

# MA4H9 Modular Forms: Problem Sheet 3 – Solutions

David Loeffler

January 12, 2011

*This is the third of three problem sheets, each of which amounts to 5% of your final mark for the course. This problem sheet will be marked out of a total of 40; the number of marks available for each question is indicated. You should hand in your work to the Undergraduate Office by 3pm on Monday 10th January 2011.*

Throughout this sheet,  $\Gamma$  is a finite-index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  (some questions will make additional assumptions on  $k$ ).

1. [6 points] Let  $f$  be a nonzero modular function of level  $\Gamma$  and weight  $k$ . Recall that the total valence  $V_\Gamma(f)$  was defined by

$$V_\Gamma(f) = \sum_{c \in C(\Gamma)} v_{\Gamma,c}(f) + \sum_{z \in \Gamma \backslash \mathcal{H}} \frac{v_z(f)}{n_\Gamma(z)}.$$

Give a careful proof of Lemma 2.4.5, which states that for  $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  the total valence of  $f$  and  $f|_k g$  are related by

$$V_{g^{-1}\Gamma g}(f|_k g) = V_\Gamma(f).$$

**Solution:** In lectures, we saw that in order to prove 2.4.5 it suffices to show that

- (a)  $n_\Gamma(z) = n_{g^{-1}\Gamma g}(g^{-1}z)$
- (b)  $v_z(f|_k g) = v_{gz}(f)$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{H}$
- (c)  $v_{g^{-1}\Gamma g,c}(f|_k g) = v_{\Gamma,gc}(f)$  for all  $c \in C(g^{-1}\Gamma g)$ .

For part (a), the map sending  $\bar{x} \in \bar{\Gamma}$  to  $\overline{g^{-1}xg}$  gives a bijection between the sets  $\mathrm{Stab}_{\bar{\Gamma}}(z)$  and  $\mathrm{Stab}_{\overline{g^{-1}\Gamma g}}(g^{-1}z)$ , so the two sets have the same size.

Recall that  $(f|_k g)(z) := j(g,z)^{-k} f(gz)$ . Since  $j(g,z)$  is holomorphic and non-vanishing on  $\mathcal{H}$ , it's enough to check that the order of vanishing of  $w \mapsto f(gw)$  at  $w = z$  is the same as the order of vanishing of  $w \mapsto f(w)$  at  $w = gz$ . This is not quite automatic; it's true because for  $w$  sufficiently close to  $z$ , we have  $g(w) = g(z) + (w-z)g'(z) + O((w-z)^2)$ , and (crucially)  $g'(z)$  is never zero on  $\mathcal{H}$ . The result now follows by substituting this into the Taylor series of  $f$  around  $gz$ .

Finally, part (c) is essentially automatic from the definition of  $v_{gc}(f)$ : if  $h$  is some element mapping  $\infty$  to  $c$ , then  $gh$  maps  $\infty$  to  $gc$ , and both  $v_{g^{-1}\Gamma g,c}(f|_k g)$  and  $v_{\Gamma,gc}(f)$  are (by definition) equal to  $v_{h^{-1}g^{-1}\Gamma gh,\infty}(f|_k gh)$ .

*[Several of you slipped up at part (b); there were several solutions which relied on the "identity"  $gz - gz_0 = g(z - z_0)$  for  $z, z_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ . This is false, and might not even be meaningful since  $z - z_0$  isn't necessarily in  $\mathcal{H}$ ; the map  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  given by the action of  $g$  isn't linear, but because  $g$  has nonzero derivative everywhere, we can approximate it by a linear map in the neighbourhood of each point.]*

2. [3 points] In my first research paper, I found myself needing the following identity of weight 0 modular functions of level  $\Gamma_0(2)$ :

$$\frac{E_6^2}{\Delta} = \frac{(1 + 2^6 f_2)(1 - 2^9 f_2)^2}{f_2}$$

where  $f_2(z) = \frac{\Delta(2z)}{\Delta(z)}$ . I verified by a computer calculation that the  $q$ -expansions of both sides agreed up to  $q^N$ , for some sufficiently large  $N$ . How large an  $N$  did I need to use? (*Hint: Clear denominators and apply Corollary 2.4.7.*)

**Solution:** Let  $A$  and  $B$  stand for the left and right sides of the formula above. If we abbreviate  $\Delta(2z)$  by  $\Delta_2$ , and multiply both sides by  $\Delta^2\Delta_2$ , we note that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^2\Delta_2A &= \Delta\Delta_2E_6^2, \\ \Delta^2\Delta_2B &= (\Delta + 2^6\Delta_2)(\Delta - 2^9\Delta_2)^2,\end{aligned}$$

both of which are clearly in  $M_{36}(\Gamma_0(2))$ . Hence if they agree up to degree

$$\frac{36 \times d_{\Gamma_0(2)}}{12} = 9,$$

they are equal, by corollary 2.4.7 (the unreasonable effectiveness of modular forms). This is certainly the case if  $A$  and  $B$  agree up to degree  $q^5$ , since the leading term of the  $q$ -expansion of  $\Delta^2\Delta_2$  is  $q^4$ .

*[Slightly better bounds are possible by keeping track of the orders of vanishing of both sides at 0 and  $\infty$  simultaneously; but any valid argument giving a finite bound got full marks.]*

*I was a bit concerned that at least three of you thought that  $\Delta^2\Delta_2$ , as the product of three weight 12 forms, had weight  $12^3$ , which led to some spuriously large bounds ( $N = 288$  came up more than once).*

*Also, several people rewrote the claim as  $E_6^2f_2 = (1 + 2^6f_2)(1 - 2^9f_2)^2\Delta$  and applied 2.4.7 in weight 12, disregarding the fact that  $f_2$  is not a modular form – it has a pole at the cusp 0.]*

3. [2 points] Show that commensurability is an equivalence relation on the set of subgroups of a fixed group  $G$ .

**Solution:** We must check that the relation  $\sim$  of commensurability is reflexive ( $A \sim A$  for all  $A$ ), symmetric ( $A \sim B \Leftrightarrow B \sim A$ ), and transitive (if  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ , then  $A \sim C$ ). The first two are self-evident, so let us suppose that  $A, B, C$  are subgroups satisfying  $A \sim B$  and  $B \sim C$ . Then  $A \cap B$  has finite index in  $A$ , and  $B \cap C$  has finite index in  $B$ .

We need the following easy lemma: if  $D$  is any group, and  $E$  and  $F$  are any subgroups of  $D$  with  $E$  finite-index, then  $E \cap F$  has finite index in  $F$  and  $[F : E \cap F] \leq [D : E]$ . (We have used this several times in the course already.) This follows from the fact that there is a natural bijection  $F/E \cap F \leftrightarrow EF/E$ , and  $EF/E \subseteq D/E$ .

We apply this result with  $D = B$ ,  $E = B \cap C$ , and  $F = A$ . This tells us that  $A \cap B \cap C$  has finite index in  $A \cap B$ . Since  $A \cap B$  in turn has finite index in  $A$ , it follows that  $A \cap B \cap C$  has finite index in  $A$ ; hence  $A \cap C$  has finite index in  $A$ . Arguing similarly,  $A \cap C$  has finite index in  $C$  as well; this proves that  $A \sim C$ .

*[Everybody got full marks for this question – good work.]*

4. [3 points] Let  $k \geq 1$  and let  $N_k(\Gamma)$  be the Eisenstein subspace of  $M_k(\Gamma)$  (defined as the orthogonal complement of  $S_k(\Gamma)$  with respect to the Petersson product). Show that  $N_k(\Gamma)$  is preserved by the action of  $[\Gamma g \Gamma]$  for any  $g \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ .

**Solution:** Recall that on a positive-definite inner product space  $V$ , an operator  $A$  preserves a subspace  $W \subseteq V$  if and only if the adjoint  $A^*$  preserves the complementary subspace  $V^\perp$ . Since the adjoint of  $[\Gamma g \Gamma]$  is given by  $[\Gamma g' \Gamma]$ , where  $g' = (\det g)g^{-1}$  is also in  $\text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ , it suffices to note that  $S_k(\Gamma)$  is preserved by  $[\Gamma g \Gamma]$  for all  $g \in \text{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$ .

[This question was also done well by most of you.]

5. [3 points] Let  $f$  be the unique normalised eigenform in  $S_2(\Gamma_0(11))$ , and let  $g = f^2$ . Calculate the first two terms of the  $q$ -expansions of  $g$  and of  $T_2(g)$ , and hence show that  $\dim S_4(\Gamma_0(11)) \geq 2$ .

**Solution:** We saw in lectures that the  $q$ -expansion of  $f$  is given by  $q \prod_{n \geq 1} (1 - q^n)^2 (1 - q^{11n})^2 = q - 2q^2 - q^3 + O(q^4)$ . Squaring this term-by-term, we deduce that  $g = q^2 - 4q^3 + 2q^4 + O(q^5)$ . (Note that we will need terms of  $g$  up to  $q^4$  in order to calculate  $T_2(g)$  up to  $q^2$ ).

Applying  $T_2$  using the usual  $q$ -expansion formulae, we get  $T_2(g) = q + 2q^2 + O(q^3)$ ; this is clearly not a multiple of  $g$ , so the space has dimension  $\geq 2$ .

[Almost all of you did this fine, some by hand and others using Sage. It is perhaps cheating slightly if you let Sage calculate the  $q$ -expansion of  $f$  for you, rather than using the  $\eta$ -product formula from the notes, but never mind.]

6. [6 points] Let  $N \geq 2$  and let  $\chi$  be a Dirichlet character mod  $N$ . Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  and define the Gauss sum

$$\tau(a, \chi) = \sum_{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} e^{2\pi i ab/N} \chi(b).$$

- (a) Show that  $\tau(a, \chi) = \overline{\chi(a)} \tau(1, \chi)$  if  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

**Solution:** Since  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , multiplication by  $a$  gives a bijection from  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$  to itself. Thus we may substitute a new variable  $c = ab$  in the sum defining  $\tau(a, \chi)$  to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(a, \chi) &= \sum_{c \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} e^{2\pi i c/N} \chi(a^{-1}c) \\ &= \chi(a^{-1}) \sum_{c \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} e^{2\pi i c/N} \chi(c) \\ &= \overline{\chi(a)} \tau(1, \chi). \end{aligned}$$

(We have  $\chi(a^{-1}) = \chi(a)^{-1}$  since  $\chi$  is a homomorphism, and  $\chi(a)^{-1} = \overline{\chi(a)}$  since  $\chi(a)$  is a root of unity.)

- (b) Let  $M \mid N$ . Show that if  $\chi$  does not factor through  $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , then we have

$$\sum_{\substack{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ b \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}} \chi(b) = 0.$$

**Solution:** If  $\chi$  does not factor through  $(\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , then there is some  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$  with  $a \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$  such that  $\chi(a) \neq 1$ . Multiplication by  $a$  is a bijection on the set  $\{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times : b \equiv 1 \pmod{M}\}$ , so we have

$$\sum_{\substack{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ b \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}} \chi(b) = \sum_{\substack{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ b \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}} \chi(ab) = \chi(a) \sum_{\substack{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ b \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}} \chi(b).$$

Since  $\chi(a) \neq 1$ , this forces the sum to be 0.

Hence show that if  $\chi$  is primitive,  $\tau(a, \chi) = 0$  for  $a \notin (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ .

**Solution:** Suppose  $a \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Then there is some  $M \mid N$ ,  $M < N$ , such that  $a$  is a multiple of  $N/M$ . Thus, for  $b, c \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ , we have  $e^{2\pi iab/N} = e^{2\pi iac/N}$  if  $b \equiv c \pmod{M}$ . Thus

$$\tau(a, \chi) = \sum_{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} e^{2\pi iab/N} \chi(b) = \sum_{c \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times} e^{2\pi iac/N} \left( \sum_{\substack{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ b \equiv c \pmod{M}}} \chi(b) \right).$$

The bracketed term is a multiple of  $\sum_{\substack{b \in (\mathbb{Z}/M\mathbb{Z})^\times \\ b \equiv 1 \pmod{M}}} \chi(b)$ , which is zero.

- (c) Calculate  $\tau(1, \chi)$  when  $N = p^j$  ( $p$  prime,  $j \geq 1$ ) and  $\chi$  is the trivial character mod  $p^j$ .

**Solution:** By definition, we have

$$\tau(1, \chi) = \sum_{\substack{b=0 \dots p-1 \\ p \nmid b}} e^{2\pi ib/p^j}.$$

This is the sum of the primitive  $p^j$ th roots of 1; that is, it is the sum of the roots of  $X^{p^j} - 1$  which are not also roots of  $X^{p^{j-1}} - 1$ , or the roots of the *cyclotomic polynomial*

$$\Phi_{p^j}(X) = \frac{X^{p^j} - 1}{X^{p^{j-1}} - 1} = 1 + X^{p^{j-1}} + \dots + X^{(p-1)p^{j-1}}.$$

Since the sum of the roots of a monic polynomial of degree  $d$  is  $-1$  times its coefficient of  $X^{d-1}$ , this implies that the sum is  $-1$  if  $j = 1$  and 0 otherwise.

7. [4 points] Let  $N \geq 2$  and let  $H$  be a subgroup of  $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$ . Define  $\widehat{H}$  to be the subgroup of Dirichlet characters  $\chi \pmod{N}$  such that  $\chi(d) = 1$  for all  $d \in H$ .

- (a) Show that  $\Gamma_H(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cN & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(N) : a, d \in H \right\}$  is a finite-index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

**Solution:** It's clear that  $a \in H$  if and only if  $d \in H$ , since  $ad = ad - bcN = 1 \pmod{N}$ ; and the map  $\Gamma_0(N) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times$  sending  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cN & d \end{pmatrix}$  to  $d$  is a surjective homomorphism with kernel  $\Gamma_1(N)$ , by a question from sheet 2. Hence  $\Gamma_H(N)$  is the preimage of a subgroup under a homomorphism; so it is a subgroup of  $\Gamma_0(N)$ . It clearly contains  $\Gamma_1(N)$ , so it has finite index in  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

[This was a very easy sub-question; everyone who attempted it got the available 2 marks. I'm puzzled that four of you didn't even try it.]

- (b) Show that for any  $k \geq 1$  we have

$$S_k(\Gamma_H(N)) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \widehat{H}} S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi).$$

**Solution:** If  $f \in S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi)$ , and  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ cN & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_H(N)$ , then  $f|_k \gamma = \langle d \rangle f = \chi(d)f$ . So  $f|_k \gamma = f$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma_H(N)$  if and only if  $\chi(d) = 1$  for all  $d \in H$ .

It follows that  $S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi) \subseteq S_k(\Gamma_H(N))$  if  $\chi \in \widehat{H}$  and  $S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi) \cap S_k(\Gamma_H(N)) = 0$  otherwise. Since  $S_k(\Gamma_1(N)) = \bigoplus_{\chi \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times} S_k(\Gamma_1(N), \chi)$ , the result follows.

[I think I managed to confuse several of you by a notational inconsistency. In the lectures, I defined  $\widehat{G}$  for an arbitrary abelian group  $G$  to be the group of characters  $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$ . Here  $\widehat{H}$  isn't the characters of  $H$ , but the characters of  $G$  trivial on  $H$ , or (equivalently) the characters of the quotient  $G/H$ . I should perhaps have called this something different, perhaps  $H^\perp$  or  $H^\vee$ . The misleading notation fooled you into thinking that this was an instance of proposition 2.9.3, which it isn't quite.]

8. [4 points] Suppose  $p$  is a prime,  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1(p)$  and  $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ . Find  $p$  matrices  $(g_j)_{j=0, \dots, p-1}$  in  $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{Q})$  such that

$$\Gamma g \Gamma = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq j < p} \Gamma g_j = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq j < p} g_j \Gamma.$$

**Solution:** We first find  $a_j$  such that  $\Gamma g \Gamma = \bigsqcup \Gamma a_j$ . It suffices to take  $a_j = g a'_j$ , where  $a_j$  are a set of coset representatives for the left coset space  $(\Gamma \cap g^{-1} \Gamma g) \backslash \Gamma$ . We find that  $\Gamma \cap g^{-1} \Gamma g$  is the group  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma : b = 0 \pmod{p} \right\}$ , and a set of coset representatives is given by  $a'_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $j = 0, \dots, p-1$ . We have  $a_j = g a'_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ , so we recover the result stated in lectures that

$$\Gamma g \Gamma = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{p-1} \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now do the "opposite" decomposition; that is, we find  $b_j$  such that  $\Gamma g \Gamma = \bigsqcup \Gamma b_j$ . Now we take  $b_j = b'_j g$  where  $b'_j$  are coset representatives for the right coset space  $\Gamma / (\Gamma \cap g \Gamma g^{-1})$ . We find that  $\Gamma \cap g \Gamma g^{-1}$  is the group  $\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma : c = 0 \pmod{p^2} \right\}$ , and a set of coset reps is given by  $b'_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ pj & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . We have  $b_j = b'_j g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ pj & p \end{pmatrix}$ , so we have

$$\Gamma g \Gamma = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{p-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ pj & p \end{pmatrix} \Gamma.$$

Let's find the intersection of the cosets  $\Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ pj & p \end{pmatrix} \Gamma$ . Let  $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ pc & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ . We find that

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} &\in \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ pj & p \end{pmatrix} \Gamma \\ \iff \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -j & \frac{1}{p} \end{pmatrix} \gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} &\in \Gamma \\ \iff \begin{pmatrix} a & aj + bp \\ -aj + c & -aj^2 + cj - bjp + d \end{pmatrix} &\in \Gamma. \end{aligned}$$

Looking at the left column suggests trying  $a = 1$  and  $j = c$ , in which case the requirement that  $\det \gamma = 1$  forces  $d = 1 + bjp$ . We may as well try  $b = 0$ , and then the above messy matrix works out as  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , which is certainly in  $\Gamma$ . Hence we conclude that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ pj & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ pj & p + pj^2 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix} \cap \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ pj & p \end{pmatrix} \Gamma.$$

Thus taking  $g_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ pj & p + pj^2 \end{pmatrix}$  works.

[Sadly, absolutely everyone who tried this question tried to show that one could take  $g_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$ . This does not work, meaning that nobody got more than 1 mark. We do have

$$\Gamma g \Gamma = \bigsqcup_j \Gamma \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix},$$

but **all** of the matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & p \end{pmatrix}$  lie in the single right coset  $g\Gamma$  – not surprisingly, since  $g_j = g \cdot \begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & j \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$  – so they certainly don't work the other way round. It's important to distinguish between the roles of the two subgroups  $\Gamma \cap g^{-1}\Gamma g$  and  $\Gamma \cap g\Gamma g^{-1}$ . ]

9. [5 points] Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional complex vector space endowed with a positive definite inner product (a finite-dimensional Hilbert space). Let  $A : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator.

(a) Show that if  $A$  is selfadjoint,  $\langle Ax, x \rangle$  is real for all  $x \in V$ .

**Solution:** We have  $\langle x, y \rangle = \overline{\langle y, x \rangle}$  for all  $x, y \in V$ , by the definition of an inner product. On the other hand, since  $A$  is selfadjoint we have  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = \langle x, Ax \rangle$ . Thus  $\langle Ax, x \rangle = \overline{\langle Ax, x \rangle}$ , so  $\langle Ax, x \rangle$  is real.

[All of you got this.]

- (b) We say  $A$  is *positive semidefinite* if it is selfadjoint and  $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $x \in V$ . Show that if  $A$  is positive semidefinite, there is a unique positive semidefinite  $B$  such that  $B^2 = A$ . (We write  $B = \sqrt{A}$ .)

**Solution:** Since  $A$  is selfadjoint, it is certainly normal, and thus diagonalisable. Hence we may choose a basis such that  $A$  is diagonal. Moreover, the eigenvalues of  $A$  must be nonnegative real numbers, since if  $v$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda$  we have  $\langle Av, v \rangle = \lambda \langle v, v \rangle$ . Since a nonnegative real number has a nonnegative real square root, we can set  $B$  to be the diagonal matrix whose entries are the nonnegative real square roots of those of  $A$ ; then  $B$  is clearly positive semidefinite with  $B^2 = A$ .

It remains to show uniqueness. Since  $A$  is diagonalisable and  $B$  must commute with  $A$  and hence preserves its eigenspaces, it suffices to check that a matrix of the form  $\lambda I$ , where  $\lambda \geq 0$  and  $I$  is the identity matrix, has a unique positive definite square root. But any candidate square root must be diagonalisable with all diagonal entries equal to  $\sqrt{\lambda}$ ; so it is conjugate to a scalar multiple of the identity, and thus it is the identity.

[Catastrophically, almost everyone got this wrong; since there is only one mark available, I couldn't give that mark to anyone who didn't have a complete proof of both the existence and uniqueness. Most of you proved existence, but only a few even remembered to check uniqueness, and all but one of those who did so gave arguments that are only valid if the eigenspaces of  $A$  are all one-dimensional.]

- (c) Show that for any linear operator  $A$ , the operator  $A^*A$  is positive semidefinite, and if  $P = \sqrt{A^*A}$ , then we may write  $A = UP$  with  $U$  unitary. Show conversely that if  $A = UP$  with  $U$  unitary and  $P$  positive semidefinite, we must have  $P = \sqrt{A^*A}$ . Is  $U$  uniquely determined?

**Solution:** We have  $(A^*A)^* = A^*(A^*)^* = A^*A$ , so  $A^*A$  is selfadjoint; and  $\langle A^*Ax, x \rangle = \langle Ax, Ax \rangle \geq 0$  since the inner product is positive definite.

Let us write  $P = \sqrt{A^*A}$ . If  $A$  is non-singular, then  $P$  is so also (since  $\det P = |\det A|$ ). Hence we may define  $U = AP^{-1}$ . We find that

$$P^2 = A^*A = PU^*UP.$$

Since we are assuming that  $A$  is nonsingular, we can cancel  $P$  from both sides and deduce that  $U^*U = 1$ , i.e.  $U$  is unitary; and it is clear that  $U$  is the only matrix (unitary or otherwise) such that  $A = UP$ .

If  $A$  is singular, the argument is a little more delicate. Let  $V_1 = \ker(P)$  and  $V_2 = \text{im}(P)$ . Because  $P$  is selfadjoint, we find that  $V_1 = V_2^\perp$  and vice versa. One checks that  $A$  is zero on  $V_1$ , and  $AV_2 \subseteq V_2$ . Thus we can define  $U$  by taking the direct sum of an arbitrary unitary operator  $V_1 \rightarrow V_1$ , and the uniquely defined unitary part of  $A$  restricted to  $V_2$ .

Conversely, if  $A = UP$  with  $U$  unitary and  $P$  positive semidefinite, we must have  $A^*A = PU^{-1}UP = P^2$ , so necessarily  $P = \sqrt{A^*A}$ ; but from the above argument it is clear that  $U$  is uniquely determined if and only if  $A$  is invertible.

[A few of you gave correct arguments under the assumption that  $A$  was nonsingular, which I gave the mark to. Only two gave complete arguments for the general case.]

- (d) Show that  $A$  is normal if and only if we can find a unitary  $U$  and positive semidefinite  $P$  such that  $A = UP$  and  $U$  and  $P$  commute.

**Solution:** In fact more is true: if  $A$  is normal, then for *any* such factorisation  $A = UP$ , we must have  $UP = PU$ . Indeed, we have  $A^*A = AA^*$  (since  $A$  is normal) and  $AA^* = (UP)(UP)^* = UP^2U^{-1} = (UPU^{-1})^2$ . One checks easily that  $UPU^{-1}$  is positive semidefinite. So  $P$  and  $UPU^{-1}$  are both positive semidefinite self-adjoint operators squaring to  $A^*A$ ; by the uniqueness from part (b), this implies that  $P = UPU^{-1}$ , so  $U$  and  $P$  commute.

(Alternatively, one can construct a commuting  $U$  and  $P$  directly, by using the fact that  $A$  is diagonalisable; but this does not give the slightly stronger statement above.)

Conversely, if there exists some decomposition  $A = UP$  with  $U$  and  $P$  commuting, then  $A^*A = P^2$  and  $AA^* = UP^2U^{-1} = P^2$ ; so  $AA^* = A^*A$ , i.e.  $A$  is normal.

[A common mistake here was to observe that  $AA^* = A^*A$  is equivalent to  $UP^2 = P^2U$  and to claim that this implies immediately that  $UP = PU$ . It's not true for general linear operators that if  $A$  and  $B^2$  commute,  $A$  and  $B$  necessarily commute – for a counterexample, try  $B = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A$  any non-diagonal matrix.]

- (e) Find an example of a nondegenerate (but not positive definite!) inner product space  $V$  and a linear operator  $A : V \rightarrow V$  which is normal but not diagonalisable.

**Solution:** The simplest example I can think of is to take  $V = \mathbb{C}^2$ , with the inner product defined by  $\langle e_1, e_1 \rangle = \langle e_2, e_2 \rangle = 0$  and  $\langle e_1, e_2 \rangle = 1$ . Then the adjoint of  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} \bar{d} & \bar{b} \\ \bar{c} & \bar{a} \end{pmatrix}$ . In particular the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  is selfadjoint, and hence normal, but it is not diagonalisable.

[Three of you found this example, and one of you found a 3-dimensional example, which actually turns out to have this one secretly living inside it.]

10. [4 points] Let  $f$  be weakly modular of level  $\Gamma$  and weight  $k$ . Let  $f^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the function defined by  $f^*(z) = \overline{f(-\bar{z})}$ . Show that  $f^*$  is weakly modular of weight  $k$  and level  $\Gamma^* = \sigma^{-1}\Gamma\sigma$ , where  $\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Solution:** [You all seem to have found this rather hard. I had one complete solution that deduced the result from some rather advanced considerations involving Galois theory, but there is a simple direct proof that nobody gave:]

By assumption, we have

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = (cz+d)^k f(z)$$

for all  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma$ . Hence

$$f\left(\frac{a(-\bar{z})+b}{c(-\bar{z})+d}\right) = (c(-\bar{z})+d)^k f(-\bar{z})$$

or (rearranging the fraction on the left-hand side)

$$f\left(-\overline{\left(\frac{az-b}{-cz+d}\right)}\right) = (c(-\bar{z})+d)^k f(-\bar{z}).$$

Conjugating everything in sight, we've shown

$$f^*\left(\frac{az-b}{-cz+d}\right) = (-cz+d)^k f^*(z).$$

That is,  $f^*$  is modular of weight  $k$  for the group  $\left\{\begin{pmatrix} a & -b \\ -c & d \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma\right\}$ , which is precisely  $\Gamma^*$ .

A slightly slicker interpretation of this is: let  $X$  be the space of meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$  which satisfy  $f(z) = \overline{f(\bar{z})}$ . There's a unique way to extend any given meromorphic function on  $\mathcal{H}$  to an element of  $X$ . Then it's easy to see that the weight  $k$  action of  $\mathrm{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R})$  extends to an action of the whole of  $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  on  $X$ , and  $f^*$  is just  $f|_k \sigma$ .

Show that  $f^*$  is a modular function, modular form, or cusp form if and only if  $f$  is, and that the  $q$ -expansions of  $f^*$  and  $f$  at  $\infty$  are related by  $a_n(f^*) = \overline{a_n(f)}$ .

**Solution:** Let us first consider behaviour at  $\infty$ . It's clear that  $(\Gamma^*)_\infty = \Gamma_\infty$ , so  $h_{\Gamma^*}(\infty) = h_{\Gamma}(\infty)$ . Moreover, for any integer (or real)  $h$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{q_h(-\bar{z})} &= \overline{\exp(2\pi i(-\bar{z})/h)} \\ &= \exp(2\pi i(-\bar{z})/h) \\ &= \exp(2\pi(i)(-\bar{z})/h) \\ &= \exp(2\pi(-i)(-z)/h) = q_h(z). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if  $f(z)$  is given by a series  $\sum_{n=-N}^{\infty} a_n q_h(nz)$  for some  $N < \infty$ , converging for all  $\mathrm{Im}(z)$  sufficiently large, then (in the same range of  $\mathrm{Im}(z)$ ) we have  $f^*(z) = \sum \overline{a_n} q_h(nz)$ , and conversely. So  $f^*$  is meromorphic at  $\infty$  if and only if  $f$  is, and if so we have  $v_{\Gamma^*,\infty}(f^*) = v_{\Gamma,\infty}(f)$  and  $a_n(f^*) = \overline{a_n(f)}$ . (We have assumed here that  $\Gamma$  is regular at infinity or that  $k$  is even; the argument goes through almost identically in the odd weight irregular case.)

For a general cusp  $c \in \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})$ , let  $g \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  be such that  $g\infty = c$ . Then  $(\sigma^{-1}g\sigma)(\infty) = -c$ . Hence, by definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\Gamma,c}(f) &= v_{g^{-1}\Gamma g,\infty}(f|_k g) \\ v_{\sigma^{-1}\Gamma\sigma,-c}(f^*) &= v_{(\sigma^{-1}g^{-1}\sigma)(\sigma^{-1}\Gamma\sigma)(\sigma^{-1}g\sigma),\infty}(f^*|_k \sigma^{-1}g\sigma). \end{aligned}$$



The rather messy expression  $(\sigma^{-1}g^{-1}\sigma)(\sigma^{-1}\Gamma\sigma)(\sigma^{-1}g\sigma)$  simplifies down to just  $\sigma^{-1}g^{-1}\Gamma g\sigma = (g^{-1}\Gamma g)^*$ . Moreover, it's easy to check that  $(f^*)|_k(\sigma^{-1}g\sigma) = (f|_k g)^*$  (this is the same argument as we used above to prove the first sentence of the question). Hence applying the preceding argument with  $f$  and  $\Gamma$  replaced by  $f|_k g$  and  $g^{-1}\Gamma g$ , we deduce that  $f^*$  is meromorphic at  $-c$  if and only if  $f$  is meromorphic at  $c$ , and if so then we have  $v_{\Gamma,c}(f) = v_{\Gamma^*,-c}(f^*)$ .

In particular, we see that  $f^*$  is meromorphic / holomorphic / vanishing at all cusps if and only if  $f$  is; and since  $f^*$  is obviously meromorphic or holomorphic on  $\mathcal{H}$  if and only if  $f$  is, we deduce that  $f^*$  is a modular function (respectively modular form or cusp form) if and only if this is true of  $f$ .

11. [Non-assessed and for amusement only] Let  $M(\Gamma) = \bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k(\Gamma)$ , which is clearly a ring. Show that for any  $\Gamma$ ,  $M(\Gamma)$  is finitely generated as an algebra over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and we may take the generators to have weight at most 12.

**Solution:** Nobody did this question, sadly. Nils Skoruppa told me this at a conference once; I don't know why it's true.