

Algebra

31/10/2019.

Ex sheet Less important are the ones on
Artinian rings (descending chain condition).

Q 7, 8, 9, 10 Commutative Art rings, not as important.
(will see non-commutative case later).

Most difficult: Q20 (Hint: Use Q19).

More important: Q15, 16, 17, 18

Hand-in: Can still hand in Ex sheet 1 (for feedback later).

Last time: Looked at minimal primes, annihilators.
New Chapter:

3. Dimension

• All rings are commutative with unity.

(3.1) Defⁿ: The prime spectrum of R ,
 $\text{Spec } R = \{P : \text{prime ideal of } R\}$.

(3.2) Defⁿ: The length of a chain of prime
ideals. $P_0 \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq P_n$ is n .
(note: numbering starts at 0).

(3.3) Defⁿ: The (Krull) dimension of R , $\dim R$
 $= \begin{cases} \sup \{n : \text{there is a chain of prime ideals} \\ \text{of length } n\} & \text{if this exists} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

(3.4) Defⁿ The height $ht(P)$ of $P \in \text{Spec } R$

$= \sup \{ n : \text{there is a strict chain of prime ideals } P_0 \subsetneq P_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq P_n = P \}$
 if this exists

Note: The 1-1 correspondence between primes of R_P and the prime ideals of R contained in P .
 $\therefore \text{ht}(P) = \dim(R_P)$

Examples: (1) We'll see later that being Artinian (i.e. satisfying DCC) is equivalent to being Noetherian of dimension zero.

(2) $\dim \mathbb{Z} = 1$, $\dim k[x] = 1$.

These are examples of Dedekind domains (= integrally closed dim 1 integral domains).

(3) $\dim k[x_1, \dots, x_n] \geq n$
 since we can write down chain of prime ideals of length n .

$$0 \subsetneq (x_1) \subsetneq (x_1, x_2) \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

In fact: We shall prove $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] = n$

(3.5) Lemma: The height one primes of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ are precisely those of the form (f) for prime element f (prime prime gen. by prime elem)

Proof: Recall Kaplansky from ex. sheet.
 $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ is a UFD and so each non-zero prime contains a non-zero principal prime ideal.

So a height one is principal.

Conversely, if (f) is a principal prime ideal and $0 \subsetneq P \subseteq (f)$ for prime ideal P , then \exists principal prime $0 \neq (g) \subseteq P \subseteq (f)$.

$g: f$ prime, and so $(g) = (f)$. So principal primes are of height 1.

(this works for any UFD).

Remark: In general, for a Noetherian R then the height 1 primes are precisely the minimal primes over principal ideals (Krull's principal ideal theorem).

A generalisation of this theorem shows that any prime ideal has finite height.

Thus the dimension of any Noetherian local ring is finite.

Integral extensions

(3.6) Defⁿ: $R \subseteq T$ rings, $x \in T$ is integral over R if it satisfies a monic polynomial with coefficients in R . T is integral over R if x is integral over $R \forall x \in T$.

Our next aim is to discuss the relationship between

Spec R and Spec T when T is integral over R.

(3.7) Lemma: The following are equivalent:

(1) $x \in T$ is integral over R.

(2) $R[x]$, the subring of T generated by R and x, is a f.g. R-module.

(3) $R[x]$ is contained in a subring T_1 of T with T_1 being a f.g. R-module.

Proof: ① \Rightarrow ② \Rightarrow ③ \checkmark

③ \Rightarrow ①. Consider multiplication by x in T_1 .

Take $y_1, \dots, y_m \in T_1$, an R-module generating set for T_1 .

$$x y_i = \sum r_{oj} y_j$$

$$\text{So } \sum (x \delta_{ij} - r_{oj}) y_j = 0$$

Multiply by the adjugate of the matrix
 $(A_{ij}) = (x \delta_{ij} - r_{oj})$.

$$\text{to deduce } (\det A) y_j = 0 \quad \forall j.$$

But 1 is a linear combination of the y_j and we deduce $\det A = 0$

But this, gives a monic polynomial with coefficients in R and satisfied by x

(3.8) Lemma: If $x_1, \dots, x_m \in T$ are integral over R , then $R[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ the subring of T generated by R and x_1, \dots, x_m is a f.g. R -module.

Proof: Easy induction.

(3.9) Lemma: The set $T_1 \subseteq T$ of elements integral over R form a subring containing R .

Proof: Clearly, if $x \in R$ it is integral over R .
If $x, y \in T_1$, then $x \pm y, xy$ lies in $R[x, y]$ which is f.g. as an R -module.

So by (3.7), $x \pm y, xy \in T_1$

(3.10) Defⁿ: T_1 is the integral closure of R in T .
If $T_1 = R$, then R is integrally closed in T .
 $T_1 = T$. T integral over R .

If R is an integral domain, then just say R is integrally closed, if R is integrally closed in its fraction field.

[NB: If R not int dom, then must specify T when saying "integrally closed"].

Examples: \mathbb{Z} and $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ } are integrally closed.

In a number field, the ring of integers is the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in the number field.

Remark: ① Being 'integrally closed', is a local property of integral domains (ex. sheet)

② We'll prove Noether's normalisation lemma for a f.g. k -algebra T (k field) + say T has a subalgebra $R \cong$ polynomial algebra and T is integral over R . - quite substituted result.

Furthermore, we'll see that if T is a f.g. k -algebra which is an integral domain then its integral closure T' of T in its fraction field is a f.g. T -module.

Consider prime ideals:

$$\text{Spec } T' \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} \text{Spec } T \xrightarrow{\text{restriction}} \text{Spec } R$$

$\mathbb{Q} \quad \longmapsto \quad \mathbb{R} \cap \mathbb{Q}$

The geometric property equivalent to 'integrally closed' is 'normal'.

We'll see that these restriction maps are surjective and their fibres are finite.

$$\text{fibre} = \text{res}^{-1}(P_{\text{set}}^+)$$

③ Integral closure of an integral domain has an alternative characterisation:

$$= \bigcap \{ \text{all valuation rings containing } R \}$$

↑ see ex. sheet.