

# Non-uniqueness for the transport equation with Sobolev vector fields

Stefano Modena

University of Leipzig

Warwick, January 23, 2019



# Transport equation:

**Transport equation:**

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u &= 0, \\ \rho|_{t=0} &= \rho^0,\end{aligned}\tag{T}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d && \text{given vector field, } \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \rho : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} && \text{unknown density.}\end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

Then (T) is equivalent to the **continuity equation**:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0\tag{C}$$

**Transport equation:**

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u &= 0, \\ \rho|_{t=0} &= \rho^0,\end{aligned}\tag{T}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d && \text{given vector field, } \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \rho : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} && \text{unknown density.}\end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

Then (T) is equivalent to the **continuity equation**:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0\tag{C}$$

**Smooth setting:** (T), (C) are solved through Lagrangian ODE  $\dot{X} = u(t, X)$  via the formula

$$\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x).\tag{1}$$

## Transport equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u &= 0, \\ \rho|_{t=0} &= \rho^0,\end{aligned}\tag{T}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d && \text{given vector field, } \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \rho : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} && \text{unknown density.}\end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

Then (T) is equivalent to the **continuity equation**:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0\tag{C}$$

**Smooth setting:** (T), (C) are solved through Lagrangian ODE  $\dot{X} = u(t, X)$  via the formula

$$\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x).\tag{1}$$

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:**

**Transport equation:**

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u &= 0, \\ \rho|_{t=0} &= \rho^0,\end{aligned}\tag{T}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d && \text{given vector field, } \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \rho : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} && \text{unknown density.}\end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

Then (T) is equivalent to the **continuity equation**:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0\tag{C}$$

**Smooth setting:** (T), (C) are solved through Lagrangian ODE  $\dot{X} = u(t, X)$  via the formula

$$\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x).\tag{1}$$

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ;

## Transport equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u &= 0, \\ \rho|_{t=0} &= \rho^0,\end{aligned}\tag{T}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d && \text{given vector field, } \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \rho : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} && \text{unknown density.}\end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

Then (T) is equivalent to the **continuity equation**:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0\tag{C}$$

**Smooth setting:** (T), (C) are solved through Lagrangian ODE  $\dot{X} = u(t, X)$  via the formula

$$\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x).\tag{1}$$

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ;

① do existence and uniqueness hold in the class

$$\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p, \quad u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'} ?$$



## Transport equation:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u &= 0, \\ \rho|_{t=0} &= \rho^0,\end{aligned}\tag{T}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}u : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d && \text{given vector field, } \mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{R}^d / \mathbb{Z}^d \\ \rho : [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} && \text{unknown density.}\end{aligned}$$

Assume

$$\operatorname{div} u = 0.$$

Then (T) is equivalent to the **continuity equation**:

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = 0\tag{C}$$

**Smooth setting:** (T), (C) are solved through Lagrangian ODE  $\dot{X} = u(t, X)$  via the formula

$$\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x).\tag{1}$$

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ;

- 1 do existence and uniqueness hold in the class

$$\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p, \quad u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'} ?$$

- 2 is the relation (1) still valid (in some sense)?



**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:**

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  *nearly incompressible*

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\text{div } u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\text{div } u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Non-uniqueness:**

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Non-uniqueness:**

- $\operatorname{div} u \notin L^\infty$  (DiPerna-Lions)



**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Non-uniqueness:**

- $\operatorname{div} u \notin L^\infty$  (DiPerna-Lions)
- $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , but  $u$  “does not have” one full derivative (DiPerna-Lions, DePauw, Crippa-Gusev-Spirito-Wiedemann)

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Non-uniqueness:** *degeneration of the Lagrangian flow*

- $\operatorname{div} u \notin L^\infty$  (DiPerna-Lions)
- $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , but  $u$  “does not have” one full derivative (DiPerna-Lions, DePauw, Crippa-Gusev-Spirito-Wiedemann)

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Non-uniqueness:** *degeneration of the Lagrangian flow*

- $\operatorname{div} u \notin L^\infty$  (DiPerna-Lions)
- $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , but  $u$  “does not have” one full derivative (DiPerna-Lions, DePauw, Crippa-Gusev-Spirito-Wiedemann)

For fixed  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , what happens if  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ ,  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{\tilde{p}}$ , but  $\tilde{p} < p'$ ?

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Non-uniqueness:** *degeneration of the Lagrangian flow*

- $\operatorname{div} u \notin L^\infty$  (DiPerna-Lions)
- $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , but  $u$  “does not have” one full derivative (DiPerna-Lions, DePauw, Crippa-Gusev-Spirito-Wiedemann)

For fixed  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , what happens if  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ ,  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{\tilde{p}}$ , but  $\tilde{p} < p'$ ?

uniqueness of the regular Lagrangian flow vs

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Non-uniqueness:** *degeneration of the Lagrangian flow*

- $\operatorname{div} u \notin L^\infty$  (DiPerna-Lions)
- $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , but  $u$  “does not have” one full derivative (DiPerna-Lions, DePauw, Crippa-Gusev-Spirito-Wiedemann)

For fixed  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , what happens if  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ ,  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{\tilde{p}}$ , but  $\tilde{p} < p'$ ?

uniqueness of the regular Lagrangian flow vs  $p$  too low in comparison with  $\tilde{p}$

**Well-posedness for the weak problem:** fix  $p \in [1, \infty]$  and  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ ,

- 1 does uniqueness hold in the class  $\rho \in L_t^\infty L_x^p$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ?
- 2 is the relation  $\rho(t, X(t, x)) = \rho^0(x)$  still valid?

**Uniqueness:** *If  $Du$  is available, then  $u$  is Lipschitz except on a small “bad” set and a bounded (or  $L^p$ ) density can not see such bad set*

- DiPerna-Lions, 1989, if  $u \in L_t^1 W_x^{1,p'}$ , i.e.  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{p'}$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Ambrosio, 2004, if  $p = \infty$  and  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $\operatorname{div} u \in L^\infty$
- Bianchini, Bonicatto, 2017, if  $p = \infty$ ,  $u \in L_t^1 BV_x$ ,  $u$  nearly incompressible

Rem. PDE uniqueness for  $\rho \in L_{tx}^\infty \implies$  uniqueness for the ODE (reg. Lagr. flow)

**Non-uniqueness:** *degeneration of the Lagrangian flow*

- $\operatorname{div} u \notin L^\infty$  (DiPerna-Lions)
- $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ , but  $u$  “does not have” one full derivative (DiPerna-Lions, DePauw, Crippa-Gusev-Spirito-Wiedemann)

For fixed  $p \in [1, \infty)$ , what happens if  $\operatorname{div} u = 0$ ,  $Du \in L_t^1 L_x^{\tilde{p}}$ , but  $\tilde{p} < p'$ ?

uniqueness of the regular Lagrangian flow vs  $p$  too low in comparison with  $\tilde{p}$



Thm. (M., Sattig, Székelyhidi, 2017-2018)

Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in [1, \infty)$ . If

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} > 1 + \frac{1}{d},$$

then there exist infinitely many incompressible vector fields

$$u \in C_t L_x^{p'} \cap C_t W_x^{1, \tilde{p}}$$

for which uniqueness of solutions to the transport equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0,$$

fails in the class of densities  $\rho \in C_t L_x^p$ .



Thm. (M., Sattig, Székelyhidi, 2017-2018)

Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in [1, \infty)$ . If

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} > 1 + \frac{1}{d},$$

then there exist infinitely many incompressible vector fields

$$u \in C_t L_x^{p'} \cap C_t W_x^{1, \tilde{p}}$$

for which uniqueness of solutions to the transport equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0,$$

fails in the class of densities  $\rho \in C_t L_x^p$ . Moreover:

## Thm. (M., Sattig, Székelyhidi, 2017-2018)

Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in [1, \infty)$ . If

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} > 1 + \frac{1}{d},$$

then there exist infinitely many incompressible vector fields

$$u \in C_t L_x^{p'} \cap C_t W_x^{1, \tilde{p}}$$

for which uniqueness of solutions to the transport equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0,$$

fails in the class of densities  $\rho \in C_t L_x^p$ . Moreover:

- if  $p = 1, p' = \infty$ , then  $u \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d) \cap C_t W_x^{1, \tilde{p}}$ ;

## Thm. (M., Sattig, Székelyhidi, 2017-2018)

Let  $p \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\tilde{p} \in [1, \infty)$ . If

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\tilde{p}} > 1 + \frac{1}{d},$$

then there exist infinitely many incompressible vector fields

$$u \in C_t L_x^{p'} \cap C_t W_x^{1, \tilde{p}}$$

for which uniqueness of solutions to the transport equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \nabla \rho \cdot u = 0, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0,$$

fails in the class of densities  $\rho \in C_t L_x^p$ . Moreover:

- if  $p = 1, p' = \infty$ , then  $u \in C([0, T] \times \mathbb{T}^d) \cap C_t W_x^{1, \tilde{p}}$ ;
- the same result holds for the transport-diffusion equation

$$\partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho u) = \Delta \rho, \quad \operatorname{div} u = 0,$$

if, in addition,  $p' < d$ .