Murmurations in the weight aspect

Simon L Rydin Myerson, Murmurations study group, number theory seminar, University of Warwick

after Bober, Booker, Lee & Lowry-Duda (B2L2)

Recall the big picture:

We have \mathcal{F} a family of L-functions

$$L_{\pi}(s)=\sum f_{\pi}(n)n^{-s},$$

each having its own separate functional equation $\Lambda(s)=w_{\pi}\overline{\Lambda}(1-s)$ where

$$\Lambda(s) := N^{s/2}_{arith} L_{\pi,\infty}(s) \cdot L_{\pi}(s)$$

and normalized so that the Ramanujan conjecture is $f_{\pi}(n) \ll n^{\epsilon}$. Then $L_{\pi}(s)$ has root number w_{π} (± 1 if $f_{\pi}(n)$ is real), the (arithmetic) conductor is N_{arith} and the analytic conductor is

$$N_{\pi} := \exp\left(2\mathrm{Re}\left(rac{L'_{\pi,\infty}(1/2)}{L_{\pi,\infty}(1/2)}
ight)
ight) N_{arith}.$$

Previously we always had N_{arith} instead of N_{π} . B2L2 take a family with $N_{arith} = 1$, namely $\mathcal{F} = \bigcup_k H_k(1)$ where $H_k(1)$ is a basis of weight k newforms for $\Gamma_0(1) = \Gamma_1(0) = \Gamma_1 = PSL_2(Z)$,

The Katz-Sarnak philosophy

For fixed smooth Φ supported in [0, 1], we expect

$$\frac{\sum_{p \in [N^a, 2N^a]} \sum_{\substack{N_\pi \in \mathcal{F}, w_\pi = w \\ N_\pi \in [N, 2N]}} \Phi((N_\pi - N)/N) f_\pi(p)\sqrt{p}}{\sum_{p \in [N^a, 2N^a]} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{F}, w_\pi = w \\ N_\pi \in [N, 2N]}} \Phi((N_\pi - N)/N)} \rightarrow \begin{cases} 0 & (a < 1) \\ const & (a > 1). \end{cases}$$

Murmurations: a = 1. For piecewise smooth Φ we guess that

$$\frac{\sum_{p \in [yN, yN+X]} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{F}, w_{\pi}=w \\ N_{\pi} \in [N, N+Y]}} \Phi((N_{\pi} - N)/Y) f_{\pi}(p)}{\sum_{p \in [yN, yN+X]} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathcal{F} \\ N_{\pi} \in [N, N+Y]}} \Phi((N_{\pi} - N)/Y)} \sim w N^{-1/2} M_{\Phi}(y)$$

provided we take the limit over a sequence of (N, X, Y) with X, Y < N and with enough terms in the sum, namely

$$\sum_{p \in [yN, yN+X]} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{F}, w_{\pi} = w \\ N_{\pi} \in [N, N+Y]}} 1 > N^{1+\epsilon}.$$

When this is false, we see noise and a picture like murmurations of starlings. May need more than $N^{1+\epsilon}$ to see lower-order terms in the asymptotic? (B2L2 see extra noise when these should be present.)

Theorem (B2L2)

Assume GRH for the *L*-functions of Dirichlet characters and modular forms. Fix $\varepsilon \in (0, \frac{1}{12})$, $\delta \in \{0, 1\}$, and a compact interval $E \subset \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with |E| > 0. Let $K, H \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ with $K^{\frac{5}{6}+\varepsilon} < H < K^{1-\varepsilon}$, and set $N = (K/4\pi)^2$. Then as $K \to \infty$, we have

$$\frac{\sum_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p/N \in E}} \log p \sum_{\substack{k \equiv 2\delta \mod 4 \\ |k-K| \leq H}} \sum_{f \in H_k(1)} \lambda_f(p)}{\sum_{\substack{p \text{ prime} \\ p/N \in E}} \log p \sum_{\substack{k \equiv 2\delta \mod 4 \\ |k-K| \leq H}} \sum_{f \in H_k(1)} 1} = \frac{(-1)^{\delta}}{\sqrt{N}} \left(\frac{\nu(E)}{|E|} + o_{E,\varepsilon}(1) \right),$$

where

$$\nu(E) = \frac{1}{\zeta(2)} \sum_{\substack{a,q \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0} \\ \gcd(a,q)=1 \\ (a/q)^{-2} \in E}}^{*} \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\varphi(q)^2 \sigma(q)} \left(\frac{q}{a}\right)^3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{p \nmid t} \frac{p^2 - p - 1}{p^2 - p} \cdot \int_E \cos\left(\frac{2\pi t}{\sqrt{y}}\right) dy,$$

and * indicates terms at the endpoints of E are halved. Notice: M_{Φ} is a distribution, the guess from the last slide is therefore false as a short average over p may diverge even with plenty of terms. $= -\infty \infty$

Our object of study

Tragically the letter p is now replaced by n for the rest of the discussion. Please remember than n is a prime. The reason is that p, q and ℓ are used for other things.

Much of the technical difficulty comes from the sharp cutoffs $(a/q)^{-2} \in E, |k - K| \leq H$. If these were both smoothed there would by a power saving and no GRH for modular form *L*-functions.

At first I will stick to the proof sketch from B2L2 since we are really just doing routine manipulations. This deals with a semi-smoothed version, from which the result above follows. We study

$$\Sigma_{0} = \sum_{\substack{n \text{ prime} \\ n/N \in E}} \log n \sum_{k \in 2\delta + 4\mathbb{Z}} W\left(\frac{k - k_{0}}{4h}\right) \sum_{f \in H_{k}(1)} \lambda_{f}(n) + O_{E,\varepsilon}(hK^{2+\varepsilon}).$$

First steps

Definition

$$\psi_D(m) = \left(\frac{d}{m/\gcd(m,\ell)}\right) \quad (D = d\ell^2)$$

$$\phi_{t,n} = \arcsin\left(\frac{t}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \quad (t \in \mathbb{Z}, t^2 < 4n).$$

The Eichler-Selberg trace formula for $\sum_{f \in H_k(1)} \lambda_f(n)$ gives

$$\Sigma_{0} = \frac{(-1)^{\delta}}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{n \text{ prime}\\n/N \in E}} \log n \sum_{\substack{k \in 2\delta + 4\mathbb{Z}\\ t \in \mathbb{Z}\\t^{2} < 4n}} W\left(\frac{k - k_{0}}{4h}\right)$$
$$\sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z}\\t^{2} < 4n}} L(1, \psi_{t^{2} - 4n}) \cos((k - 1)\phi_{t,n}).$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

Second steps

We perform Poisson summation in k to get

$$\frac{(-1)^{\delta}}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{n \text{ prime} \\ n/N \in E}} \log n \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ t^2 < 4n}} L(1, \psi_{t^2 - 4n}) \sum_{k \in 2\delta + 4\mathbb{Z}} W\left(\frac{k - k_0}{4h}\right) \cos((k-1)\phi_{t,n})$$

Definition

$$T=K^{1+\epsilon_0}/h.$$

By elementary arguments, the terms with $\ell \neq 0$ turn out to be $O(h^{-1}K^3 \log K)$; because the terms with |t| > T are tiny, we get only a tiny error on replacing $2\phi_{t,n}$ by $tn^{-1/2}$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{0} &= \frac{(-1)^{\delta}h}{\pi} \sum_{\substack{n \text{ prime}\\n/N \in E}} \log n \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z}\\|t| \leq T}} L(1, \psi_{t^{2}-4n}) \cos\left(\frac{(k_{0}-1)t}{2\sqrt{n}}\right) \\ & \widehat{W}\left(\frac{ht}{\pi\sqrt{n}}\right) + O_{E,\varepsilon_{0}}\left(\frac{K^{3}\log K}{2\sqrt{n}}\right). \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.5

Now we reach the real number theory. We want to apply the PNT in APs, in the form (Lemma 4.5): Assume GRH for Dirichlet *L*-functions. Let $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\frac{t^2}{4} < A < B$, and let $\Phi \in C^1([A, B])$. Set $M = \max_{u \in [A,B]} |\Phi(u)|$ and $V = \int_A^B |\Phi'(u)| du$. Then

$$\sum_{\substack{n \in [A,B] \\ n \text{ prime}}} L(1, \psi_{t^2-4n}) \Phi(n) \log n = L(1, \overline{\psi}_t) \int_A^B \Phi(u) \, du$$

$$+ \mathit{O}_{arepsilon}ig(M^{rac{4}{5}}(M+V)^{rac{1}{5}}B^{rac{9}{10}+arepsilon}ig) \quad orall arepsilon\in(0,rac{1}{10}],$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

where

$$\overline{\psi}_t(m) = \frac{1}{\varphi(m^2)} \sum_{\substack{n \mod m^2 \\ (n,m)=1}} \psi_{t^2-4n}(m).$$

Lemma 4.3

Actually to prove this we need (Lemma 4.3): $L(s, \overline{\psi}_t)$ continues analytically to $\Re(s) > \frac{1}{2}$ and satisfies

$$L(1,\overline{\psi}_t)=Cf(t),$$

where

$$C = L(1, \overline{\psi}_1) = \prod_p \left(1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2(p+1)} \right) = 0.6151326573181718\dots$$

and

$$f(t) = P(1, t) = \prod_{p|t} \left(1 + \frac{1}{p^2 - p - 1}\right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Finally getting somewhere

Definition

$$\lambda_{k_0} = \frac{k_0 - 1}{4\pi\sqrt{N}}$$
$$x_{k_0}(\alpha) = \frac{k_0 - 1}{4\alpha h}$$
$$E = [\alpha_2^{-2}, \alpha_1^{-2}]$$

By the lemmas above we get

$$\begin{split} \Sigma_{0} &= \frac{2h(-1)^{\delta}}{\pi} \left(\frac{k_{0}-1}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \int_{\lambda_{k_{0}}\alpha_{1}}^{\lambda_{k_{0}}\alpha_{2}} \sum_{\substack{t \in \mathbb{Z} \\ |t| \leq T}} \\ L(1,\overline{\psi}_{t}) \cos(2\pi\alpha t) \widehat{W} \left(\frac{t}{x_{k_{0}}(\alpha)}\right) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^{3}} + O_{E,\varepsilon,\varepsilon_{0}} \left(\frac{K^{3+\varepsilon}}{h^{\frac{1}{5}}}\right) \end{split}$$

٠

Proposition 5.1

Now the (to me) most satisfying result in the paper is needed, which is Proposition 5.1:

Assume GRH for Dirichlet *L*-functions. Let $\alpha, \theta, x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a, q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $x, q \ge 1$, gcd(a, q) = 1, $\alpha = \frac{a}{q} + \theta$, and $|\theta| \le \frac{1}{q^2}$. Then,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{t\in\mathbb{Z}} L(1,\overline{\psi}_t)\cos(2\pi\alpha t)\widehat{W}\Big(\frac{t}{x}\Big) &= \frac{\mu(q)^2}{\varphi(q)^2\sigma(q)} xW(x\theta) \\ &+ O\big(qx^{-1}\max(1,x|\theta|)\big) + O_{\varepsilon}\big(q^3x^{-\frac{7}{4}+\varepsilon}\max(1,x|\theta|)^{\frac{7}{2}}\big). \end{split}$$

I wish I had time to get into the proof! Morally, we can now 'just' use the circle method to estimate

$$\int_{\lambda_{k_0}\alpha_1}^{\lambda_{k_0}\alpha_2} \sum_{\substack{t\in\mathbb{Z}\\|t|\leq T}} L(1,\overline{\psi}_t)\cos(2\pi\alpha t)\widehat{W}\left(\frac{t}{x_{k_0}(\alpha)}\right)\frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^3}.$$

This is not entirely straightforward especially at the endpoints.