

Example: Consider  $y(x) = |x|$  in  $\Omega = (-1, 1)$ .

It is easy to verify that the weak derivative is given by

$$z(x) = \begin{cases} -1, & x \in (-1, 0) \\ +1, & x \in [0, 1] \end{cases} =: y'(x)$$

since for all  $v \in C_0^\infty(-1, 1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-1}^1 |x| v'(x) dx &= \int_{-1}^0 (-x) v'(x) dx + \int_0^1 x v'(x) dx \\ &= [-x v(x)]_{-1}^0 - \int_{-1}^0 (-1) v(x) dx + [x v(x)]_0^1 - \int_0^1 (+1) v(x) dx \\ &= - \int_{-1}^1 z(x) v(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the function value of  $y'$  at zero plays no role, since it does not change the integral values.

A subtle difficulty arises if we want to assign boundary values (on  $\partial\Omega$ ) to functions in  $H^1(\Omega)$ . For example, in the example of the "optimal heat source" what does it mean that " $y=0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ " for  $y \in H^1(\Omega)$ ?

Since  $\partial\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ , we can change the values of any  $y \in L^p(\Omega)$  arbitrarily on  $\partial\Omega$  without affecting  $y$  as an element of  $L^p(\Omega)$  (the norm defined by the integral does not change!).

Let us recall the notion of the closure  $\overline{E}$  of a set  $E \subset X$  in a normed space  $(X, \| \cdot \|)$ ,

$$\overline{E} = \{x \in X : x \text{ is the limit of some sequence } (x_n)_n \subset E\}.$$

We say that  $E \subset X$  is dense in  $X$  if  $\overline{E} = X$ .

Using this notion, we can define another Sobolev space.

Definition: The closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$  is denoted by  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Endowed with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$ ,  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is a normed space, and, as a closed subspace of  $H^1(\Omega)$ , also a Banach space.

By definition,  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

The elements of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  can be regarded as functions which vanish at the boundary. This is a consequence of the following result.

Theorem (Trace theorem): Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^N$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then there exists a linear and continuous mapping  $\tau: H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$  such that for all  $y \in H^1(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  we have  $(\tau y)(x) = y(x)$  for all  $x \in \partial\Omega$ , i.e. in the case of continuous functions in  $H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $(\tau y)$  coincides with the restriction  $y|_{\partial\Omega}$  of  $y$  on  $\partial\Omega$ .  $(\tau y)$  is called the trace of  $y$  on  $\partial\Omega$ , and the mapping  $\tau$  is called the trace operator. It holds  $\|y|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{L^2(\partial\Omega)} \leq c_\tau(\Omega) \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ , with some constant  $c_\tau = c_\tau(\Omega)$ .

In this sense, we can say that

$$H_0^1(\Omega) = \{y \in H^1(\Omega) : y|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}.$$

An alternative norm on  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  is defined by

$$\|y\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y|^2 dx.$$

It is equivalent to the norm on  $H^1(\Omega)$ , i.e. there exist  $c_1, c_2$  such that:  $c_1 \|y\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \leq \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq c_2 \|y\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$ ,  $\forall y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

## 2.3. Weak solutions to elliptic equations

Let us consider first for given  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$

$$(*) \begin{cases} -\Delta y = f & \text{in } \Omega, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Such right hand sides  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  can be very irregular.

E.g. if  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  is the unit square, and is subdivided in a "chess board style" with  $f$  equal unity on "black", and zero on "white" squares. Obviously,  $-\Delta y = f$  cannot have a classical solution  $y \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega})$  for such an  $f$ .

Instead, we seek a so-called weak solution  $y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Its definition is based on a weak (or variational) formulation of  $(*)$ .

Assume for the moment, that  $y \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  is a classical solution of  $(*)$  and  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain.

We multiply the PDE in  $(*)$  by an arbitrary test function  $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  and integrate over  $\Omega$ ,

$$-\int_{\Omega} \Delta y \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Integrating by parts we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx - \underbrace{\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\nu} y \varphi \, ds}_{=0} = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx \text{ for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),$$

where  $\partial_{\nu} y$  denotes the normal derivative of  $y$ , i.e. the directional derivative of  $y$  in the direction of the outward unit normal  $\nu$  to  $\partial\Omega$ . Recall  $\partial_{\nu} y = \nabla y \cdot \nu$ .

Since  $\varphi$  vanishes on  $\partial\Omega$ , the second term vanishes.

We obtain

$$(\#) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx = \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

Since  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  is dense in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , and for fixed  $y$  all terms depend continuously on  $\varphi$ , we conclude this equation holds for all  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

We call  $y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  a weak solution of (\*) if it satisfies the weak (or variational) formulation (#) for all  $\varphi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

- Remark:
- 1) Observe, that if a weak solution  $y$  is sufficiently smooth, then it is a classical solution of (\*). Therefore, calling it a weak solution is justified.
  - 2) The boundary condition in (\*) is encoded in the solution space  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ .
  - 3) We require the solution of the second-order PDE in (\*) only to have (weak) first-order derivatives.

To be able to treat (\*) and more general problems by a unified approach, we define  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$  and

- bilinear form  $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a[y, \varphi] := \int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx$ ,
- linear and continuous functional  $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(\varphi) := \int_{\Omega} f \varphi \, dx$   
 $= (f, \varphi)_{L^2(\Omega)}$

Then, (#) attains the general form

$$a[y, \varphi] = F(\varphi) \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in V.$$

We denote by  $V^*$  the dual space of  $V$ , the space of linear and continuous functionals on  $V$ . Hence,  $F \in V^*$ .

The following result is of fundamental importance for the existence theory for linear elliptic equations.

Lemma 2.2 (Lax and Milgram): Let  $V$  be a real Hilbert space, and let  $a: V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  denote a bilinear form. Suppose, there exist positive constants  $\alpha_0$  and  $\beta_0$  such that for all  $y, \varphi \in V$ :

- (i)  $|a[y, \varphi]| \leq \alpha_0 \|y\|_V \|y\|_V$  ("boundedness")
- (ii)  $a[y, y] \geq \beta_0 \|y\|_V^2$  (" $V$ -ellipticity")

Then, for every  $F \in V^*$  the variational equality,  
 $a[y, \varphi] = F(\varphi)$  for all  $\varphi \in V$ ,

admits a unique solution  $y \in V$ .

Moreover, it exists  $c_a > 0$  (independent of  $F$ ), such that

$$\|y\|_V \leq c_a \|F\|_{V^*},$$

where  $\|F\|_{V^*} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_V=1} |F(\varphi)|$  is the norm on  $V^*$ .

We also require the following estimate.

Lemma 2.3 (Friedrichs inequality): Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded Lipschitz domain. Then, there exists  $c(\Omega) > 0$ , such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |y|^2 dx \leq c(\Omega) \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y|^2 dx \quad \text{for all } y \in H_0^1(\Omega).$$

N.B. This holds only for  $y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ , not for general  $y \in H^1(\Omega)$ .

Theorem 2.4 : If  $\Omega$  is a bounded Lipschitz domain, then for every  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ , problem (\*\*) has a unique weak solution  $y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ . Moreover, if exists  $c_p > 0$  which does not depend on  $f$ , such that

$$\|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq c_p \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Proof: We apply the Lax-Milgram lemma with  $V = H_0^1(\Omega)$ . We verify that the conditions (i) and (ii) are met.

ad (i):

$$|\langle y, \varphi \rangle| = \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx \right|$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle u, v \rangle| &\leq \|u\| \|v\| \quad \forall u, v \in V \\ \xrightarrow{\text{C-S-ineq.}} \quad &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} |\nabla \varphi|^2 \, dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Omega} (|y|^2 + |\nabla y|^2) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\Omega} (|\varphi|^2 + |\nabla \varphi|^2) \, dx \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}. \end{aligned}$$

ad (ii):

$$\begin{aligned} a[y, y] &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y|^2 \, dx \\ \xrightarrow{\text{Friedrichs ineq.}} \quad &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla y|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2c(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |y|^2 \, dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \min \left\{ 1, \frac{1}{c(\Omega)} \right\} \|y\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|F(\varphi)| = |(f, \varphi)_{L^2(\Omega)}| \stackrel{!}{\leq} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\varphi\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ ,

we have  $\|F\|_{V^*} = \sup_{\|\varphi\|_V=1} |F(\varphi)| \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$