

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3D	<p>Very simple and products used, they haven't actually made the home fail safe or have dealt with the the more hazardous situations, for example (fire risk). The body suit used to monitor the person is not really part of automating the home*. However, this system is relatively cheap due due to the very basic items used.</p>	6

- presentation was quite short
 * and the body monitoring suit is not yet commercially available.

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3D	<p>⊕ • 24/7 heart rate monitors • The system is very cheap</p> <p>⊖ • Systems like floodstop and light switches are not really maintaining well being and safety. • The system doesn't ensure well being and security, it just makes home a bit more comfortable.</p>	6/10

Your Group No. 30

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3D	<p>Well presented Interesting ideas easily available components / effective & cost effective Could have used more floor layouts Some of the appliances are questionable as to their usefulness</p>	7/10

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Good ideas but very basic, no solutions for major disasters e.g. fire, gas leaks - Good Presentation. Research is done at a good level. - Overall design is fairly realistic however installation costs are not realistic at all. - Cost is very affordable - Monitoring owner is not under home automation more like an independent gadget. 	6

Your Group No. 30

Grp No.	Comments	Mark/10
3D	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Cost-effective definitely but, they haven't kept in mind the monitoring of livelihood & well-being of the elderly person, which is more important! • Flood Damage system not required! • Like the intelligent body monitoring system. (Very interesting Design). 	6.5/10

cost of installation was not realistic (£100) not for V.K.