

7 Assouad dimension

(X, d) when is there a bi-Lipschitz embedding into some \mathbb{R}^k ?

$$\phi: (X, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k \text{ s.t.}$$
$$\frac{1}{L} d(x, y) \leq |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq L d(x, y)$$

A metric space (X, d) is
 (M, s) -homogeneous if

$$N(X \cap B(x, r), \rho) \leq M \left(\frac{r}{\rho} \right)^s$$

$$\forall x \in X, \quad 0 < \rho < r$$

Lemma

Any subset A of \mathbb{R}^n is $(2^n n^{n/2}, n)$ -homogeneous

Proof

$$A \cap B(x, r) \subseteq B(x, r) \subseteq Q(x, r)$$

cover $Q(x, r)$ by

cube

$$\left(\frac{r}{\rho} + 1\right)^n$$

cubes of side ρ

any cube of side ρ contains a ball of

$$N(B(x, r), \rho) \leq \left(\frac{\sqrt{n}r}{\rho} + 1\right)^n \leq \underbrace{2^n}_{\text{radius } \sqrt{n}\rho} n^{n/2} \left(\frac{\rho}{\rho}\right)^n$$

Lemma

If (X, d_X) is (M, s) -homogeneous &

$\phi: (X, d_X) \rightarrow (Y, d_Y)$ that is

L -bi-Lipschitz then $\phi(X)$ is

(ML^{2s}, s) -homogeneous

Proof

take $y \in \phi(X)$ $y = \phi(\xi)$

& inside

$\phi^{-1}[\mathcal{B}(y, r) \cap \phi(X)] \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\xi, Lr) \cap X$

cover $\mathcal{B}(\xi, Lr) \cap X$ with $\leq M \left(\frac{Lr}{\rho/L}\right)^s$

balls of radius $\leq \rho/L$

\Rightarrow cover by $\leq M L^{2s} \left(\frac{r}{\rho}\right)^s$ ρ -balls in Y . \square

Any (X, d) bi-Lip embeddable
into \mathbb{R}^k must be (M, k) -homogeneous
for some $M > 0$.

The Assouad dimension $d_A(X)$

$d_A(X) = \inf \left\{ s : X \text{ is } (M, s)\text{-homogeneous} \right.$
 $\left. \text{for some } M > 0 \right\}$.

Associated diam. is invariant under
bi-Lipschitz maps.

Lemma (Properties of d_A)

(i) If $A \subseteq B$ $d_A(A) \leq d_A(B)$

(ii) $d_A(A \cup B) \leq \max(d_A(A), d_A(B))$

(iii) $d_A(X) = n$ if X is an open subset
of \mathbb{R}^n

(iv) If X is compact then

$$d_B(X) \leq d_A(X)$$

to see (iv), $X \subset B(x_0, R)$ since $R > 0$

$$N(X \cap B(x, R), \rho) \leq M \left(\frac{R}{\rho} \right)^s = MR^s \rho^{-s}$$

$$s > d_A(X)$$

$$\Rightarrow d_B(X) \leq s$$

So

$$\dim(X) \leq d_H(X) \leq d_B(X) \leq d_A(X)$$

[X compact]

All these inequalities can be strict.

Consider $A = \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\} \cup \{0\} \subset [0, 1]$

$$d_H(A) = 0; \quad d_B(A) = 1/2; \quad d_A(A) = 1.$$

$$N\left(A \cap \left(\frac{1}{n}, \frac{1}{n+1}\right), \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \approx n \quad \times \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \cdot \quad \times$$

$$n \left(\frac{1}{m} - \frac{1}{m+1} \right) \sim \frac{1}{m^2} \quad \approx \frac{1}{n} \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_{A, \frac{1}{n}}(A) = 1$$

$m \geq n$

e.g. $A = \{n^{-\alpha} e_n\} \cup \{0\}$

in a Hilbert space

$$\underline{d_A(A)} = \infty$$

Assoad dim can be much bigger than
the box dimension.

Assouad's embedding theorem

(X, d) homogeneous metric space.

Then $\forall \alpha \in (0, 1) \exists$ bi-Lipschitz
embedding of (X, d^α) into
 $\mathbb{R}^{N(\alpha)}$

Proof

An ε -net/in X is a collection of

points st. $\forall x \in X$

$d(x, a) < \varepsilon$ for some $a \in X$

i.e. $\bigcup_{a \in A} B(a, \varepsilon) \supseteq X$

it is maximal if

$$d(x, y) \geq \varepsilon \quad \forall x, y \in A \quad x \neq y$$

Suppose that A_1 is a maximal
1-net in X \leftarrow X is (M, ε) -homog.

$$|A_1 \cap B(x, \varepsilon/2)| \leq M' \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$|A_1 \cap B(x, 1/2)| \leq N(B(x, 1/2), 1/2)$$

$$\leq M 24^S = M'$$

(K, S) -

A colouring of a set A is

a map $\kappa: A \rightarrow \{1, \dots, K\}$ s.t.

$\kappa(a) \neq \kappa(b)$ if $d(a, b) \in S$

Claim: There is an $(M', 12)$ coloring
of A_1

Let $Y = \{y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots\}$ be a enumeration
of A_1 & suppose we have defined

$$K_i: \{y_1, \dots, y_i\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, M'\}$$

We want to define $K_{i+1}(y_{i+1})$ appropriately

$$|\{y_1, \dots, y_i\} \cap B(y_{i+1}, 1/2)| \leq M' - 1$$

$$\subset A_1 \cap B(y_i, 1/2)$$

$$|\sim| \leq M'$$

So we can define $K_{i+1}(y_{i+1})$ to ensure "the colouring property"

let $\{e_j\}_k$ be a basis for $\mathbb{R}^{m'}$, &

define $\hat{K} : A_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m'}$,

$$\hat{K}(a) = e_{\max\{k(a)\}}$$

Define $\phi_1(x) = \sum_{a \in A_1} (2 - d(x, a), 0) \hat{K}(a)$

$$\phi_1(x) = \sum_{a \in A} \max(2 - d(x, a), 0) \hat{K}(a),$$

$$\Delta_a(x) := \max(2 - d(x, a), 0)$$

$$(i) \quad 0 \leq \Delta_a(x) \leq 2;$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{if } d(x, y) > 4 \text{ then } \Delta_a(x) \neq 0 \\ \Rightarrow \Delta_a(y) = 0$$

$$(iii) \quad |a: \Delta_a(x) \neq 0| \leq M'$$

$$(iv) \quad D_a := |\Delta_a(x) - \Delta_a(y)| \\ \leq \min(d(x, y), 2)$$

[case-by-case]

Now, suppose that

$$\frac{1}{2} \cdot 8 < d(x, y) \leq 8 \quad (*)$$

$$(i) \quad |\phi_1(x)| \leq \sqrt{M'} \cdot 2 \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$(ii) \quad |\phi_1(x) - \phi_1(y)| \leq \sqrt{2M'} \cdot \min(d(x, y), 2) \\ \forall x, y \in X$$

(iii) - ϕ ~~(*)~~ holds for

$$|\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(y)| \geq 1$$

- $d(x, a) < 1$ for at least one $a \in A$

$$\text{So } \Delta_a(x) > 1$$

$$\text{since } d(x, y) > 4 \quad d(y, a) > 2$$

$$\Delta_a(y) = 0$$

if $d(y, a') < 2$ for some $a' \in A$

then

$$d(a', a) \leq d(a, x) + d(x, y) + d(y, a') < 12$$

every $a \in A_1$ s.t.
 $\Delta_a(x) \neq 0$ $\Delta_a(y) \neq 0$
has a different label

$$\Rightarrow |\phi_1(x) - \phi_2(y)| \geq 1$$

$$|\phi_2(x) - \phi_2(y)| \leq 2 \min(\overline{d(x, y)}, 1)$$

$$y \quad 4 < d(x, y) \leq 8$$
$$|\phi_2(x) - \phi_2(y)| \geq 1$$

By applying the same construction to
 $(x, 2^j \delta)$ or considering a
 2^{-j} -net we obtain

$\phi_j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{M'}$ s.t.

$$|\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)| \leq 2M' \min(2^j d(x, y), 1)$$

$$\& \quad 2^{-(j+1)} \delta < d(x, y) \leq 2^{-j} \delta \Rightarrow |\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)| \geq 1$$

Let $(\gamma_j)_{j=0}^{2N-1}$ be a basis for \mathbb{R}^{2N}

Set $\hat{\gamma}_j = \gamma_{j \bmod 2N}$ $j \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\left[\phi(x) = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_j(x) \otimes \hat{\gamma}_j 2^{-x_j} \right]$$

pick $x_0 \in X$ change $\phi_j \mapsto \phi_j(x) - \phi_j(x_0)$

We now show that ϕ is bi-Lip from
 (X, d) into \mathbb{R}^{2Nm} for N large enough

Suppose that

$$2^{-(k+1)}\delta < d(x, y) \leq 2^{-k}\delta$$

$$|\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} |\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)| 2^{-aj}$$

$$\leq \sum_{k \leq j} |\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)| 2^{-aj} + \sum_{k > j} \frac{|\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)|}{2^{-aj}}$$

$$\leq c' \sum_{j \leq k} 2^{-\alpha j} 2^j d(x, y)$$

$$+ c' \sum_{j > k} 2^{-\alpha j}$$

$$\leq c' 2^{-(\alpha-1)k} d(x, y) + c' 2^{-\alpha k}$$

$$\leq c d(x, y)^\alpha$$

$$2^{-(k+1)}\delta < d(x,y) \leq 2^{-k}\delta$$

$$|\phi(x) - \phi(y)|$$

$$\geq \left| \sum_{k \cdot N < j \leq k+N} 2^{-\alpha_j} (\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)) \otimes \hat{e}_j \right|$$

$$= \sum_{j \leq k \cdot N} 2^{-\alpha_j} |\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)|$$

$$- \sum_{j > k+N} 2^{-\alpha_j} |\phi_j(x) - \phi_j(y)|$$

17

$$\geq 2^{-\alpha k} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=k-N}^{k-1} 2^{-\alpha j} 2^j d(x,y)}_1$$

$$= \sum_{j=k+N}^{\infty} 2^{-\alpha j}$$



$$j=k+N$$



$$\leq$$

$$2^{-\alpha(k+N)}$$

$$d(x,y)$$

$$\underbrace{2^{-k}}_{2^{-\alpha k}}$$

$$2^{-k(\alpha-1)} 2^{(k-1)N} < 2^{-\alpha k} / 4$$

$$\underbrace{2^{-\alpha N}}_{2^{-\alpha k}} < 2^{-\alpha k} / 4$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} 2^{-\alpha k} \geq c d(x, y)^\alpha$$

$$c d(x, y)^\alpha \leq |\phi(x) - \phi(y)| \leq c' d(x, y)^\alpha$$



a variant of this proof gives the following

$$d_A(X) < \infty$$

$$\& \delta > 1/2$$

$\exists \phi: X \rightarrow H$ s.t.

$$c \frac{d(x,y)}{|\log_2 d(x,y)|^\delta} \leq \|\phi(x) - \phi(y)\| \leq C d(x,y)$$