

Thm 2.2.1 Let $N \geq 2$.

- (i) $\mathbb{C}(X_{\sigma(N)}) = \mathbb{C}(j(z), j(Nz))$
- (ii) Min^{sym} poly of $j(Nz)$ over $\mathbb{C}(j)$
is in $\mathbb{Z}[[Y]] \subset \mathbb{C}[[Y]]$
and is $\Phi_N(j, Y)$ for Φ_N symmetric
- (iii) If $N=p$ is prime
 $\Phi_p(x, y) = (y^p - x)(y - x^p) \bmod p$

Proof. (Cf. Milne's notes 'MFMF' p90-92)

Clearly $j(Nz) \in \mathbb{C}(X_{\sigma(N)})$, so
 $\mathbb{C}(j(z), j(Nz)) \subseteq \mathbb{C}(X_{\sigma(N)})$

Over $\mathbb{C}[[Y]]$, RHS has degree $[\text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{Z} : \Gamma_0(N)]$
= $[\text{SL}_2 \mathbb{Z} : \Gamma_0(N)] = \mu$

So if we can show $j(Nz)$ has degree μ over
 $\mathbb{C}(j)$, (i) follows.

Let y_1, \dots, y_μ be such that $S_L \mathbb{Z} = \bigcup_{i=1}^\mu T_i(N) \mathbb{Z}$.
(wlog $y_1 = 1$).

Consider the fns. $j(Ny_i z)$. All Galois conjugate
to $j(Nz)$ over $\mathbb{C}(j)$ (via automorphism $z \mapsto y_i z$
of $\mathbb{C}(Y)$).

If we can show they're distinct, (i) follows
by Galois theory.

So suppose $j(Ny_i z) = j(Ny_j z)$, $1 \leq i, j \leq \mu$,
 $z \in \mathbb{A}$.

Then $j\left(\underbrace{\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{smallmatrix}\right)}_A \cdot \underbrace{y_i \cdot y_j^{-1}}_{\in \mathbb{A}} \cdot z\right) = j(z)$

By a question from Sheet 1 this forces
 $\pm A \in \text{PSL}_2 \mathbb{Z}$, so $y_i^{-1} \in \Gamma_0(N) \Rightarrow i=j$.
Put (i) \square .

(ii) From (i), min^{sym} poly of $j(Nz)$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\mu} (Y - j(Ny_i z))$$

Coeffs are symmetric polys in $\{j(Ny_i z)\}$
so all holomorphic on \mathbb{A} . Since they're also
rat'ls in $j(z)$ must be polynomials in $j(z)$.

To convert coeffs use q -exp's.

We know $j(z) = q^4 + 74q + \dots$

$$= q^{-1} + \mathbb{Z}[[q]]$$

Moreover, can choose the y_i st $j(Ny_i z)$
= $j\left(\frac{az+b}{d}\right)$ some $a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}$
st $ad = N$.

so $j(Ny_i z) \in \mathbb{Z}[S_N]((q^{\frac{1}{N}}))$

$$S_N = e^{2\pi i/N}$$

So coeffs of $\Phi_N(j, Y)$ (as poly in Y)

have q -exp's in $\mathbb{Z}[S_N]((q^{\frac{1}{N}})) \cap \mathbb{C}((q))$
= $\mathbb{Z}[S_N](q))$

Claim: these coeffs are actually in $\mathbb{Z}[S_N](q)$.
Let $P = \sum_i b_i q^i \in \mathbb{C}(j)$ have q -exp in $R(q)$
some $R \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ acting

Inspecting lowest term of $q\text{-exp}$, $b_1 \in R$
Induction on degree \Rightarrow all $b_i \in R$.

So $\Phi_n(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[[\lambda_n]](X, Y)$

$$\text{Write } \Phi_n(X, Y) = \sum_{r,s} c_{rs} X^r Y^s$$

Substitute in $q\text{-exp}$'s of $j(z), j(Nz)$
+ equate coeffs. Get eq's for c_{rs} 's
linear with \mathbb{Q} -coeffs. Know $c_{rs} = \begin{cases} 1 & r=s \\ 0 & r \neq s \end{cases}$
+ know there's a unique sol' over \mathbb{C}
So sol' must be $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(z)/\mathbb{Q})$ -inv.
 $\Rightarrow \Phi_n(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$.

For symmetry:

$$\Phi_n(j(z), j(Nz)) = 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi_n(j(\frac{1}{Nz}), j(\frac{N}{Nz})) = 0 \quad \forall z \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Phi_n(j(Nz), j(z)) = 0$$

So $\Phi_n(Y, X) \Rightarrow$ a multiple of $\Phi_n(X, Y)$

$$\Phi_n(Y, X) = c \Phi_n(X, Y) \Rightarrow c = 1.$$

Since $N \geq 2$, can't have $c=1$ as
this would force Φ_n to be a multiple of $X-Y$
So $c=1$. This completes (ii).

For part (iii):

$$\Phi_p(j(z), Y) \text{ has } q\text{-exp}$$

$$(Y - j(pz)) \left(\prod_{i=0}^{\infty} (Y - j(\frac{z+i}{p})) \right)$$

$q\text{-exp}$'s of form $\frac{b(z+i)}{p}$ all congruent
mod prime power p of $\mathbb{Z}[[\lambda_p]]$, so
mod p rel' of $\Phi_p(j, Y)$ is

$$(Y - j(z)) \left(Y - j\left(\frac{z}{p}\right) \right)^p$$

$$= (Y - j(z))^p \left(Y^p - j\left(\frac{z}{p}\right)^p \right)$$

$$\equiv (Y - j(z)^p) (Y^p - j(z)) \pmod{p}$$

This forces some congruence for Φ_p as a
polynomial.

□

Remarks

- (i) $\gamma_0(N)$ is not the curve in
 \mathbb{A}^2 defined by $\Phi_n(X, Y) = 0$.
It is birationally equivⁿ to it but not
generally isomorphic; $\{\Phi_n(X, Y) = 0\}$
is generally singular

(ii) Φ_n 's have huge coeffs

$$\Phi_1(X, Y) = x^3 + y^3 - x^2y^2 + 1688xy(x+y)$$

$$- 162000(x^2+y^2) + 60,733,375xy$$

$$+ 8,768,000,000(x+y)^2 - 152,466,000,000,000$$

- (iii) Note that mod p rel' of Φ_p defines a
reducible curve — two copies of \mathbb{P}^1
intersecting



We define $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ as the unique smooth proj curve / \mathbb{Q} with function field $\mathbb{Q}(x, y)/\Phi_N(x, y)$

Theorem 2.2.2 There is a sheaf $w_{k, \mathbb{Q}}$ on $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ whose base-ext to G is w_k .

Proof As $-1 \in \Gamma_0(N)$, w_k is only nonzero for $k \in 2\mathbb{Z}$

We know $w_k \cong \Omega^1_{X_0(N)_{\mathbb{C}}}(\text{cusp})$

and more generally we always have

$$w_k \cong (\Omega^1_{X_0(N)_{\mathbb{C}}})^{\otimes k} (D_k)$$

where D_k is a \mathbb{Z} -linear comb' of the divisors (cusp), (ell pt of order 2), (ell pt of order 3).

Claim: These 3 divisors descend to $X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}$.

Proof For (cusp) this is clear: the map $X_0(M_0) \rightarrow X_0(1)_{\mathbb{Q}}$ is \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} (as $i \in \mathcal{O}((1), i/\text{tors})$)

Cusps are exactly preimages of the \mathbb{Q} -pt (∞) $\in K_0(1)$.

For all pts need to be a bit careful:

$$\left\{ \text{ell pts of } \frac{1}{k} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{preimages of } i \in X_0(1) \\ \text{where proj map } X_0(M) \rightarrow X_0(1) \end{array} \right\}$$

ramification degree is generically so we have

$$\left[\begin{array}{l} j(i) = 1/28 \\ j(p) = 0 \end{array} \Rightarrow i, p \in X_0(1)(\mathbb{Q}) \right]$$

So we can define

$$w_{k, \mathbb{Q}} = (\Omega^1_{X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}})^{\otimes k} (D_k)$$

Corollary 2.2.3

For any $k \geq 2$ even, any $N \geq 1$, the spaces $S_k(\Gamma_0(N))$ and $M_k(\Gamma_0(N))$

have bases consisting of forms with q -exp's in $\mathbb{Q}[[q]]$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof We give argument for } M_k. & \quad \left(S_k \right)_{\text{even}} \\ M_k(\Gamma_0(N)) &= H^0(X_0(N)_{\mathbb{C}}, w_k) \\ &= \mathbb{C} \otimes H^0(X_0(N)_{\mathbb{Q}}, w_{k, \mathbb{Q}}). \end{aligned}$$

Claim Image of $H^0(-, -)$ is fin w. q -exp's in $(2\pi i)^k \mathbb{Q}[[q]]$

Proof Any meromorphic section of w_k is in $\mathbb{Q}((ie), j(Ne)) (dz)^{\otimes k}$.

$$dz = j'(z) dz \quad \text{say } j(z) = T(z)$$

$T'(z) 2\pi i q dz$ ends in $(2\pi i)^k \mathbb{Q}[[q]]$.

Chapter 3: Modular
Curves as Moduli Spaces.

§3.1 Lattices & Level Structures

Recall If Λ is a lattice in \mathbb{C}
(a discrete subgp $\cong \mathbb{Z}^2$)

Λ is homothetic to a lattice of form
 $\Lambda_\tau = \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\tau$, $\tau \in \mathbb{H}$,

and τ is uniquely determined modulo

$$\begin{aligned} Y(\mathbb{H}; \mathbb{Z}) &= \left\{ \text{homothety classes of lattices} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \text{iso. classes of elliptic curves over } \mathbb{C} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Exercise

$$(i) \text{ For any } N \geq 2 \exists \text{ bijection } Y_0(N) \cong \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (\mathbb{Q}, C), \mathbb{Q} \text{ lattice,} \\ \mathbb{C}/\text{scale subgp of } \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Q} \text{ of} \\ \text{order } N, \\ \text{where } (\mathbb{Q}, C) \cong (\mathbb{Q}', C') \Leftrightarrow \\ \exists \text{ isomorphism } \mathbb{Q}' \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \\ \text{sending } C \text{ to } C' \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(ii) Y_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{pairs } (\mathbb{Q}, P), P \text{ of exact order } N, \\ \text{modulo equiv rel} \\ (\mathbb{Q}, P) \sim (\mathbb{Q}', P') \text{ if } \exists \frac{\mathbb{Q}}{\mathbb{Z}} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Q}'}{\mathbb{Z}} \\ \text{sending } P \text{ to } P' \end{array} \right\}$$

Note that in (i), (\mathbb{Q}, P) is always equiv to $(\mathbb{Q}, -P)$.
In (ii) have lots more exceptional cases coming from ell ps.

Natural question: if $x \in Y_0(N)$
is a \mathbb{Q} -pt, does (\mathbb{Q}, C) , $C \in \mathbb{P}_N$,
descend to \mathbb{Q} ?

This is the right sort of question to ask to understand modular curves / number fields.
(This actual question is vacuous for $N \gg 0$,
but we don't know that yet.)

§3.2 Moduli spaces and
representable functors.

Have categories $\begin{array}{l} \text{Rings} \quad (\text{com. + unital}) \\ \mathbb{R}\text{-Alg}, \mathbb{R}\text{-ring} \\ \underline{\text{Set}} \end{array}$

(don't worry about foundational issues)
Most sets that come up naturally in alg geom
are functors $\text{Ring} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$.
(or $\mathbb{R}\text{-Alg} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Set}}$)

Eg

- ① Points of varieties / schemes.
- ② Classes of varieties or structures on varieties.

A lot of the fun of algebraic geometry arises from the fact that instances of ② are often ① in disguise.

These are moduli spaces.