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KAM theory

Converse KAM theory

Divertors

## KAM tori

- Bouncing GCs have approximate invariant $L=\int_{\gamma} p_{\|} b^{b}$. If its level sets have bounded components, can use them to approximately confine.
- Because the FGCM is Hamiltonian, if $B$ is $C^{3}$ and some non-degeneracy, then KAM theory provides some true invariant tori of FGCM near to some level sets of $L$ on each energy level. They provide true confinement of GCM.
- Similarly, for circulating GCs, if $B$ has an approximate flux function $\psi$ with some bounded components of level sets, then KAM theory provides some true invariant tori near to some level sets of $\psi$.


## Practicalities

- KAM theory is technical and it is hard work to get KAM tori for reasonable perturbation sizes.
- Can look numerically and see fairly clearly whether there are invariant tori, e.g. Poincaré section for sample magnetic field.

- To be more sure, can apply tori v chaos tests:

1. Fractal dimension estimators
2. Lyapunov exponent estimators
3. My version of Lyapunov estimator using trace at recurrences
4. Gottwald \& Melbourne (2004) test
5. DESCRIBE THEM

## Converse KAM method

- Best is probably to use Converse KAM method: it finds the complement of the union of invariant tori of given class. It is much easier than KAM theory. Begin with use for magnetic fields.
- N Kallinikos, RS MacKay, D Martinez-del-Rio, Regions without flux surfaces of given class for toroidal magnetic fields, Plasma Phys \& Contr Fusion 65 (2023) 095021; Erratum, PPCF 65 (2023) 129602
- Choose class of tori by specifying a direction field $\xi$ to which they are transverse, e.g. gradient of an approximate flux function.
- Basic method for 3D vector field $v$ : flow $\xi$ with the linearised dynamics of $v$, if $\phi_{t *} \xi$ becomes $a \xi+b v$ with $a<0$ then that trajectory does not lie on an invariant torus transverse to $\xi$.



## Conefields

- Can refine to produce "conefields": upper and lower bounds on the slopes of possible invariant tori of the given class (basic method corresponds to finding where the cones are empty).

[Martinez]
- In general, the conefields can be used to eliminate more points: those from which every possible invariant torus would have to enter a non-existence region ("killends" extension).
- In principle, can apply to GCM: just need to choose an appropriate direction field on each energy level that allows both circulating and banana tori.


## Other uses of Converse KAM for fusion programme

- Can apply Converse KAM also to obtain constraints on geodesic foliations on tori (as for isodynamic fields) and on pressure jumps across current sheets.
- In both of these, the relevant class of tori is just the closed 1 -forms on the torus.
- Isodynamic fields: recall that they are defined to be integrable ones with $\dot{\psi}=0$ for FGCM, and that this implies $b \times \kappa$ is perpendicular to the flux surfaces, i.e. $b$ is a geodesic field on each flux surface, using the surface metric. Converse KAM can rule out certain $\iota$ on a given flux surface (all if the surface has a 'big bump'). Maybe all rational values in many cases?


## Pressure-jump Hamiltonian

- For a toroidal interface $\Sigma$ between force-free regions ( $J \times B=0$ ), assumed tangent to the limiting $B^{ \pm}$on each side, a current sheet on $\Sigma$ supports a pressure jump $P=p^{-}-p^{+}$if the jump in $\frac{1}{2}|B|^{2}$ is $P$.
- Given one limiting field $B^{-}$, then $B^{+}=\nabla f$ for a multivalued potential $f$ on $\Sigma$ s.t. $\frac{1}{2}|\nabla f|^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left|B^{-}\right|^{2}-P$, using surface metric. It is Hamilton-Jacobi equation for an invariant torus $p=\nabla f(q)$ of $H(q, p)=\frac{1}{2}|p|^{2}-V(q)$ with energy $P$, where $V=\frac{1}{2}\left|B^{-}\right|^{2}$.
- No solutions if $P<-V_{\min }$, so take $P+V \geq 0$. Then reformulate as seeking a geodesic field of Maupertuis metric $(P+V(q)) g$ on $\Sigma$.
- Converse KAM can constrain $\Sigma$ for solutions [Kaiser \& Salat, Phys Plasma 1 (1994) 281] (also $P$ and $\iota^{+}$).
- Can analyse $P$ near $-V_{\min }$ by perturbation of the singular case and $I$ think get no solutions for some interval of $P \geq-V_{\text {min }}$.
- $V$ negligible for $P>0$ large, so outcome depends only on $\Sigma$.
- Could extend use of Converse KAM numerically and thereby justify observations by Qu et al, PPCF 63 (2021) 125007?


## Divertors

- Want a clear gap between plasma and vessel wall, a transition from confinement to not.
- So design the magnetic field to have an outermost flux surface $S$ in the interior of the vessel and put the plasma inside $S$.
- e.g. for axisymmetric field, make a hyperbolic periodic fieldline, then its separatrix can bound the plasma. Not robust to breaking axisymmetry, but find a last invariant torus slightly inside, e.g. Abdullaev et al, Nucl Fusion 46 (2006) S113-26

- or usual mix of tori \& chaos makes a last torus, e.g. Boozer\&Punjabi, Phys Plasma 25 (2018) 092505
- Can find it by 1-sided residue criterion: JM Greene, RS MacKay, J Stark, Boundary circles for area-preserving maps, Physica D 21 (1986) 267-95


## Strike points

- If GCs diffuse across their last invariant tori (roughly corresponding to $S$ ), where do they hit the vessel wall? Simplify by looking at fieldline flow (in both directions).
- Answer can be obtained by working backwards from the wall. SKETCH
- Decompose the wall $W$ (assumed smooth) into $W^{+} \cup W^{0} \cup W^{-}$, according to sign of $B \cdot n$ where $n$ is the unit outward normal to $W$. Modulo $W^{0}$, forward fieldlines hit $W^{+}$, backward ones hit $W^{-}$.
- Decompose $W^{0}=W_{i}^{0} \cup W_{o}^{0} \cup W_{d}^{0}$ according as fieldline makes nondegenerate inner or outer tangency or a degenerate one. Modulo $W_{d}^{0}$, forward fieldlines hit $W^{+} \cup W_{i}^{0}$, backward ones hit $W^{-} \cup W_{i}^{0}$.
- Let $W^{ \pm}(\tau)$ be the sets of strike points reachable by $\pm$ fieldline flow from the interior of $W$ in time at most $\tau$; increasing sequences.
- Can do same for length instead of time; the resulting sets are those with "connection length" at most the given value.
- Endow $W$ with measure $|B \cdot d S|$ and let $m(\tau)=\mu\left(W^{ \pm}(\tau)\right.$ (equal). Then $\operatorname{div} B=0$ implies $m(\tau) \leq V_{\text {acc }} / \tau$, where $V_{\text {acc }}$ is the accessible volume along the fieldlines from the wall; and $V_{\text {acc }}=\int \tau d m(\tau)$.
- If use length instead of time, use $\operatorname{di}_{b}(|B| \Omega)=0$ and replace $V_{\text {acc }}$ by $\int_{V_{\mathrm{acc}}}|B| \Omega$.


## Perturbed tokamak example

- Poloidal section

- Contours of connection length on the two parts of $W^{+}$[Naik]

- Much more to do here!

