

Investigating Hysteresis in Thermoresponsive Assemblies

L. D. Blackman, Daniel B. Wright, Mathew P. Robin, Matthew I. Gibson and Rachel K. O'Reilly Dept. of Chemistry, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, UK @LabGibson

www.warwick.ac.uk/gibsongroup L.D.Blackman@warwick.ac.uk www.warwick.ac.uk/ror

1. Background

- pNIPAM is one most studied **O** the thermoresponsive polymers, which exhibits a lower critical solution temperature (LCST) close to body temperature.
- Some concerns exist concerning its slow reversibility (hysteresis) in certain systems.
- This hysteresis results in slow morphological responses to occur when pNIPAM is used as the responsive block.



Herein, we design micelles with tunable aggregation numbers (N_{agg}) and core hydrophobicities, in order to determine the effects of core hydration and chain confinement on thermal hysteresis. This was achieved using varying compositions of (pnBA-b-DMA) as the core block and pNIPAM as the thermoresponsive corona.

Using the same micellar cores the effects of changing the **zed** chemistry of the corona block on thermal hysteresis was investigated. Three more distinct thermoresponsive coronas were investigated, namely (pDEAm), (pDEGMA) and (pOEGMA).



Non-responsive

Core

Composition?

Hydration?

Reversible?

Irreversible

25 Temperature/ °C

Hysteresis?

emperature/ °C



4. Hydrophobicity of the Micellar Core: The **Effect of Core Hydration on Hysteresis**

9 0.0

• The micelles cloud point was assessed.

• $\uparrow nBA$ and $\uparrow N_{agg} \rightarrow$ cloud point remained the same.

• $\uparrow nBA$ and $\uparrow N_{agg} \rightarrow \uparrow Hysteresis$.

• Hysteresis caused by either an increase in chain entanglement at high N_{agg} (A) or a hydration at high decrease in core hydrophobicity (**B**).





@RORGroup

Thermoresponsive

Corona

H bonding ability?

Brush or linear?

Hydrophilicity?



5. pDEAm vs. pNIPAM Coronas: The Effect of Hydrogen Bonding on Hysteresis

transition temperature.



Hydrogen bond acceptor? Hydrogen bond donor?

• Micelles with pDEAm coronas were investigated.

• pDEAm micelles had a lower hysteresis than the

6. Linear vs. Brush-like Coronas: The Effect of **Chain Confinement on Hysteresis**



Background References

Djokpé, E.; Vogt, W. Macromol. Chem. Phys. 2001, 202, 750. Moughton, A. O.; Patterson, J. P.; O'Reilly, R. K. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 355. Wright, D. B.; Patterson, J. P.; Pitto-Barry, A.; Cotanda, P.; Chassenieux, C.; Colombani, O.; O'Reilly, R. K. Polym. Chem. 2015, 6, 2761.

Roy, D.; Brooks, W. L. A.; Sumerlin, B. S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 7214-7243. Lutz, J. F. J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem. 2008, 46, 3459-3470.

Acknowledgements Malvern Instruments Ltd. O'Reilly Group Gibson Group





