

AG for NT Week 8

We will use the language of schemes to study varieties

1 Blowing Up Varieties

We will construct the *blow up* of a variety with respect to a non-singular closed subvariety. This tool/technique is the main method to resolve singularities of algebraic variety.

Definition 1.1. The *blowup* of \mathbb{A}^n at 0 is constructed as follows: Take the product $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$. If $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ are affine coordinates for \mathbb{A}^n , $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$ are the homogeneous coordinates of \mathbb{P}^{n-1} , the *blowup of \mathbb{A}^n* $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is the closed subset defined by

$$\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n) := \{x_i y_j = x_j y_i \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$$

We have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{n-1} \\ & \searrow \phi & \downarrow \text{projection} \\ & & \mathbb{A}^n \end{array}$$

For the next few pages, we let ϕ be the morphism defined as above.

Lemma 1.2.

1. If $p \in \mathbb{A}^n$, $p \neq 0$ then $\phi^{-1}(p)$ consist of one point. In fact ϕ gives an isomorphism of $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n) \setminus \phi^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\}$
2. $\phi^{-1}(0) \cong \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$
3. The points of $\phi^{-1}(0)$ are in 1-1 correspondence with the lines of \mathbb{A}^n through the origin
4. $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is irreducible

Proof.

1. Let $p = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{A}^n$, assume $a_i \neq 0$. So if $p \times (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \phi^{-1}(p)$ then for each j , $y_j = \left(\frac{a_j}{a_i}\right) y_i$. So $(y_1 : \dots : y_n)$ is uniquely determined as a point in \mathbb{P}^{n-1} . By setting $y_i = a_i$, we have $(y_1 : \dots : y_n) = (a_1 : \dots : a_n)$. Moreover setting $\psi(p) = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \times (a_1 : \dots : a_n)$ defines an inverse morphism to ϕ . $\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \phi^{-1}(0)$
2. $\phi^{-1}(0)$ consist of all points $0 \times Q$ for $Q \in \mathbb{P}^{n-1}$ with no restrictions
3. Follows from 2.
4. $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n) = (\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n) \setminus \phi^{-1}\{0\}) \cup \phi^{-1}\{0\}$. The first component, by part 1. is irreducible, and each point in $\phi^{-1}(0)$ is contained in the closure of some line L in $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \phi^{-1}\{0\}$. Hence $\text{Bl}_0 \mathbb{A}^n \setminus \phi^{-1}(0)$ is dense in $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n)$ and hence $\text{Bl}_0(\mathbb{A}^n)$ is irreducible

□

Definition 1.3. If $Y \subset \mathbb{A}^n \setminus 0$ we define $\text{Bl}_0 Y$ to be \tilde{Y} is $\overline{\phi^{-1}(Y \setminus 0)}$

We see from Lemma 1.2 ϕ induces a birational morphism of \tilde{Y} to Y .

Fact 1.4. *Blowing up is independent of your choice of embedding.*

Example 1.5. (Node)

Let x, y be coordinates in \mathbb{A}^2 , and define $X : (y^2 = x^2(x + 1))$. Let t, u be homogeneous coordinates for \mathbb{P}^1 . Then $\text{Bl}_0 X = \{y^2 = x^2(x + 1), ty = ux\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{P}^1$. On the affine piece $t \neq 0$, we have $y^2 = x^2(x + 1)$ and $y = ux$, hence $u^2 x^2 = x^2(x + 1)$. This factors, hence we get a variety $\{x = 0\} = E$ (this is the preimage of 0 under ϕ and is called the “Exceptional Divisors”) and the variety $\{u^2 = x + 1\} = \tilde{X}$ (This is called “the proper transform of X ”).

Note that $\tilde{X} \cap E$ consists of two points, $u = \pm 1$. Notice that this values for u are precisely the values of the slopes of X through the origin. “Blowups separates points and tangent vectors”

Exercise 1.6. (Tacnode)

Let $T : (y^2 = x^4(x + 1))$. Blow this up at the origin and see what you get.

Definition 1.7. Blowing up with respect to a subvariety. Let $X \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ be an affine variety. Let $Z \subset X$ be a closed non-singular subvariety, Z defined by the vanishing of the polynomials $\{f_1, \dots, f_k\}$ in \mathbb{A}^n . Let $(y_1 : \dots : y_k)$ be homogenous coordinates for \mathbb{P}^{k-1} . Define $\text{Bl}_Z(\mathbb{A}^n) = \{y_i f_j = y_j f_i | 1 \leq i, j \leq k, i \neq j\}$. As before, we get a birational map

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bl}_Z(\mathbb{A}^n) & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{P}^{k-1} \\ & \searrow \phi & \downarrow \text{projection} \\ & & \mathbb{A}^n \end{array}$$

It has a birational inverse, $p = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_n) \times (f_1(p) : \dots : f_k(p))$. Also define $\text{Bl}_Z(X) = \overline{\phi^{-1}(X \setminus 0)}$.

Exercise 1.8. Compare blowing up $y^2 = x^2(x + 1)$ in $\mathbb{A}^3_{[x:y:z]}$ with respect to the z -axis.

[Note: 0 the subvariety defined by the vanishing of polynomials $f_i = x_i$]

For most purposes/”classifying all surfaces” only need to know about blowing up a point.

Example 1.9. Let X be the double cone defined by $x^2 + y^2 = z^2 \subset \mathbb{A}^3_{[x:y:z]}$ and let Z be the line defined by $\{y = z, x = 0\}$. Let t, u be coordinates for \mathbb{P}^1 , hence $\text{Bl}_Z X = \{x^2 + y^2 = z^2, xt = (y - z)u\}$. So on the affine piece $u \neq 0$, we get $xt = y - z$ hence $x^2 = xt(y + z)$. This factorises, so we get two pieces: $\{x = 0, y = z, t \text{ arbitrary}\} = E$ (the exceptional curve); $\{xt = y - z\} := \tilde{X}$ (this should be nonsingular)

2 Invertible Sheaves

Let X be a variety.

Definition 2.1.

- An *invertible sheaf* \mathcal{F} on X is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of rank 1. (That is, there exists an open covering $\{U_i\}$ of X so that $\mathcal{F}(U_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$)
- We will see soon that the *Picard group* is the group of isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves on X .
- On varieties: Weil divisors are “the same” as Cartier divisors. A *Cartier Divisor* $D = \{(U_i, f_i)\}$ with $\{U_i\}$ an open covering of X , and f_i on U_i is an element of $\mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$ (think of a rational function). Also on $U_i \cap U_j$, we have $\frac{f_i}{f_j}$ is invertible.

Notation 2.2. Let D be a divisors (Weil/Cartier), define $\mathcal{L}(D)$ to be the sub- \mathcal{O}_X -module which is generated by f_i^{-1} on U_i . This is well defined since $\frac{f_i}{f_j}$ is invertible on $U_i \cap U_j$, so f_i^{-1} and f_j^{-1} differs by a unit. This $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is called the *sheaf* associated to $D = \{(U_i, f_i)\}$.

Proposition 2.3.

1. For any divisors D , $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is an invertible sheaf on X and the map $D \mapsto \mathcal{L}(D)$ gives a 1-1 correspondence $\text{Pic}(X) \leftrightarrow \text{Invertible sheaves on } X$.
2. $\mathcal{L}(D_1 - D_2) \cong \mathcal{L}(D_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(D_2)^{-1}$
3. $D_1 \sim D_2$ (linearly equivalence) if and only if $\mathcal{L}(D_1) \cong \mathcal{L}(D_2)$

Proof.

1. The map $\mathcal{O}_{U_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(D)|_{U_i}$ defined by $1 \mapsto f_i^{-1}$ is the isomorphism, so $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is an invertible sheaf. Conversely, D can be recovered from $\mathcal{L}(D)$ by f_i on U_i to be the inverse of a generator for $\mathcal{L}(D)(U_i)$.
2. If $D_1 = \{(U_i, f_i)\}$ and $D_2 = \{(V_i, g_i)\}$, then $\mathcal{L}(D_1 - D_2)$ on $U_i \cap V_j$ is generated by $f_i^{-1}g_j$. So $\mathcal{L}(D_1 - D_2) \cong \mathcal{L}(D_1) \otimes \mathcal{L}(D_2)^{-1}$.
3. By part 2. it is sufficient to show that $D = D_1 - D_2$ is principal if and only if $\mathcal{L}(D) \cong \mathcal{O}_X$. If D is principal, defined by $f \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$, then $\mathcal{L}(D)$ is globally generated by f^{-1} , so $1 \rightarrow f^{-1}$ is the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{L}(D)$.

□

So we have a 1-1 correspondence from $\text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow$ isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves.

3 Morphisms to \mathbb{P}^n

On \mathbb{P}^n , the homogeneous coordinates $x_0 : \dots : x_n$ give the standard cover $\{U_i := (x_i \neq 0)\}$ and on U_i , x_i^{-1} is a local generator for the sheaf $\mathcal{O}(1)$. For any (projective) variety X , let $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$. Then $\mathcal{L} = \phi^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$ is an invertible sheaf on X . The global sections s_0, \dots, s_n ($s_i := \phi^*(x_i)$), $s_i \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ “generate” the sheaf \mathcal{L} . Conversely, \mathcal{L} and s_i determines ϕ .

Theorem 3.1.

1. If $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is a morphism, then $\phi^*(\mathcal{O}(1))$ is an invertible sheaf generated by global sections $s_i = \phi^*(x_i)$
2. Any invertible sheaf \mathcal{L} on X determines a unique morphism $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$

Proof.

1. From Above
2. Lengthy argument in Hartshorne, pg 150

□

Proposition 3.2. Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let X be a variety, and $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ be a morphism corresponding to \mathcal{L} and s_0, \dots, s_n be as above. Let $V \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L})$ be a subspace spanned by $s_i = \phi^*(x_i)$. Then ϕ is a closed immersion if and only if:

1. Elements of V “separate points”, i.e., for any $P \neq Q$ on X , exists $s \in V$ with $s \in m_P \mathcal{L}_P$ but $s \notin m_Q \mathcal{L}_Q$.
2. Elements of V “separate tangent vectors”, i.e., for each points $P \in X$, the set of $\{s \in V : s_P \in m_P \mathcal{L}_P\}$ span the vector space $m_P \mathcal{L}_P / m_P^2 \mathcal{L}_P$.

Proof. (Only proving \Rightarrow) If ϕ is a closed immersion, think of X as a closed subvariety of \mathbb{P}^n . So $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_X(1)$ and the vector space $V \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$ is spanned by the images of $x_0, \dots, x_n \in \Gamma(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}(1))$. Given $P \neq Q$ in X , we can find a hyperplane H containing P and not Q . If $H = (\sum a_i x_i = 0)$ for $a_i \in k$, then $s = \sum a_i x_i|_X$ satisfies the first property. For the second, each hyperplane passing through P gives rise to sections which generate $m_P \mathcal{L}_P / m_P^2 \mathcal{L}_P$.

Example. If $P = (1 : 0 : \dots : 0)$, then U_0 has local coordinates $y_i = \frac{x_i}{x_0}$, so $P = (0, \dots, 0) \in U_i$ and m_P / m_P^2 is the vector space spanned by y_i .

□

So we have a 1-1 correspondences $\text{Pic}(X) \leftrightarrow$ isomorphism classes of invertible sheaves \leftrightarrow morphisms to \mathbb{P}^n .

4 Linear systems of Divisors

Definition 4.1. A *complete linear system* $|D_0|$ on a non-singular projective variety is the set of all effective divisors linearly equivalent to D_0 .

That is $|D_0|$ is in 1-1 correspondence to this set: $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0)) \setminus \{0\} / k^*$, i.e., $|D_0|$ “is” a projective space.

Definition 4.2. A *linear system* δ on X is a subset of a complete linear system $|D_0|$ which is a linear subspace for $|D_0|$

That is, δ is a sub-vector space of $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0))$

Definition 4.3. A point $P \in X$ is a *base point* for a linear subsystem δ if $P \in \text{Supp}(D)$ for every $D \in \delta$. (Where $\text{Supp}(D)$ is the set of all prime divisors whose coefficient is non-zero)

Lemma 4.4. Let δ be a linear system on X corresponding to the subspace $V \subset \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0))$. Then a point $P \in X$ is a base point of δ if and only if $s_p \in m_P \mathcal{L}_P$ for all $s \in V$. In particular, δ is base point-free if and only if $\mathcal{L}(D_0)$ is generated by global sections in V .

Proof. This follows from the fact that for every $s \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}(D_0))$, $s \mapsto D(U_i, \phi_i(s))$, (where $\phi_i : \mathcal{L}(D_0)(U_i) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_X(U_i)$) and D is an effective divisors on X . So the support of D is the complement of the open set $X_s := \{x \in X \mid s_x \notin m_x \mathcal{L}(D_0)\}$. □

Remark. We can use this to rephrase Prop 3.2 in terms of linear systems (without base points). $\phi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is a closed immersion if and only if

1. δ “separates points”, i.e., for all $P \neq Q$, $\exists D \in \delta$ with $P \in \text{Supp} D$ and $Q \notin \text{Supp} D$
2. δ “separates tangent vectors”, i.e., if $P \in X$ and $t \in m_P / m_P^2$ (is a tangent vector) then there exists $D \in \delta$ such that $P \in \text{Supp} D$ but $t \in (m_{P,D} / m_{P,D}^2)$ where we consider $D \subset X$ as a closed subvariety.