# The Tractability Border of Reachability in Simple Vector Addition Systems with States

#### Henry Sinclair-Banks

Based on work with Dmitry Chistikov, Wojciech Czerwiński, Filip Mazowiecki, Łukasz Orlikowski, and Karol Węgrzycki to appear in FOCS'24.











Verification Seminar

17th October 2024

University of Oxford, UK

#### **Reachability in 2-Dimensional VASS**



#### **Reachability in 2-Dimensional VASS**



### **Reachability in VASS**



**Reachability problem:** does there exist a run from  $p(\mathbf{u})$  to  $q(\mathbf{v})$ ?

### "Simple" Vector Addition Systems with States

**Definition** (Flat). For every state q, there is at most one simple cycle that contains q.



**Theorem.** Reachability in flat VASS is in NP (even with binary encoding). [Fribourg and Olsén '97] [Czerwiński, Lasota, Lazić, Leroux, and Mazowiecki '20]

**Theorem.** Reachability in flat VASS is in NP (even with binary encoding). [Fribourg and Olsén '97] [Czerwiński, Lasota, Lazić, Leroux, and Mazowiecki '20]

Theorem. Reachability in binary flat 1-VASS is NP-hard.[Rosier and Yen '85]Proof sketch. Let  $(\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}, t)$  be an instance of subset sum (with multiplicities).



There exist  $k_1, \ldots, k_n$  such that  $\Sigma k_i \cdot x_i = t \implies$  there is a run from  $q_1(0)$  to  $q_n(t)$ . There is a run from  $q_1(0)$  to  $q_n(t) \implies$  there exist  $k_1, \ldots, k_n$  such that  $\Sigma k_i \cdot x_i = t$ .

Theorem. Reachability in flat VASS is in NP (even with binary encoding).[Fribourg and Olsén '97][Czerwiński, Lasota, Lazić, Leroux, and Mazowiecki '20]

**Theorem.** Reachability in binary flat 1-VASS is NP-hard.

[Rosier and Yen '85]

Theorem. Reachability in unary (flat) 1-VASS and 2-VASS is in NL.[Valiant and Paterson '73][Englert, Lazić, and Totzke '16]

**Theorem.** Reachability in unary flat d-VASS is NP-hard for d = 7.

[Czerwiński, Lasota, Lazić, Leroux, and Mazowiecki '20]

... for d = 5. [Dubiak '20]

... for d = 4. [Czerwiński and Orlikowski '22]

**Theorem.** Reachability in flat VASS is in NP (even with binary encoding). [Fribourg and Olsén '97] [Czerwiński, Lasota, Lazić, Leroux, and Mazowiecki '20]

Theorem. Reachability in binary flat 1-VASS is NP-hard. [Rosier and Yen '85]

### What is the complexity of reachability in unary flat 3-VASS?

**Theorem.** Reachability in unary (flat) 1-VASS and 2-VAS [Blondin, Einkel, Göller, Haase, and McKenzie '15] [Englert, Lazić, and Totzke '16]

**Theorem.** Reachability in unary flat d-VASS is NP-hard for d = 7.

[Czerwiński, Lasota, Lazić, Leroux, and Mazowiecki '20]

... for d=5. [Dubiak '20]

... for d = 4. [Czerwiński and Orlikowski '22]

#### **Elat VASS** Linear Path Schemes

**Definition** (LPS). A VASS where the states and transitions form a simple path between disjoint cycles.



#### **Elat VASS** Linear Path Schemes

**Definition** (LPS). A VASS where the states and transitions form a simple path between disjoint cycles.

**Definition** (SLPS). A *Simple* LPS has cycles of length one ("self-loops").



#### **Elat VASS** Linear Path Schemes

**Definition** (LPS). A VASS where the states and transitions form a simple path between disjoint cycles.

**Definition** (SLPS). A *Simple* LPS has cycles of length one ("self-loops").



For  $d \geq 3$ , is reachability in unary d-dimensional linear path schemes in P? [Englert, Lazić, and Totzke '16]

[Leroux '21]

## **Main Contribution**

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

Proof approach. Recall that reachability in (binary encoded) flat VASS is in NP.

For NP-hardness, reduce from 3-SAT.

1) Use "Chinese remainder encoding" for SAT.

2) Encode satisfiability as a conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

3) Design a 2-SLPS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility.

4) Concatenate these 2-SLPSs with zero tests so that reachability coincides with the conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

5) Use an additional third counter to simulate the zero tests.

## **Main Contribution**

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

Proof approach. Recall that reachability in (binary encoded) flat VASS is in NP.

For NP-hardness, reduce from 3-SAT.

1) Use "Chinese remainder encoding" for SAT.

2) Encode satisfiability as a conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

3) Design a 2-SLPS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility.

4) Concatenate these 2-SLPSs with zero tests so that reachability coincides with the conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

5) Use an additional third counter to simulate the zero tests.

Next slide

### **Encoding SAT** as a Conjunction of Non-Divisibility Assertions

Chinese remainder encoding for SAT with k variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ .

- Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  be the first k primes.
- Let  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n\equiv 0 mod p_i \iff x_i$  is false and  $n\equiv 1 mod p_i \iff x_i$  is true.

First, enforce assignment validity.

- Want to verify that  $n \equiv 0 \mod p_i \quad \text{OR} \quad n \equiv 1 \mod p_i \pmod{p_i}$  (for every i).
- Instead, check  $p_i 
  mid n-2$  AND  $p_i 
  mid n-3$  AND  $\cdots$  AND  $p_i 
  mid n-(p_i-1)$ .

Second, enforce satisfiability.

- A clause  $x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_3$  is satisfied if  $n \equiv 1 \mod 2$  OR  $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$  OR  $n \equiv 1 \mod 5$ .
- This is only falsified when  $n\equiv 10 mod 2\cdot 3\cdot 5$ .
- Therefore, check  $2\cdot 3\cdot 5 
  mid n 10$ .

## **Encoding SAT** as a Conjunction of Non-Divisibility Assertions

Chinese remainder encoding for SAT with k variables  $x_1, \ldots, x_k$ .

- Let  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$  be the first k primes.
- Let  $n\in\mathbb{N}$  such that  $n\equiv 0 mod p_i \iff x_i$  is false and  $n\equiv 1 mod p_i \iff x_i$  is true.

First, enforce assignment validity.

- Want to verify that  $n\equiv 0 mod p_i \ \ {
m OR} \ \ n\equiv 1 mod p_i \ \ ({
m for every} \ i).$ 

- Instead, check  $p_i 
i n-2$  AND  $p_i 
i n-3$  AND  $\cdots$  AND  $p_i 
i n-(p_i-1)$ .

Second, enforce satisfiability.

- A clause  $x_1 \vee \neg x_2 \vee x_3$  is satisfied if  $n \equiv 1 \mod 2$  OR  $n \equiv 0 \mod 3$  OR  $n \equiv 1 \mod 5$ .
- This is only falsified when  $n\equiv 10 \mod 2\cdot 3\cdot 5$ .

- Therefore, check  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 
mid n - 10$ .

## **Main Contribution**

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

Proof approach. Recall that reachability in (binary encoded) flat VASS is in NP.

For NP-hardness, reduce from 3-SAT.

 $\sqrt{1}$  Use "Chinese remainder encoding" for SAT.

2) Encode satisfiability as a conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

3) Design a 2-SLPS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility. Next slide

- 4) Concatenate these 2-SLPSs with zero tests so that reachability coincides with the conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.
- 5) Use an additional third counter to simulate the zero tests.

## Simple Linear Path Schemes Asserting Non-Divisibility

Suppose we want to assert  $7 \not\mid v$ .

Let's construct a 2-SLPS with zero tests that:

- starts with x = v, y = 0,
- can only be passed if 7 
  mid v, and
- ends with x = v, y = 0.



## Simple Linear Path Schemes Asserting Non-Divisibility

Suppose we want to assert  $7 \not\mid v$ . Let's construct a 2-SLPS with zero tests that: - starts with x = v, y = 0, - can only be passed if  $7 \not\mid v$ , and - ends with x = v, y = 0.

(i) Choose  $r \in \{1,2,3,4,5,6\}$  ...







## **Main Contribution**

**Theorem.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

*Proof approach.* Recall that reachability in (binary encoded) flat VASS is in NP. For NP-hardness, reduce from 3-SAT.

 $\sqrt{1}$  Use "Chinese remainder encoding" for SAT.

 $\checkmark$  2) Encode satisfiability as a conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

 $\checkmark$  3) Design a 2-SLPS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility.

4) Concatenate these 2-SLPSs with zero tests so that reachability coincides with the conjunction of non-divisibility assertions. And add an x + 1 loop for guessing an assignment x = v.

5) Use an additional third counter to simulate the zero tests.

## **Main Contribution**

**Theorem.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

*Proof approach.* Recall that reachability in (binary encoded) flat VASS is in NP. For NP-hardness, reduce from 3-SAT.

 $\sqrt{1}$  Use "Chinese remainder encoding" for SAT.

 $\checkmark$  2) Encode satisfiability as a conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

 $\sqrt{3}$  Design a 2-SLPS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility.

 $\checkmark$  4) Concatenate these 2-SLPSs with zero tests so that reachability coincides with the conjunction of non-divisibility assertions. And add an x + 1 loop for guessing an assignment x = v.

5) Use an additional third counter to simulate the zero tests. Next slide

## **Simulating Zero Tests**

**Lemma 2.2** (Controlling Counter Technique). Let  $\mathcal{Z}$  be a d-VASS with zero tests and let  $s(\mathbf{x}), t(\mathbf{y})$  be two configurations. Suppose  $\mathcal{Z}$  has the property that on any accepting run from  $s(\mathbf{x})$  to  $t(\mathbf{y})$ , at most m zero tests are performed on each counter. Then there exists a (d + 1)-VASS  $\mathcal{V}$  and two configurations  $s'(\mathbf{0}), t'(\mathbf{y}')$  such that:

(1)  $s(\mathbf{x}) \xrightarrow{*}_{\mathcal{Z}} t(\mathbf{y})$  if and only if  $s'(\mathbf{0}) \xrightarrow{*}_{\mathcal{V}} t'(\mathbf{y}')$ ,

(2)  $\mathcal{V}$  can be constructed in  $\mathcal{O}((size(\mathcal{Z}) + ||x||) \cdot (m+1)^d)$  time, and

(3)  $\|\mathbf{y}'\| \le \|\mathbf{y}\|.$ 

Moreover, if  $\mathcal{Z}$  is a flat VASS or a (simple) linear path scheme in which no zero-testing transition lies on a cycle, then  $\mathcal{V}$  can be assumed to be a flat VASS or a (simple) linear path scheme, respectively.

[Czerwiński and Orlikowski '21] [this paper]

Takeway message: A "small" number of zero tests can be simulated by an additional counter.

## **Main Contribution**

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

*Proof approach.* Recall that reachability in (binary encoded) flat VASS is in NP. For NP-hardness, reduce from 3-SAT.

 $\sqrt{1}$  Use "Chinese remainder encoding" for SAT.

 $\checkmark$  2) Encode satisfiability as a conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

 $\checkmark$  3) Design a 2-SLPS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility.

 $\sqrt{4}$  Concatenate these 2-SLPSs with zero tests so that reachability coincides with the conjunction of non-divisibility assertions. And add an x + 1 loop for guessing an assignment x = v.

 $\sqrt{5}$  Use an additional third counter to simulate the zero tests.

#### **Main Results**

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

**Theorem 2.** Reachability in unary *ultraflat* 4-VASS is NP-complete.

**Theorem 3.** Reachability in *unitary* inverse-Ackermann-dimensional SLPS is NP-complete.

Theorem 4. Reachability in unary 2-SLPS with binary encoded initial and target configurations is in P.

### **Main Results**

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

**Theorem 2.** Reachability in unary *ultraflat* 4-VASS is NP-complete. Next slide

**Theorem 3.** Reachability in *unitary* inverse-Ackermann-dimensional SLPS is NP-complete.

Theorem 4. Reachability in unary 2-SLPS with binary encoded initial and target configurations is in P.

**Definition** (Ultraflat VASS). An SLPS where the transitions between states have zero effect.



**Definition** (Ultraflat VASS). An SLPS where the transitions between states have zero effect.



Not ultraflat :(

**Definition** (Ultraflat VASS). An SLPS where the transitions between states have zero effect.



**Ultraflat :)** 

**Definition** (Ultraflat VASS). An SLPS where the transitions between states have zero effect.



**Ultraflat**:)

**Counter program notation:** 

- 1. LOOP: x += 1, y -= 1
- 2. LOOP: x = 7, y = 7
- 3. LOOP: x += 1, y -= 1

## **Reachability in Ultraflat VASS**

Theorem. Reachability in binary ultraflat 1-VASS is NP-hard.[Rosier and Yen '85][Leroux '21]Proof idea.



1. LOOP:  $z += x_1$ 2. LOOP:  $z += x_2$ 3. LOOP:  $z += x_3$ 4. ... n. LOOP:  $z += x_n$ 

## **Reachability in Ultraflat VASS**

Theorem. Reachability in binary ultraflat 1-VASS is NP-hard.[Rosier and Yen '85][Leroux '21]Proof idea.

**Theorem.** Reachability in unary ((ultra)flat) 1-VASS and 2-VASS is in NL. [Valiant and Paterson '73] [Englert, Lazić, and Totzke '16] For  $d \ge 3$ , is reachability in unary ultraflat d-VASS in P? [Leroux '21]

 $m{n}$  . LOOP: z  $+=x_n$ 

## **Reachability in Ultraflat VASS**

Theorem. Reachability in binary ultraflat 1-VASS is NP-hard.[Rosier and Yen '85][Leroux '21]Proof idea.

**Theorem.** Reachability in unary ((ultra)flat) 1-VASS and 2-VASS is in NL. [Valiant and Paterson '73] [Englert, Lazić, and Totzke '16] For  $d \ge 3$ , is reachability in unary ultraflat d-VASS in P? [Leroux '21]

Theorem 2. Reachability in unary ultraflat 4-VASS is NP-complete.

*Proof ingredients.* 3-SAT reduction.

Chinese remainder encoding for SAT.

Conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

Ultraflat 3-VASS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility.

Concatenate non-divisibility asserting ultraflat VASS.

Simulate zero tests with a controlling counter.

Suppose we want to assert  $5 \not\mid v$ .

This ultraflat 3-VASS with zero tests:

- starts with x = v, y = 0, z = 1,
- can only be passed if 5 
  mid v, and

- ends with x = 
$$v$$
, y = 0, z = 1.

Suppose we want to assert  $5 \not\mid v$ .

This ultraflat 3-VASS with zero tests:

- starts with x = v, y = 0, z = 1,
- can only be passed if 5 
  mid v, and
- ends with x = v, y = 0, z = 1.

—(i) Choose  $r \in \{1,2,3,4\}$  ...





## **Second Contribution**

**Theorem 2.** Reachability in unary ultraflat 4-VASS is NP-complete. *Proof approach.* Recall that reachability in (binary encoded) flat VASS is in NP. For NP-hardness, reduce from 3-SAT.

1) Use "Chinese remainder encoding" for SAT.

2) Encode satisfiability as a conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.

 $\checkmark$  3) Design an ultraflat 3-VASS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility.

- 4) Concatenate these ultraflat 3-VASS with zero tests so that reachability coincides with the conjunction of non-divisibility assertions. And add an x + 1 loop for guessing an assignment x = v.
- 5) Use an additional third counter to simulate the zero tests.

### **Recap of Main Results**

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

Theorem 2. Reachability in unary ultraflat 4-VASS is NP-complete.

**Open problem.** Is reachability in unary ultraflat 3-VASS NP-complete?

**Theorem 3.** Reachability in *unitary* inverse-Ackermann-dimensional SLPS is NP-complete.

**Theorem 4.** Reachability in unary 2-SLPS with *binary encoded initial and target configurations* is in P.

### **Recap of Main Results**

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

Theorem 2. Reachability in unary ultraflat 4-VASS is NP-complete.

**Open problem.** Is reachability in unary ultraflat 3-VASS NP-complete?

**Theorem 3.** Reachability in *unitary* inverse-Ackermann-dimensional SLPS is NP-complete. Next slide

Theorem 4. Reachability in unary 2-SLPS with binary encoded initial and target configurations is in P.

#### **Unitary Simple Linear Path Schemes**

**Definition** (Unitary SLPS). An SLPS where the counter updates are restricted to  $\{-1, 0, +1\}$ .



#### **Unitary Simple Linear Path Schemes**

**Definition** (Unitary SLPS). An SLPS where the counter updates are restricted to  $\{-1, 0, +1\}$ .



## **Reachability in Unitary SLPS**

**Theorem 3.** Reachability in unitary inverse-Ackermann-dimensional SLPS is NP-complete.

Unitary Inverse Ackermann Dimensional Simple Linear Path Scheme Reachability

Input: a natural number k encoded in unary,

a unitary  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha(k))$ -SLPS  $\mathcal{V}$  of size poly(k), an initial configuration p(u) encoded in unary, and

a target configuration q(v) encoded in unary.

Question: is there a run from p(u) to q(v) in  $\mathcal{V}$ ?

Notation:  $\alpha:\mathbb{N}\to\mathbb{N}$  is the inverse Ackermann function.

## **Reachability in Unitary SLPS**

**Theorem 3.** Reachability in unitary inverse-Ackermann-dimensional SLPS is NP-complete.

Unitary Inverse Ackermann Dimensional Simple Linear Path Scheme Reachability

Input: a natural number k encoded in unary,

a unitary  $\mathcal{O}(lpha(k)) ext{-SLPS}~oldsymbol{\mathcal{V}}$  of size  $oldsymbol{poly}(k)$ ,

an initial configuration p(u) encoded in unary, and

a target configuration q(v) encoded in unary.

Question: is there a run from p(u) to q(v) in  $\mathcal{V}$ ?

Proof ingredients.3-SAT reduction.Chinese remainder encoding for SAT.Conjunction of non-divisibility assertions.Chinese remainder encoding for SAT.

Unitary 5-SLPS with zero tests for asserting non-divisibility.

Concatenate non-divisibility asserting unitary SLPSs.

Simulate zero tests with a different technique.

Notation:  $lpha:\mathbb{N} o\mathbb{N}$  is the inverse Ackermann function.

Henry Sinclair-Banks The Tractability Border of Reachability in Simple VASS

# The Tractability Border of Reachability in Simple Vector Addition Systems with States

**Theorem 1.** Reachability in unary 3-SLPS is NP-complete.

Theorem 2. Reachability in unary ultraflat 4-VASS is NP-complete.

**Open problem.** Is reachability in unary ultraflat 3-VASS NP-complete?

**Theorem 3.** Reachability in unitary inverse-Ackermann-dimensional SLPS is NP-complete.

**Open problem.** Does there exist  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that reachability in unitary *d*-SLPS is NP-complete?

Theorem 4. Reachability in unary 2-SLPS with binary encoded initial and target configurations is in P.

![](_page_45_Picture_7.jpeg)

Thank You!

Presented by Henry Sinclair-Banks

Verification Seminar, University of Oxford, UK

henry.sinclair-banks.com