

# Modular Curves (TCC) Problem Sheet 1 – Solutions

David Loeffler

2nd February 2014

This is the first of 3 problem sheets, which will be distributed after lectures 3, 5, and 7 of the course. This problem sheet will be marked out of a total of 25; the number of marks available for each question is indicated.

Work should be submitted, on paper or by email, on or before Friday 14th February.

Throughout this sheet a *level* should be understood to mean a finite-index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ .

1. [2 points] Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $G$  a group acting on  $X$  by homeomorphisms (i.e. for any  $g \in G$ , the map  $X \rightarrow X$  given by  $g$  is a homeomorphism). Equip  $G \backslash X$  with the quotient topology. Show that the image of an open subset of  $X$  is an open subset of  $G \backslash X$ . Is the same statement true with ‘open’ replaced by ‘closed’? Give a proof or counterexample as appropriate.

**Solution:** Let  $\pi$  be the projection map  $X \rightarrow G \backslash X$ . By the definition of the quotient topology, a subset  $V$  of  $G \backslash X$  is open if and only if  $\pi^{-1}V$  is open in  $X$ . But for  $U \subset X$  open,  $\pi^{-1}(\pi(U)) = \bigcup_{g \in G} gU$  is a union of open sets in  $X$ , hence it is open.

The corresponding result for closed sets is false, since an arbitrary union of closed sets is not necessarily closed. For instance, let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ ,  $G = \mathbb{Z}$  acting by translations, and let  $U$  be the subset  $\{n + 1/n : n \geq 1 \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

2. [3 points] Let  $\Gamma$  be a level and let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$  be such that  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{Z} = \bigsqcup_j \Gamma \gamma_j$ . Show that  $[\gamma_j i] \in Y(\Gamma)$  is elliptic if and only if  $\gamma_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_j^{-1} \in \Gamma$ . Hence show that  $Y_0(p)$ , for  $p$  an odd prime, has either 0 or 2 elliptic points of order 2 depending on the congruence class of  $p$  modulo 4.

**Solution:** The stabiliser of  $\gamma_j i$  in  $\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{Z}$  is just  $\gamma_j \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathrm{SL}_2 \mathbb{Z}}(i) \gamma_j^{-1}$ , which is the cyclic group generated by  $\gamma_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_j^{-1}$ . The orbit of  $\gamma_j i$  is an elliptic point of  $Y(\Gamma)$  if and only if this subgroup is contained in  $\Gamma$ , i.e. if and only if  $\gamma_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_j^{-1} \in \Gamma$ .

In the case  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(p)$  we may take a set of coset representatives to be  $\gamma_j = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ j & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for  $0 \leq j < p$  and  $\gamma_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} 0$ . Then  $\gamma_j \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_j^{-1}$  is  $\begin{pmatrix} j & -1 \\ 1+j^2 & -j \end{pmatrix}$ , which is in  $\Gamma$  if and only if  $j < p$  and  $1 + j^2 = 0 \pmod{p}$ . So if  $p = 3 \pmod{4}$  there are no elliptic points of order 2, while if  $p = 1 \pmod{4}$  there is at least one.

To see that there are two when  $p = 1 \pmod{4}$ , we must check that the elliptic points  $\gamma_j i$  and  $\gamma_{-j} i$ , where  $j$  is the square root of  $-1$  modulo  $p$ , are distinct; but if  $\gamma_j i$  and  $\gamma_{-j} i$  (where  $-j$  is taken modulo  $p$ ) are in the same orbit, then we must have  $\gamma_{-j} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \gamma_j \in \Gamma$ . But this matrix has bottom left corner  $1 - j^2 = 2 \neq 0$ .

3. [2 points] Calculate the genus of  $X_0(17)$ . (You may assume an analogue of the preceding question for points of order 3 as long as it is stated clearly.)

**Solution:** The analogue of the previous question is as follows: for  $p \geq 5$ , the curve  $Y_0(p)$  has 2 elliptic points if  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and 0 if  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

Now, since 17 is  $1 \pmod{4}$  and  $2 \pmod{3}$ , we have  $\epsilon_2 = 2, \epsilon_3 = 0, \epsilon_\infty = 2$ , and  $N = [\mathrm{PSL}_2 \mathbb{Z} : \bar{\Gamma}] = 18$  in the notation of Corollary 1.3.5. This gives genus  $1 + \frac{18}{12} - \frac{2}{4} - \frac{0}{3} - \frac{2}{2} = 1$ .

4. [1 point] Does there exist a level  $\Gamma$  which is neat (i.e.  $\Gamma$  has no elements of finite order and all cusps are regular), but  $X(\Gamma)$  has genus 0?

**Solution:** Yes, such levels exist;  $\Gamma_1(5)$  is one such.

5. [3 points] Let  $\Gamma = \Gamma_0(5)$ . Show that  $S_4(\Gamma)$  is one-dimensional, and that if  $F$  is a basis vector of  $S_4(\Gamma)$ , then multiplication by  $F$  is an isomorphism  $M_k(\Gamma) \rightarrow S_{k+4}(\Gamma)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Solution:** We have  $\epsilon_2 = 2, \epsilon_3 = 0, \epsilon_\infty = 2$  and  $N = 6$ . In particular, the genus is 0.

Moreover, since there are no elliptic points of order 4, the sheaves  $\omega_k$  satisfy  $\omega_{k+4} = \omega_k \otimes \omega_4$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . So it suffices to show that  $\omega_4(-\text{cusps})$  has a nowhere-vanishing holomorphic section. By Riemann-Roch, this holds if and only if  $\omega_4(-\text{cusps})$  has degree 0.

However, we know  $\omega_2(-\text{cusps}) \cong \Omega^1$  has degree  $2g - 2 = -2$ , so  $\omega_2$  has degree 0 and thus  $\omega_2^2$  also degree 0. Comparing local bases at the elliptic points,  $\omega_2^2 = \omega_4(-\text{ell.pts})$ , and there are 2 elliptic points and 2 cusps, so we have  $\deg \omega_4(-\text{cusps}) = \deg \omega_4(-\text{ell.pts}) = 0$  as required.

6. [3 points] Let  $X$  be a compact Riemann surface,  $\mathcal{L}$  an invertible sheaf on  $X$ , and  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  any finite set of points on  $X$ . Show that there exists a meromorphic section of  $\mathcal{L}$  which is holomorphic and non-vanishing at all the  $P_j$ .

**Solution:** This is an easy consequence of the Riemann-Roch theorem. Let us choose a point  $Q$  which is not one of the  $P_j$ . The sheaf  $\mathcal{L}(nQ)$  has degree  $\deg \mathcal{L} + n$ , so for  $n \gg 0$ , it has a global section by Riemann-Roch.

If we choose  $n$  so that  $\mathcal{L}(nQ)$  has degree  $> 2g - 1$ , then for each  $j$ , the dimension of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(nQ - P_j))$  is one less than the dimension of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(nQ))$ . So the sections in  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(nQ))$  that vanish at one or more of the  $P_j$  are a finite union of proper subspaces of  $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}(nQ))$ , and thus we may take a section that is not in any of them. This section is holomorphic away from  $Q$ , and has a pole of degree  $\leq n$  at  $Q$ , so it is in particular a meromorphic section of  $\mathcal{L}$ ; and by construction it does not vanish at any of the  $P_j$ .

7. [3 points] Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a non-constant morphism of Riemann surfaces. Let  $P \in X$  and  $Q = f(P) \in Y$ . Show that if  $\omega$  is a differential on  $Y$  which is holomorphic and nonvanishing at  $Q$ , then the pullback  $f^*\omega$  vanishes to order  $e_P(f) - 1$  at  $P$ .

Hence deduce the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, assuming that the sheaf of holomorphic differentials on a compact Riemann surface of genus  $g$  has degree  $2g - 2$ .

**Solution:** The first part of the question is a purely local statement, so without loss of generality we may assume that  $X$  and  $Y$  are open subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and that  $\omega = g(z) dz$  for some  $g : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which is holomorphic and nonvanishing at  $Q$ . We then have  $f^*\omega = g(f(z))f'(z)dz$ , so the order of vanishing of  $f^*\omega$  at  $P$  is the same as that of  $f'(z)$ , which is  $e_P(f) - 1$ .

Now assume  $X, Y$  compact and let  $\omega$  be a meromorphic differential on  $Y$ . By the previous question, we may assume that  $\omega$  is holomorphic and nonvanishing at each of the ramification points of  $f$ . It then follows easily that

$$\sum_{P \in f^{-1}(Q)} v_P(f^*\omega) = Nv_Q(\omega) + \sum_{P \in f^{-1}(Q)} (e_P(f) - 1).$$

Summing over all  $Q \in Y$  gives

$$\left( \sum_{P \in X} v_P(f^*\omega) \right) = N \cdot \left( \sum_{Q \in Y} v_Q(\omega) \right) + \sum_{P \in X} (e_P(f) - 1).$$

Since  $\omega$  and  $f^*\omega$  are nonzero meromorphic differentials on  $Y$  and  $X$  respectively, we have  $(\sum_{Q \in Y} v_Q(\omega)) = 2g(Y) - 2$  and similarly for  $f^*\omega$ , so this is precisely the Riemann–Hurwitz formula.

8. [4 points] Let  $X$  be a Riemann surface and  $\omega$  a meromorphic differential on  $X$ . Define the *residue* of  $\omega$  at a point  $P \in X$ . Show that if  $X$  is compact, then  $\sum_{P \in X} \text{Res}_P(\omega) = 0$ . (Hint: Use Stokes' theorem.)

**Solution:** The definition of the residue is the same as in classical complex analysis: just choose a coordinate chart and evaluate the residue in that chart. The chain rule shows that the residue is independent of the choice of chart.

Now, let  $P_1, \dots, P_r$  be the poles of  $\omega$ . For each  $i$ , let  $D_i$  be a small neighbourhood of  $P_i$  (small enough to be contained in a single coordinate chart) and let  $C_i$  be the path in  $X$  given by the boundary of  $D_i$  oriented anticlockwise. By the residue theorem applied on coordinate charts covering each  $D_i$ , we have

$$\sum_i \oint_{C_i} \omega = 2\pi i \sum_j \text{Res}_{P_j} \omega.$$

But  $\bigcup C_i$  is the boundary of the complement  $Y$  of the  $D_i$  in  $X$ , with orientation reversed; and applying Stokes' theorem to  $Y$  we obtain

$$\sum_i \oint_{C_i} \omega = - \int_{\partial Y} \omega = - \iint_Y d\omega.$$

The 2-form  $d\omega$  is zero, by the Cauchy–Riemann equations, so this integral is 0.

9. [4 points] Let  $\Gamma$  be a level, and let  $\rho : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$  be a homeomorphism such that  $\rho(z) \in \Gamma z$  for every  $z \in \mathcal{H}$ . Show that  $\rho(z) = \gamma z$  for a unique  $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}$  (the image of  $\Gamma$  in  $\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ ).

Suppose  $\bar{\Gamma}$  has no elements of finite order. Show that the fundamental group of  $Y(\Gamma)$  (in the sense of algebraic topology) is isomorphic to  $\bar{\Gamma}$ .

**Solution:** For the first statement: let  $\mathcal{H}'$  be the complement of the elliptic  $\Gamma$ -orbits in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Then  $\rho$  must preserve  $\mathcal{H}'$ , and for each  $z \in \mathcal{H}'$ , there is a *unique*  $\gamma z \in \bar{\Gamma}$  such that  $\rho(z) = \gamma z$ .

This defines a map  $\mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}$  and, since  $\rho$  is continuous and  $\Gamma$  acts properly discontinuously on  $\mathcal{H}$ , this map must be locally constant. The space  $\mathcal{H}'$  is obviously connected, so it is constant,

and there is a unique  $\gamma \in \bar{\Gamma}$  such that  $\rho(z) = \gamma z$  for all  $z \in \mathcal{H}'$ . By continuity this holds for the points in  $\mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}'$  also.

Now, a standard construction in algebraic topology shows that for any locally path-connected topological space  $Y$ , there is a unique (up to homeomorphism) *universal cover* of  $Y$ , which is a simply connected topological space  $\tilde{Y}$  with a continuous map  $\tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  which is a covering (i.e. locally a homeomorphism); and  $\pi_1(Y)$  is then isomorphic to the group of deck transformations of  $\tilde{Y}$  (homeomorphisms from  $\tilde{Y}$  to itself preserving the map to  $Y$ ).

If  $\bar{\Gamma}$  has no elements of finite order, then  $\mathcal{H} \rightarrow Y(\Gamma)$  is a covering. Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is simply-connected,  $\mathcal{H}$  must be the universal cover of  $Y(\Gamma)$ . The first part of the question shows that the group of deck transformations of  $\mathcal{H}$  is just  $\bar{\Gamma}$ , so we are done.

---

*The following questions are optional and will not be assessed.*

10. Show that for any  $\Gamma$  there exists an  $R$  such that the graded ring  $\bigoplus_{k \geq 0} M_k(\Gamma)$  is generated by forms of weight  $\leq R$ . What is the best bound you can find for  $R$ ?
11. Does there exist a proper finite-index subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  with only one cusp?
12. Show that there are infinitely many levels such that  $X(\Gamma)$  has genus 1. (Hint: Consider subgroups of  $\Gamma_0(11)$ .)