modules i.e. generic study-skills approaches combining fields are perceived as less useful by both groups, while dedicated modules with immersive and embedded approaches to academic literacies are more highly valued</li> <li>2. Student liking for skills delivered via content which expands knowledge of the field and supports their learning</li> <li>3. Student appreciation of opportunities for model and peer assessment using subject criteria and real examples</li> </ol> </li> </ul>

<p><b>Jan – Feb 2009</b></p>	<p><b>Stage 3:</b> Develop model <b>Research Methods modules</b> and resources for research compendium with working group members and disseminate. Produce compendium of generic strategies and resources.</p>	<p>Since the new year formal CETL meetings and activities have not taken place for the following reasons:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Early this semester the CETL group was instructed not to continue with the Research Methods module development pending re-organization of the divisions into departments, and pending a review to be conducted by Simon Catling of Research Methods teaching at Undergraduate level. Simon completed this review but then in March Perry Hinton was tasked with creating a further review of Undergraduate research methods teaching. These reports have not been published or discussed, which has created a hiatus in our work.</li> </ul>
<p><b>Feb-April 2009</b></p>	<p><b>Stage 4:</b> Creation of programme and module writing guidance. Working group members to work with partners from fields to develop ALP and Research methods modules for remaining fields/courses.</p>	
<p><b>Sept 2009 -April 2010:</b></p> <p><b>Ongoing</b></p> <p><b>April-June 2010</b></p> <p><b>Sept- Dec 2010 &amp; Jan-April 2011</b></p>	<p><b>Stage 5:</b> Project Evaluation to include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Trial of materials and formative student/staff feedback during the course of the project</li> <li>• Validation panel feedback &amp; documentary survey of validation documents</li> <li>• Re-evaluating stage 3&amp;4: Ongoing trial &amp; review of strategies/resources with feedback via end of module OMR designated questions</li> </ul>	
<p><b>February 2010 – June 2011</b></p>	<p><b>Stage 6:</b> Wider dissemination to colleagues via WIE L&amp;T annual Conference (Feb 2009 &amp; 2010) and article for Brookes e-Journal</p>	