

Algebra

07/11/2019.

- Recall:
- Busy with Going Down theorem (harder than Going Up).
 - Did integral closure of an ideal.
 - Sketched proof of Going Down.

Recall we're proving the Going Down theorem.

$$P_2 \not\subseteq P_1 \\ \in \text{Spec } R$$

$$Q_1 \\ \in \text{Spec } T$$

We defined $S = S_1, S_2$, $S_1 = T \setminus Q_1$, $S_2 = R \setminus P_2$.

Localised.

$$\text{Assume } TP_2 \cap S = \emptyset$$

In which case $S^{-1}(TP_2)$ is a proper ideal of $S^{-1}T$.
Took maximal ideal containing $S^{-1}(TP_2)$.

It's of the form $S^{-1}Q_2$ for some prime Q_2 of T
with $Q_2 \cap S = \emptyset$.

$$Q_2 \cap S = \emptyset \Rightarrow Q_2 \subseteq Q_1 \text{ since } S \supseteq S_1$$

$$\text{and also } Q_2 \cap S = \emptyset \Rightarrow Q_2 \cap R = P_2$$

$$(\text{Note } P_2 \subseteq TP_2 \cap R \subseteq Q_2 \cap R) \quad \text{Note } \textcircled{1} \quad TP_2 \subseteq Q_2 \\ S^{-1}(TP_2) \subseteq S^{-1}Q_2$$

and since $Q_2 \cap S = \emptyset$ and $S_2 \subseteq S$, we have $P_2 = Q_2 \cap R$.

Now prove $TP_2 \cap S = \emptyset$:

Take $x \in TP_2 \cap S$. By (3.22), x is in the integral closure of P_2 in T . So by (3.23)

it is algebraic over the field of fractions

~~of~~ K of R and its minimal polynomial

$$\underline{X^n + r_{n-1}X^{n-1} + \dots + r_0}$$

has coefficients in $\sqrt{P_2} = P_2$ (since P_2 prime).

But $x \in S$, and so of the form rt with $r \in S, t \in S$. So $t = \frac{x}{r}$ has minimal polynomial $X^n + \frac{r_{n-1}}{r} X^{n-1} + \dots + \frac{r_0}{r^n}$.

And these coefficients are in R ((3.23) with $I=R$) since t is integral over R .

Write these coefficients as r_i' .

But $r_i \in P_2$ and $r \notin P_2$, and $r_i' = \frac{r_i}{r^{n-i}} \in R$.

$$r_i = r_i' r^{n-i} \in P_2 \implies r_i' \in P_2$$

using primeness of P_2 . \longrightarrow

So each $r_i' \in P_2$. Hence by definition, t is integral over P_2 , and so (3.22), t is in $\sqrt{(TP_2)}$.

This is a contradiction, since $t \in S, = T \setminus \mathcal{Q}$.

$TP_2 \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, and \mathcal{Q} is prime and so $\sqrt{(TP_2)} \subseteq \mathcal{Q}$, (in \mathcal{Q} and not in \mathcal{Q}).

So x cannot exist.

\longrightarrow
Finally managed to prove Gory Dura! 😊

The key result allowing us to use integral extensions is:
(3.24) (Noether's normalization lemma)

• Let T be a f.g. k -algebra.

• Then T is integral over a subalgebra $k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$ with x_1, \dots, x_r algebraically independent over k .

(3.25) (Defⁿ) x_1, \dots, x_r algebraically independent over k if the map $k[x_1, \dots, x_r] \longrightarrow k[x_1, \dots, x_r]$
 $x_i \longmapsto x_i$

is a ring isomorphism.
(no rels between any elements).

$$T = k[a_1, \dots, a_n]$$

Proof is by induction on n , the no. of generators.
If all the a_i 's are algebraic over k , then
we can take our subalgebra to be k .

Otherwise, renumber so that a_1, \dots, a_r are algebraically
independent over k , and a_{r+1}, \dots, a_n are algebraically
dependent over a_1, \dots, a_r .

Take $f \in k[X_1, \dots, X_r, X_n]$ such that $f(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_n) = 0$
 $\neq 0$

Thus $f(X_1, \dots, X_r, X_n)$ is a sum of terms.
 $\sum_{\underline{l}} \lambda_{\underline{l}} X_1^{l_1} \dots X_r^{l_r} X_n^{l_n}$ $\underline{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_r, l_n)$
 $\lambda_{\underline{l}} \in k$

Claim: \exists positive integers m_1, \dots, m_r such that:

$$\phi: \underline{l} \longmapsto m_1 l_1 + \dots + m_r l_r + l_n$$

ϕ is injective for those \underline{l} for which $\lambda_{\underline{l}} \neq 0$.

Proof: There are finitely many possibilities for
differences $\underline{d} = \underline{l} - \underline{l}'$ with $\lambda_{\underline{l}} \neq 0 \neq \lambda_{\underline{l}'}$

Write $\underline{d} = (d_1, \dots, d_r, d_n)$ and consider the finitely
many $(d_1, \dots, d_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r$ obtained. Vectors
orthogonal to these finitely many r -tuples lie in
finitely many $(r-1)$ dimensional vector subspaces
of \otimes^r

Pick (q_1, \dots, q_r) with each $q_i > 0$ so that $\sum q_i d_i \neq 0$
for all the finitely many non-zero (d_1, \dots, d_r) .

Multiply by a positive integer to get (m_1, \dots, m_r) so that $|\sum m_i d_i| > |d_n|$ for all the finitely many $(d_1, \dots, d_r, d_n) = \underline{d}$ with $(d_1, \dots, d_r) \neq \underline{0}$.

Then, if $\phi(\underline{d}) = \phi(\underline{d}')$ then $d_1 = \dots = d_r = 0$ and so $\underline{d} = \underline{d}'$.

• Back to the proof:

Now put $g(x_1, \dots, x_r, x_n) = f(x_1 + x_n^{m_1}, \dots, x_r + x_n^{m_r}, x_n)$
(the first r thys, we're adding on powers of x_n).

This is a sum:

$$\sum_{\underline{\lambda} \text{ s.t. } \lambda_i \geq 0} \lambda_{\underline{\lambda}} (x_1 + x_n^{m_1})^{\lambda_1} \dots (x_r + x_n^{m_r})^{\lambda_r} x_n^{\lambda_n}$$

Different terms have different powers of x_n and there will be a single term of highest power in x_n . As a polynomial in x_n , the leading coefficient is one of the $\lambda_{\underline{\lambda}} \in k$.

Put $b_i = a_i - a_n^{m_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$.

and $h(x_n) = g(b_1, \dots, b_r, x_n)$

This has leading coefficient in k and the coefficients are in $k[b_1, \dots, b_r]$.

Moreover $h(a_n) = g(b_1, \dots, b_r, a_n) = f(a_1, \dots, a_r, a_n) = 0$

Dividing through by the top coefficient shows that a_n is integral over $k[b_1, \dots, b_r]$

So for each i , $1 \leq i \leq r$, $a_i = b_i + a_n^{m_i}$
is also integral over $k[b_1, \dots, b_r]$.
(integrally closed under ring operations)

Hence, T is integral over $k[b_1, \dots, b_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}]$
 \rightarrow
fewer generators

By induction hypothesis, $k[b_1, \dots, b_r, a_{r+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}]$
is integral over some polynomial subalgebra.

(By transitivity of integrality), So T is integral
over polynomial subalgebra.

Remark: There are other proofs, not using the little
result from linear algebra, using $X_i + X_n^{m_i}$ with
 m_i being powers of some integer ℓ .
(Nagata).

