

# Heart and soul of Ergodic Ramsey Theory

Sarkozy's theorem:  $\forall A \subset \mathbb{N}, \bar{d}(A) > 0$

$\exists x, y \in A$  s.t.  $x - y = n^2$  for some  $n$ .

$\exists x, n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\{x, x+n^2\} \subset A$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $A \cap (A - n^2) \neq \emptyset$

Let  $T: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$   $Tx \mapsto x+1$

$T^{-1}A = A-1$   $T^{-n}A = A-n$   $\bar{d}(A) > 0$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $A \cap T^{-n}A \neq \emptyset$

$$\bar{d}(T^{-1}A) = \bar{d}(A) \quad *$$

## Ernstberg Correspondence Principle

For many "arithmetic purposes" one can think of

$(\mathbb{N}, \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}), \bar{d}, T)$  as a measure preserving system

Ex:  $\exists$  sets  $A, B \subset \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \cap B = \emptyset$

$$\bar{d}(A) = \bar{d}(B) = 1$$

Recall Szemerédi's theorem:  $\forall A \subset \mathbb{N}, \bar{d}(A) > 0$ ,

$\forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists x, n$  s.t.  $\{x, x+n, x+2n, \dots, x+kn\} \subset A$

Ex: Show that  $\exists \mathbb{N} = A \cup B$  s.t. neither  $A$  nor  $B$  contains an infinite arithmetic progression.

$\exists x, n$  s.t.  $\{x, x+n, x+2n, \dots, x+kn\} \subset A$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $A \cap (A-n) \cap (A-2n) \cap \dots \cap (A-kn) \neq \emptyset$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-kn}A \neq \emptyset$

$\Leftrightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.  $\bar{d}(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-kn}A) > 0$

Thm (Ernstberg, 1977; "Multiple Recurrence Theorem".)

Thm (Eunsteinberg, 1977; "Multiple Recurrence Theorem")  
 $\forall (X, \beta, \mu, T) \quad \forall A \in \beta \quad \mu(A) > 0, \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \exists n \in \mathbb{N}$   
 s.t.  $\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A \cap T^{-2n}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-kn}A) > 0$

Prop: Let  $E \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\exists (X, \beta, \mu, T)$  m.p.s. and  $A \in \beta$  s.t.  
 $\bar{d}(E) = \mu(A)$  and  $\forall n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\bar{d}(E \cap (E - n_1) \cap \dots \cap (E - n_k)) \geq \mu(A \cap T^{-n_1}A \cap \dots \cap T^{-n_k}A)$$

Pf:  $X, \beta, T$  and  $A$  don't depend on  $E$ .  
 $X = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is a compact space.  $\beta =$  Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra.

$T: (x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} \mapsto (x_{n+1})_{n=0}^{\infty}$  (it is continuous)

$A = \{(x_n)_{n=0}^{\infty} : x_0 = 1\}$ . Let  $x \in X$  s.t.  $x_n = 1$  iff  $n \in E$ .

For each  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider  $\mu_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{T^n x}$ .

Choose  $(N_k)$  s.t.  $\bar{d}(E) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_k} |E \cap \{1, \dots, N_k\}|$

Recall that the space of Borel prob. measures on  $X$  is a compact metric space under the weak\* topology.

Pass if needed to a subsequence of  $\mu_{N_k}$  so that

$\mu = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{N_k}$  exists in the weak\* topology.

One can show that  $\mu$  is  $T$ -invariant.

Recall:  $\nu_n \rightarrow \nu$  in the weak\* topology

$$\text{iff } \forall f \in C(X), \int_X f d\nu_n \rightarrow \int_X f d\nu$$

Note that  $\delta_{T^n x}(A) = 1 \iff T^n x \in A \iff n \in E$

Therefore  $\mu_N(A) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \delta_{T^n x}(A) = \frac{1}{N} |E \cap \{1, \dots, N\}|$

Hence  $\mu(A) = \bar{d}(E)$ .

Finally, for  $n_1, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\delta_{T^{n_1} x} \dots \delta_{T^{n_k} x}(A) = 1$

$$\iff n \in E \cap (E - n_1) \cap \dots \cap (E - n_k)$$

which implies that  $\bar{d}(E \cap (E - n_1) \cap \dots \cap (E - n_k)) \geq \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N_k} |E \cap (E - n_1) \cap \dots \cap (E - n_k) \cap [N_k]|$

$$= \mu(A \cap \dots \cap T^{-n_k}A) \quad \square$$

Note that  $A$  is clopen, i.e.  $\mathbb{1}_A$  is continuous  
 " " " " " " " " " " " "

Note that  $A$  is clopen, i.e.  $1_A$  is continuous

Hence  $\mu(A) = \int 1_A d\mu \rightarrow \int 1_A d\mu = \mu(A)$

Recall that  $R \subset \mathbb{N}$  is a set of recurrence if  $\forall (X, \beta, \mu, T), \forall A \in \mathcal{B}$   
 $\mu(A) > 0 \exists n \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0$

$R \subset \mathbb{N}$  is intersective if  $\forall E \subset \mathbb{N}, \bar{d}(E) > 0, (E-E) \cap R \neq \emptyset$ .

Proof: Let  $R \subset \mathbb{N}$ . Then TFAE:

(1)  $R$  is a set of recurrence

(2)  $R$  is an intersective set

(3)  $\forall E \subset \mathbb{N}, \bar{d}(E) > 0, \exists n \in R$  s.t.  $\bar{d}(E \cap (E-n)) > 0$

Pf: (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). Let  $R$  be a set of recurrence, let  $E \subset \mathbb{N}, \bar{d}(E) > 0$ .  
 Apply the correspondence principle to get  $(X, \beta, \mu, T)$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  s.t.  
 $\mu(A) > 0$ . Then  $\exists n \in R$  s.t.  $\mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0$ , so  $\bar{d}(E \cap (E-n)) > 0$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) If  $E \cap (E-n) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $n \in E-E$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Let  $R$  be an intersective set. Let  $(X, \beta, \mu, T)$  be a m.p.s., let  $A \in \mathcal{B}$   
 $\mu(A) > 0$ . For each  $x \in X$ , let  $E_x = \{n: T^{-n}x \in A\}$ .

$$\bar{d}(E_x) = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} |E_x \cap \{1, \dots, N\}| = \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N 1_A(T^{-n}x).$$

$$\int_X \bar{d}(E_x) d\mu = \int_X \limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N 1_A(T^{-n}x) d\mu \geq \text{(Fatou's lemma)}$$

$$\limsup_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_X 1_A \circ T^n d\mu = \mu(A) > 0.$$

Hence  $B = \{x \in X: \bar{d}(E_x) > \mu(A)/2\}$  has  $\mu(B) > 0$ .

For each  $x \in B \exists a_x, b_x \in E_x$  and  $n_x \in \mathbb{R}$  s.t.  $a_x - b_x = n_x$

There are only countably many choices for  $a_x, b_x, n_x$  so  $\exists a, b, n$  and  
 $C \subset B$  with  $\mu(C) > 0$  s.t.  $\forall x \in C, a, b \in E_x$  and  $a - b = n$ .

$$C \subset T^{-a}A \cap T^{-b}A \Rightarrow \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0$$

Thm: Let  $E_1, \dots, E_k \subset \mathbb{N}$  all with positive upper density

Then  $(E_1 - E_1) \cap (E_2 - E_2) \cap \dots \cap (E_k - E_k)$  is syndetic.

$$E_1 \times \dots \times E_k \subset \mathbb{N}^k$$

Def: A set  $S \subset \mathbb{N}$  is called syndetic if it has bounded gaps (i.e. if  $\exists M$  s.t.  $S \cup S-1 \cup \dots \cup S-M = \mathbb{N}$ ).

Thm: (Katznelson) ...  $\forall (X, \beta, \mu, T) \forall A \in \mathcal{B}, \mu(A) > 0$

gaps (i.e. if  $\exists M$  s.t.  $S \cup S - 1 \cup \dots \cup S - M = \mathbb{N}$ ).

Thm (Khintchine's Recurrence):  $\forall (X, \beta, \mu, T) \forall A \in \beta \mu(A) > 0$ ,  
 $\{n: \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\}$  is syndetic.

Pf of  $\Leftarrow$  Apply the Correspondence principle for each  $E_i$  to get a m.p.s.  $(X_i, \beta_i, \mu_i, T_i)$  and  $A_i \in \beta_i$  s.t.  $\mu_i(A_i) > 0$ .

Let  $(X, \beta, \mu, T)$  be the product of these  $k$  systems, i.e.

$$X = X_1 \times \dots \times X_k, \quad \beta = \beta_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \beta_k, \quad \mu = \mu_1 \otimes \dots \otimes \mu_k,$$

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_k) := (T_1 x_1, \dots, T_k x_k). \quad \text{Let } A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_k.$$

Note that  $\mu(A) = \prod \mu_i(A_i) > 0$ , so  $\{n: \mu(A \cap T^{-n}A) > 0\} \stackrel{=: R}{\text{is syndetic.}}$  Note  $A \cap T^{-n}A = (A_1 \cap T_1^{-n}A_1) \times \dots \times (A_k \cap T_k^{-n}A_k)$

$$\text{so } \mu_i(A_i \cap T_i^{-n}A_i) > 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ and } \forall n \in R$$

Therefore  $\bar{d}(E_i \cap E_i - n) > 0 \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ and } \forall n \in R$

Hence  $n \in E_i - E_i \quad \forall i \in \{1, \dots, k\} \text{ and } \forall n \in R \quad \square$

Thm (Schnur): If  $\mathbb{N} = C_1 \cup \dots \cup C_r \exists x, y \in \mathbb{N} \exists i$  s.t.

$$\{x, y, x+y\} \subset C_i.$$

Ex: Show that, under the same conditions we can choose  $x, y$  s.t.  $\{x, y, x+y\} \subset C_i$ .

Pf of Schnur's thm: (Bergelson, 1984's)

Find  $s \in \{1, \dots, r\}$  s.t.  $C_1, \dots, C_s$  have positive upper density and  $\bar{d}(C_i) = 0 \quad \forall i > s$ .

$(C_1 - C_1) \cap (C_2 - C_2) \cap \dots \cap (C_s - C_s)$  is syndetic, and in particular, it is not contained in  $\underbrace{C_{s+1} \cup \dots \cup C_r}_{\bar{d}(\cdot) = 0}$

Therefore  $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$  s.t.  $(C_1 - C_1) \cap \dots \cap (C_s - C_s) \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$

Take  $x$  in that intersection, then  $x \in C_i \cap (C_i - C_i)$ , so

$x = z - y$  for some  $z, y \in C_i$ . Hence  $\{x, y, x+y\} \subset C_i \quad \square$

$$\exists x: \{x, x+n^2\} \subset E \Leftrightarrow E \cap (E - n^2) \neq \emptyset$$

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n^2}A) > 0$$

...  $(\lambda, \lambda + n) \subset L \Rightarrow L \cap (L + n) \neq \emptyset$

$$\mu(A \cap T^{-n} A) > 0 .$$