# ES97FA5
# Final Group Project Report 6000 words excluding figures

The students are expected to review the interim report considering reviewer comments and submit a revised version. This is the same process used for peer reviewed scientific papers. In addition, the students are expected to add an appending describing how each comment was addressed. Exemplar will be given during the module using journal papers previously published by the module leader.

**Submission**

Your submission should be prepared using the Arial font (minimum size 10pt) in a **single-column** format and **must not exceed 6000 words** (excluding title, abstract, figures, references and appendix). The report must be submitted electronically via Tabula before the end of Thursday in (Academic) Week 30 (24th of May 2018). You are reminded to ensure that your submission is of an appropriate file size. It is the student's responsibility to ensure that files are submitted satisfactorily.

**Assessment Criteria**

The report will be judged according to:

1) Systematic literature review report quality and relevance (70%):
   a. Is the review based on a focused question that is adequately formulated and described?
   b. Were eligibility criteria for included and excluded studies well predefined and specified?
   c. Did the literature search strategy use a comprehensive, systematic approach?
   d. Were titles, abstracts, and full-text articles dually and independently reviewed for inclusion and exclusion to minimize bias?
   e. Was the quality of each included study rated independently by two or more reviewers using a standard method to appraise its internal validity?
   f. Were the included studies listed along with important characteristics and results of each study?
   g. Was publication bias assessed?
   h. Was heterogeneity assessed?

2) Presentation (30%)
   a. Report well structured
   b. Tables and figure well reported (i.e. clear and univocally numbered captions, all the units have been specified, all the axis properly labelled, all the acronym properly explained etc.)
   c. References well cited (student can choose any style as far as it is consistently maintained across the report)

**Feedback**

The Module leader will send to all the students via email detailed feedback form two independent reviewers, according to standard procedure used for IEEE journals. Students will receive exemplar from Module Leader previously published papers and a meeting with the Module Leader will be arranged in order to explain the received feedback. The report will be submitted per publication on a relevant journal if considered of adequate quality by the module leader.