

2.8. First-order necessary optimality conditions

Our starting point is the reduced problem

$$(*) \min_{u \in U_{\text{ad}}} f(u) := \frac{1}{2} \|Su - y_d\|_H^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u\|_U^2,$$

our aim is to derive the optimality system and the KKT conditions, similar to the finite-dimensional case.

Lemma 2.21. Let U be a real Banach space, $C \subset U$ a non-empty and convex subset. Let $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be Gâteaux-differentiable in an open subset of U containing C .

If $\bar{u} \in C$ is a solution of

$$\min_{u \in C} f(u)$$

then it holds the necessary condition

$$f'(\bar{u})(u - \bar{u}) \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in C.$$

If f is convex, then this condition is also sufficient.

Proof: Let $u \in C$ be arbitrary. Since C convex, $\bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u}) \in C$, $t \in (0, 1]$.

Since \bar{u} optimal, $f(\bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u})) \geq f(\bar{u})$, hence

$$\frac{1}{t} [f(\bar{u} + t(u - \bar{u})) - f(\bar{u})] \geq 0 \text{ for } t \in (0, 1].$$

The limit $t \searrow 0$ yields $f'(\bar{u})(u - \bar{u}) \geq 0$.

Now suppose \bar{u} solves the variational inequality and f is convex, hence for $t \in [0, 1]$

$$f(\underbrace{tu + (1-t)\bar{u}}_{=\bar{u} + t(u-\bar{u})}) \leq t f(u) + (1-t) f(\bar{u})$$

$$\Leftrightarrow f(u) - f(\bar{u}) \geq \frac{1}{t} \left(f(\bar{u} + t(u-\bar{u})) - f(\bar{u}) \right)$$

In the limit $t \searrow 0$, it holds

$$f(u) - f(\bar{u}) \geq f'(\bar{u})(u - \bar{u}) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in C$$

since the variational inequality holds.

Therefore, $f(u) \geq f(\bar{u})$ for all $u \in C$, which proves the claim. \square

Remark: The result remains true, if merely the existence of all directional derivatives of f is assumed.

Theorem 2.22: Let U, H be real Hilbert spaces, $y_d \in H$ given, $U_{\text{ad}} \subset U$ non-empty and convex, and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Moreover, let $S: U \rightarrow H$ denote a continuous linear operator.

Then $\bar{u} \in U_{\text{ad}}$ is a solution to (*) if and only if \bar{u} solves the variational inequality

$$(S^*(S\bar{u} - y_d) + \lambda\bar{u}, u - \bar{u})_U \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in U_{\text{ad}}.$$

Proof: From the examples on p. 43 we know that the functional $f(u)$ in (*) is Fréchet-differentiable with $f'(\bar{u}) = S^*(S\bar{u} - y_d) + \lambda\bar{u}$. The claim then follows directly using Lemma 2.21. \square

We can apply these results to various optimal control problems.

Optimal stationary heat source

$$(**) \begin{cases} \min J(y, u) := \frac{1}{2} \|y - \gamma_{\Omega}\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \frac{\lambda}{2} \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ \text{s.t. } (***) \quad -\Delta y = \beta u \text{ in } \Omega, \quad y = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \\ \text{and } u_a(x) \leq u(x) \leq u_b(x) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$

As above, we denote by $S: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ the solution operator of the boundary value problem. Using Theorem 2.22, any optimal control \bar{u} must obey the variational inequality

$$(S^*(S\bar{u} - \gamma_{\Omega}) + \lambda\bar{u}, u - \bar{u})_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq 0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{U}_{\text{ad}}.$$

Herein, S^* is the adjoint operator to S , which is yet to be determined. For this, we require the following auxiliary result.

Lemma 2.23: Let $u, z \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $c_0, \beta \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ with $c_0 \geq 0$ a.e. in Ω be given functions. Let y and p denote weak solutions of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta y + c_0 y = \beta u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ y = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

$$\text{and } \begin{cases} -\Delta p + c_0 p = z \text{ in } \Omega, \\ p = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

respectively. Then $\int_{\Omega} z y \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \beta p u \, dx$.

Proof: Consider the weak formulations with $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $y \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ as test functions, respectively:

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla y \cdot \nabla p + c_0 y p \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \beta u p \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla p \cdot \nabla y + c_0 p y \, dx = \int_{\Omega} z y \, dx.$$

Therefore, the right hand sides must be identical. \square

Lemma 2.24: For the boundary value problem (***) , the adjoint operator $S^*: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is given by

$$S^*z = \beta p,$$

where $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is the weak solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta p = z & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

Proof: By the definition of the adjoint operator, the identity $(z, Su)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (S^*z, u)_{L^2(\Omega)}$ for all $u, z \in L^2(\Omega)$ must hold. Using Lemma 2.23 with $c_0 \equiv 0$ and $y = Su$, we find: $(z, Su)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (z, \gamma)_{L^2(\Omega)} = (\beta p, u)_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

By our well-posedness results for elliptic boundary value problems, the mapping $z \mapsto \beta p$ is a continuous linear operator from $L^2(\Omega)$ into $L^2(\Omega)$.

Since z, u are arbitrary, and S^* is uniquely defined, we conclude that $S^*z = \beta p$. \square

Remark(i) This approach to obtain the adjoint operator is not very intuitive, yet well-defined. We will later introduce a "formal Lagrange method", which is an effective tool to find the adjoint operator S^* and the specific PDE for it.

(ii) It may now be clearer why we worked with $S: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ instead of $G: L^2(\Omega) \rightarrow H_0^1(\Omega)$.

If we work with G , we would now encounter $G^*: (H_0^1(\Omega))^* \rightarrow L^2(\Omega)$, which would involve the dual space $(H_0^1(\Omega))^*$. This is possible, and allows a bit more general problems (e.g. right hand sides in $(H_0^1(\Omega))^*$), but at the same time may be more complicated than to work in $L^2(\Omega)$, cf. Section 2.13 in Tröltzsch's book.

The variational inequality can easily be rewritten if S^* is known.

Definition: The weak solution $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ to the adjoint equation

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta p = \bar{y} - \gamma_{\Omega} & \text{in } \Omega, \\ p = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

is called adjoint state associated with \bar{y} .

Note that $(\bar{y} - \gamma_{\Omega}) \in L^2(\Omega)$, hence the adjoint equation admits a unique solution $p \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Setting now $z = \bar{y} - \gamma_{\Omega}$, we employ Lemma 2.24 to obtain $S^*(S\bar{u} - \gamma_{\Omega}) = S^*(\bar{y} - \gamma_{\Omega}) = \beta p$ (p solution of the adjoint equation), and hence

$$(\beta p + \lambda \bar{u}, u - \bar{u})_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in U_{\text{ad}}.$$

Hence, a control u is optimal, together with associated state y and adjoint state p , for the problem (**) if and only if (that it is also sufficient follows from the convexity of the reduced functional $f(\cdot)$) the following optimality system is satisfied:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta y = \beta u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ y = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}, \quad u \in U_{\text{ad}}$$

(OS)
$$\begin{cases} -\Delta p = \bar{y} - \gamma_{\Omega} & \text{in } \Omega \\ p = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

$$(\beta p + \lambda u, v - u)_{L^2(\Omega)} \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in U_{\text{ad}}$$