

Hausdorff dimension

To define the Hausdorff measure of $A \subset (X, d)$ start with

$$H_\delta^s(A) = \inf \left\{ \sum |U_j|^s, A \subset \bigcup_j U_j, |U_j| \leq \delta \right\}$$

$$H^s(A) = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_\delta^s(A)$$

s -dimensional Hausdorff measure

In \mathbb{R}^n , $H^s \approx L^\infty$ Lebesgue measure

— Falconer (1985)

Note that if $H^s(A) < \infty$ then $H^{s'}(A) = 0$
for $s' > s$.

- For any $\delta > 0$ \exists cover of A by $\{U_j\}$ s.t.
 $|U_j| \leq \delta$
 $\sum |U_j|^s \leq H^s(A) + 1$
 $\sum |U_j|^{s'} \leq \delta^{s'-s} [H^s(A) + 1]$

We can now define

$$d_H(A) = \inf \left\{ \varepsilon : H^\varepsilon(A) = 0 \right\}$$

this is real valued.

Lemma

$$H^\varepsilon(A) = 0 \iff \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists \text{ cover of } A \text{ by } \{U_j\} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\sum |U_j|^\varepsilon < \varepsilon$$

Proof (exercise)

Proposition

(i) $B \subset A, d_H(B) \leq d_H(A)$

(ii) stable under countable unions. If

$(A_k)_{k=1}^{\infty} \subset X$ then

$$d_H\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \sup_k d_H(A_k)$$

$$(iii) \quad f: (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, g)$$

θ -Holder cts, i.e.

$$g(f(x), f(y)) \leq C d(x, y)^\theta \quad \theta \in (0, 1]$$

$$d_H(f(A)) \leq \frac{d_H(A)}{\theta}$$

d_H non-increasing under θ Lipschitz maps ($\theta = 1$).

$$(iv) \quad A \subset \mathbb{R}^n \quad d_H(A) \leq n$$

$A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ contains an open set then

$$d_H(A) = n$$

Proof

(i) immediate from definition

(ii) if $\sup_k d_H(A_k) = \infty$ nothing to do

$$\sup_k d_H(A_k) = \delta < \infty$$

for any $\delta > 0$ $\exists U_j^{(k)}$ s.t.

$$\sum |U_j^{(k)}|^s < \epsilon 2^{-k}$$

then $\{U_j^{(k)}\}_{j,k}$ covers union

$$\sum_{j,k} |U_j^{(k)}|^s < \epsilon$$

$$d_H(\text{union}) < \delta$$

\Rightarrow
by lemma

Since $\forall \delta > 0 \exists k$ s.t.

$$d_H(\text{union}) \geq d_H(A_k) > \sigma - \varepsilon$$

(part (i))

$$\Rightarrow d_H(\text{union}) \geq \sigma$$

$$\Rightarrow d_H(U) = \sigma$$

(iii) take $s > d_H(A)$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\exists \{U_j\} \text{ s.t. } \sum |U_j|^s < \varepsilon$$

cover of A

$\Rightarrow \{f(U_j)\}$ covers $f(A)$

We have

$$|f(u_j)| \leq C|u_j|^\theta$$

$$s_\theta \sum |f(u_j)|^{s/\theta}$$

$$\leq C^{s/\theta} \sum |u_j|^s < C^{s/\theta} \varepsilon$$

$$\Rightarrow d_H(f(A)) \leq s/\theta$$

[Handwritten signature]

(iv) take $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$

then $A \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} U_j$ unit cubes

So it's enough to show that $d_H(Q) \leq n$

$$[a_{ij}]^n$$

Take $s > n$

Cover Q by



cubes of side $\frac{1}{m}$

then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left(\sqrt[n]{n} \frac{1}{m} \right)^s \leq n \frac{1}{m^n} m^{-s}$$

$< \epsilon$ when m large enough

now suppose that A is open

so $A \supset$ open ball open ball

if $d_H(A) < n$, $d_H(B) < n$

take $s = n$ ~~H^s~~ ^{H^s} $(B) = 0$

$B \subset \cup_j U_j$ st $\sum |U_j|^n < \epsilon$

$U_j \subset B(x_j, |U_j|)$

volume of $B \leq \omega_n \sum (2|U_j|)^n$
 ~~\leq~~ \square

Note that f

$$f: (X, d) \rightarrow (Y, \rho)$$

is b_1 -Lipschitz, i.e. f or f^{-1} are Lipschitz

$$\text{then } d_H(f(A)) = d_H(A)$$

b_1 -Lipschitz maps preserve the d_H

We now show that $\dim(A)^{\vee} \leq d_H(A)$.

Lemma $(X, +)$ compact

Suppose that for every open cover
 $\{U_1, \dots, U_{n+2}\}$ of X we can find a cover
 $\{F_1, \dots, F_{n+2}\}$ closed with $F_j \subseteq U_j$

$$\& \bigcap_{j=1}^{n+2} F_j = \emptyset$$

Then $\dim(X) \leq n$.

So $V_1 \subseteq \overline{V_1} \subseteq U_1$

& $\{V_1, U_2, \dots, U_{n+2}\}$ is a cover

& $\overline{V_1} \cap \bigcap_{j=2}^{n+2} F_j = \emptyset$

Now

$$F_2 \subseteq U_2 \cap \left\{ X \setminus \left(\overline{V_1} \cap \bigcap_{i=3}^{n+2} F_i \right) \right\}$$

So F open V_2

$$F_2 \subseteq V_2 \subseteq \overline{V_2} \stackrel{\text{RHS}}{=} \dots$$

$\{V_1, V_2, U_3, \dots, U_{n+2}\}$ is a cover &

$$\bar{V}_1 \cap \bar{V}_2 \cap \bigcap_{i=3}^{n+2} F_i = \emptyset$$

"and so on" \Rightarrow open sets $(V_j)_{j=1}^{n+2}$
as required.

Now we show that if
 $\{U_1, \dots, U_k\}$ an open cover, there is a
refinement of order $\leq n$

We perform the following construction on
every collection of $n+2$ elements from

$$(U_j)_{j=1}^k$$

$$\text{Set } W_j = \begin{cases} U_j & j = 1, \dots, n+1 \\ \bigcup_{j=n+2}^k U_j & j = n+2 \end{cases}$$

(W_j) open cover of X ,

We can find $V_j \subseteq W_j$ V_j open cover
s.t. $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n+2} V_j = \emptyset$

Now set $U_j = \begin{cases} V_j & j=1, \dots, n+1 \\ U_j \cap V_{n+2} & j=n+2, \dots, k \end{cases}$

$W_j \subseteq U_j$ $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n+2} U_j = \emptyset$

this yields a refinement of order $\leq n$

$$\Rightarrow \dim(X) \leq n. \quad \square$$

We use this to show —

Theorem (X, d) compact (Edgar, 2008)
 $\dim(X) \leq d_{H_1}(X)$

Proof

Suppose that $\dim(X) = n$

In particular $\dim(X) \neq n-1$

Lemma implies that $\exists (U_j)_{j=1}^{n+1}$ open

Cover of X s.t. if $F_j \subseteq U_j$ closed &

$(F_j)_{j=1}^{n+1}$ covers X , then $\bigcap_{j=1}^{n+1} F_j \neq \emptyset$

Given $(U_j)_{j=1}^{n+1}$ define

$$w_j(x) = \text{dist}(x, X \setminus U_j)$$

$$w_j(x) > 0 \iff x \in U_j$$

$$|w_j(x) - w_j(x')| \leq d(x, x')$$

Lipschitz

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} w_j(x) > 0 \quad \forall x \in X$$

also Lipschitz

Since $x \mapsto \sum w_j(x)$ is cts & > 0
on X

X compact $\Rightarrow \exists \gamma \geq 0$
s.t. $\sum w_j(x) \geq \gamma \quad \forall x \in X$

the map
 $x \mapsto \phi_i(x) = \frac{w_i(x)}{\sum w_j(x)}$

is also Lipschitz

$$\text{NB } \sum \phi_i(x) = 1$$

The map $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$

defined by

$$\Phi(x) = (\phi_1(x), \dots, \phi_{n+1}(x))$$

is again Lipschitz



Now

$$d_H(\Phi(x)) \leq d_H(x)$$

We will show that $\Phi(x)$ contains

$$T = \left\{ (t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) : t_j > 0, \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} t_j = 1 \right\}$$

$$\& d_H(T) = n$$

T is the image of

$$\left\{ (t_1, \dots, t_n) : 0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^n t_j < 1 \right\}$$

open subset of \mathbb{R}^n

under the map

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto (t_1, \dots, t_n, 1 - \sum_{j=1}^n t_j)$$

this is a bi-Lipschitz map

$$\text{So } d_H(T) = n$$

take some $\underline{t} \in T$

$$t_j > 0 \quad \sum t_j = 1$$

Show that $\exists x \in X$ s.t. $\underline{\Phi}(x) = \underline{t}$

Consider

$$F_i = \left\{ x \in X : \phi_i(x) \geq t_i \right\}$$

• F_i are closed | (F_i) form a cover

• $F_i \subseteq U_i$

if not $\exists x \in X$ st.

$$x \in F_i \quad \forall i$$

$$\text{i.e. } \phi_i(x) < t_i \quad \forall i$$

$$1 = \sum \phi_i(x) < \sum t_i = 1 \quad \text{~~contradiction~~}$$

It follows that

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} F_i \neq \emptyset$$

$$\text{i.e. } \exists x \in X \text{ st. } x \in F_i \quad \forall i$$

$$\phi_i(x) \geq t_i \quad \forall i$$

$$\uparrow \sum \phi_i(x) = 1$$

$$\sum t_i = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi_i(x) = t_i \quad \forall i$$

$$\text{i.e. } \Phi(x) = t$$

~~AA~~

So

$$T \subseteq \Phi(X)$$

$$n = d_H(T) \subseteq d_H(\Phi(X)) \subseteq d_H(X)$$

$$\text{dim}(X)$$



So using our embedding result from before,

Corollary

If $d_H(X) \leq n$ residual collection
in $C(X, \mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ are homeomorphisms
between X and its image

Remarks

There are many examples in which this inequality is strict: any set with

$$d_H(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

middle-third Cantor set

$$d_H = \frac{\log 2}{\log 3}$$

(Falconer, 1990)

Lemma (X, d) compact (H&W)

If $\dim(X) = n \quad \exists$ homeomorphism

$f \in C(X, \mathbb{R}^{2n+1})$ st

$$d_H(f(X)) = n$$

"No topological fractals"ⁿ $\dim(X) < d_H(X)$
not topologically invariant

Mañé

$X \subset$ Banach space

$$d_H(\underbrace{X - X}_{\text{circle}}) < \infty$$

$$\{x - y : x, y \in X\}$$

F mapping $L: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$

