

Dimensions and Embeddings in Analysis  
TCC Lectures, Spring 2018

James C. Robinson  
University of Warwick

March 11, 2018

# 1 Lebesgue covering dimension

Let  $X$  be a topological space.

A *covering*  $\alpha$  of  $X$  is a finite collection  $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^r$  of open sets such that

$$X = \bigcup_{j=1}^r U_j.$$

The *order* of a covering is  $n$  if the largest number of elements in the cover that have non-empty intersection is  $n + 1$ .

Another covering  $\beta$  of  $X$  is a *refinement* of  $\alpha$  if every element of  $\beta$  is contained some element of  $\alpha$ .

**Definition 1.** We say that  $\dim(X) \leq n$  if every covering has a refinement of order  $\leq n$ , and set

$$\dim(X) = \min\{n : \dim(X) \leq n\}.$$

Note that  $\dim(X)$  is integer valued and is a topological property, i.e. invariant under homeomorphisms.

For on this definition and its elementary properties see Hurewicz & Wallman (1941) and Munkres (2000).

We now restrict our attention to a compact metric space  $(X, d)$ ; we prove our first embedding result in this context. We use an equivalent definition of the dimension, but first we need an auxiliary definition.

Given  $U \subset X$  the diameter of  $U$  is given by

$$\text{diam}(U) = |U| = \sup_{u,v \in U} d(u, v).$$

The *mesh size* of a covering is the largest diameter of elements of the covering.

**Lemma 2.** If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space then  $\dim(X) \leq n$  if and only if there exists coverings of order  $\leq n$  with arbitrarily small mesh size.

*Proof.* (Exercise)  $\Rightarrow$  use compactness of  $X$  and cover with balls of radius  $\epsilon/2$ , take a finite sub-cover, and then a refinement.

$\Leftarrow$  Show that any covering  $\alpha$  has a ‘Lebesgue number’: there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that any subset  $A$  of  $X$  with  $|A| < \eta$  is entirely contained in some element of  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

We will use the Baire Category Theorem to prove the following result. We say that a map from  $(X, d)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is an embedding if it is a homeomorphism between  $X$  and its image.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space with  $\dim(X) \leq d$ . Then a residual set of maps in  $C(X; \mathbb{R}^{2d+1})$  are embeddings of  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2d+1}$ .*

Before we begin the proof, another definition. We say that  $g \in C(X; \mathbb{R}^k)$  is an  $\epsilon$ -mapping if

$$\text{diam}(g^{-1}(y)) < \epsilon \quad \text{for every } y \in g(X),$$

i.e. if  $g(x) = g(x')$  implies that  $d(x, x') < \epsilon$ .

**Lemma 4.** *If  $g \in C(X; \mathbb{R}^k)$  is a  $1/n$ -mapping for every  $n$  then  $g$  is a homeomorphism.*

*Proof.* We give what is essentially a topological proof.

First, observe that in this case  $g$  is injective. So it is an injective map from the compact space  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . So we can define  $g^{-1}: g(X) \rightarrow X$ , and  $g$  is continuous iff  $g$  maps closed sets to closed sets.

Let  $K$  be a closed subset of  $X$ . Since  $X$  is compact,  $K$  is compact. Then  $g(K)$  is compact, since  $g$  is continuous, and so  $g(K)$  is closed. So  $g^{-1}$  is continuous and  $g$  is a homeomorphism.  $\square$

Our strategy of proof is therefore to show that for  $k$  sufficiently large the set

$$\mathcal{F}_\epsilon^k = \{f \in C(X; \mathbb{R}^k) : f \text{ is an } \epsilon\text{-mapping}\}$$

is open and dense in  $C(X; \mathbb{R}^k)$ ; we use the sup metric on  $C(X; \mathbb{R}^k)$ , i.e.

$$\rho(f, g) = \|f - g\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x) - g(x)|.$$

**Lemma 5.** *The set  $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^k$  is open in  $C(X; \mathbb{R}^k)$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $g \in \mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^k$ . Noting that

$$Z = \{(x, x') \in X \times X : d(x, x') \geq \varepsilon\}$$

is compact and that  $h: Z \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$h(x, x') = |g(x) - g(x')|$$

is continuous, since  $h > 0$  on  $Z$  it follows that  $h(x, x') \geq \delta$  for some  $\delta > 0$ , for every  $(x, x') \in Z$ .

Now suppose that  $f \in C(X; \mathbb{R}^k)$  with  $\|f - g\|_\infty < \delta/2$ . If  $f(x) = f(x')$  then

$$|g(x) - g(x')| < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad d(x, x') < \varepsilon,$$

and so  $f$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -mapping. This shows that  $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^k$  is open, as claimed.  $\square$

To show that  $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^k$  is dense when  $k$  is large enough we need more ideas/definitions.

**Definition 6.** *We say that a set of points  $\{x_0, \dots, x_N\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is geometrically independent if*

$$\sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_i x_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_i = 0$$

*implies that  $\alpha_i = 0$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N$ .*

This is the same as saying that for any  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^N$  we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i (x_i - x_0) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha_i = 0 \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \dots, N,$$

i.e. the vectors  $\{x_i - x_0\}_{i=1}^N$  are linearly independent.

We can use any set of points  $\{x_0, \dots, x_N\}$  to ‘generate a hyperplane’  $P$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \left\{ x = \sum_{i=0}^N t_i x_i \quad \text{where} \quad \sum_{i=0}^N t_i = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ x = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^N a_i (x_i - x_0) \quad \text{for any } a_i, i = 1, \dots, N \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $N < k$  then  $P$  has empty interior (and zero measure) and if  $y \notin P$  then

$$(x_0, \dots, x_N, y)$$

are geometrically independent.

**Definition 7.** *A set of points in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  is in general position if any subset of  $\leq k + 1$  points is geometrically independent.*

The following lemma is the key to showing the density of  $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^k$ .

**Lemma 8.** *Given  $\{x_1, \dots, x_N\} \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and  $\delta > 0$ , there exists a collection  $\{y_1, \dots, y_N\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k$  that is in general position and  $|y_j - x_j| < \delta$  for every  $j = 1, \dots, N$ .*

*Proof.* We argue by induction, taking  $y_1 = x_1$ .

If  $(y_1, \dots, y_m)$  are in general position, consider all the hyperplanes determined by  $\leq k$  elements. Each of these has empty interior/zero measure, and so their union has empty interior/zero measure. So certainly there exists  $y_{m+1}$  such that  $|y_{m+1} - x_{m+1}| < \delta$  and  $y_{m+1}$  is not contained in any of these hyperplanes.

We claim that  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{m+1}\}$  are in general position. Take  $k + 1$  of the  $\{y_j\}$ : if none of these are  $y_{m+1}$  then they are geometrically independent, since they come from the points  $\{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ , which are in general position; if one is  $y_{m+1}$  then they are in general position, since  $y_{m+1}$  is not in the hyperplane generated by the other  $k$  elements.  $\square$

We are now in a position to prove the density result we need.

**Proposition 9.** *If  $(X, d)$  is compact and  $\dim(X) \leq n$  then  $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon^{2n+1}$  is dense in  $C(X; \mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ .*

*Proof.* Take  $f \in C(X; \mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$  and  $\eta > 0$ .

Since  $X$  is compact the function  $f$  is uniformly continuous, so there exists  $\delta < \varepsilon$  such that

$$d(x, x') < \delta \quad \Rightarrow \quad |f(x) - f(x')| < \frac{\eta}{2}. \quad (1)$$

Since  $\dim(X) \leq n$ , there exists a covering  $\{U_j\}_{j=1}^r$  of  $X$  with  $|U_j| < \delta$  and of order  $\leq n$ , i.e. any point in  $X$  is in at least one, and at most  $n + 1$ , of the  $\{U_j\}$ .

It follows from (1) that

$$\text{diam}(f(U_j)) < \eta/2 \quad \text{for all } j = 1, \dots, r.$$

Now use Lemma to find points  $\{p_j\}$  that are in general position in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  and satisfy

$$\text{dist}(p_j, f(U_j)) < \eta/2.$$

Define  $w_i(x) = \text{dist}(x, X \setminus U_i)$ , which is continuous for every  $i$ ; then  $w_i(x) > 0$  if and only if  $x \in U_i$ . Since  $w_i(x) > 0$  for at least index  $i$ , and at most  $n + 1$ ,

$$0 < \sum_i w_i(x) < \infty$$

for every  $x \in X$ , and so

$$\phi_i(x) := \frac{w_i(x)}{\sum_j w_j(x)}$$

is well defined and satisfies  $\sum_j \phi_j(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in X$ .

Now set

$$g(x) = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i(x) p_i,$$

which is an element of  $C(X; \mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$  that, for any  $x \in X$ , satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - g(x)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i(x) (p_i - f(x)) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i(x) |p_i - f(x)| \\ &\leq \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i(x) \right\} \eta = \eta, \end{aligned}$$

using repeatedly the fact that  $\sum_i \phi_i(x) = 1$ . It follows that  $\|f - g\|_\infty < \eta$ .

It remains only to show that  $g$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -mapping. So suppose that  $g(x) = g(x')$ ; then

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i(x)p_i = \sum_{i=1}^r \phi_i(x')p_i$$

and so

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \underbrace{(\phi_i(x) - \phi_i(x'))}_{:=\alpha_i} p_i = 0.$$

Now, recall that there are at most  $n + 1$  values of  $i$  for which  $\phi_i(x) \neq 0$ ; and at most  $n + 1$  values for which  $\phi_i(x') \neq 0$ . So at most  $2n + 2$  of the  $\alpha_i$  are non-zero.

Observing that  $\sum_i \alpha_i = \sum_i \phi_i(x) - \sum_i \phi_i(x') = 0$ , it follows from the fact that the  $2n + 2$  points  $\{p_i\}$  are in general position in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  that we must have  $\alpha_i = 0$  for every  $i$ , i.e.  $\phi_i(x) = \phi_i(x')$  for every  $i$ .

Thus if  $g(x) = g(x')$  and  $x \in U_i$ , so that  $\phi_i(x) \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\phi_i(x') \neq 0$  and  $x' \in U_i$ ; since  $\text{diam}(U_i) < \delta < \varepsilon$ , we must have  $d(x, x') < \varepsilon$  and so  $g$  is an  $\varepsilon$ -mapping.  $\square$

Now the proof of Theorem 3 is straightforward: the set

$$\bigcap_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{1/m}^{2n+1},$$

which we have already observed consists of embeddings of  $(X, d)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ , is a countable intersection of open and dense sets, and so forms a residual (in particular dense) subset of  $C(X; \mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ , by the Baire Category Theorem.

To conclude this section, observe that any compact metric space  $(X, d)$  that is homeomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$  must have  $\dim(X) \leq 2n + 1 < \infty$ , so the existence of a homeomorphism onto a subset of some finite-dimensional Euclidean space characterises compact metric spaces with finite Lebesgue covering dimension.

## 2 Hausdorff dimension

The Hausdorff measure of a metric space  $(X, d)$  (or a subset of  $(X, d)$ ) is defined as follows. First, set

$$\mathcal{H}_\delta^s(X) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |U_i|^s : X \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i, |U_i| \leq \delta \right\},$$

and then put

$$\mathcal{H}^s(X) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{H}_\delta^s(X).$$

Defined in this way  $\mathcal{H}^s$  is an (outer) measure on subsets of  $(X, d)$ .

In  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^n$  is proportional to  $\mathcal{L}^n$  (Lebesgue measure).

The definition of the Hausdorff dimension is based on the following simple observation: if  $\mathcal{H}^s(X) < \infty$ , then for any  $s' > s$  we have  $\mathcal{H}^{s'}(X) = 0$ . Indeed, for every  $\delta > 0$  there is a cover of  $X$  by sets  $\{U_i\}$  with diameters  $\leq \delta$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |B_j|^s \leq \mathcal{H}^s(X) + 1.$$

So for  $s' > s$  we can use the same cover to show that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |B_j|^{s'} \leq \delta^{s'-s} \sum_j |B_j|^s \leq \delta^{s'-s} [\mathcal{H}^s(X) + 1],$$

from which it follows that  $\mathcal{H}^{s'}(X) = 0$ .

**Definition 10.** We set

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X) = \inf \{s \geq 0 : \mathcal{H}^s(X) = 0\}.$$

Because of the way it is defined, we do not actually need to have a definition of the Hausdorff measure to define the Hausdorff dimension, apart from the fact given in the following lemma.

**Lemma 11.**  $\mathcal{H}^s(X) = 0$  if and only if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a countable covering  $\{U_i\}$  of  $X$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |U_j|^s < \varepsilon. \tag{2}$$

*Proof.*  $\Rightarrow$  is immediate from the definition of  $\mathcal{H}^s$ . Conversely, given any  $\delta > 0$  choose  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $\varepsilon^{1/s} < \delta$  and find a covering that satisfies (2); then every element in the cover satisfies  $|U_j|^s < \varepsilon$  and so  $|U_j| < \varepsilon^{1/s} < \delta$ .  $\square$

We can now prove some elementary properties of the Hausdorff dimension.

**Proposition 12.** (i) If  $A \subset X$  then  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$ ;

(ii) if  $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset X$  then

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}} \left( \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k \right) = \sup_k \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A_k);$$

(iii) if  $f: (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  is  $\theta$ -Hölder continuous, i.e.

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) \leq Cd(x, y)^{\theta}$$

then  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(f(X)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X)/\theta$ ; and

(iv) if  $U$  is a subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(U) \leq n$ ; if  $U$  contains an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  then  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(U) = n$ .

*Proof.* (i) is immediate from the definition.

(ii) If the supremum is  $\infty$  there is nothing to prove. Otherwise let  $\sigma = \sup_k \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A_k)$ ; then for any  $s > \sigma$  we can find countable covers  $\{U_j^{(k)}\}$  of the  $A_k$  such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |U_j^{(k)}|^s < 2^{-k} \varepsilon \quad \Rightarrow \quad \sum_{j,k} |U_j^{(k)}|^s < \varepsilon,$$

and so  $\mathcal{H}^s(\text{union}) = 0$ . It follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\text{union}) \leq \sigma$ , and it follows from (i) that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\text{union}) \geq \sigma$ .

(iii) Take  $s > \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$ ; then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\{U_j\}$  such that

$$\sum_j |U_j|^s < \varepsilon.$$

Under  $f$ , we have  $|f(U_j)| \leq C|U_j|^\theta$ , and  $\{f(U_j)\}$  cover  $f(X)$ , such that

$$\sum_j |f(U_j)|^{s/\theta} < C^s \varepsilon.$$

It follows  $\mathcal{H}^{s/\theta}(f(X)) = 0$ , and therefore  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(f(X)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X)/\theta$ .

(iv) Any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is contained in the union of a countable number of unit cubes; by parts (i) and (ii) it is therefore enough to show that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(Q) \leq n$ , where  $Q = [0, 1]^n$ .

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the cube  $Q$  can certainly be covered by  $\varepsilon^{-n}$  cubes of sides  $2\varepsilon$ ; for this cover

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\varepsilon^{-n}} |U_j|^s \leq \varepsilon^{-n} (2\sqrt{n}\varepsilon)^s = (2\sqrt{n})^s \varepsilon^{s-n},$$

and so  $\mathcal{H}^s(Q) = 0$  for every  $s > n$ . It follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(Q) \leq n$ .

If  $U$  contains an open set, then it contains an open ball  $B$ . If  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(U) < n$  then  $\mathcal{H}^n(U) = 0$ , and so  $\mathcal{H}^n(B) = 0$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $B$  can be covered by sets  $U_j$  such that

$$\sum |U_j|^n < \varepsilon.$$

Since any set  $U$  can be covered by a ball of radius  $2|U|$ , it follows that  $B$  can be covered by balls  $B_j$  such that

$$\sum \mu(B_j) < C \sum 2^n |U_j|^n < C 2^n \varepsilon$$

for any  $\varepsilon$ , i.e.  $\mu(B) = 0$ . But this is impossible, so  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(U) = n$ .  $\square$

We now want to show that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \geq \dim(X)$ . To do this we first need another result about the covering dimension.

**Lemma 13.** *Suppose that for every open cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_{n+2}\}$  of  $X$  there is a cover of  $X$  by closed sets  $\{F_1, \dots, F_{n+2}\}$  such that  $F_j \subseteq U_j$  and  $\cap_j F_j = \emptyset$ . Then  $\dim(X) \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* First we show that the same holds if we replace the closed sets  $F_j$  by open sets  $V_j$ .

Observe that

$$F_1 \subset U_1 \cap \{X \setminus \bigcap_{i=2}^{n+2} F_i\}, \text{ which is open.}$$

It follows that there is an open set  $V_1$  such that

$$F_1 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \overline{V_1} \subseteq U_1 \cap \{X \setminus \bigcap_{i=2}^{n+2} F_i\}.$$

Clearly  $\{V_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n+2}\}$  is still a cover;  $V_1 \subseteq \overline{V_1} \subseteq U_1$ ; and

$$V_1 \cap \bigcap_{i=2}^{n+2} F_i = \overline{V_1} \cap \bigcap_{i=2}^{n+2} F_i = \emptyset.$$

From this it follows that

$$F_2 \subseteq U_2 \cap \left\{ X \setminus \left( \overline{V_1} \cap \bigcap_{i=3}^{n+2} F_i \right) \right\},$$

and so we can find an open set  $V_2$  such that

$$F_2 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \overline{V_2} \subseteq U_2 \cap \left\{ X \setminus \left( \overline{V_1} \cap \bigcap_{i=3}^{n+2} F_i \right) \right\}.$$

Now  $\{V_1, V_2, U_3, \dots, U_{n+2}\}$  is still a cover;  $V_2 \subset \overline{V_2} \subset U_2$ ; and

$$\overline{V_1} \cap \overline{V_2} \cap \bigcap_{i=3}^{n+2} F_i = \emptyset.$$

We can continue in this way to obtain  $\{V_1, \dots, V_{n+2}\}$ , open sets with the required property.

We now show that this implies that  $\dim(X) \leq n$ .

Take an open cover  $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$  of  $X$ . If  $k \geq n + 1$  then this is a cover of order  $\leq n$ ; so assume that  $k \geq n + 2$ .

We will apply the following construction to every  $n + 2$ -element subset.

Set

$$W_j = \begin{cases} U_j & j = 1, \dots, n+1 \\ \bigcup_{i=n+2}^k U_i & j = n+2. \end{cases}$$

These sets  $\{W_j\}_{j=1}^{n+2}$  cover  $X$ , and so there exists a cover of  $X$  by open sets  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^{n+2}$  such that  $V_i \subseteq W_i$  and  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+2} V_i = \emptyset$ .

Now set

$$U'_j = \begin{cases} V_j & j = 1, \dots, n+1 \\ U_j \cap V_{n+2} & j \geq n+2. \end{cases}$$

Then  $\{U'_j\}$  is a new cover of  $X$  by open sets, such that

$$U'_j \subseteq U_j \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} U'_j \cap U'_i = \emptyset$$

for every  $i \geq n+2$ .

In this way we end up with a refinement of the original covering of order  $\leq n$ , whence  $\dim(X) \leq n$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space. Then  $\dim(X) \leq \dim_{\text{H}}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\dim(X) = n$ . Then it is not true that  $\dim(X) \leq n-1$ .

So there exists an open cover  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^{n+1}$  of  $X$  such that any family of closed sets  $\{F_i\}$ ,  $F_i \subseteq U_i$ , that cover  $X$ , must have non-empty intersection,  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} F_i \neq \emptyset$ .

Give this cover, let  $w_i(x) = \text{dist}(x, X \setminus U_i)$ , so that (as in the embedding proof)  $w_i(x) \neq 0$  if and only if  $x \in U_i$ . For each  $x \in X$  we know that  $w_i(x) \neq 0$  for at least one index  $i$ , since the  $\{U_i\}$  cover  $X$ .

Note that  $w_i$  is Lipschitz continuous with

$$|w_i(x) - w_i(y)| \leq d(x, y),$$

and so  $\sum_i w_i(x)$  is also Lipschitz continuous,

$$\left| \sum_i w_i(x) - \sum_i w_i(y) \right| \leq (n+1)d(x, y).$$

Since  $\sum_i w_i(x) > 0$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $X$  is compact, it follows that there is some  $\gamma > 0$  such that  $\sum_i w_i(x) \geq \gamma$  for all  $x \in X$ . It follows that

$$x \mapsto \phi_i(x) := \frac{w_i(x)}{\sum_j w_j(x)}$$

is Lipschitz continuous for each  $i$ . Note that  $\sum_i \phi_i(x) = 1$  for every  $x \in X$ .

Since each  $\phi_i(x)$  is Lipschitz continuous, the map  $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  defined by

$$\Phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_{n+1}(x))$$

is also Lipschitz. It follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\Phi(X)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X)$ .

We now show that  $\Phi(X)$  contains

$$T = \{(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) : t_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} t_i = 1\}.$$

Noting that the set  $T$  is the image of the open set

$$\{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n : 0 < \sum_{i=1}^n t_i < 1\}$$

under the Lipschitz map

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_n, 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n t_i)$$

it follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(T) = n$ . So if we can show that  $T \subset \Phi(X)$  we will have shown that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\Phi(X)) \geq n = \dim(X)$ .

To show this, we take  $\underline{t} \in T$  and show that  $\underline{t} \in \Phi(X)$ . We consider the sets

$$F_i = \{x \in X : \phi_i(x) \geq t_i\}.$$

These sets are closed,  $F_i \subseteq U_i$ , and  $\cup_i F_i = X$ . To see this final equality, suppose not: then there would exist some  $x \in X$  such that  $\phi_i(x) < t_i$  for every  $i$ ; but then, since  $\sum_i t_i = 1$ , we would have  $\sum_i \phi_i(x) < 1$ , a contradiction.

Now, we chose our initial open cover  $\{U_i\}$  such that any such collection of closed sets must have non-empty intersection, so  $\cap F_i \neq \emptyset$ . In particular, there exists a point  $x \in X$  such that

$$\phi_i(x) \geq t_i \quad i = 1, \dots, n + 1.$$

Since  $\sum_i \phi_i(x) = 1$  and  $\sum_i t_i = 1$  it follows that  $\phi_i(x) = t_i$  for every  $i$ , which shows that  $\Phi(x) = \underline{t}$  as required.  $\square$

By combining this result with our first embedding theorem (Theorem 3) we immediately obtain an embedding result in terms of this Hausdorff dimension.

**Theorem 15.** *If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space with  $\dim_{\text{H}}(X) \leq n$  then a residual set of maps in  $C(X; \mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$  provide embeddings of  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2n+1}$ .*

With a little more work one can obtain the following interesting corollary of Theorem 14; for a proof see Theorem VII.4 in Hurewicz & Wallman or Theorem 2.12 in Robinson.

**Theorem 16.** *If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space and  $\dim(X) = n$  then  $\dim_{\text{H}}(h(X)) = n$  for a residual set of maps  $h \in C(X; \mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ .*

### 3 Isometric embeddings into Banach spaces and linear embeddings into Euclidean spaces

For the next few lectures we will switch from looking at arbitrary metric spaces to subsets of Banach and/or Hilbert spaces.

#### 3.1 Embedding metric spaces into Banach spaces

In some ways, the study of subsets of Banach spaces is not a restriction: we now give two results showing that any compact metric space can be isometrically mapped onto a subset of a Banach space.

**Lemma 17** (Kuratowski embedding). *If  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space then the mapping*

$$x \mapsto d(x, \cdot)$$

*is an isometry of  $(X, d)$  onto a subset of  $L^\infty(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $(X, d)$  is compact it is bounded, i.e.  $\text{diam}(X) < \infty$ , and then  $d(x, y) \leq \text{diam}(X)$  for every  $y \in X$ , so  $\|d(x, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \leq \text{diam}(X)$ . To show that this mapping is an isometry, first note that

$$|d(x_1, y) - d(x_2, y)| \leq d(x_1, x_2)$$

for every  $y \in X$ , while

$$|d(x_1, x_1) - d(x_2, x_1)| = d(x_1, x_2),$$

and so

$$\|d(x_1, \cdot) - d(x_2, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} = d(x_1, x_2). \quad \square$$

This is a relatively simple isometry, but has the disadvantage that it provides an embedding into a space that depends on  $X$ . An only slightly more involved construction yields an embedding into  $\ell^\infty$ . Note that any compact metric space is separable, since every cover

$$\bigcup_{x \in X} B(x, 1/n)$$

has a finite subcover.

**Lemma 18** (Fréchet embedding). *Let  $(X, d)$  be a separable metric space with  $\{x_j\}_{j=0}^\infty$  a countable dense subset. Then the map*

$$x \mapsto s(x), \quad s_j(x) = d(x, x_j) - d(x_j, x_0), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots$$

*is an isometric embedding of  $(X, d)$  into  $\ell^\infty$ .*

*Proof.* First note that for every  $j$  we have

$$|s_j(x)| = |d(x, x_j) - d(x_j, x_0)| \leq d(x, x_0),$$

and so  $\|s\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq d(x, x_0)$ .

Now,

$$|s_j(x) - s_j(y)| = |d(x, x_j) - d(y, x_j)| \leq d(x, y),$$

which shows that  $\|s(x) - s(y)\|_{\ell^\infty} \leq d(x, y)$ . To prove the opposite inequality, given  $x, y \in X$ , for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  use the density of the  $\{x_j\}$  in  $X$  to find  $k$  such that  $d(y, x_k) < \varepsilon$  and then

$$|s_k(x) - s_k(y)| = |d(x, x_k) - d(y, x_k)| \geq d(x, y) - 2d(y, x_k) > d(x, y) - 2\varepsilon,$$

which implies that  $\|s(x) - s(y)\|_{\ell^\infty} \geq d(x, y)$ .  $\square$

## 3.2 Embedding subsets of Banach spaces into Euclidean spaces

We now prove an embedding theorem for subsets of Banach spaces with  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X - X) < \infty$ ; again we use the Baire Category Theorem. The argument is due to Mañé, with some minor simplifications.

We will need the following lemma in the course of the proof.

**Lemma 19.** *Suppose that  $K$  is a compact subset of a Banach space  $B$  with  $0 \notin K$ . Then there exist  $\{f_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \in B^*$  with  $\|f_j\| = 1$  such that  $f_j(x) = 0$  for every  $j$  implies that  $x \notin K$ .*

*Proof.* Use the compactness of  $K$  to find a countable dense subset  $\{x_j\}$  of  $K$  (as above). Let  $f_j \in X^*$  be the support functional at  $x_j$ , i.e.  $\|f_j\| = 1$  and  $f_j(x_j) = \|x_j\|$ . If  $x \in X$  then find  $k$  such that  $\|x_k - x\| < \|x\|/3$ , and then  $f_k(x) = f_k(x_k) - f_k(x_k - x) \geq \|x_k\| - \|x\|/3 > \|x\|/3 \neq 0$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 20.** *Let  $X$  be a subset of a real Banach space  $B$  and let*

$$X - X := \{x_1 - x_2 : x_1, x_2 \in X\}.$$

*If  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X - X) < d \in \mathbb{N}$  then a residual set of maps in  $L(B; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  are embeddings of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A = [X - X] \setminus \{0\}$ . The key point is that if  $L$  is one-to-one on  $X$  if and only if  $0 \notin LA$ : if  $Lx = Ly$  for some  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  then  $0 \neq x - y \in A$  with  $L(x - y) = 0$ ; if  $La = 0$  for some  $a \in A$  then by definition there exist  $x \neq y$  in  $X$  such that  $a = x - y$  and  $L(x - y) = 0$ .

We set

$$A_r = \{x \in A : \|x\| \geq 1/r\},$$

so that  $A = \bigcup_r A_r$ , and for each  $r$  use Lemma 19 find a countable set of linear functionals  $\{\phi_j^r\}$  such that  $\phi_j^r(x) = 0$  for every  $j$  implies that  $x \notin A_r$ . Note that if  $x \in A_r$  then  $-x \in A_r$ .

We now set

$$A_{r,j,n} = \{x \in A_r : |\phi_j^r(x)| \geq 1/n\}.$$

Note that each  $A_{r,j,n}$  is compact, and since  $0 \notin A_r$  for every  $x \in A_r$  we must have  $\phi_j^r(x) \neq 0$  for some  $j$ , we have

$$A_r = \bigcup_{j,n} A_{r,j,n}.$$

Note again that if  $x \in A_{r,j,n}$  then  $-x \in A_{r,j,n}$ .

We set

$$L_{r,j,n} = \{L \in L(B; \mathbb{R}^{d+1}) : L^{-1}(0) \cap A_{r,j,n} = \emptyset\};$$

then  $\bigcap_{r,j,n} L_{r,j,n}$  consists precisely of those maps for which  $L^{-1}(0) \cap A = \emptyset$ , i.e. the embeddings of  $X$ .

We show that each  $L_{r,j,n}$  is open and dense, and then the Baire Category Theorem will show that the set of embeddings is residual.

Openness is relatively straightforward. Take  $L_0 \in L_{r,j,n}$ ; then since  $A_{r,j,n}$  is compact and  $L_0 u \neq 0$  for every  $u \in A_{r,j,n}$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$|L_0 u| \geq \delta \quad u \in A_{r,j,n}.$$

Since  $A_{r,j,n}$  is compact it is bounded, and so  $\|u\| \leq M$  for all  $u \in A_{r,j,n}$ . Now if  $L \in L(B; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  with  $\|L - L_0\| < \delta/2M$  we have

$$|Lu| = |L_0u + (L - L_0)u| \geq \delta - \|L - L_0\|M > \delta/2 \neq 0.$$

We will use the following observation in our density proof.

Let  $\Phi$  be the map from  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  into the unit sphere  $S_d$  defined by setting

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} x/|x| & x \neq 0 \\ p, & x = 0, \end{cases}$$

for some  $p$  with  $|p| = 1$ .

Notice that  $\Phi$  is a Lipschitz map on every set of the form  $\{x : |x| \geq R\}$ , and for any set  $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1}$  we can write

$$\Phi(W) = p \cup \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \Phi(W \cap \{x : |x| \geq 1/m\}),$$

where we include  $p$  if  $0 \in W$ . Since the Hausdorff dimension is monotonic, non-increasing under Lipschitz maps, and stable under countable unions, it follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\Phi(W)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(W)$ .

Now pick  $L_0 \in L(B; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Using the above, it follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(\Phi(L_0A_{r,j,n})) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(L_0A_{r,j,n}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A_{r,j,n}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) < d.$$

Since  $S_d$  has dimension  $d$ , it follows that there exists a  $z \in S_d$  such that  $z \notin \Phi(L_0A_{r,j,n})$ .

We now set

$$L = L_0 + \varepsilon z \phi_j^r,$$

and show that  $L \in L_{r,j,n}$ . Clearly  $L \in L(B; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ , and  $\|L - L_0\| < \varepsilon$ . It only remains to show that  $L^{-1}(0) \cap A_{r,j,n} = \emptyset$ . If not, there exists  $u \in A_{r,j,n}$  such that  $Lu = 0$ , i.e.

$$L_0u + \varepsilon z \phi_j^r(u) = 0.$$

Since  $u \in A_{r,j,n}$ , we have  $\phi_j^r(u) \geq 1/n$ , and in particular  $\phi_j^r(u) \neq 0$ . We can therefore write

$$z = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon \phi_j^r(u)} L_0u.$$

Now, using the definition of  $\Phi$  it follows since  $z \in S_d$  that

$$z = \Phi(z) = \Phi(\pm L_0 u) \in \Phi(L_0 A_{r,j,n}),$$

but this contradicts the choice of  $z$ .

An application of the Baire Category Theorem completes the proof.  $\square$

There is an unfortunate issue here. While we can start with a metric space  $(X, d)$  and then embed it into a Banach space to yield  $\Psi(X) \subset \ell^\infty$ , it is not clear how to translate a condition on  $\Psi(X) - \Psi(X)$  into an ‘intrinsic’ condition on  $(X, d)$ . This is a very interesting open problem.

One can construct a compact subset  $X$  of a Hilbert space  $H$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X) = 0$  but no linear map from  $H$  into any finite-dimensional Euclidean space can be injective; this was done by Ben-Artzi et al. (1993), by adapting an example due to Kan given in the appendix of the paper by Sauer, Yorke, & Casdagli (1991). Because of the embedding result we have just proved, this example also gives a set for which  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X) = 0$  but  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X - X) = \infty$ .

There is also another intriguing shortcoming of the above result, which is shared by the ‘Fundamental Embedding Theorem’; one cannot say anything about the smoothness of the (continuous) mapping  $L^{-1}: LX \rightarrow X$ , i.e. the parametrisation of  $X$  that is a consequence of the above theorem. To prove this we will use the following two simple (but very nice) lemmas about orthogonal projections and linear maps.

**Lemma 21.** *Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and take  $L \in L(H; \mathbb{R}^k)$  such that  $LH = \mathbb{R}^k$ . Then  $U = (\ker L)^\perp$  has dimension  $k$  and  $L$  can be decomposed uniquely as  $MP$ , where  $P$  is the orthogonal projection onto  $U$  and  $M: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is an invertible linear map.*

*Proof.* Let  $U = (\ker L)^\perp$ . Then  $U$  has dimension at least  $k$ ; if there are  $m > k$  linearly independent elements  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^m$  of  $U$  for which  $Lx_j \neq 0$  then  $\{Lx_j\}_{j=1}^m$  are linearly dependent, so there are  $\alpha_j$ , not identically zero, such that

$$0 = \sum_j \alpha_j Lx_j = L \left( \sum_j \alpha_j x_j \right) \Rightarrow \sum_j \alpha_j x_j \in \ker L \cap (\ker L)^\perp = \{0\}.$$

It follows that the  $\{x_j\}$  are linearly dependent, a contradiction.

Now let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection on to  $U$ , and  $M$  the restriction of  $L$  to  $U$ . Take  $x \in H$ , and write  $x = u + v$ , where  $u \in U$  and  $v \in \ker L$  (this decomposition is unique). Now

$$Lx = Lu = Mu = M(Px).$$

It remains only to show that  $M$  is invertible; but this is clear since  $\dim U = \dim \mathbb{R}^k = k$  and  $M$  is linear.  $\square$

**Lemma 22.** *Let  $P$  be any orthogonal projection in  $H$ , and  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$  any orthonormal subset of  $H$ . Then*

$$\text{rank } P \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Pe_j\|^2,$$

with equality if  $\{e_j\}$  is a basis for  $H$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $P$  has rank  $k$ . Then there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  for  $PH$ , so that for any  $x \in H$  we have

$$Px = \sum_{j=1}^k (x, u_j) u_j.$$

In particular,  $Pe_j = \sum_{i=1}^k (e_j, u_i) u_i$ , so that

$$\|Pe_j\|^2 = (Pe_j, Pe_j) = (Pe_j, e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^k (e_j, u_i)(u_i, e_j) = \sum_{i=1}^k |(e_j, u_i)|^2.$$

It follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Pe_j\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^k |(e_j, u_i)|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |(e_j, u_i)|^2 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|u_j\|^2 = k. \quad \square$$

We now show that we cannot find a modulus of continuity that works in Theorem 15.

Let  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  have  $f(0) = 0$ . We show that we can find a compact set  $X \subset H$  with  $0 \in X$  and  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X - X) = 0$  but such that the inequality

$$\|Px\| \geq \varepsilon f(\|x\|) \quad \text{for all } x \in X \quad (3)$$

cannot hold for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  or any finite rank projection  $P$ . Since  $0 \in X$ , this shows that there is no ‘general’ modulus of continuity possible under any condition on the Hausdorff dimension of  $X - X$  if we require our embedding map to be linear.

The set  $X$  will be an orthogonal sequence of the form  $\{\alpha_n e_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\{e_n\}$  is an orthonormal sequence in  $H$ . Since this is a countable set, so is  $X - X$ , and so  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X - X) = 0$ .

If (3) does hold then

$$\|P(\alpha_j e_j)\| = |\alpha_j| \|Pe_j\| \geq \varepsilon f(|\alpha_j|)$$

for each  $j$ , so  $\|Pe_j\| \geq \varepsilon f(|\alpha_j|)/\alpha_j$ , and Lemma 22 implies that

$$\text{rank } P \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Pe_j\|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{f(\alpha_j)}{\alpha_j} \right)^2.$$

Now set  $\phi_n = nf(1/n)$ , let  $N_n$  be the first integer that is greater than  $1/\phi_n$ , and let  $T_j = \sum_{n=1}^j N_n$ . Then for  $T_j < i \leq T_{j+1}$  set  $\alpha_j = 1/j$ .

This gives an orthogonal sequence for which the right-hand side of the above inequality is infinite for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and shows that (3) cannot hold for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  if  $P$  has finite rank.

## 4 The box-counting dimension I

### 4.1 Definition and properties

The following definition applies to a compact metric space  $(X, d)$ , or equivalently to any subset of a compact set in a metric space.

**Definition 23.** *Let  $X$  be a subset of a compact set, and let  $N(X, \varepsilon)$  denote the minimum number of open  $\varepsilon$ -balls with centres in  $X$  required to cover  $X$ . Then we define the (upper) box-counting dimension of  $X$  to be*

$$\dim_{\text{B}}(X) := \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N(X, \varepsilon)}{-\log \varepsilon}. \quad (4)$$

One can construct sets where the  $\liminf$  of the expression on the right-hand side (which defines the ‘lower box-counting dimension’) is not equal to the  $\limsup$ ; so the limit need not exist. When it does this is the ‘box-counting dimension’.

This dimension is also referred to as the Minkowski dimension in the literature (and, unhelpfully, as the ‘fractal dimension’).

Note that if  $d > \dim_{\text{B}}(X)$  then  $N(X, \varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^{-d}$  for some  $C = C(d)$ , with  $N(X, \varepsilon) \leq \varepsilon^{-d}$  for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_d$  for some  $\varepsilon_d > 0$ . If  $d < \dim_{\text{B}}(X)$  then there exist  $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  such that  $N(X, \varepsilon_j) > \varepsilon_j^{-d}$ .

The following lemma is often useful.

**Lemma 24.** *Suppose that  $\varepsilon_k \rightarrow 0$  with  $\varepsilon_{k+1} \geq \alpha\varepsilon_k$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . Then*

$$\dim_{\text{B}}(X) = \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(X, \varepsilon_k)}{-\log \varepsilon_k}. \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* The  $\limsup$  in (5) is taken through a subset of  $\varepsilon$ ; so the RHS  $\leq \dim_{\text{B}}(X)$  as defined in (4). To show the opposite inequality, given  $\varepsilon > 0$  choose  $k$  such that

$$\varepsilon_{k+1} \leq \varepsilon < \varepsilon_k;$$

then

$$\frac{\log N(X, \varepsilon)}{-\log \varepsilon} \leq \frac{\log N(X, \varepsilon_{k+1})}{-\log \varepsilon_k} \leq \frac{\log N(X, \varepsilon_{k+1})}{\alpha - \log \varepsilon_{k+1}},$$

from which it follows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) \leq \text{RHS}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 25.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $A$  and  $B$  compact subsets of  $X$ .*

- (i) *If  $A \subseteq B$  then  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(B)$ ;*
- (ii) *if  $\bar{U}$  is compact then  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(U) = \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{U})$ ;*
- (iii)  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(A \cup B) = \max(\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(A), \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(B))$ ;
- (iv) *if  $f: (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$  is  $\theta$ -Hölder,  $\theta \in (0, 1]$ , then*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(f(X)) \leq \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)}{\theta};$$

- (v)  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(A)$ ; and
- (vi)  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}([0, 1]^d) = d$ .

*Proof.* (i) is immediate from the definition, since  $N(A, \varepsilon) \leq N(B, \varepsilon)$ .

(ii) Clearly  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(U) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{U})$  since any cover of  $\bar{U}$  is a cover of  $U$ ; if a collection of  $\varepsilon$ -balls covers  $U$ , then the  $2\varepsilon$ -balls with the same centres cover an  $\varepsilon$ -neighbourhood of  $U$ , and so cover  $\bar{U}$ , so  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(\bar{U}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(U)$ .

(iii) is immediate from the definition, since  $N(A \cup B, \varepsilon) \leq N(A, \varepsilon) + N(B, \varepsilon)$ .

(iv) Cover  $X$  with  $N(X, \varepsilon)$  balls of radius  $\varepsilon$ . The image of any  $\varepsilon$ -ball under  $f$  is contained in a  $C\varepsilon^\theta$ -ball; so

$$N(f(X), C\varepsilon^\theta) \leq N(X, \varepsilon).$$

It follows that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(f(X)) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N(f(X), C\varepsilon^\theta)}{-\log C\varepsilon^\theta} \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N(X, \varepsilon)}{0 \log C - \theta \log \varepsilon} = \frac{\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)}{\theta}.$$

(v) Take  $s > \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$ , and choose  $\sigma$  such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < \sigma < s$ . It follows that  $X$  can be covered by  $N_\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon^{-\sigma}$  balls  $\{B_j\}$  of radius  $\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon$

sufficiently small. Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_\varepsilon} (2\varepsilon)^s \leq 2^s \varepsilon^{s-\sigma},$$

which can be made arbitrarily small by taking  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. It follows that  $\mathcal{H}^s(X) = 0$  and so  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X) < s$ . Since this holds for any  $s > \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  we obtain  $\dim_{\mathbb{H}}(X) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$ .

(vi) We know that  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}([0, 1]^d) \geq \dim_{\mathbb{H}}([0, 1]^d) = d$ ; for the upper bound we can cover  $[0, 1]^d$  by  $2^{dk}$  closed cubes of side  $2^{-k}$ , so the same number of balls of radius  $\sqrt{d}2^{-k}$ , which yields the same upper bound (using Lemma 24).  $\square$

The following lemma is also useful.

**Lemma 26.** *Let  $(X, d_X)$  and  $(Y, d_Y)$  be compact metric spaces. Then  $(X \times Y, \rho_p)$ , where*

$$\rho_p = (d_X^p + d_Y^p)^{1/p}, \quad 1 \leq p < \infty, \quad \text{or} \quad \max(d_X, d_Y),$$

has

$$\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X \times Y) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) + \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(Y).$$

*Proof.* Take  $s_X > \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  and  $s_Y > \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(Y)$ . For  $\varepsilon$  small enough, cover  $X$  with  $\leq \varepsilon^{-s_X}$   $d_X$ -balls  $X_j$  of radius  $\varepsilon$ , and cover  $Y$  with  $\leq \varepsilon^{-s_Y}$   $d_Y$ -balls  $Y_k$  of radius  $\varepsilon$ . Then  $\{X_j \times Y_k\}$  is a cover of  $X \times Y$  with  $\leq \varepsilon^{-(s_X+s_Y)}$  balls of radius  $2^{1/p}\varepsilon$ . Therefore  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X \times Y) \leq s_X + s_Y$ , and the result follows.  $\square$

Now observe that this lemma, along with the fact that  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}$  is non-increasing under Lipschitz maps, implies that

$$\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X - X) \leq 2\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X);$$

indeed,  $X - X$  is the image of  $X \times X$  under the Lipschitz map  $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$ . We therefore obtain the following as a corollary of Theorem 20.

**Theorem 27.** *Let  $X$  be a compact subset of a real Banach space  $B$  with  $2\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then a residual set of maps in  $L(B; \mathbb{R}^{d+1})$  are embeddings of  $X$ .*

Actually, since we know that any compact metric space can be embedded into a Banach space using an isometry (which is Lipschitz), we also have the following nice result.

**Theorem 28.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space with  $2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < d \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there is a Lipschitz embedding of  $X$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$ .*

The embedding in the theorem is the composition of one of the isometries we discussed at the beginning of the previous chapter, and the linear map from Theorem 27.

## 4.2 Examples

**Lemma 29.** *If*

$$X_\alpha = \{n^{-\alpha} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\} \quad \text{then} \quad \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X_\alpha) = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha}.$$

*Proof.* Note, using the Mean Value Theorem, that

$$\frac{\alpha}{(n+1)^{1+\alpha}} \leq |n^{-\alpha} - (n+1)^{-\alpha}| \leq \frac{\alpha}{n^{1+\alpha}}.$$

It follows that if  $n_\varepsilon$  is the smallest integer such that

$$\frac{\alpha}{(n_\varepsilon + 1)^{1+\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon$$

then the first  $n_\varepsilon$  elements of the sequence all lie in distinct  $\varepsilon/2$ -balls. It follows that

$$N(X_\alpha, \varepsilon/2) \geq n_\varepsilon \geq (\alpha/\varepsilon)^{1/(1+\alpha)} - 1$$

and so  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) \geq 1/(1 + \alpha)$ .

On the other hand, once we cover the first  $n_\varepsilon$  elements as above (say with  $\varepsilon$ -balls, i.e. intervals of length  $2\varepsilon$ ), all the remaining elements lie in the interval  $[0, (n_\varepsilon + 1)^{-\alpha}] \subset [0, (\varepsilon/\alpha)^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}]$ , which can be covered by no more than  $(\varepsilon/\alpha)^{\alpha/(1+\alpha)}/\varepsilon \sim \varepsilon^{-1/(1+\alpha)}$  intervals of length  $2\varepsilon$ . This shows that  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) \leq 1/(1 + \alpha)$  and yields the required equality.  $\square$

Question: let  $A = \{x_j\} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $x_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $\delta_j := x_j - x_{j+1}$  is decreasing. Can one find an explicit expression for  $\dim_{\text{B}}(A)$ ?

We now give an example in infinite-dimensional spaces. Let  $\{e_j\}$  be the sequences consisting entirely of zero apart from having a 1 in the  $j$ th place.

**Lemma 30.** *Suppose that  $\alpha_j \rightarrow 0$  with  $\alpha_{j+1} \leq \alpha_j$ ; then the set*

$$A = \{\alpha_j e_j : j \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}.$$

*considered as a subset of  $\ell^p$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , or of  $c_0$ , has*

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\text{B}}(A) &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log |\alpha_n|} \\ &= \inf \left\{ d : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^d < \infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Take  $0 < \varepsilon < |\alpha_1|$  and choose  $n = n(\varepsilon)$  such that

$$|\alpha_{n+1}| < \varepsilon \leq |\alpha_n|.$$

It follows that  $A$  can be covered by  $n(\varepsilon) + 1$  balls of radius  $\varepsilon$  (one ball for each of the first  $n(\varepsilon)$  points, and one ball centred at 0 for the remaining points). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N(X, \varepsilon)}{-\log \varepsilon} &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(n(\varepsilon) + 1)}{-\log \varepsilon} \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(n(\varepsilon) + 1)}{-\log |\alpha_{n(\varepsilon)}|} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log |\alpha_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

For the opposite inequality, given any  $n$  such that  $|\alpha_n| < 1$  let  $n'$  be the integer such that

$$|\alpha_n| = |\alpha_{n+1}| = \dots = |\alpha_{n'}| > |\alpha_{n'+1}|,$$

and set  $\varepsilon(n) = (|\alpha_n| + |\alpha_{n'+1}|)/4 < |\alpha_n|/2$ . Then any two of the first  $n'$  elements lie at least  $|\alpha_{n'}| > 2\varepsilon(n)$  apart, so all require their own  $\varepsilon(n)$ -ball in any cover. It follows that  $N(A, \varepsilon(n)) \geq n'$ , and so

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log |\alpha_n|} &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n'}{-\log |\alpha_{n'}|} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log N(A, \varepsilon(n))}{-\log 4\varepsilon(n)} \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log N(A, \varepsilon)}{-\log \varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

The equivalent formulation is not a property of dimensions, but an algebraic identity. Call the first expression (the limsup)  $d_1$  and the second (the infimum)  $d_2$ . Given  $d > d_2$ , we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^d \leq M$$

for some  $M > 0$ . Since the  $|\alpha_j|$  are non-increasing, it follows that  $n|\alpha_n|^d \leq M$ , from which it follows that  $d_1 \leq d$ , and hence  $d_1 \leq d_2$ .

Now take  $d > d_1$ ; then  $|\alpha_n| \leq n^{-1/d}$  for all  $n$  sufficient large, and so for any  $d' > d$  we have  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^{d'} \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} n^{-d'/d} < \infty$ . So  $d_2 \leq d'$  for every  $d' > d_1$ , i.e.  $d_2 \leq d_1$ .  $\square$

Some examples (note that these are all countable sets so  $\dim_{\text{H}}(A) = 0$ : if  $\alpha_n = \alpha^n$  for some  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  then  $\dim_{\text{B}}(A) = 0$ ; if  $\alpha_n = n^{-\beta}$  then  $\dim_{\text{B}}(A) = 1/\alpha$ ; if  $\alpha_n = 1/\log(n+1)$  then  $\dim_{\text{B}}(A) = \infty$ .

### 4.3 Embeddings into Hilbert spaces with good inverses

We have seen that we can embed sets with finite box-counting dimension into Euclidean spaces. But we want to do better, and show that we can control the continuity of their inverses. We now prove a first version of this, embedding into a Hilbert space with a Hölder continuous inverse.

We use the following simple observation to build up our embedding map.

**Lemma 31.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a compact subset of a Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < \infty$ . Then for any  $\sigma > 2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  there exist  $\phi_n \in L(\mathcal{B}; \mathbb{R}^{m_n})$ , where  $M_n \leq C2^{n\sigma}$ , such that  $\|\phi_n\| \leq \sqrt{M_n}$  and*

$$z \in X - X \text{ with } \|z\| \geq 2^{-n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\phi_n(z)| \geq 2^{-(n+1)}.$$

*Proof.* Write  $Z = X - X$ ; then  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(Z) \leq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$ . Given  $\sigma$  as in the statement of the lemma we can cover  $Z$  using no more than  $M_n := C2^{n\sigma}$  balls of radius  $2^{-(n+2)}$ .

Let the centres of these balls be  $\{z_j\}$ . Using the Hahn–Banach Theorem find  $f_j \in \mathcal{B}^*$  such that  $\|f_j\| = 1$  and  $f_j(z_j) = \|z_j\|$ . Define  $\phi_n: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M_n}$  by setting

$$\phi_n(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_{M_n}(x));$$

then  $\|\phi_n\| \leq \sqrt{M_n}$ .

Suppose that  $z \in X - X$  with  $\|z\| \geq 2^{-n}$ . Then there exists a  $j$  such that  $\|z - z_j\| < 2^{-(n+2)}$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_n(z)| &\geq |f_j(z)| = |f_j(z_j) + f_j(z - z_j)| \\ &\geq \|z_j\| - |f_j(z - z_j)| \geq \|z\| - \|z - z_j\| - |f_j(z - z_j)| \\ &\geq \|z\| - 2\|z - z_j\| \geq 2^{-n} - 2 \cdot 2^{-(n+2)} \\ &= 2^{-n} - 2^{-(n+1)} \geq 2^{-(n+1)}. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

By combining these maps  $\phi_n$  we can obtain a ‘nice’ embedding into a Hilbert space.

**Theorem 32.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a compact subset of a Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < \infty$ . Then for any  $\theta > 1 + \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  there exists a linear map  $\Phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow H$ , where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space, with*

$$c_\theta^{-1} \|x - y\|^\theta \leq |\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| \leq c_\theta \|x - y\|.$$

*Proof.* Now let  $\{e_k\}$  be an orthonormal basis for a Hilbert space  $H$ . Set  $s = \theta - 1$ ; then  $s > \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  and we apply Lemma 31 with  $2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < \sigma < 2s$ . Now set

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{-ks} \phi_k(x) \otimes e_k.$$

Then  $\Phi$  is linear,

$$\|\Phi\| \leq \left( \sum_k 2^{-2ns} M_n \right)^{1/2} \leq C^{1/2} \left( \sum_k 2^{-n(2s-\sigma)} \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

and if  $x, y \in X$  with  $2^{-k} \leq \|x - y\| \leq 2^{-(k-1)}$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)| &= |\Phi(x - y)| \geq 2^{-ks} |\phi_k(x - y)| \\ &\geq 2^{-ks} 2^{-(k+1)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} 2^{-k(1+s)} \geq 2^{-(2+s)} \|x - y\|^{1+s}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

## 5 Embedding subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N$ into $\mathbb{R}^k$

We now show that ‘most’ linear maps from  $\mathbb{R}^N$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$  provide embeddings of sets with  $N > k > 2\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  that have Hölder continuous inverses. The argument is a finite-dimensional version of the result of Hunt & Kaloshin (1999) that will form the main subject of the next lecture.

### 5.1 Linear maps from $\mathbb{R}^N$ into $\mathbb{R}^k$

Any linear map  $L: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  we can write as

$$L = (L_1, \dots, L_k), \quad \text{where } L_j \in L(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}).$$

We can write each  $L_j$  in the form

$$L_j = \phi_j^* = (\cdot, \phi_j) \quad \text{for some } \phi_j \in \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We will consider the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{L = (\phi_1^*, \dots, \phi_k^*) : \phi_j \in B_N\},$$

where  $B_N$  denotes the (closed) unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . Note that  $\|L\| \leq \sqrt{k}$  for every  $L \in \mathcal{E}$ .

We put a probability measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{E}$  by choosing each  $\phi_j$  at random from a uniform distribution  $\mu_0$  on  $B_N$ , i.e.  $\mu_j = |\Omega_N|^{-1}\lambda$ , where  $\Omega_N$  is the volume of the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  and  $\lambda$  is the Lebesgue measure.

We will need the following two lemmas. The estimate in the next is the key to the proof both in finite and infinite dimensions.

**Lemma 33.** *For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k$  and any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ ,*

$$\mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |\alpha + Lx| \leq \varepsilon\} \leq c_k N^{k/2} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{|x|}\right)^k. \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |\alpha + Lx| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &\leq \prod_{j=1}^k \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |\alpha_j + \phi_j^* x| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \mu_0\{\phi \in B_N : |\alpha_j + (\phi, x)| \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_0\{\phi \in B_N : |\alpha + (\phi, x)| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &\leq \mu_0\{\phi \in B_N : |(\phi, x)| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\Omega_N} \left\{ \Omega_{N-1} \times 2 \frac{\varepsilon}{|x|} \right\} \\ &= 2 \frac{\Omega_{N-1}}{\Omega_N} \frac{\varepsilon}{|x|}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Omega_n = \pi^{n/2}/\Gamma((n/2) + 1)$  it follows that

$$\mu_0\{\phi \in B_N : |\alpha + (\phi, x)| \leq \varepsilon\} \leq cN^{1/2} \frac{\varepsilon}{|x|},$$

and (6) follows. □

We will also need the Borel–Cantelli Lemma.

**Lemma 34.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on a set  $\mathcal{E}$ . If  $Q_j \subset \mathcal{E}$  are such that*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(Q_j) < \infty$$

*then  $\mu$ -almost every  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  is contained in at most finitely many of the  $Q_j$ .*

*Proof.* The set

$$\mathcal{Q} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} Q_j$$

consists precisely of all those  $x \in \mathcal{E}$  that lie in infinitely many of the  $\{Q_j\}$ . But for any  $n$

$$\mu(\mathcal{Q}) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{j=n}^{\infty} Q_j\right) \leq \sum_{j=n}^{\infty} \mu(Q_j),$$

which can be made arbitrarily small since  $\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \mu(Q_j) < \infty$ .  $\square$

We now use these two results to prove our embedding theorem.

**Theorem 35.** *Let  $X$  be a compact subset of  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . If  $k > 2\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  then for any  $\alpha$  with*

$$0 < \alpha < 1 - \frac{2\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)}{k}$$

*$\mu$ -almost every  $L \in \mathcal{E}$  satisfies*

$$|x - y| \leq c_L |Lx - Ly|^\alpha, \quad x, y \in X.$$

*Proof.* Choose  $d$  such that  $k > 2d > 2\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  and  $\alpha < 1 - \frac{2d}{k}$ .

We want to make sure that whenever  $z \in X - X$  we have  $|Lz| \geq |z|^{1/\alpha}$ .

We split  $Z$  up into sets

$$Z_n = \{z \in X - X : |z| \geq 2^{-n}\}$$

and consider the sets

$$Q_n = \{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lz| < 2^{-n/\alpha} \text{ for some } z \in Z_n\},$$

i.e. maps that fail to satisfy  $|Lz| \geq |z|^{1/\alpha}$  for some  $z \in Z_n$ .

Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(Z_n) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X - X) \leq 2\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < 2d$ ,  $Z_n$  can be covered by no more than  $M_n := 2^{2nd/\alpha}$  balls of radius  $2^{-n/\alpha}$ ; let these be  $B(z_i, 2^{-n/\alpha})$ .

Note that if

$$|Lz_i| \geq 2^{-n/\alpha} + \sqrt{k}2^{-n/\alpha}$$

then for every  $z \in B(z_i, 2^{-n/\alpha})$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} |Lz| &\geq |Lz_i| - |L(z - z_i)| \geq 2^{-n/\alpha}(1 + \sqrt{k}) - \sqrt{k}|z - z_i| \\ &\geq 2^{-n/\alpha}(1 + \sqrt{k}) - \sqrt{k}(2^{-n/\alpha}) = 2^{-n/\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lz| < 2^{-n/\alpha} \text{ for some } z \in B(z_j, 2^{-n/\alpha})\} \\
& \leq \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lz_j| < (1 + \sqrt{k})2^{-n/\alpha}\} \\
& \leq c_k N^{k/2} \left( \frac{(1 + \sqrt{k})2^{-n/\alpha}}{2^{-n}} \right)^k \\
& = C_{k,N} 2^{nk(1-(1/\alpha))}.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu(Q_n) & \leq M_n C_{k,N} C_{k,N} 2^{nk(1-(1/\alpha))} \\
& = C'_{k,N} 2^{2nd/\alpha} 2^{nk(1-(1/\alpha))} \\
& = C'_{k,N} 2^{n[k-(k-2d)/\alpha]}.
\end{aligned}$$

To ensure that  $\mu(Q_n)$  is summable, we need (i)  $k > 2d$  and then (ii)

$$k - \frac{1}{\alpha}(k - 2d) < 0 \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \alpha < 1 - \frac{2d}{k},$$

which follows from our choice of  $d$ .

The Borel–Cantelli Lemma now ensures that  $\mu$ -almost every  $L$  lies in only finitely many of the  $Q_j$ . We show that this implies the required Hölder continuity of  $L^{-1}$ .

Take  $L$  such that  $L \notin Q_j$  for all  $j \geq j_0$ . If  $z \in X - X$  with  $2^{-(j+1)} \leq |z| \leq 2^{-j}$  for some  $j \geq j_0$  then

$$|Lz| \geq 2^{-(j+1)/\alpha} \geq \left( \frac{|z|}{2} \right)^{1/\alpha} = 2^{-1/\alpha} |z|^{1/\alpha}.$$

If  $z \in X - X$  with  $|z| \geq 2^{j_0}$  then since  $L \notin Q_{j_0}$  we certainly have

$$|Lz| \geq 2^{j_0/\alpha}.$$

Since  $X$  is compact it is bounded, so  $X - X \subset B(0, R)$  for some  $R > 0$ ; then

$$|Lz| \geq 2^{j_0/\alpha} \frac{|z|^\alpha}{R^\alpha}.$$

So we always have

$$|Lz| \geq \min(2^{j_0/\alpha} R^{-\alpha}, 2^{-1/\alpha}) |z|^{1/\alpha},$$

which implies that  $|z| \leq c_L |Lz|^\alpha$ , with  $c_L = \max(R^{-\alpha} 2^{-j_0/\alpha}, 2^{1/\alpha})$ .  $\square$

## 6 Embedding subsets of $H$ into $\mathbb{R}^k$

We now turn to the main result of these lectures, due to Hunt & Kaloshin (1999). We show that ‘most’ linear maps from  $H$  into  $\mathbb{R}^k$  provide embeddings with Hölder continuous inverses.

### 6.1 A measure on a subset of $B(H; \mathbb{R}^k)$

First we have to show how to define a measure on an appropriate collection of linear maps.

Suppose that  $\{V_j\}$  is a sequence of finite-dimensional subspaces of  $H$ . We let  $d_j = \dim(V_j)$ , and write  $B_j$  for the unit ball in  $V_j$ . By identifying this unit ball with the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^{d_j}$  (e.g. by referring elements in  $V_j$  to any set of orthonormal basis elements) we can define a uniform probability measure on  $B_j$ , which we will call  $\mu_j$ .

We now take  $\gamma > 1$  and consider the set

$$\mathcal{E} = \{L = (L_1, \dots, L_k) : L_j = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\gamma} \phi_n^j \right)^*, \phi_n^j \in B_j\},$$

where for any  $u \in H$ ,  $u^*$  is the linear functional on  $H$  given by  $x \mapsto (x, u)$ .

The choice  $\gamma > 1$  ensures that the sum in the expression for  $L_j$  converges in  $H$ . We define a probability measure on  $H$  by choosing all of the  $\phi_n^j$  at random according to the distribution  $\mu_j$  on  $B_j$ .

Note that this is the same as choosing each  $L_j$  at random from the space

$$\mathcal{E}_0 := \{L_0 = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\gamma} \phi_n^j \right)^*, \phi_n^j \in B_j\},$$

equipped with the measure  $\mu_0 := \otimes_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j$ .

The following result is an immediate corollary of Lemma 33.

**Corollary 36.** *For any  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  we have*

$$\mu_j\{\phi \in B_j : |\alpha + (\phi, x)| < \varepsilon\} \leq cd_j^{1/2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\|P_j x\|}, \quad (7)$$

where  $P_j$  denotes the orthogonal projection onto  $V_j$ .

*Proof.* Noting that  $(\phi, x) = (\phi, P_j x)$ , the expression in (7) is precisely (6) in the case  $k = 1$ .  $\square$

We now prove the equivalent of Lemma 33 that will be the crucial estimate in our Hilbert-space version of Theorem 35.

**Lemma 37.** *For any  $x \in H$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,*

$$\mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lx| \leq \varepsilon\} \leq c \left( j^\gamma d_j^{1/2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\|P_j x\|} \right)^k, \quad (8)$$

for any choice of  $j = 1, 2, \dots$

*Proof.* We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lx| \leq \varepsilon\} &\leq \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |L_j x| \leq \varepsilon, \text{ for all } j = 1, \dots, k\} \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^k \mu_0\{L_0 \in \mathcal{E}_0 : |L_0 x| \leq \varepsilon\}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu_0\{L \in \mathcal{E}_0 : |L_0 x| \leq \varepsilon\} \\ &= \otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-\gamma} (\phi_n, x) \right| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &= \otimes_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n \left\{ \left| \sum_{n \neq j} n^{-\gamma} (\phi_n, x) + j^{-\gamma} (\phi_j, x) \right| \leq \varepsilon \right\} \\ &\leq cj^\gamma d_j^{1/2} \frac{\varepsilon}{\|P_j x\|}, \end{aligned}$$

using Lemma 36 and the fact that the bound on the right-hand side of (7) does not depend on  $\alpha$ . The estimate in (8) now follows.  $\square$

## 6.2 The thickness exponent

We now introduce a quantity that measures how well  $X$  can be approximated by finite-dimensional subspaces. We let  $d(X, \varepsilon)$  denote the smallest dimension of a linear subspace  $V$  such that  $\text{dist}(X, V) \leq \varepsilon$ , and then define the thickness exponent  $\tau(X)$  by setting

$$\tau(X) := \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log d(X, \varepsilon)}{-\log \varepsilon}.$$

**Lemma 38.** *Suppose that  $X$  is a compact subset of a Banach space  $B$ . Then  $\tau(X) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Cover  $X$  with  $N(X, \varepsilon)$  balls  $\{B(x_j, \varepsilon)\}$  of radius  $\varepsilon$ , and then take  $V = \text{span}\{x_j\}$ . It follows that  $d(X, \varepsilon) \leq \dim_V \leq N(X, \varepsilon)$ .  $\square$

Clearly one can have  $\tau(X) = 0$  but  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) \neq 0$ ; just take a subset  $\hat{X}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(\hat{X}) \neq 0$  and include this in a Hilbert space by augmenting  $\mathbb{R}^k$  with a countable orthonormal set of vectors.

[There are situations in which better bounds on the thickness are available: for example, Friz & Robinson (1999) showed that if  $X \subset L^2(\Omega)$ , where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $X$  is bounded in  $H^s(\Omega)$  then  $\tau(X) \leq d/s$ .]

We can now prove the Hilbert space version of Theorem 35.

**Theorem 39.** *Let  $X$  be a compact subset of a Hilbert space  $H$  with  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  finite. Then for any  $k > 2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  and any  $\theta$  with*

$$0 < \theta < \frac{k - 2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)}{k(1 + \tau(X)/2)}$$

*$\mu$ -almost every  $L \in \mathcal{E}$  (a subset of  $L(H; \mathbb{R}^k)$  that will be defined in the proof) is injective on  $X$  with*

$$\|x - y\| \leq c_L |Lx - Ly|^\theta, \quad x, y \in X.$$

*Proof.* Take  $d > \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  and  $t > \tau(X)$  such that

$$0 < \theta < \frac{k - 2d}{k(1 + t/2)}.$$

We now construct an appropriate set of linear maps  $\mathcal{E} \subset L(H; \mathbb{R}^k)$ , following the procedure earlier in this chapter. We let  $\{V_j\}$  be a finite-dimensional linear subspace such that

$$\text{dist}(X, V_j) \leq 2^{-(j+1)};$$

then by the definition of the thickness exponent we have

$$\dim(V_j) = d_j \leq c2^{t(j+1)} = c2^{tj}.$$

We construct  $\mathcal{E}$  using this choice of  $\{V_j\}$ . Observe that every  $L \in \mathcal{E}$  satisfies

$$\|L\|_{B(H; \mathbb{R}^k)} \leq C := \sqrt{k}\zeta(\gamma).$$

We follow very similar lines to the proof of Theorem 35. We let

$$Z_j := \{z \in X - X : \|z\| \geq 2^{-j}\}$$

and we want to ensure that  $|Lz| \geq 2^{-j/\theta}$ . So we let

$$Q_j := \{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lz| < 2^{-j/\theta} \text{ for some } z \in Z_j\}.$$

Since  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(Z_j) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X - X) \leq 2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < 2d$  we can cover  $Z_j$  with  $M_j \leq c2^{2dj/\theta}$  balls of radius  $2^{-j/\theta}$ . We consider for now one of these balls  $B(z_0, 2^{-j/\theta})$ , and let  $Y = Z_j \cap B(z_0, 2^{-j/\theta})$ . Note that if

$$|Lz_0| \geq (1 + C)2^{-j/\theta}$$

then

$$|Lz| \geq |Lz_0| - |L(z - z_0)| \geq (C + 1)2^{-j/\theta} - C2^{-j/\theta} = 2^{-j/\theta}.$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} & \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lz| < 2^{-j/\theta} \text{ for some } z \in Y\} \\ & \leq \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lz_0| < (1 + C)2^{-j/\theta}\} \\ & \leq c \left( j^\gamma d_j^{1/2} \frac{c2^{-j/\theta}}{\|P_j z_0\|} \right)^k. \end{aligned}$$

Now note that since  $\text{dist}(X, V_j) \leq 2^{-(j+1)}$  we have  $\|P_j z_0\| \geq 2^{-(j+1)}$ ; indeed

$$\|P_j z_0\| = \|P_j z_0 - z_0 + z_0\| \geq \|z_0\| - \|z_0 - P_j z_0\| \geq 2^{-j} - 2^{-(j+1)} = 2^{-(j+1)}.$$

Therefore

$$\mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lz| < 2^{-j/\theta} \text{ for some } z \in Y\} \leq c_k \left( j^\gamma 2^{jt/2} \frac{2^{-j/\theta}}{2^{-j}} \right)^k,$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mu\{L \in \mathcal{E} : |Lz| < 2^{-j/\theta} \text{ for some } z \in Q_j\} &\leq M_j c_k \left( j^\gamma 2^{jt/2} \frac{2^{-j/\theta}}{2^{-j}} \right)^k \\ &= c_k 2^{2dj/\theta} \left( j^\gamma 2^{jt/2} \frac{2^{-j/\theta}}{2^{-j}} \right)^k \\ &= c_k j^{2\gamma k} 2^{j\{-(k-2d)/\theta + k(1+t/2)\}}. \end{aligned}$$

So  $\sum \mu(Q_j) < \infty$  provided that

$$k > 2d \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k-2d}{\theta} > k(1+t/2),$$

which were our initial assumptions.

The proof concludes as for that of Theorem 35.  $\square$

We now have two immediate corollaries, obtained by combining this theorem with Theorem 32 and Lemma 17 or 18. This gives a Lipschitz-Hölder embedding of subsets of Banach spaces in Euclidean spaces.

**Corollary 40.** *Let  $X$  be a compact subset of a Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  with  $\dim_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$  finite. Then if  $k > 2 \dim_{\mathcal{B}}(X)$  and*

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1 + \dim_{\mathcal{B}}(X)} \left\{ \frac{k - 2 \dim_{\mathcal{B}}(X)}{k(1 + \tau(X)/2)} \right\}$$

*there exists a linear map  $\Lambda \in B(\mathcal{B}; \mathbb{R}^k)$  such that*

$$\|x - y\| \leq c |\Lambda x - \Lambda y|^\alpha \quad x, y \in X \quad (9)$$

*and in particular  $\Lambda$  is injective on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Choose  $t_1 > 1 + \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  and  $0 < t_2 < (k - 2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)) / (k(1 + \tau(X)/2))$  such that

$$\alpha = \frac{t_2}{t_1}.$$

We use the linear map  $\Phi: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow H$  from Theorem 32, for which

$$c_\theta^{-1} \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{B}}^{t_1} \leq \|\Phi(x) - \Phi(y)\|_H \leq c_\theta \|x - y\|_{\mathcal{B}}, \quad x, y \in X.$$

Now let  $\hat{X} = \Phi(X)$ ; since  $\Phi$  is Lipschitz we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(\hat{X}) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$ ; since  $\Phi$  is linear and continuous, if  $V$  is any linear subspace of  $\mathcal{B}$  then  $\Phi(V)$  is a linear subspace of  $H$  of the same dimension, and so  $d(\hat{X}, \|\Phi\|\varepsilon) \leq d(X, \varepsilon)$ , which shows that  $\tau(\hat{X}) = \tau(X)$ . We now use Theorem 39 to find a map  $L \in B(H, \mathbb{R}^k)$  such that

$$\|x - y\|_H \leq c_L |Lx - Ly|^\theta \quad x, y \in \hat{X}.$$

Finally we set  $\Lambda = L \circ \Phi$  to obtain (9). □

Since  $\tau(X) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$ , there is a corresponding result for metric spaces (cf. Foias & Olson, 1996); we set  $\psi = \Lambda \circ \phi$ , where  $\phi$  is one of the isometric embeddings from Lemmas 17 and 18.

**Corollary 41.** *Suppose that  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space with  $\dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X) < \infty$ . Then for any  $k > 2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)$  and*

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1 + \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)} \left\{ \frac{k - 2 \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)}{k(1 + \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(X)/2)} \right\}$$

*there exists a map  $\psi: (X, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  such that*

$$c^{-1} |\psi(x) - \psi(y)| \leq \|x - y\| \leq c |\psi(x) - \psi(y)|^\alpha \quad x, y \in X.$$

### 6.3 Optimality of the Hölder exponent

We now show that the Hölder exponent in Theorem 39 is optimal as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ; in this limit we can achieve any  $\alpha$  in the range

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{1 + \tau(X)/2} = \frac{2}{2 + \tau(X)}.$$

We show that this cannot be improved by returning again to the orthogonal sequence  $A = \{\alpha_j e_j\} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $\alpha_j \rightarrow 0$  and  $|\alpha_{j+1}| \leq |\alpha_j|$ . We show that this set has thickness exponent equal to its box-counting dimension, which (recall Lemma 30) is given by

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log |a_n|}.$$

We first need a lemma.

**Lemma 42.** *Let  $\{e_j\}_{j=1}^n$  be orthonormal vectors in a Hilbert space, and let  $X = \{a_1 e_1, \dots, a_n e_n\}$ , where  $|a_{i+1}| \leq |a_i|$ . Then*

$$d(X, \varepsilon) \geq n \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon^2}{|a_n|^2} \right).$$

*Proof.* If  $d(X, \varepsilon) = d$  then there are  $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^d$  such that  $\|a_i e_i - v_i\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\dim(\text{span}\{v_i\}) = d$ .

Let  $U = \text{span}\{e_i\}$ , which has dimension  $n$ , and let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection onto  $U$ .

Set  $v'_i = P v_i$ ; then we have

$$\|a_i e_i - v'_i\| = \|P(a_i e_i - v_i)\| \leq \|a_i e_i - v_i\| < \varepsilon,$$

so the space spanned by the  $v'_i$  still approximates  $X$  within  $\varepsilon$ . Since  $v'_i \in U$  for every  $i$ , clearly

$$n = \dim(U) = \dim(\text{span}\{e_i\}) \geq \dim(\text{span}\{v'_i\}) =: n - r,$$

for some  $0 \leq r < n$ .

Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$  be an orthonormal basis for the orthogonal complement

of  $\text{span}\{v'_i\}$  in  $U$ ; then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
n\varepsilon^2 &\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \|a_i e_i - v'_i\|^2 \\
&\geq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r |(a_i e_i - v'_i, u_j)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^r |(a_i e_i, u_j)|^2 \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n |a_i|^2 |(u_j, e_i)|^2 \\
&\geq |a_n|^2 \sum_{j=1}^r \sum_{i=1}^n |(u_j, e_i)|^2 \\
&= |a_n|^2 r,
\end{aligned}$$

since  $\|u_j\|^2 = 1$ . This gives the required inequality.  $\square$

**Lemma 43.** *The set  $A = \{\alpha_j e_j\}_{j=1}^\infty \cup \{0\}$  as above has*

$$\tau(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log n}{-\log |\alpha_n|} = \inf \left\{ d : \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^d < \infty \right\}.$$

*Proof.* We know that in general  $\tau(A) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{B}}(A)$ , so we only need show that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log n / (-\log |\alpha_n|)$  is a lower bound for  $\tau(A)$ .

Take  $n$  large enough that  $|\alpha_n| < 1$  and choose  $n'$  such that

$$|\alpha_n| = \cdots = |\alpha_{n'}| > |\alpha_{n'+1}|;$$

set  $\varepsilon_n^2 = (|\alpha_{n'}|^2 + |\alpha_{n'+1}|^2)/4$  so that

$$|\alpha_n|^2 = |\alpha_{n'}|^2 > 2\varepsilon_n^2 \quad \Rightarrow \quad 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{|\alpha_{n'}|^2} > \frac{1}{2}.$$

Then, using Lemma 42 we have

$$d(A, \varepsilon_n) \geq n' \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon_n^2}{|\alpha_{n'}|^2} \right) > \frac{n'}{2},$$

from which it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(n'/2)}{-\log |a_{n'}|} &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(n/2)}{-\log |a_n|} \\ &\leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d(A, \varepsilon_n)}{-\log \varepsilon_n} \\ &\leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log d(A, \varepsilon)}{-\log \varepsilon} = \tau(A). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

We now show that the Hölder exponent is optimal, following Pinto de Moura & Robinson (2010). We will use the result of Lemma 22 that

$$\text{rank } P \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Pe_j\|^2,$$

for any orthogonal projection  $P$  and orthonormal set  $\{e_j\}$ .

**Lemma 44.** *If  $L: H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is a linear map such that*

$$\|x - y\| \leq c|Lx - Ly|^\theta, \quad x, y \in A$$

*then  $\theta < 2/(2 + \tau(A))$ .*

*Proof.* First, observe that if we decompose  $L$  as in Lemma 21 as  $L = UP$ , where  $P$  is an orthogonal projection onto a  $k$ -dimensional subspace and  $U: PH \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  is an invertible linear map, it follows that

$$\|x - y\| \leq c'\|Px - Py\|^\theta, \quad x, y \in A.$$

Now, since  $P$  is linear and  $0 \in A$ , it follows that we must have

$$|\alpha_j| = \|\alpha_j e_j\| \leq c'\|P(\alpha_j e_j)\|^\theta = c'|\alpha_j|^\theta \|Pe_j\|^\theta \quad \text{for every } j \in \mathbb{N},$$

i.e.

$$\|Pe_j\| \geq c''|\alpha_j|^{(1-\theta)/\theta}.$$

Lemma 22 now implies that

$$\text{rank } P \geq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|Pe_j\|^2 \geq c'' \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |\alpha_j|^{2(1-\theta)/\theta}.$$

It follows that if the rank of  $P$  is finite we must have  $\sum_j |\alpha_j|^{2(1-\theta)/\theta} < \infty$ , from which it follows that  $2(1-\theta)/\theta > \tau(A)$ , and hence  $\theta < 2/(2 + \tau(A))$ .  $\square$

## 7 Assouad dimension and (almost) bi-Lipschitz embeddings

The main open problem in the theory of embeddings is what conditions are required on a metric space to ensure that there is a bi-Lipschitz embedding into some Euclidean space, i.e. a map  $\phi: (X, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$  (for some  $k$ ) such that

$$\frac{1}{L}d(x, y) \leq |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq Ld(x, y), \quad x, y \in X,$$

for some  $L > 0$ . (We say that such a  $\phi$  is  $L$ -bi-Lipschitz.)

Note that any properties of subsets of  $\mathbb{R}^k$  that are invariant under bi-Lipschitz maps must be shared by such a metric space. One such property is homogeneity.

**Definition 45.** A metric space  $(X, d)$  is  $(M, s)$ -homogeneous if

$$N(X \cap B(x, r), \rho) \leq M \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^s \quad \text{for every } x \in X, 0 < \rho < r.$$

**Lemma 46.** Any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is  $(2^n n^{n/2}, n)$ -homogeneous.

*Proof.* Any cube of side  $2r$  can be covered by  $[(r/\rho) + 1]^n$  cubes of side  $2\rho$ . So any ball of radius  $r$ , which is a subset of a cube of side  $2r$ , can be covered by

$$\left[ \left( \frac{r}{\rho/\sqrt{n}} \right) + 1 \right]^n$$

balls of radius  $\rho$ , since every cube of side  $2\rho/\sqrt{n}$  is contained in a ball of radius  $\rho$ . It follows that

$$N(B(x, r), \rho) \leq 2^n n^{n/2}. \quad \square$$

**Lemma 47.** If  $(X, d_X)$  is  $(M, s)$ -homogeneous and  $f: (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$  is  $L$ -bi-Lipschitz then  $f(X)$  is  $(L^{2s}M, s)$ -homogeneous.

Note that while the constant  $M$  changes under this mapping, the exponent  $s$  does not.

*Proof.* Take  $y \in f(X)$ , and consider  $B_Y(y, r) \cap f(X)$ . Then  $y = f(\xi)$  for some  $\xi \in X$ , and

$$f^{-1}[B_Y(y, r) \cap f(X)] \subset B_X(\xi, Lr) \cap X,$$

which can be covered by  $\leq M(Lr/(\rho/L))^s$   $X$ -balls of radius  $\rho/L$ . The image of these balls are contained in  $\rho$  balls in  $Y$ , and so

$$N(B_Y(y, r) \cap f(X), \rho) \leq ML^{2s}(r/\rho)^s,$$

as required.  $\square$

We can now define the Assouad dimension. Note that the previous lemma shows that this dimension is invariant under bi-Lipschitz embeddings; we also have  $\dim_A(U) \leq n$  for any subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Definition 48.** *The Assouad dimension of a metric space  $(X, d)$  is*

$$\dim_A(X) = \inf\{s : X \text{ is } (M, s)\text{-homogeneous for some } M > 0\}.$$

If  $(X, d)$  has a bi-Lipschitz embedding into  $\mathbb{R}^k$ , it must be  $(M, k)$ -homogeneous for some  $M > 0$ , i.e. it must have Assouad dimension  $\leq k$ . However, this is not sufficient.

**Lemma 49.** *Properties of the Assouad dimension:*

- (i) *If  $A \subseteq B$  then  $\dim_A(A) \leq \dim_A(B)$ ;*
- (ii)  *$\dim_A(A \cup B) = \max(\dim_A(A), \dim_A(B))$ ;*
- (iii)  *$\dim_A(X) = n$  is  $X$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; and*
- (iv) *if  $X$  is compact then  $\dim_B(X) \leq \dim_A(X)$ .*

We only prove (iv): take  $R > \text{diam}(X)$ , and then  $X \cap B(x_0, R) = X$  for any  $x_0 \in X$ , and for any  $s > \dim_A(X)$  there exists  $M > 0$  such that

$$N(X, r) = N(X \cap B(x_0, R), r) \leq M(R/r)^s = [MR^s]r^{-s},$$

and so  $\dim_B(X) \leq \dim_A(X)$ .

A simple example shows that this inequality can be strict.

**Lemma 50.** *Let  $A = \{n^{-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ . Then  $\dim_A(A) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Note that  $N([0, 1/n] \cap A, 1/n^2) \simeq n$ . □

Another example showing that the Assouad dimension is very sensitive is  $A = \{n^{-\alpha}e_n\} \cup \{0\}$  which has  $\dim_A(A) = \infty$  (consider the number of balls of radius  $m^{-\alpha}/2$  required to cover  $B(0, m^{-\alpha}) \cap A$ ).

## 7.1 Assouad's embedding theorem

**Theorem 51.** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a homogeneous metric space. Then for every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the space  $(X, d^\alpha)$  can be bi-Lipschitz embedded into  $\mathbb{R}^{N(\alpha)}$ , for some  $N(\alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* An  $\varepsilon$ -net  $A$  is a collection of points such that

$$\cup_{x \in A} B(x, \varepsilon) = X$$

An  $\varepsilon$ -net is maximal if

$$d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon \quad x, y \in A, \quad x \neq y.$$

Note that if  $X$  is  $(M, s)$ -homogeneous and  $A_1$  is a maximal 1-net then

$$|A_1 \cap B(x, 12)| \leq M' \quad x \in X;$$

note that every point in a maximal 1-net requires a distinct 1/2-ball to cover it, so

$$|A_1 \cap B(x, 12)| \leq N(X \cap B(x, 12), 1/2) \leq 24^s M =: M'.$$

We say that  $\kappa: A \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a  $(K, \delta)$ -colouring map if  $\kappa: A \rightarrow \{1, \dots, K\}$  is such that  $\kappa(x) \neq \kappa(y)$  if  $x \neq y$  and  $d(x, y) \leq \delta$ . We now show that there is a  $(M', 12)$  colouring map  $\kappa$  of  $A_1$ .

Let  $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots\}$  be a denumeration of  $A_1$ , and suppose that we have found a map

$$\kappa_i: \{y_1, \dots, y_i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M'\}$$

that satisfies the required ‘colouring’ property. We can extend this to a map  $\kappa_{i+1}: \{y_1, \dots, y_{i+1}\}$  provided we can choose  $\kappa_{i+1}(y_{i+1})$  appropriate: but we can do this, since

$$|\{y_1, \dots, y_i\} \cap B(y_{i+1}, 12)| \leq M - 1,$$

so there is an allowable choice.

Now define a map

$$\phi_1: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M'}$$

by setting

$$\phi_1(x) = \sum_{a_i \in A_1} \max\{2 - d(x, a_i), 0\} \hat{\kappa}(a_i),$$

where  $\hat{\kappa}(a_i) = w_{\kappa(a_i)}$ , with  $(w_1, \dots, w_{M'})$  an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{M'}$ .

If we set  $\Delta_i(x) = \max\{2 - d(x, a_i), 0\}$  then

- (i)  $0 \leq \Delta_i(x) \leq 2$ ;
- (ii) if  $d(x, y) > 4$  then at least one of  $\Delta_i(x)$  and  $\Delta_i(y)$  is zero;
- (iii)  $|\{i : \Delta_i(x) \neq 0\}| \leq M'$ ;
- (iv)  $D_{ij} := |\Delta_i(x) - \Delta_i(y)| \leq \min(d(x, y), 2)$ , which follows from a case-by-case analysis:

- 1. if  $d(x, a_i) \geq 2$  and  $d(y, a_i) \geq 2$  then  $D_{ij} = 0$ ;
- 2. if  $d(x, a_i) \geq 2$  and  $d(y, a_i) < 2$  then

$$D_{ij} = 2 - d(y, a_i) \leq d(x, a_i) - d(y, a_i) \leq d(x, y)$$

but also  $D_{ij} \leq 2$ ;

- 3. if  $d(x, a_i) < 2$  and  $d(y, a_i) < 2$  then

$$D_{ij} = d(y, a_i) - d(x, a_i) \leq d(x, y)$$

but also  $D_{ij} < 2$ .

From this it follows easily that

- (i)  $\phi_1(x) \leq 2M'$  (from (i) and (iii) above);
- (ii)  $|\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y)| \leq 2M' \min(d(x, y), 2)$  (from (iii) and (iv) above);
- (iii) if  $\frac{1}{2}8 < d(x, y) \leq 8$  then

$$|\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y)| \geq 1.$$

Note that  $d(x, a_i) < 1$  for at least one  $a_i \in A_1$ , so  $\Delta_i(x) \geq 1$ ; but if  $d(x, y) > 4$  then  $d(y, a_i) > 2$  and  $\Delta_i(y) = 0$ ; we also know that  $x, y \in B(a_i, 10)$  and if  $j$  is such that  $d(y, a_j) < 2$  we have  $d(a_i, a_j) < 12$ , and hence  $\kappa(a_j) \neq \kappa(a_i)$ .

Applying the same construction to the metric space  $(X, 2^{-j}d)$  yields a map  $\phi_j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M'}$  such that

$$|\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)| \leq 2M' \min(2^j d(x, y), 2)$$

and

$$2^{-(j+1)}8 < d(x, y) \leq 2^{-j}8 \quad \Rightarrow \quad |\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)| \geq 1.$$

Fix some  $x_0 \in X$ , and let  $\phi'_j(x) = \phi_j(x) - \phi_j(x_0)$ ; then  $\phi'_j$  has the two properties above, but now  $\phi'_j(x_0) = 0$ . We drop the prime.

Now let  $(e_0, \dots, e_{2N-1})$  be an orthonormal basis for  $\mathbb{R}^{2N}$  and let  $\hat{e}_j = e_{(j \bmod 2N)+1}$  be a ‘cyclic extension’ of this basis. We define

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\alpha j} \phi_j(x) \otimes \hat{e}_j,$$

where by  $\xi \otimes \eta$  we denote the tensor product of  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{M'}$  and  $\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{2N}$  (an element of a  $2M'N$ -dimensional Euclidean space). Note that  $\phi(x_0) = 0$ .

We now show that  $\phi$  is bi-Lipschitz from  $(X, d^\alpha)$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{2M'N}$  if  $N$  is chosen sufficiently large.

Suppose that

$$2^{-(k+1)}8 < d(x, y) \leq 2^{-k}8;$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| &\leq \sum_{m \leq k} 2^{-\alpha j} |\phi_m(x) - \phi_m(y)| + \sum_{m \geq k+1} 2^{-\alpha m} |\phi_m(x) - \phi_m(y)| \\
&\leq C \sum_{m \leq k} 2^{-\alpha m} 2^m d(x, y) + C \sum_{m \geq k+1} 2^{-\alpha m} \\
&= C \left[ \sum_{m \leq k} 2^m (1 - \alpha) \right] d(x, y) + C' 2^{-\alpha k} \\
&\leq C' 2^k (1 - \alpha) d(x, y) + C' 2^{-\alpha k} \\
&\leq C' d(x, y)^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi(x_0) = 0$  this shows in particular that  $\phi(x)$  converges for every  $x \in X$ .

For the lower bound we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| &\geq \left| \sum_{-N+k < j \leq N+k} 2^{-\alpha j} (\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)) \otimes \hat{e}_j \right| \\
&\quad - \sum_{j \leq -N+k} 2^{-\alpha j} |\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)| - \sum_{j > N+k} 2^{-\alpha j} |\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)| \\
&\geq 2^{-\alpha k} |\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(y)| - c 2^{-\alpha(k-N)} 2^{k-N} d(x, y) - c 2^{-\alpha(N+k)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that for the second term on the right-hand side we have

$$c 2^{-\alpha(k-N)} 2^{k-N} d(x, y) \leq c 2^{-(1-\alpha)N} 2^{k(1-\alpha)} 2^{-k} \leq c 2^{-(1-\alpha)N} 2^{-k\alpha},$$

and so choosing  $N$  sufficiently large we can ensure that

$$|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \geq 2^{-\alpha k} - \frac{1}{2} 2^{-\alpha k} = \frac{1}{2} 2^{-\alpha k} \geq c d(x, y)^\alpha,$$

as required. □

A simple result weakening the requirement of a Lipschitz inverse, and embedding into a Hilbert space, uses a similar idea (Olson & Robinson, 2010).

**Proposition 52.** *Suppose that  $(X, d)$  is a compact metric space. Then for any  $\gamma > 1/2$  there exists a map  $\phi: (X, d) \rightarrow H$ , where  $H$  is a separable Hilbert space, such that*

$$\frac{1}{c_\gamma} \frac{d(x, y)}{|\log_2 d(x, y)|^\gamma} \leq \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| \leq c_\gamma d(x, y) \quad x, y \in X.$$

*Proof.* Define the maps  $\phi_k$  as in the proof of the above theorem, and set

$$\phi(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{-\gamma} 2^{-k} \phi_k \otimes e_k,$$

where  $\{e_k\}$  is an orthonormal set in a Hilbert space  $\hat{H}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\|^2 &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k^{-2\gamma} 2^{-2k} |\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(y)|^2 \\ &\leq c\zeta(2\gamma) d(x, y)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $2^{-(k+1)} \leq d(x, y) \leq 2^{-k}$

$$\|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| \geq k^{-\gamma} 2^{-k} |\phi_k(x) - \phi_k(y)| \geq k^{-\gamma} 2^{-k} \geq \frac{1}{c} \frac{d(x, y)}{|\log_2 d(x, y)|^\gamma},$$

as claimed. □

By combining these ‘homogeneity’ ideas with the approach of the Hunt & Kaloshin proof, Robinson (2009), following Olson & Robinson’s Hilbert space proof, also proved the following about embeddings into Euclidean spaces.

**Theorem 53.** *Let  $X$  be a compact subset of a Banach space such that  $\dim_{\mathbb{A}}(X - X) < s < N$ . If*

$$\gamma > \frac{N+1}{N-s}$$

*then ‘many’ bounded linear maps  $L: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$  are injective on  $X$  with*

$$\frac{1}{c_L} \frac{\|x - y\|}{|\log \|x - y\||^\gamma} \leq |Lx - Ly| \leq c_L \|x - y\| \quad x, y \in X,$$

*whenever  $\|x - y\| < \rho_L$ .*