

# Derivatives of Hecke Eigenvalues in $p$ -adic Families of Modular Forms

James Rawson  
University of Warwick

07/09/23  
YRANT 2023, Cambridge

## Definition (Informal)

A  $p$ -adic family of modular forms of level  $pN$  is a  $q$ -expansion  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n q^n$ , such that each  $a_n$  is an analytic  $p$ -adic function, and for suitable integers,  $k$ ,  $\sum_{n \geq 0} a_n(k) q^n$  is a modular form of weight  $k$  and level  $pN$ .

## Theorem (Hida, Coleman)

*Let  $f$  be an eigenform of weight  $k \geq 2$  with  $p$ th Fourier coefficient  $a_p$ . If  $v_p(a_p) < k - 1$ , then there exists a unique  $p$ -adic family through  $f$ .*

# Examples of $p$ -adic Families

## Example (Eisenstein Series)

Let

$$E_k^{(p)} = \frac{(1 - p^{k-1})\zeta(1 - k)}{2} + \sum_{n \geq 1} \sigma_{k-1}^*(n)q^n$$

where  $\sigma_k^*(n) = \sum_{d|n, p \nmid d} d^k$ . This forms a family, since  $\sigma_k^*(n)$  is an analytic function of  $k$ , as is  $\zeta(1 - k)$ .

## Example

Let  $\Delta = q - 24q^2 + 252q^3 + \dots$  be the unique modular form of level 1 and weight 12, and  $f = q - 2q^2 - q^3 + \dots$  be the unique modular form of level 11 and weight 2. There is a unique  $p$ -adic family through both forms, and this 'explains' their congruence modulo 11.

## Definition

Let  $V_k$  be polynomials of degree  $k$ . This has a right action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  via

$$\left( f \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) (z) = (cz + d)^k f \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right)$$

## Definition

Let  $V_k$  be polynomials of degree  $k$ . This has a right action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  via

$$\left( f \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) (z) = (cz + d)^k f \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right)$$

## Definition

A modular symbol of level  $\Gamma$  and weight  $k$  is an element of  $\text{Symb}_\Gamma(V_k) = \text{Hom}(\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})), V_k)^\Gamma$ , where  $(f \cdot \sigma)(D) = f(\sigma D) \cdot \sigma$

## Definition

Let  $V_k$  be polynomials of degree  $k$ . This has a right action of  $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$  via

$$\left( f \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \right) (z) = (cz + d)^k f \left( \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \right)$$

## Definition

A modular symbol of level  $\Gamma$  and weight  $k$  is an element of  $\text{Symb}_\Gamma(V_k) = \text{Hom}(\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q})), V_k)^\Gamma$ , where  $(f \cdot \sigma)(D) = f(\sigma D) \cdot \sigma$

## Theorem (Eichler-Shimura)

*For a congruence subgroup  $\Gamma$ , there is an isomorphism of Hecke modules  $\text{Symb}_\Gamma(V_k) \cong M_{k+2}(\Gamma) \oplus \overline{S_{k+2}(\Gamma)}$*

## Definition

For a normed  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra  $R$ , define  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  to be power series  $\sum_i a_i z^i$  such that  $a_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, this comes with a left action of  $\Gamma_0(p)$  for any character  $\kappa : R^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  by

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot_\kappa f \right) (z) = \kappa(a - cz) f \left( \frac{dz - b}{a - cz} \right)$$

## Definition

For a normed  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ -algebra  $R$ , define  $\mathcal{A}(R)$  to be power series  $\sum_i a_i z^i$  such that  $a_i \rightarrow 0$  as  $i \rightarrow \infty$ .

Moreover, this comes with a left action of  $\Gamma_0(p)$  for any character  $\kappa : R^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  by

$$\left( \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \cdot_\kappa f \right) (z) = \kappa(a - cz) f \left( \frac{dz - b}{a - cz} \right)$$

## Definition

Define  $\mathcal{D}(R) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}(R), \mathbb{Q}_p) \hat{\otimes} R$ , and equip this with an action given by  $(\mu \cdot_\kappa \sigma)(f) = \mu(\sigma \cdot_\kappa f)$

For  $R$  finite dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(R) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{A}(R), R)$



## Definition

An overconvergent modular symbol is an element of  $\text{Symb}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(R))$ .

If  $R = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , denote  $\mathcal{D}(R)$  with the action given by the character  $z \mapsto z^k$ , by  $\mathcal{D}_k(R)$ .

# Overconvergent Modular Symbols

## Definition

An overconvergent modular symbol is an element of  $\text{Symb}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(R))$ .

If  $R = \mathbb{Q}_p$ , denote  $\mathcal{D}(R)$  with the action given by the character  $z \mapsto z^k$ , by  $\mathcal{D}_k(R)$ .

## Theorem (Stevens' Control Theorem)

For any  $k \geq 0$ , there is an isomorphism

$$\text{Symb}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}_k(\mathbb{Q}_p))^{\langle k+1 \rangle} \cong \text{Symb}_\Gamma(V_k)^{\langle k+1 \rangle}$$

# Another Viewpoint on Families

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be weight space, which satisfies by  $\mathcal{W}(R) = \{\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^\times\}$ .

# Another Viewpoint on Families

## Definition

Let  $\mathcal{W}$  be weight space, which satisfies by  $\mathcal{W}(R) = \{\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^\times\}$ .

## Idea

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a curve over weight space, where above a point,  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow R^\times$ , the points are the Hecke eigenvalue systems appearing in  $\text{Symb}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(R))$  with weight  $\chi$ .

This should give a geometric interpretation of  $p$ -adic families, where  $R$  corresponds to a disc in weight space.

## Idea

Compute tangent spaces to  $\mathcal{C}$  like in algebraic geometry: find  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\epsilon] := \mathbb{Q}_p[x]/(x^2)$ -points that specialise to the classical point.

## Idea

Compute tangent spaces to  $\mathcal{C}$  like in algebraic geometry: find  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\epsilon] := \mathbb{Q}_p[x]/(x^2)$ -points that specialise to the classical point.

## Lemma

*Let  $\chi : \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$  be a character. Then for any  $t \in \mathbb{Q}_p$ ,  $\chi(z)(1 + t\epsilon \log_p(z))$  is a  $\mathbb{Q}_p[\epsilon]$ -valued character extending  $\chi$ , and this is all such.*

So study  $\text{Symb}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p[\epsilon]))$  with character  $\chi(z)(1 + \epsilon \log_p z)$ , and the map  $\text{Symb}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p[\epsilon])) \rightarrow \text{Symb}_\Gamma(\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Q}_p))$  coming from  $\epsilon \mapsto 0$ .

# Computing Modular Symbols

## Theorem (Pollack-Stevens)

*The  $\Gamma$ -module  $\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$  is finitely presented. Moreover, there exists a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$  is generated by the boundary paths, and the relations are given by the identifications of the edges, and the sum of all the boundary paths is zero.*

The only hard relation to satisfy is the last. If the width of the cusp at  $\infty$  is 1, this becomes, for a symbol  $\phi$ :

$$\phi(\gamma_i) \cdot \sum_i (1 - \sigma_i^{-1}) + \sum_i \phi(\gamma'_i) + \sum_i \phi(\gamma''_i) = \phi((\infty) - (0)) \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - 1 \right)$$

# Computing Modular Symbols

## Theorem (Pollack-Stevens)

*The  $\Gamma$ -module  $\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$  is finitely presented. Moreover, there exists a fundamental domain for  $\Gamma$  acting on  $\mathcal{H}$  such that  $\text{Div}^0(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{Q}))$  is generated by the boundary paths, and the relations are given by the identifications of the edges, and the sum of all the boundary paths is zero.*

The only hard relation to satisfy is the last. If the width of the cusp at  $\infty$  is 1, this becomes, for a symbol  $\phi$ :

$$\phi(\gamma_i) \cdot \sum_i (1 - \sigma_i^{-1}) + \sum_i \phi(\gamma'_i) + \sum_i \phi(\gamma''_i) = \phi((\infty) - (0)) \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - 1 \right)$$

## Theorem (Pollack-Stevens)

*The functional equation  $\mu \cdot \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - 1 \right) = \nu$  has a solution for  $\mu$  if and only if  $\nu(1) = 0$ .*



# Computing Derivatives

Write the deformed symbol as  $\phi + \epsilon\psi$ , and  $\sigma_i = \begin{pmatrix} a_i & b_i \\ c_i & d_i \end{pmatrix}$ . The boundary relation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_i (\psi(\gamma_i) \cdot (1 - \sigma_i^{-1}))(f(z)) + \sum_i \psi(\gamma'_i)(f(z)) + \sum_i \psi(\gamma''_i)(f(z)) \\ & - \sum_i \phi(\gamma_i)(\log(a - cz)f(z)) = \left( \psi((\infty) - (0)) \cdot \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - 1 \right) \right) (f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to make the left hand side zero on  $f = 1$ .

If  $-\sum_i \phi(\gamma_i)(\log(a - cz)f(z)) = 0$ , this is immediate. Otherwise, as  $\psi(\gamma_i)(1 - \sigma_i^{-1})(1) = \psi(\gamma_i)(1) - \psi(\gamma_i)(\chi(d_i + c_i z))$ . If  $\psi(\gamma_i)(1) = 1$  and  $\psi(\gamma_i)(z^j) = 0, j \neq 0$ , this is  $(1 - \chi(d_i))$ , so after rescaling, this makes the left hand side zero.

# Computing Derivatives

We now have a modular symbol  $\phi + \epsilon\psi$ . If we start with an eigensymbol, we want to get an eigensymbol. This differs from one by at most  $\epsilon\theta$  for some modular symbol  $\theta$ .

# Computing Derivatives

We now have a modular symbol  $\phi + \epsilon\psi$ . If we start with an eigensymbol, we want to get an eigensymbol. This differs from one by at most  $\epsilon\theta$  for some modular symbol  $\theta$ .

Use a small slope projection operator to remove the non-classical parts of  $\theta$ . For weight 2, this is  $U_p^{n!}$  for large  $n$ .

# Computing Derivatives

We now have a modular symbol  $\phi + \epsilon\psi$ . If we start with an eigensymbol, we want to get an eigensymbol. This differs from one by at most  $\epsilon\theta$  for some modular symbol  $\theta$ .

Use a small slope projection operator to remove the non-classical parts of  $\theta$ . For weight 2, this is  $U_p^{n!}$  for large  $n$ .

Eliminate the classical components of  $\theta$  - use Hecke operators that kill the symbols that aren't  $\phi$ .

# Some Examples

Eigenvalues for the cusp form of level 11 and weight 2

$\ell$	Deformed $T_\ell$ eigenvalue
2	$-2 + 11(2 + 11 + 2 \times 11^2 + 8 \times 11^3 + 10 \times 11^4 + \mathcal{O}(11^5))\epsilon$
3	$-1 + 11(10 + 6 \times 11 + 11^2 + 10 \times 11^3 + 7 \times 11^4 + \mathcal{O}(11^5))\epsilon$
5	$1 + 11(1 + 10 \times 11 + 11^3 + 9 \times 11^4 + \mathcal{O}(11^5))\epsilon$
7	$-2 + 11(4 + 4 \times 11^2 + 11^3 + 3 \times 11^4 + \mathcal{O}(11^5))\epsilon$
11	$1 + 11(8 + 2 \times 11 + 7 \times 11^2 + 11^3 + 7 \times 11^4 + \mathcal{O}(11^5))\epsilon$
13	$4 + 11(8 + 9 \times 11 + 11^3 + 5 \times 11^4 + \mathcal{O}(11^5))\epsilon$

# Some Examples

Eigenvalues for the cusp form of level 4 and weight 4 after 3-stabilizing

$\ell$	Deformed $T_\ell$ eigenvalue
2	$-4 + 3(1 + 2 \times 3^4 + \mathcal{O}(3^7))\epsilon$
3	$\alpha + 3(2 + 2 \times 3 + 2 \times 3^4 + 3^5 + \mathcal{O}(3^7))\epsilon$
5	$-5 + 3(2 + 2 \times 3^3 + 2 \times 3^6 + \mathcal{O}(3^7))\epsilon$
7	$6 + 3(1 + 3^2 + 3^3 + 2 \times 3^5 + \mathcal{O}(3^7))\epsilon$
11	$32 + 3^2(2 + 2 \times 3^2 + 3^3 + 2 \times 3^4 + \mathcal{O}(3^6))\epsilon$
13	$-38 + 3^2(2 + 3 + 2 \times 3^2 + 3^3 \mathcal{O}(3^6))\epsilon$

# Further Work

- Interpretation of the derivatives?  $a'_p$  is the  $\mathcal{L}$ -invariant.
- Other groups? Does this work for Bianchi modular forms, or Hilbert, or ...? Computations ongoing (Bianchi).