

Algebra

24/10/2019

Reminders Hand-in deadline 10am Monday

- Can hand-in anything, but Q8,9 most substituted.

Last time: Did nilradical and Jacobson radical
 \wedge primes \rightarrow \wedge maximal

(2.6) Nakayama's Lemma

- Let M be a finitely generated R -module.
- Then $M=0 \iff \text{Jac}(R)M = M$

Proof: (\Rightarrow) Obvious \checkmark

(\Leftarrow) Suppose $M \neq 0$. We consider the family of proper submodules. If m_1, \dots, m_n is a generating set for M , these are the submodules that do not contain all of m_1, \dots, m_n . Zorn applies to this family:
(family of proper submodules)

and so we have a maximal member, a maximal submodule M_1 . Thus M/M_1 is a simple (or irreducible) module (i.e. any non-zero element generates M/M_1).
(only subs are 0 or whole it)

Take \bar{m} to be non-zero in M/M_1 , $R\bar{m} \cong R/\text{Ann}(\bar{m})$.
and $\text{Ann}_R(\bar{m}) = \mathfrak{Q}$ is a maximal ideal of R .

So $\mathfrak{Q}M \subseteq M_1 \subsetneq M$

But $\text{Jac } R =$ intersection of all maximal ideals.

So $\text{Jac}(R)M \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}M \subsetneq M$.

Thus $\text{Jac}(R)M \neq M$

\square

Ours is more general.

Remarks: ① This is not the normal proof you find in Atiyah, MacDonald.

② The same proof shows that for fin. gen. R -modules M ,
 $M=0 \iff \mathfrak{Q}M=M$ for all maximal ideals \mathfrak{Q} .

Exercise: Find an R and an R -module $M \neq 0$ but $\mathfrak{Q}M=M$ for all maximal \mathfrak{Q} .
(M cannot be fin. gen.)

Nullstellensatz

The name is attached to a family of results.

(2.7) Theorem: (Weak Nullstellensatz).

Let k be a field, and T be a finitely generated k -algebra. Let \mathfrak{Q} be a maximal ideal.

Then T/\mathfrak{Q} is a finite field extension of k .

In particular, if k is algebraically closed and

$T = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ polynomial algebra,
then \mathfrak{Q} is of the form $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$
for some $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$.

We need two results:

(2.8) Lemma: (Artin, Tate)

Let $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ be rings.

Suppose R is Noetherian and T is generated as a ring by R and t_1, \dots, t_n , say.

Suppose moreover that T is finitely generated S -module.

Then S is generated as a ring by R and finitely many elements.

Proof: Let T be generated by x_1, \dots, x_m as an S -module.

$$\text{For each } t_i = \sum s_{ij} x_j \quad \text{for } s_{ij} \in S \quad \textcircled{1}$$

$$x_i x_j = \sum s_{ijk} x_k \quad \text{for } s_{ijk} \in S. \quad \textcircled{2}$$

Let S_0 be the subring of S generated by R and all the s_{ij}, s_{ijk} . Then $R \subseteq S_0 \subseteq S$.

Any element of T is a 'polynomial' in the t_i with coefficients in R .

Using $\textcircled{1}$ and $\textcircled{2}$ we get that each element of T is a linear combination of the x_j with coefficients in S_0 . Thus T is a fin. gen. S_0 -module.

S_0 is Noetherian as it's a fin. gen. R -algebra, and R is Noetherian (corollary of basis theorem).

Hence, T is a Noetherian S_0 -module.

But S is an S_0 -submodule of T , and hence is a fin. gen. S_0 -module. But S_0 is generated as a ring by R and finitely many elements.

So S is gen. as ring by R and finitely many elements. \rightarrow

(2.9) Proposition: Let k be a field, and R be a fin. gen. k -algebra.

If R is a field, then it is a finite field extension of k .

Proof: Suppose R is generated by k and x_1, \dots, x_n and k is a field. If R is algebraic over k then it is a finite field extension.

If R is not algebraic, we reorder the x_i 's so that x_1, \dots, x_m are algebraically independent and x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n are algebraically dependent on $F = k(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ (shift dependence to the right).

Hence, R is a finite field extension of F and hence a fin. gen. F -module (i.e. an F vector space)

Apply (2.8) to $k \subseteq F \subseteq R$. It follows that F is a fin. gen. k -algebra. Suppose F is generated by k and g_1, \dots, g_t where $g_i = \frac{f_i}{g_i}$.

$f_i, g_i \in k[x_1, \dots, x_m]$ polynomial algebra $g_i \neq 0$.

There is a polynomial h which is prime to g_1, \dots, g_t
o.g. $g_1 \dots g_t + 1$.
product

Then $\frac{1}{h}$ is not in the ring generated by k and the g_i . ~~X~~

Proof of the weak Nullstellensatz:

Let \mathcal{Q} be a maximal ideal of T , f.g. k -algebra
Set $R = T/\mathcal{Q}$ and apply (2.9)

Thus R is a finite field extension of k .

Now, if $T = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and k algebraically closed. Then $T/Q \cong k$ (using algebraic closure)

Set $\pi: T \rightarrow k$ with $\ker \pi = Q$. But
(quotient map) $\ker \pi = (x_1 - \pi(x_1), \dots, x_n - \pi(x_n))$

(Note that $k[x_1, \dots, x_n] / (x_1 - \pi(x_1), \dots, x_n - \pi(x_n))$ is 1-dimensional.)

Hence, Q is of the form $(x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$
with $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n$.

Now, let $k = \mathbb{C}$.

In the introduction, we met a bijection:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{radical ideals} \\ \text{of } \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{algebraic} \\ \text{subsets of } \mathbb{C}^n \end{array} \right\}$$

The weak Nullstellensatz, says that all the maximal ideals of the complex polynomial algebra are of the form $Q(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (x_1 - a_1, \dots, x_n - a_n)$.

We can restate the bijection:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{radical} \\ \text{ideals} \end{array} \right\} \longleftrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{algebraic} \\ \text{subsets} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$I \longmapsto \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in k^n, Q(a_1, \dots, a_n) = I\}$$

$$\bigcap_{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{S}} Q(a_1, \dots, a_n) \longleftarrow \mathcal{S}$$

(2.10) Theorem: Let k be a field.

R a fin. gen. k -algebra.
Then $\mathcal{N}(R) = \text{Jac}(R)$.

Thus, if I is a radical ideal of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$
and $R = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/I$, then the intersection
of the maximal ideals of R is zero,
and thus the intersection of the maximal ideals
of $k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ containing I is equal to
 I .

[Will prove on Saturday]