

Algebra

28/11/2019.

Recall last time: $0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{\text{syzygy}} P \xrightarrow{\text{projective}} M \longrightarrow 0$

projective presentation.

Apply $N \otimes -$

Apply $\text{Hom}(-, N)$

(observe that when we apply functors like the above, SES don't necessarily remain exact.)

$$\text{Tor}(N, M) = \ker(N \otimes K \longrightarrow N \otimes P)$$

$$\text{Ext}(M, N) = \text{coker}(\text{Hom}(P, N) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(K, N))$$

K referred to as syzygy module.

Remarks: ① Ext can be defined alternatively using equivalence classes of extensions of M by N :

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow X \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow X_1 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} & & \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ & & & & & & \end{array}$$

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow X_2 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

where θ R -module map.

Those two extensions are equivalent if such θ exists.
 θ necessarily has to be an isomorphism.

$$\text{Ext}(M, N) \cong \{\text{eq. classes of extensions of } M \text{ by } N\}$$

ex. sheet.

If $M = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $N = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

$$\text{Ext}(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

2 eq. classes of extensions

$$X = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$$

$$X = \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z}.$$

One can define a sum of extensions - Baer sum.

So Ext terminology is entirely reasonable! 😊

Zero element of Ext \longleftrightarrow direct sum

② The name Tor is a bit less clear.

It's from the case where $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

If A is an Abelian group,

the torsion subgroup $\cong \text{Tor}(\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}, A)$.

(Tor terminology not as well chosen...)

③ When R is commutative, $N \otimes_R M$ is an R -module
 $\text{Hom}_R(M, N)$ is an R -module.

and so $\text{Tor}(N, M)$ and $\text{Ext}(M, N)$ are R -modules. In general, they are additive groups but not necessarily R -modules.

Example: ① $R = k[x]$.

$$0 \longrightarrow k[x] \longrightarrow k[x] \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0$$

[principal ideal (x)]

$$g(x) \mapsto Xg(x) \in (x)$$

↑

think $k[x]$ -module
where X acts like 0.

$$\textcircled{2} R = k[X, Y]$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow k[X, Y] \xrightarrow{f(x, y)} k \longrightarrow 0$$

$K = \text{ideal gen. by } X \text{ and } Y.$

$$0 \longrightarrow k[X, Y] \xrightarrow{F_+} k[X, Y] \oplus k[X, Y] \xrightarrow{F_0} k \longrightarrow 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & (g(x, y), h(x, y)) \longmapsto & Xg(x, y) + Yh(x, y) \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ & f(x, y) \longmapsto & (Yf(x, y), -Xf(x, y)) \end{array}$$

ideal gen. by X and Y .

Putting these together, we get exact sequence.

$$0 \longrightarrow F_+ \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow k \longrightarrow 0.$$

(7.6) Defⁿ: A projective resolution of an R -module M is an exact sequence of the form:

$$\dots \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow P_{n-1} \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

with P_i projective. ~~It~~ It is a free resolution if all P_i are free modules.

Remark: ① We've just constructed a free resolution of k when $R = k[X, Y]$.

② If R Noetherian, and M is a f.g. R -module, then we saw how to construct a free presentation of M using a generating set.

This can be taken to be finite, and K syzygy module is then a fg. R -module.

Repeating for K etc. gives a free resolution for M where all P_i are free modules of finite rank.

(7.7) Defⁿ: The Koszul complex gives a free resolution of the trivial R -module k when $R = k[X_1, \dots, X_n]$.

Define F_i to be free R -module on a basis $\{e_{j_1, \dots, j_i}\}$ where j_1, \dots, j_i is a subset of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of size i .

We define R -module map

$$F_i \longrightarrow F_{i-1}$$

$$e_{j_1, \dots, j_i} \longmapsto \sum_{\ell=1}^i (-1)^{\ell-1} X_{j_\ell} e_{j_1, \dots, j_{\ell-1}, j_{\ell+1}, \dots, j_i}$$

j_ℓ is missing
 \downarrow
 j_i
 \nearrow
 size $i-1$.

Note that this is what we did in the case of $k[X]$ and $k[X, Y]$. (didn't have to write hd for $k[X]$, although $k[X, Y]$ more work)

This complex gives a free resolution — it is exact.

There are two approaches to defining higher Tor and Ext. Firstly, by iterating the previous definition.

(7.8) Defⁿ: $\text{Tor}_0(N, M) = N \otimes M$
 $\text{Tor}_1(N, M) = \text{Tor}(N, M)$

For $i \geq 2$, $\text{Tor}_i(N, M) = \text{Tor}_{i-1}(N, K)$, where K is syzygy module in a projective presentation for M .

(7.9) Defⁿ: $\text{Ext}^0(M, N) = \text{Hom}(M, N)$
 $\text{Ext}^1(M, N) = \text{Ext}(M, N)$

For $i \geq 2$, $\text{Ext}^i(M, N) = \text{Ext}^{i-1}(K, N)$
 where K is the syzygy module as before.

Alternatively, putting the presentations for syzygy module K_i together, we get projective resolution for M

$$\dots \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

Apply $N \otimes _$ to get.

$$\dots \rightarrow N \otimes P_i \xrightarrow{\theta_i} \dots \rightarrow N \otimes P_0 \rightarrow N \otimes M \rightarrow 0$$

but this need not be exact, but $\text{Im } \theta_i \subseteq \text{ker } \theta_{i-1}$
 and we can consider the homology groups

$$\text{ker } \theta_{i-1} / \text{Im } \theta_i \quad \text{and these are the } \text{Tor}^i(N, M) \quad \text{for } i \geq 1.$$

Similarly, applying $\text{Hom}(_, N)$ to our projective resolution of M gives

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(M, N) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(P_0, N) \rightarrow \dots$$

and higher Ext groups arise as the cohomology groups of this.

Remarks: ① These are all independent of choice of presentations/resolutions.

(7.10) Lemma: The following are equivalent:

- ① $\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) = 0$ for all R -modules N .
- ② M has a projective resolution of length n .

$$0 \longrightarrow P_n \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow P_2 \longrightarrow P_1 \longrightarrow P_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof: By induction:

$$n=1: \text{Ext}^2(M, N) = 0 \quad \forall N$$

$$\iff \text{Ext}(K, N) = 0 \quad \forall N$$

where K is syzygy module in a proj. presentation for M .

$\iff K$ is projective.

$\iff M$ has a proj. resolution as desired.

$$\text{Ext}^{n+1}(M, N) = 0 \quad \forall N \iff \text{Ext}^n(K, N) = 0 \quad \forall N$$

$\iff K$ has a projective resolution of length $n-1$.

$\iff M$ has desired resolution.

//

