

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- well organised, lots of effort and research put in.</li> <li>- realistic and existed product.</li> <li>- not known cost research</li> </ul>	9

Your Group No. 3A

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3A	<p>Consistent layout of slides and clear powerpoint. At times repeated information and group members spoke more than others. Often was of topic and referred to preventative methods rather than monitoring an elderly person. Costs seemed underestimated due to the amount of equipment used.</p>	7

Your Group No. 3B

Date 20/01/2011

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3A	<p>Not enough eye contacts, The concept is clear. Good organization.</p>	7

Your Group No. 3B

Date 20/1/11

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3A	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Very confident and fluent presentation</li> <li>- Overall concept worked well as an idea although more explanation about how the sensors worked would have been useful</li> </ul>	8

Your Group No. 3B

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3A	<p>Solution was generally clear. They gave some good ideas, well thought out. Overall, it's an ideal design and realistic. Gave real components and prices, cost seemed on the expensive side, but cheaper than care-homes. Some could've presented better (clearer, more eye-contact)</p>	9/10