

# A different proof of a finite version of Vinogradov's bilinear sum inequality (NOTES)

Adam J Harper

16th October 2011

## Abstract

We give a different proof of a finite version of Vinogradov's bilinear sum inequality, which is perhaps simpler than the proof in a recent preprint of Bourgain, Sarnak and Ziegler (although our proof yields a poorer bound). Our proof essentially follows an argument of Katai concerning exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients.

## 1 Introduction

In these brief notes we shall prove the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $F$  and  $v$  be number-theoretic functions taking values in the complex unit disc, and suppose additionally that  $v$  is multiplicative. Let  $\tau > 0$  be a small parameter, and suppose that for any distinct primes  $p_1, p_2 \leq e^{1/\tau}$ , and for all  $M \geq M_\tau$ , we have*

$$\left| \sum_{m \leq M} F(p_1 m) \overline{F(p_2 m)} \right| \leq \tau M.$$

Then

$$\left| \sum_{n \leq N} v(n) F(n) \right| \leq \frac{N}{\sqrt{\log(1/\tau) + O(1)}} + O\left( \frac{N}{\log(1/\tau)} + \sqrt{N e^{1/\tau}} + M_\tau e^{1/\tau} \right).$$

Theorem 1 corresponds closely to Theorem 2 of Bourgain, Sarnak and Ziegler [1], except that they have the stronger bound  $2\sqrt{\tau \log(1/\tau)}N$  for the sum over  $n$  (if  $N$  is large enough). However, the proof given by Bourgain, Sarnak and Ziegler involves a bit fiddly decomposition of the integers less than  $N$ , whereas our proof of Theorem 1 will be fairly short and easy.

Our proof of Theorem 1 is not very new— in fact it corresponds almost exactly to an argument of Katai [2] concerning exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients, the only real difference being that we need to introduce a dyadic decomposition to obtain an acceptable bound under our hypotheses. The proof is

also clearly related to an argument of Montgomery and Vaughan [3] concerning exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients, and no doubt also to many other arguments in that area. As with the proof of Bourgain, Sarnak and Ziegler [1], the aim is just to introduce a double summation in place of the single sum over  $n$ , which will allow one to use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality to remove the unknown weight function  $v$  and apply the hypothesis about  $F$ .

## 2 A lemma from probabilistic number theory

To prove Theorem 1 we shall require a lemma concerning the additive function

$$\omega_\tau(n) := \sum_{\substack{p|n, \\ p \leq e^{1/\tau}}} 1.$$

**Lemma 1.** *Define  $\mu_\tau := \sum_{p \leq e^{1/\tau}} 1/p$ , and let  $N$  be any natural number. Then we have the following variance estimate:*

$$\sum_{n \leq N} (\omega_\tau(n) - \mu_\tau)^2 \leq N\mu_\tau + O(e^{1/\tau}).$$

Lemma 1 is a special case of the Turán–Kubilius inequality, but since the proof is just a short calculation we shall give it in full. Expanding the sum in the statement we obtain

$$\sum_{p, q \leq e^{1/\tau}} \sum_{n \leq N} \mathbf{1}_{p, q | n} - 2\mu_\tau \sum_{p \leq e^{1/\tau}} [N/p] + N\mu_\tau^2,$$

and on removing the square brackets, and paying attention to the diagonal contribution in the double sum, we see that is at most

$$\sum_{p, q \leq e^{1/\tau}} [N/pq] - N\mu_\tau^2 + N\mu_\tau + 2\mu_\tau \pi(e^{1/\tau}),$$

which is certainly at most  $N\mu_\tau + O(e^{1/\tau})$ .

## 3 Proof of Theorem 1

In view of Lemma 1 and the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} v(n)F(n) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{\mu_\tau} \sum_{n \leq N} v(n)F(n) \sum_{\substack{p|n, \\ p \leq e^{1/\tau}}} 1 + \sum_{n \leq N} v(n)F(n) \frac{\mu_\tau - \omega_\tau(n)}{\mu_\tau} \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\mu_\tau} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} v(n)F(n) \sum_{\substack{p|n, \\ p \leq e^{1/\tau}}} 1 \right| + \sqrt{N(N\mu_\tau + O(e^{1/\tau}))/\mu_\tau^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $v$  is multiplicative, and  $|v(mp) - v(m)v(p)| \leq 2$  in any case,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} v(n)F(n) \sum_{\substack{p|n, \\ p \leq e^{1/\tau}}} 1 \right| &\leq \left| \sum_{\substack{mp \leq N, \\ p \leq e^{1/\tau}, \\ m \geq M_\tau}} v(m)v(p)F(mp) \right| + \sum_{n \leq N} \sum_{\substack{p^2|n, \\ p \leq e^{1/\tau}}} 2 + M_\tau e^{1/\tau} \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{j \geq 0, \\ 2^j \geq M_\tau}} \left| \sum_{2^j \leq m < 2^{j+1}} v(m) \sum_{p \leq \min\{e^{1/\tau}, N/m\}} v(p)F(mp) \right| + O(N + M_\tau e^{1/\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

Then using the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality, for any fixed  $j$  the inner sums have size at most

$$\sqrt{2^j \sum_{2^j \leq m < 2^{j+1}} \left| \sum_{p \leq \min\{e^{1/\tau}, N/m\}} v(p)F(mp) \right|^2} \leq \sqrt{2^j \sum_{p_1, p_2 \leq \min\{e^{1/\tau}, N/2^j\}} \left| \sum_{\substack{2^j \leq m < 2^{j+1}, \\ m \leq \min\{N/p_1, N/p_2\}}} F(mp_1)\overline{F(mp_2)} \right|^2}.$$

Here the contribution from the diagonal terms (where  $p_1 = p_2$ ) is at most  $2^j \sqrt{\pi(\min\{e^{1/\tau}, N/2^j\})}$ , and in view of the hypotheses of Theorem 1 the contribution from the other terms is at most

$$\sqrt{2^j \tau (2^j + 2^{j+1}) \sum_{\substack{p_1, p_2 \leq \min\{e^{1/\tau}, N/2^j\}, \\ p_1 \neq p_2}} 1}.$$

If we now sum over  $j$ , using Chebychev-type estimates for the prime counting function, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} v(n)F(n) \sum_{\substack{p|n, \\ p \leq e^{1/\tau}}} 1 \right| &\ll \sum_{2^j \leq \frac{N}{e^{1/\tau}}} 2^j \tau^{3/2} e^{1/\tau} + \sum_{\frac{N}{e^{1/\tau}} \leq 2^j \leq 2N} \sqrt{\frac{N2^j}{\log(N/2^j + 1)} + \frac{\tau N^2}{\log^2(N/2^j + 1)}} \\ &\quad + N + M_\tau e^{1/\tau} \\ &\ll \tau^{3/2} N + N + N\sqrt{\tau} \log(1/\tau) + N + M_\tau e^{1/\tau}. \end{aligned}$$

The above is all  $\ll N + M_\tau e^{1/\tau}$ , and Theorem 1 follows on recalling that we must divide by  $\mu_\tau \gg \log(1/\tau)$  to obtain the contribution to our final bound.

## References

- [1] J. Bourgain, P. Sarnak, T. Ziegler. Disjointness of Möbius from horocycle flows. *Preprint*. 2011
- [2] I. Katai. A remark on a theorem of H. Daboussi. *Acta Math. Hung.*, **47**, pp 223-225. 1986
- [3] H. Montgomery, R. Vaughan. Exponential sums with multiplicative coefficients. *Invent. Math.*, **43**, no. 1, pp 69-82. 1977