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## Chapter 1

## Curves

### 1.1 Course description

Instructor: Weiyi Zhang
Email: weiyi.zhang@warwick.ac.uk
Webpage: http://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/staff/Weiyi.Zhang/
Lecture time:
Tuesday 12:05pm-13:55pm MS. 05
Thursday 11:05am-11:55am MS. 04

## Support class:

Friday 12:05pm - 12:55pm B3.01
TA: Arjun Sobnack, Arjun.Sobnack@warwick.ac.uk

## Reference books:

- John McCleary, "Geometry from a differentiable viewpoint", CUP 1994.
- Dirk J. Struik, "Lectures on classical differential geometry", AddisonWesley 1950
- Manfredo P. do Carmo, "Differential geometry of curves and surfaces", Prentice-Hall 1976
- Barrett O'Neill, "Elementary differential geometry", Academic Press 1966
- Sebastian Montiel, Antonio Ros, "Curves and surfaces", American Mathematical Society 1998
- Alfred Gray, "Modern differential geometry of curves and surfaces", CRC Press 1993
- Course Notes, available on my webpage

I also make use of the following two excellence course notes:

- Brian Bowditch, "Geometry of curves and surfaces", University of Warwick, available at
http://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/~masgak/cas/course.html
- Nigel Hitchin, "The geometry of surfaces", University of Oxford, available at:
http://people.maths.ox.ac.uk/~hitchin/hitchinnotes/hitchinnotes.html
The following book has a lot of exercises with solutions available:
- Andrew Pressley, "Elementary Differential Geometry", 2nd Ed, Springer.

Prerequisites: MA 263 Multivariable Calculus, MA260 Norms, Metrics and Topologies.

Contents: This course is about the analysis of curves and surfaces in 2and 3 -space using the tools of calculus and linear algebra. Emphasis will be placed on developing intuitions and learning to use calculations to verify and prove theorems We will cover

- local and global properties of curves: curvature, torsion, Frenet-Serret equations, and some global theorems;
- local and global theory of surfaces: local parameters, curves on surfaces, geodesic and normal curvature, first and second fundamental form, Gaussian and mean curvature, minimal surfaces, and GaussBonnet theorem etc..


### 1.1.1 A bit preparation: Differentiation

Definition 1.1.1. Let $U$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a continuous function. The function $f$ is smooth (or $C^{\infty}$ ) if it has derivatives of any order.

Note that not all smooth functions are analytic. For example, the function

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}0, & x \leq 0 \\ e^{-\frac{1}{x}}, & x>0\end{cases}
$$

is a smooth function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ but is not analytic at $x=0$. (Check this!)
Now let $U$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $V$ be an open set in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Let $f=\left(f^{1}, \cdots, f^{m}\right): U \rightarrow V$ be a continuous map. We say $f$ is smooth if each component $f^{i}, 1 \leq i \leq m$, is a smooth function.

Definition 1.1.2. The differential of $f$, df, assigns to each point $x \in U a$ linear map $d f_{x}: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ whose matrix is the Jacobian matrix of $f$ at $x$,

$$
d f_{x}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial f^{1}}{\partial x^{1}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f^{1}}{\partial x^{n}}(x) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial f^{m}}{\partial x^{1}}(x) & \cdots & \frac{\partial f^{m}}{\partial x^{n}}(x)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Now, we are ready to introduce the notion of diffeomorphism.
Definition 1.1.3. A smooth map $f: U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism if $f$ is one-to-one and onto, and $f^{-1}: V \rightarrow U$ is also smooth.

Obviously

- If $f: U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism, so is $f^{-1}$.
- If $f: U \rightarrow V$ and $g: V \rightarrow W$ are diffeomorphisms, so is $g \circ f$.

As a consequence, we get
Theorem 1.1.4. If $f: U \rightarrow V$ is a diffeomorphism, then at each point $x \in U$, the linear map $d f_{x}$ is an isomorphism. In particular, $\operatorname{dim} U=\operatorname{dim} V$.
Proof. Applying the chain rule to $f^{-1} \circ f=i d_{U}$, and notice that the differential of the identity map $i d_{U}: U \rightarrow U$ is the identity transformation Id $: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we get

$$
d f_{f(x)}^{-1} \circ d f_{x}=\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}
$$

The same argument applies to $f \circ f^{-1}$, which yields

$$
d f_{x} \circ d f_{f(x)}^{-1}=\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^{m}}
$$

By basic linear algebra, we conclude that $m=n$ and that $d f_{x}$ is an isomorphism.

The inverse of the previous theorem is not true. For example, we consider the map

$$
f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}, \quad\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right) \mapsto\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}, 2 x^{1} x^{2}\right)
$$

Then at each point $x \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{0\}, d f_{x}$ is an isomorphism. However, $f$ is not invertible since $f(x)=f(-x)$. (What is the map $f$ if we identify $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\mathbb{C}$ ?)

The inverse function theorem is a partial inverse of the previous theorem, which claims that an isomorphism in the linear category implies a local diffeomorphism in the differentiable category.
Theorem 1.1.5 (Inverse Function Theorem). Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an open set, $p \in U$ and $f: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$. If the Jacobian $d f_{p}$ is invertible at $p$, then there exists a neighbourhood $U_{p}$ of $p$ and a neighbourhood $V_{f(p)}$ of $f(p)$ such that

$$
f \mid U_{p}: U_{p} \rightarrow V_{f(p)}
$$

is a diffeomorphism.

### 1.2 Methods of describing a curve

There are different ways to describe a curve.

### 1.2.1 Fixed coordinates

Here, the coordinates could be chosen as Cartesian, polar and spherical etc. (a). As a graph of explicitly given curves $y=f(x)$.

Example 1.2.1. A parabola: $y=x^{2}$; A spiral: $r=\theta$.
(b). Implicitly given curves

A plane curve (i.e. a curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ ) could be given as $f(x, y)=0$; A space curve (i.e. a curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ ) could be given as $f_{1}(x, y, z)=0, f_{2}(x, y, z)=0$.

Example 1.2.2. A unit circle could be given as $x^{2}+y^{2}=1$. It could also be expressed as $x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1, z=0$.

### 1.2.2 Moving frames: parametrized curves

Definition 1.2.3. A parametrized curve in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a map $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of an open interval $I=(a, b)$.

Example 1.2.4. Parabola: $\gamma(t)=\left(t, t^{2}\right), t \in(-\infty, \infty)$;
Circle: $\gamma(t)=(a \cos t, a \sin t),-\epsilon<t<2 \pi+\epsilon, \epsilon>0$;
Ellipse: $\gamma(t)=(a \cos t, b \sin t),-\epsilon<t<2 \pi+\epsilon, \epsilon>0$;
Helix: $\gamma(t)=(a \cos t, a \sin t, b t), t \in(-\infty, \infty)$.


Why this description is called "moving frame" in the title? Roughly speaking, for a plane curve, (tangent vector $=\dot{\gamma}(t)$, normal vector) forms a coordinate, which changes as $t$ varies. More precise explanation will be given in next section.

Like us, we could orient the world using (Front, Left) system and take ourselves as centres.

Remark 1.2.5. 1. Parametrizations are not unique. Parabola $\gamma(t)=\left(t, t^{2}\right)$ could also be parametrized as $\left(t^{3}, t^{6}\right),\left(2 t, 4 t^{2}\right)$ and other ways.
2. All parametrized curves studied in this course are smooth.
3. If the tangent vector of a parametrized curve is constant, the image of the curve is part of a straight line. (proof: exercise)

### 1.2.3 Intrinsic way(coordinate free)

The previous ways both involve coordinates. They are thus called extrinsic ways.

But for most cases, we only care the shapes of objects, but not the locations. Such a description will be called intrinsic.

Example 1.2.6. Circle: set of points (on a plane) with given distance a to a point.

Ellipse: Set of points such that the sum of the distances to two given points are fixed.


Similar definition for hyperbola and parabola.
Drawback: we do not have sufficient tools to further study our objects from intrinsic viewpoints. That is the actual reason that there are no big breakthrough for geometry from Archimedes to Newton.

Goal of this course: use extrinsic ways (mainly parametrized way) to prove intrinsic results. Thus we could use tools we learnt in the last two years: analysis, linear algebra, $\cdots$.

However, there are some elegant results could be proved intrinsically! The next example is from "geometry and imagination" by Hilbert and CohnVossen.

We want to prove the intersection of a cylinder and a plane is an ellipse if the curve is closed. To achieve that, we use two identical balls with radii equal to that of the cylinder. Then move them: one from top and the other from bottom, until they hit the plane at $F_{1}$ and $F_{2}$. We claim these two points are foci of the ellipse. Let $A$ be any point on the intersection of
the plane and the cylinder. Draw a vertical line through $A$ such that it intersects the top ball at $P_{1}$ and the bottom ball at $P_{2}$. Since $A P_{1}$ and $A F_{1}$ and two tangents of the same ball from the same point, they have equal length. Similarly $A P_{2}=A F_{2}$. But $A P_{1}+A P_{2}$ is the distance between the centres of the two balls, thus a constant. So $A F_{1}+A F_{2}$ is a constant, which proves our claim.


Exercise: prove similar results for a cone $x^{2}+y^{2}=z^{2}$. (Similar arguments, but now you have to deal with different cases: ellipse, parabola, hyperbola and pair of straight lines.)

### 1.3 Curves in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ : Arclength Parametrization

To use parametrization to study curves, we should start with building moving frames. An orthonormal basis is usually easier to play with.

First component of the moving frame is the tangent direction $\dot{\gamma}(t)$. This step works for any curve in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. So the first step to build an orthonormal frame would be

$$
\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| \equiv 1
$$

for some parametrization and for all $t \in(\alpha, \beta)$. These curves are called unit-speed curves.

Idea: reparametrization or change variables.
Definition 1.3.1. A parametrized curve $\tilde{\gamma}: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a reparametrization of $\gamma: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ if there is a diffeomorphism $\phi: J \rightarrow I$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(\tilde{t})=\gamma \circ \phi(\tilde{t})$ for all $\tilde{t} \in J$.

The first obtacle to find unit-speed parametrization is $\dot{\gamma}(t)=0$ at some point.
Definition 1.3.2. A point $\gamma(t)$ is called a regular point if $\dot{\gamma}(t) \neq 0$; otherwise $\gamma(t)$ is a singular point of $\gamma$. A curve is regular if all points are regular.
Example 1.3.3. $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ given by $\gamma(t)=\left(t^{3}, t^{2}\right), t \in \mathbb{R}$ is not regular since $\dot{\gamma}(0)=(0,0)$.


Remark 1.3.4. Any reparametrization of a regular curve is regular (exercise of Chain rule).

Then why is the next example?
Example 1.3.5. $\gamma(t)=\left(t, t^{2}\right)$ is regular, but another parametrization $\tilde{\gamma}(t)=$ $\left(t^{3}, t^{6}\right)$ is not regular.
Answer: $\tilde{\gamma}$ is not a reparametrization of $\gamma$.
Reason: The bijection $\phi(t)=t^{3}$ is not a diffeomorphism ( $\phi^{-1}$ is not smooth at 0).

Later on, a curve is a parametrized smooth regular curve.
To find reparametrization $s$ such that $\left\|\tilde{\gamma}_{s}(s)\right\|=1$, we only need $\frac{d s}{d t}=$ $\left\|\gamma_{t}(t)\right\|$. In other word,

$$
s(t)=\int_{t_{0}}^{t}\left\|\gamma_{t}(t)\right\| d t=\int_{t_{0}}^{t} \sqrt{\dot{x}_{1}^{2}(t)+\cdots+\dot{x}_{n}^{2}(t)} d t
$$

Hence $\left\|\tilde{\gamma}_{s}\right\|=\left\|\gamma_{t} \cdot \frac{d t}{d s}\right\|=1$.
It need a proof that $s$ is indeed a reparametrization for a regular curve.Only thing need to verify is the following:

Proposition 1.3.6. If $\gamma(t)$ is a regular curve, then $s(t)$ is a diffeomorphism.
Proof. We first show that $s$ is a smooth function of $t$.
$\frac{d s}{d t}=f\left(\dot{x}_{1}^{2}(t)+\cdots+\dot{x}_{n}^{2}(t)\right)$ if $\gamma(t)=\left(x_{1}(t), \cdots, x_{n}(t)\right)$ and $f=\sqrt{x}$. Hence $f^{(n)}(x)=c_{n} x^{\frac{1}{2}-n}$ for some nonzero constant $c_{n}$. Since $\dot{\gamma} \neq 0, \frac{d s}{d t}$ is smooth. Hence $s$ is smooth.

The conclusion $s$ is a diffeomorphism follows from IFT (inverse function theorem) and the fact $\frac{d s}{d t} \neq 0$ by above calculation. Or more directly,every monotone function has its inverse.

Definition 1.3.7. We refer to $s$ as arc length and to $\tilde{\gamma}$ as the arc-length (or unit-speed) reparametrization of $\gamma$.

Remark 1.3.8. Let $\mathcal{P}=\left\{\alpha=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}=\beta\right.$ be a partition of $(\alpha, \beta)$, and $l(\gamma, \mathcal{P})=\sum_{i=1}^{k}\left\|\gamma\left(t_{i}\right)-\gamma\left(t_{i-1}\right)\right\|$. Then

$$
s(t)=\sup \{l(\gamma, \mathcal{P}): \text { a partition } \mathcal{P}\}
$$



The next lemma ends this section.
Lemma 1.3.9. Suppose $\overrightarrow{f(t)}: I=(\alpha, \beta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are differentiable. Then $\|\overrightarrow{f(t)}\|=$ const if and only if $\overrightarrow{f(t)} \cdot \overrightarrow{f^{\prime}(t)}=0$ for all $t$.
Proof. The function $\overrightarrow{f(t)} \cdot \overrightarrow{f(t)}$ is a constant if and only if $\overrightarrow{f(t)} \cdot \overrightarrow{f^{\prime}(t)}+\overrightarrow{f^{\prime}(t)}$. $\overrightarrow{f(t)}=2 \overrightarrow{f(t)} \cdot \overrightarrow{f^{\prime}(t)}=0$.

Corollary 1.3.10. If $\gamma$ is unit-speed, then $\ddot{\gamma}$ is zero or perpendicular to the tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}$.

### 1.4 Curvature

From now on, let us focus on space curves, i.e. curves $\gamma(t): I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$.
Curvature measures how far a curve is different from a straight line (how far it bends from a straight line).

Recall a straight line has parametrized form $\gamma(t)=t \vec{a}+\vec{b}$. Here $\vec{a}$ is the direction and $\vec{b}=\gamma(0)$.


It has vanishing higher derivatives. Its Taylor expansion

$$
\gamma(t+\Delta t)=\gamma(t)+\dot{\gamma}(t) \Delta t+\cdots=\gamma(t)+\vec{a} \cdot \Delta t
$$

only has 2 terms.
For a general curve, using unit-speed parametrization,

$$
\gamma(t+\Delta t)=\gamma(t)+\dot{\gamma}(t) \Delta t+\frac{1}{2} \ddot{\gamma}(t)(\Delta t)^{2}+R(t)
$$

with $\lim _{\Delta t \rightarrow 0} \frac{R(t)}{(\Delta t)^{2}}=0$. Since $\ddot{\gamma}(t) \perp \dot{\gamma}(t)$ when $\ddot{\gamma}(t)$ is nonzero, $\|\ddot{\gamma}(t)\|$ measures how far $\gamma$ is deviated from its tangent line at $\gamma(t)$.
$1^{\text {st }}$ definition of curvature: extrinsic one.
Definition 1.4.1. If $\gamma$ is a unit-speed curve with parameter $t$, its curvature $\kappa(t)$ at $\gamma(t)$ is defined to be $\|\ddot{\gamma}(t)\|$.
$2^{\text {nd }}$ definition of curvature: more extrinsic.
Proposition 1.4.2. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a regular curve. Then its curvature is

$$
\kappa=\frac{\left\|\gamma_{t t} \times \gamma_{t}\right\|}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|^{3}}
$$

where $\times$ is the vector (or cross) product.
Proof. $\gamma_{s}=\gamma_{t} \cdot \frac{d t}{d s}=\frac{\gamma_{t}}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|}$, since $\frac{d s}{d t}=\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|$. The second derivative

$$
\gamma_{s s}=\gamma_{t t} \cdot\left(\frac{d t}{d s}\right)^{2}+\gamma_{t} \cdot \frac{d^{2} t}{d s^{2}}=\frac{\gamma_{t t}}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|^{2}}-\gamma_{t} \frac{\gamma_{t t} \cdot \gamma_{t}}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|^{4}}
$$

since
$\frac{d^{2} t}{d s^{2}}=\frac{d}{d s} \frac{1}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|}=\frac{d \frac{1}{\left(\gamma_{t} \cdot \gamma_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}}{d t} \cdot \frac{d t}{d s}=\left(-\frac{1}{2\left(\gamma_{t} \cdot \gamma_{t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} \cdot 2 \gamma_{t} \cdot \gamma_{t t}\right) \frac{1}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|}=-\frac{\gamma_{t t} \cdot \gamma_{t}}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|^{4}}$.
So

$$
\kappa^{2}=\left\|\gamma_{s s}\right\|^{2}=\frac{\left\|\gamma_{t t}\right\|^{2} \cdot\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|^{2}-\left(\gamma_{t t} \cdot \gamma_{t}\right)^{2}}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|^{6}}=\frac{\left\|\gamma_{t t} \times \gamma_{t}\right\|^{2}}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|^{6}}
$$

Thus $\kappa=\frac{\left\|\gamma_{t t} \times \gamma_{t}\right\|}{\left\|\gamma_{t}\right\|^{3}}$.
Here we make use of the relation

$$
\|\vec{a}\|^{2} \cdot\|\vec{b}\|^{2}=(\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b})^{2}+\|\vec{a} \times \vec{b}\|^{2}
$$

because

$$
\vec{a} \cdot \vec{b}=\|\vec{a}\| \cdot\|\vec{b}\| \cos \theta,\|\vec{a} \times \vec{b}\|=\|\vec{a}\| \cdot\|\vec{b}\| \sin \theta
$$

Corollary 1.4.3. 1. If $\gamma$ is a plane curve, i.e. $\gamma(t)=(x(t), y(t))$, then

$$
\kappa=\frac{|\ddot{y} \dot{x}-\ddot{x} \dot{y}|}{\left(\dot{x}^{2}+\dot{y}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
$$

2. If $\gamma$ is a graph $y=f(x)$,

$$
\kappa=\frac{\left|f^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|}{\left(1+\left(f^{\prime}(x)\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}} .
$$

Example 1.4.4. 1. A curve is a (part of) straightline if and only if its curvature is everywhere zero.
2. Look at a circle in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ : centred at $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and of radius $R$.

A unit-speed parametrization is $\gamma(t)=\left(x_{0}+R \cdot \cos \frac{t}{R}, y_{0}+R \sin \frac{t}{R}\right)$. We calculate $\ddot{\gamma}(t)=\left(-\frac{1}{R} \cos \frac{t}{R},-\frac{1}{R} \sin \frac{t}{R}\right)$. Hence $\kappa=\|\ddot{\gamma}(t)\|=\frac{1}{R}$. So the curvature is larger when the radius is smaller.
In fact the centripetal force is proportional to the curvature, which reflects the relativity viewpoint that gravity is the curvature of the space. On the other hand, it also explains that in 200 m or 400 m track races, athletes do not like inside lanes 1-3, as these lanes are disadvantaged by centrifugal force during the bend phase. For example, Usain Bolt's favourite was lane 6 for 200m.
3. Helix $\gamma(\theta)=(a \cos \theta, a \sin \theta, b \theta), \theta \in \mathbb{R}$.
$\dot{\gamma}(\theta)=(-a \sin \theta, a \cos \theta, b)$, so $\|\dot{\gamma}(\theta)\|=\sqrt{a^{2}+b^{2}}$.
$\ddot{\gamma}=(-a \cos \theta,-a \sin \theta, 0), \dot{\gamma} \times \ddot{\gamma}=\left(a b \sin \theta,-a b \cos \theta, a^{2}\right)$. Hence

$$
\kappa=\frac{\left(a^{2} b^{2}+a^{4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}=\frac{|a|}{a^{2}+b^{2}} .
$$

When $b=0$, this is a circle of radius $|a|$, and $\kappa=\frac{1}{|a|}$ (coincides with previous calculation).

## Intrinsic Viewpoint:

For circle, $\kappa=\frac{1}{R}=\frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta s}$, where $\Delta \theta$ could be understood as the difference of angles between tangent vectors at $s$ and $s+\Delta s$.


In general, it may not be true, since different $\Delta s$ give different values. We assume $\gamma(s)$ is a unit-speed curve. Let $P=\gamma\left(s_{0}\right)$ and $P_{1}=\gamma\left(s_{1}\right)$, then $\Delta s=\left|s_{1}-s_{0}\right|$. And $\Delta \theta$ is the angle between tangent vectors $\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{0}\right)$ and $\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{1}\right)$.

Theorem 1.4.5. $\kappa\left(s_{0}\right)=\lim _{\Delta s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta s}=\lim _{P_{1} \rightarrow P} \frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta s}$.
Proof. $\left\|\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{0}\right)\right\|=\left\|\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{1}\right)\right\|=1$ implies $2 \sin \frac{\Delta \theta}{2}=\left\|\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{0}\right)-\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{1}\right)\right\|$.


Thus

$$
\lim _{\Delta s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \theta}{\Delta s}=\lim _{\Delta \theta \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \theta}{2 \sin \frac{\Delta \theta}{2}} \cdot \lim _{\Delta s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left\|\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{0}\right)-\dot{\gamma}\left(s_{1}\right)\right\|}{\Delta s}=\left\|\ddot{\gamma}\left(s_{0}\right)\right\|=\kappa\left(s_{0}\right)
$$

### 1.5 Orthonormal frame: Frenet-Serret equations

Now, let $\gamma(s)$ be a unit-speed curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We are ready to build orthonormal moving frame for it.

Denote $\mathbf{t}=\dot{\gamma}(s)$ be the unit tangent vector.
If $\kappa(s) \neq 0$, by Corollary 1.3.10, $\ddot{\gamma}(s) \perp \dot{\gamma}(s)$. We define the principal normal at $\gamma(s)$ be

$$
\mathbf{n}(s)=\frac{1}{\kappa(s)} \mathbf{t}^{\prime}(s)
$$

We have $\|\mathbf{n}\|=1$ and $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{n}=0$.
Finally, we define bi-normal vector

$$
\mathbf{b}(s)=\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{n}
$$

It is a unit vector perpendicular to both $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}$.
To summarize: $(\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{b})$ is an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and it is righthanded (i.e. $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{n}=\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{t}=\mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{b}$ ).

There are standard names for planes spanned by any two of them:

- osculating plane: by $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}$;
- rectifying plane: by $\mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{t}$;
- normal plane: by $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{b}$.
$\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}(s)\right\|$ measures the rate of change of angles $\Theta$ between osculating planes, which is the same as the changing of binormal vector as well. Similar reasoning as in Theorem 1.4.5,

$$
\left\|\mathbf{b}^{\prime}(s)\right\|=\lim _{\Delta s \rightarrow 0} \frac{\Delta \Theta}{\Delta s}
$$

Notice

$$
\mathbf{b}^{\prime}(s)=\mathbf{t}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{n}+\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{n}^{\prime}=\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{n}^{\prime}
$$

since $\mathbf{t}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{n}=\kappa \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{n}=0$. This implies $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \perp \mathbf{t}$.
On the other hand, $\|\mathbf{b}\|=1$ implies $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \perp \mathbf{b}$. Hence $\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \| \mathbf{n}$. We define

$$
\mathbf{b}^{\prime}=-\tau \mathbf{n}
$$

Here $\tau$ is called torsion ${ }^{11}$ of the curve.
Remark 1.5.1. Please notice that since $\mathbf{n}(s)$ is defined only when $\kappa(s) \neq 0$, $\tau(s)$ is so as well.

There is a formula of $\tau$ for an arbitrary parametrization as Proposition 1.4.2 for $\kappa$.

Proposition 1.5.2. Let $\gamma(t)$ be a regular curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\kappa(t) \neq 0$, then

$$
\tau(t)=\frac{(\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t)) \cdot \dddot{\gamma}(t)}{\|\dot{\gamma}(t) \times \ddot{\gamma}(t)\|^{2}}
$$

The proof is a tedious calculation and left as an exercise.
Example 1.5.3. Planar curve $\gamma(t)$. There is a constant vector a such that $\gamma(t) \cdot \mathbf{a}$ is a constant. Without loss, we could assume the parametrization is unit-speed. So $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{a}=0$ and $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{a}=0$. Hence $\mathbf{t}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ is perpendicular to a, thus parallel to the plane. Finally, $\mathbf{b}=\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{n}$ is a unit vector orthogonal to the plane and thus a constant vector. Hence $\tau=0$.

Example 1.5.4. Let $\gamma(\theta)=(a \cos \theta, a \sin \theta, b \theta)$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{\gamma}(\theta)=(-a \sin \theta, a \cos \theta, b), \ddot{\gamma}(\theta)=(-a \cos \theta,-a \sin \theta, 0), \dddot{\gamma}(\theta)=(a \sin \theta,-a \cos \theta, 0) . \\
\text { So } \dot{\gamma}(\theta) \times \ddot{\gamma}(\theta)=\left(a b \sin \theta,-a b \cos \theta, a^{2}\right) \text { and } \\
\|\dot{\gamma} \times \ddot{\gamma}\|^{2}=a^{2}\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right),(\dot{\gamma} \times \ddot{\gamma}) \cdot \dddot{\gamma}=a^{2} b
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence, $\tau=\frac{b}{a^{2}+b^{2}}$.

[^0]Exercise: Use unit-speed parametrization to calculate the above example again.

Let us come back to the unit-speed parametrization. We have $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{t}$, so

$$
\mathbf{n}^{\prime}(s)=\mathbf{b}^{\prime} \times \mathbf{t}+\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{t}^{\prime}=-\tau \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{t}+\kappa \mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{n}=-\kappa \mathbf{t}+\tau \mathbf{b} .
$$

To summarize, we have the following set of Frenet-Serret equations (when $\kappa(s) \neq 0!!):$

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{t}^{\prime}= & \kappa \mathbf{n} \\
\mathbf{n}^{\prime}=-\kappa \mathbf{t} & \\
\mathbf{b}^{\prime}= & -\tau \mathbf{n}
\end{array} \quad+\tau \mathbf{b}\right.
$$

In other writing,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{n} \\
\mathbf{b}
\end{array}\right)^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \kappa & 0 \\
-\kappa & 0 & \tau \\
0 & -\tau & 0
\end{array}\right) \cdot\left(\begin{array}{l}
\mathbf{t} \\
\mathbf{n} \\
\mathbf{b}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix is skew-symmetric.
What if $\kappa=0$ at some points? $\mathbf{n}$ is not well-defined at these points.


But there is a way to resolve this issue for plane curves.
In summary, curvature measures how far the curve is from a line; torsion measures how far it is from its osculating plane, or how far the curve is from a plane curve.

### 1.6 Plane curves

The trick to resolve the issue mentioned in the last section for plane curve is to define the signed unit normal $\mathbf{n}_{s}$ as the unit vector obtained by rotating $\mathbf{t}$ counter-clockwise $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

The signed curvature $\kappa_{s}$ is defined as

$$
\ddot{\gamma}=\mathbf{t}^{\prime}=\kappa_{s} \mathbf{n}_{s} .
$$

The relation with curvature is $\kappa=\|\ddot{\gamma}\|=\left|\kappa_{s}\right|$.
Look at Figure 1.1 and 1.2. The intrinsic viewpoint of $\kappa_{s}$ : change of angle for tangent vectors. How to define the angle?

Let $\gamma(s)=(x(s), y(s))$ be a unit-speed plane curve. Let us first define it locally. Let $\phi(s) \in(0,2 \pi)$ be the angle that $\mathbf{t}(s)$ makes with $x$-axis.


Figure 1.1: $\kappa_{s}>0$, angle increases Figure 1.2: $\kappa_{s}<0$, angle decreases

So $\phi(s)=\arctan \frac{y^{\prime}(s)}{x^{\prime}(s)}$ and $\left(x^{\prime}(s), y^{\prime}(s)\right)=(\cos \phi(s), \sin \phi(s))$. It is locally well-defined.

$$
\ddot{\gamma}=\frac{d \mathbf{t}}{d s}=\frac{d \phi}{d s}(-\sin \phi, \cos \phi)=\frac{d \phi}{d s} \mathbf{n}_{s} .
$$

So

$$
\kappa_{s}(s)=\frac{d \phi}{d s}=x^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime}
$$

Motivated by last calculation, we define it globally:

$$
\phi(s)=\int_{s_{0}}^{s} \kappa_{s}(s) d s
$$

This is called the turning angle. Up to constant, it is just the previous defined local version.

It is particularly interesting to study the total turning angle for a closed curve.

Definition 1.6.1. A smooth curve $\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is called a closed curve if there is $T \neq 0$ such that $\gamma(t+T)=\gamma(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

The minimal such $T$ is called period. Later we may write $\gamma:[0, T] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $\gamma(0)=\gamma(T)$ to represent a closed curve.

A simple closed curve is a closed curve with no self-intersection, i.e if $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<T$, then $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right) \neq \gamma\left(t_{2}\right)$. A simple closed curve is also called Jordan curve in some literature. We have the following intuitively clear but hard to prove theorem.

Theorem 1.6.2 (Smooth Schoenflies). For any simple closed curve $\gamma$, there is a diffeomorphism $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ sending the unit circle to $\gamma$.

Hence, we can define the interior (resp. exterior) of the Jordan curve $\gamma$ as the bounded (resp. unbounded) region with boundary $\gamma$.

For closed curves, the total signed curvature

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \kappa_{s}(s) d s=\phi(T)-\phi(0)=2 \pi I
$$

where $I$ is an integer called rotation index.

Example 1.6.3. A counter clockwise circle has rotation index 1. A clockwise ellipse has rotation index -1 .

What is the rotation index of figure 8?
Theorem 1.6.4 (Hopf's Umlaufsatz). The rotation index of a simple closed curve is $\pm 1$. (sign depends on the orientation)

This will be a corollary of Gauss-Bonnet theorem. But there is simple proof which also motivates the proof of Gauss-Bonnet.

Proof. We denote $\gamma_{i}=\widetilde{A_{i} A_{i+1}}$ as part of the curve $\gamma$. Assume the total integral curvature of every arc $\gamma_{i}$ is less than $\pi$, and no self-intersection for the polygon $A_{1} \cdots A_{n} A_{n+1}$ with $A_{n+1}=A_{1}$.


On each $\gamma_{i}$, we choose $B_{i}$ such that $\mathbf{t}\left(B_{i}\right) \| A_{i} A_{i+1}$.


Then by the local definition of turning angle

$$
\int_{\widetilde{B_{i} B_{i+1}}} \kappa_{s}(s) d s=\phi\left(B_{i+1}\right)-\phi\left(B_{i}\right)=\pi-\alpha_{i+1}
$$

So

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \kappa_{s}(s) d s=\int_{\gamma} \kappa_{s} d s=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \int_{\widetilde{B_{i} B_{i+1}}} \kappa_{s} d s=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\pi-\alpha_{i+1}\right)=n \pi-\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}=2 \pi
$$

One could compare this result to
Theorem 1.6.5 (Fenchel). The total curvature of any closed space curve is at least $2 \pi$, i.e $\int \kappa d s \geq 2 \pi$. The equality holds if and only if the curve is a convex planar curve.

### 1.7 More results for space curves

We have shown a space curve is a straight line if and only if its curvature is everywhere 0 .

Proposition 1.7.1. A space curve with nowhere vanishing curvature is planar if and only if its torsion is everywhere 0 .

Proof. Take unit-speed parametrization. We have shown the "only if" part.
On the other hand, if $\tau=0$, then $\mathbf{b}^{\prime}=0$ and so $\mathbf{b}$ is a constant vector. By calculation

$$
\frac{d}{d s}(\gamma \cdot \mathbf{b})=\dot{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{b}=\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{b}=0 .
$$

So $\gamma \cdot \mathbf{b}$ is a constant $C$, which implies $\gamma$ is contained in the plane $(\cdot) \cdot \mathbf{b}=$ $C$.

Proposition 1.7.2. The only planar curves with non-zero constant curvature are (part of) circles.

Proof. We have shown a circle of radius $R$ has constant curvature $\kappa=\frac{1}{R}$. Now suppose a planar curve $\gamma$ (thus $\tau=0$ ) has constant curvature $\kappa$.

$$
\frac{d}{d s}\left(\gamma(s)+\frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{n}\right)=\mathbf{t}+\frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{n}^{\prime}=\mathbf{t}-\mathbf{t}+\frac{\tau}{\kappa} \mathbf{b}=0 .
$$

Hence $\gamma+\frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{n}$ is a constant vector a. So $\|\gamma-\mathbf{a}\|=\frac{1}{\kappa}$. This is a circle with centre a and radius $\frac{1}{\kappa}$.

Especially, a space curve with constant $\kappa$ and $\tau=0$ is a part of circle.

### 1.7.1 Taylor expansion of a curve

Frenet-Serret equations gives the local picture of space curves. Let us look at the Taylor expansion of a space curve

$$
\gamma(s)=\gamma(0)+s \dot{\gamma}(0)+\frac{s^{2}}{2} \ddot{\gamma}(0)+\frac{s^{3}}{6} \dddot{\gamma}(0)+R
$$

where $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{R}{s^{3}}=0$. We know

$$
\dot{\gamma}(0)=\mathbf{t}(0), \ddot{\gamma}(0)=\kappa(0) \mathbf{n}(0)
$$

and

$$
\dddot{\gamma}=(\kappa \mathbf{n})^{\prime}(0)=\kappa_{0}^{\prime} \mathbf{n}(0)+\kappa_{0}\left(-\kappa_{0} \mathbf{t}(0)+\tau_{0} \mathbf{b}(0)\right) .
$$

So
$\gamma(s)-\gamma(0)=\left(s-\frac{\kappa_{0}^{2}}{6} s^{3}+\cdots\right) \mathbf{t}(0)+\left(\frac{s^{2} \kappa_{0}}{2}+\frac{s^{3} \kappa_{0}^{\prime}}{6}+\cdots\right) \mathbf{n}(0)+\left(\frac{1}{6} \kappa_{0} \tau_{0} s^{3}+\cdots\right) \mathbf{b}(0)$.
Here $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \dddot{\overline{s^{3}}}=0$.
See the local pictures in next page. Notice the sign of $\tau$ will affect the projection in rectifying and normal planes, thus the whole local picture.

Exercise: Draw the local pictures when $\tau<0$.

### 1.7.2 Fundamental Theorem of the local theory of curves

Theorem 1.7.3. Given two smooth functions $\kappa$ and $\tau$ with $\kappa>0$ everywhere, there is a unit-speed curve in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ whose curvature is $\kappa$ and torsion is $\tau$. The curve is unique up to a rigid motion.

Here two curves are related by a rigid motion if $\tilde{\gamma}=A \circ \gamma+\mathbf{c}$ where $A$ is a orthogonal linear map of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\operatorname{det} A>0$ and $\mathbf{c}$ is a vector. In other words, they are related by a composition of a translation and a rotation.

We omit the proof, which is an application of the existence and uniqueness theorem of linear systems. The 2D version is problem 4 in Example sheet 1 .

### 1.8 Isoperimetric Inequality

We prove the famous Isoperimetric inequality in this section. According to legend, Isoperimetric inequality is originated from Queen Dido's problem. After the assassination of her husband by her brother, she fled to Tunis. She aksed the local leader Yarb for as much as land as could be enclosed by the hide of a bull. Mathematically, it is the question that how much the area could be enclosed by a string along with the shore which could be approximated by a straight line. The land she enclosed became city of Carthage.

Our problem is a variation of this. How much is the largest possible area that could be enclosed by a closed string of length $L$ ? In fact, if we know how to solve it. Dido's original problem could also be solved, as we can double the area Queen Dido enclosed by reflection along the shore, which reduced to our Isoperimetric inequality stated below.

Theorem 1.8.1. If a simple closed plane curve $\gamma$ has length $L$ and encloses area $A$, then

$$
L^{2} \geq 4 \pi A
$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\gamma$ is a circle.

local picture of a space curve when $\tau>0$


Osculating plane: $\left(u, \frac{\kappa_{0}}{2} u^{2}+\frac{\kappa_{0}^{\prime}}{6} u^{3}+\cdots\right)$


Rectifying plane: $\left(u,\left(\frac{\kappa_{0} \tau_{0}}{6}\right) u^{3}+\cdots\right)$


Normal plane: $\left(u^{2},\left(\frac{\sqrt{2} \tau_{0}}{3 \sqrt{\kappa_{0}}}\right) u^{3}+\cdots\right)$


Project the curve to a circle

Without loss, we could assume $\gamma$ is unit-speed. So our simple closed curve $\gamma(s)=(x(s), y(s))$ where $s \in[0, L]$. We first derive formulae for area $A$.

Lemma 1.8.2. For any parametrization of the curve $\gamma$,

$$
A=-\int_{0}^{L^{\prime}} y(t) x^{\prime}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{L^{\prime}} x(t) y^{\prime}(t) d t=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{L^{\prime}}\left(x(t) y^{\prime}(t)-y(t) x^{\prime}(t)\right) d t
$$

Proof. It is a corollary of Green's theorem:

$$
\int_{i n t(\gamma)}\left(\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial y}\right) d x d y=\int_{\gamma} f(x, y) d x+g(x, y) d y
$$

The three formulae correspond to $f=-y, g=0 ; f=0, g=x$; and $f=$ $-\frac{1}{2} y, g=\frac{1}{2} x$ respectively.

Notice in the proof, we make use of smooth Schoenflies implicitly to talk about the interior of a simple closed curve.

Now we prove the theorem. The idea is to "project" the curve to a circle. We choose parallel lines $l_{1}$ and $l_{2}$ tangent and enclosing $\gamma$. Draw a circle $\alpha$ tangent to both lines but does not meet $\gamma$. Let $O$ be the centre of the circle. Take $\gamma(0) \in l_{1}$ and $\gamma\left(s_{1}\right) \in l_{2}$.

Assume the equation of $\alpha=(x(s), \bar{y}(s))$. This parametrization is not unit-speed nor regular in general.

$$
A=A(\gamma)=\int_{0}^{L} x y^{\prime} d s, A(\alpha)=\pi R^{2}=-\int_{0}^{L} \bar{y} x^{\prime} d s
$$



Reuleaux triangle

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
A+\pi R^{2} & =\int_{0}^{L}\left(x y^{\prime}-\bar{y} x^{\prime}\right) d s \\
& =\int_{0}^{L}(x, \bar{y}) \cdot\left(y^{\prime},-x^{\prime}\right) d s \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{L} \sqrt{x^{2}+\bar{y}^{2}} \sqrt{\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}} d s \\
& =L R
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
2 \sqrt{A} \sqrt{\pi R^{2}} \leq A+\pi R^{2} \leq L R .
$$

Thus the isoperimetric inequality

$$
L^{2} \geq 4 \pi A
$$

If the equality holds, $A=\pi R^{2}$ and $L=2 \pi R$. Especially, $R$ is independent of the direction of $l_{1}, l_{2}$. Hence $(x, \bar{y})=R\left(y^{\prime},-x^{\prime}\right)$. So $x=R y^{\prime}$. Rotate $l_{i}$ for 90 degrees, we have $y$ for $x$ and $-x$ for $y$, so $y=-R x^{\prime}$. Thus

$$
x^{2}+y^{2}=R^{2}\left(\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{2}+\left(y^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)=R^{2},
$$

and $\gamma$ is a circle.
Remark 1.8.3. $R$ is independent of the direction does not ensure $\alpha$ is a circle. We have curves of constant width which are not circle. A Reuleaux triangle is the simplest example but only piecewise smooth. One can construct smooth ones by move it outwards along the normal direction with a fixed distance for example.

But actually smooth examples are ubiquitous: our $20 p$ and $\sqrt{50 p}$ coins.


Convex curve. It has $\kappa_{s} \geq 0$ if the parameter increases counter-clockwise around its interior.


Non-convex curve

### 1.9 The Four Vertex Theorem

This is about a plane curve $\gamma(t)=(x(t), y(t))$, and its vertex:
Definition 1.9.1. A vertex of a plane curve $\gamma(t)$ is a point where its signed curvature $\kappa_{s}$ has a critical point, i.e. where $\frac{d \kappa_{s}}{d t}=0$.

Exercise: check the definition is independent of the parametrization.
Recall the definition of $\kappa_{s}$ : Assume $s$ is unit-speed parametrization then $\mathbf{t}^{\prime}=\kappa_{s} \mathbf{n}_{s}$, where $\mathbf{n}_{s}$ is a 90 degree rotation of $\mathbf{t}$. The curvature $\kappa=\left|\kappa_{s}\right|$.

Definition 1.9.2. A simple closed plane curve $\gamma$ is convex if it lies on one side of its tangent line at each point.

Equivalent definitions:

- If the interior $D$ is convex: if $A \in D, B \in D$, then the segment $A B \subset$ D.
- If a simple closed curve has a non-negative signed curvature at each of its points.

It is easy to see that the first is an equivalent definition. Leave as exercise. To prove the second equivalence, we should use the relation with turning angle and signed curvature. We do not provide the proof here. Instead we mention the following result which is more general and implies one side of the equivalence.


Proposition 1.9.3. Let $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ tangent to each other at $P$, and the signed curvature $\kappa_{1}>\kappa_{2}$. Then there is a neighbourhood $U$ of $P$ in which $\gamma_{1} \cap U$ is located in one side of $\gamma_{2} \cap U$ defined by $\mathbf{n}_{s}$.

Proof. We choose $P$ at origin. And express $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ locally as graphs of functions $f_{1}, f_{2}$. So $f_{1}(0)=f_{2}(0)=0$ and $f_{1}^{\prime}(0)=f_{2}^{\prime}(0)$. By the formula of signed curvature in section 1.6, $\kappa_{s}=x^{\prime} y^{\prime \prime}-x^{\prime \prime} y^{\prime}=f^{\prime \prime}$ for graph of function $f$. Hence by Taylor expansion $f_{1}(x)-f_{2}(x)=\frac{x^{2}}{2}\left(\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}\right)+o\left(x^{2}\right)$ which is greater than 0 in a neighbourhood of $P=(0,0)$. This finishes the proof.

Any simple closed curve has at least two vertices: maximum and minimum of $\kappa_{s}$. Actually we have more

Theorem 1.9.4 (Four Vertex Theorem). Every convex simple closed curve in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ has at least four vertices.

Remark 1.9.5. The conclusion holds for simple closed curves, but we only prove it for convex ones.

Suppose $\gamma$ has fewer than 4 vertices. Then $\kappa_{s}$ must have 2 or 3 critical points. Under this circumstance, we have the following

Lemma 1.9.6. There is a straight line $L$ that divides $\gamma$ into 2 segments, in one of which $\kappa_{s}^{\prime}>0$ and in the other $\kappa_{s}^{\prime} \leq 0$. (or possibly $\kappa_{s}^{\prime} \geq 0$ and $\kappa_{s}^{\prime}<0$ respectively)

Proof. Let the max $/ \mathrm{min}$ points of $\kappa_{s}$ be $P$ and $Q$.
If $P$ and $Q$ are the only vertices, $\kappa_{s}^{\prime}>0$ on one of the segments and $\kappa_{s}^{\prime}<0$ on the other. This is because a closed curve will keep the same value of $\kappa_{s}$ after one turn.

If there is one more vertex $R$. Then $P, Q, R$ determined 3 segments in $\gamma$, each of them $\kappa_{s}^{\prime}>0$ or $\kappa_{s}^{\prime}<0$. Then there are two adjacent ones on which $\kappa_{s}^{\prime}$ has the same sign.

Applying this lemma, we can show that it is impossible to have 2 or 3 critical points.

Proof. (of the theorem) Let the equation of $L$ be $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x}=c$, where we choose the unit vector a such that $\kappa_{s}^{\prime} \geq 0$ precisely when $\mathbf{a} \cdot \gamma(s) \geq c$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \kappa_{s}^{\prime}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \gamma(s)) d s=\int_{0}^{T} \kappa_{s}^{\prime}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \gamma(s)-c) d s>0
$$

Integration by parts

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T} \kappa_{s}^{\prime}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \gamma(s)) d s & =\left.\kappa_{s}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \gamma(s))\right|_{0} ^{T}-\int_{0}^{T} \kappa_{s}(\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{t}(s)) d s \\
& =0+\int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{s}^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& =\mathbf{a} \cdot \int_{0}^{T} \mathbf{n}_{s}^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction!
Notice for the second equality. The first term is 0 because the curve is simple closed. The second term is because of $\mathbf{n}_{s}^{\prime}=-\kappa_{s} \mathbf{t}$. ( $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{s}=0$, so $\mathbf{t}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{s}+\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{s}^{\prime}=0$. Since $\mathbf{t}^{\prime}=\kappa_{s} \mathbf{n}_{s}$ by definition, $\left.\mathbf{n}_{s}^{\prime}=-\kappa_{s} \mathbf{t}\right)$

Exercise: Find all the vertices for the ellipse $\gamma(t)=(p \cos t, q \sin t)$ when $p \neq q$.

## Chapter 2

## Surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

### 2.1 Definitions and Examples

First, we assume you know the definition of open sets and continuous maps from $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$.

Definition 2.1.1. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous and bijective, and if its inverse map $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$ is also continuous, then $f$ is called a homeomorphism and $X$ and $Y$ are said to be homeomorphic.

Theorem 2.1.2 (Invariance of domain). If $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is an injective continuous map, then $V=f(U)$ is open and $f$ is a homeomorphism between $U$ and $V$.

Definition 2.1.3. A subset $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a regular surface if for each $p \in S$, there exists a neighbourhood $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and a map $\sigma: U \rightarrow W \cap S$ of an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ onto $W \cap S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, such that

- $\sigma$ is smooth
- $\sigma$ is homeomorphism
- at all points $(u, v) \in U, \sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v} \neq 0$.

The mapping $\sigma$ is called a (regular) parametrization or a chart. We will call its image a coordinate patch. A collection of charts such that every point of $S$ is contained in a coordinate patch is called an atlas. The condition 3 above means $\sigma_{u}$ and $\sigma_{v}$ are linearly independent, or $d \sigma_{q}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is one to one.

For any point of a regular surface $S$, there might be more than one charts.

Proposition 2.1.4. Let $\sigma: U \rightarrow S, \tilde{\sigma}: V \rightarrow S$ be two charts of $S$ such that $p \in \sigma(U) \cap \tilde{\sigma}(V)=W$. Then the transition map $h=\sigma^{-1} \circ \tilde{\sigma}: \tilde{\sigma}^{-1}(W) \rightarrow$ $\sigma^{-1}(W)$ is a diffeomorphism.

Proof is omitted. It is another application of Inverse Function Theorem. The diffeomorphism $h$ gives a reparametrization.

Definition 2.1.5. A reparametrization of surface is a composition $\sigma \circ f$ : $V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ where $f: V \rightarrow U$ is a diffeomorphism.

Since the Jacobian $d f$ is invertible, let $f(x, y)=(u(x, y), v(x, y)),(\sigma \circ f)_{x}$ and $(\sigma \circ f)_{y}$ are linearly independent if and only if $\sigma_{u}$ and $\sigma_{v}$ are. So the following is well defined.

Definition 2.1.6. The tangent plane $T_{p} S$ of a surface $S$ at the point $p$ is the vector space spanned by $\sigma_{u}(p)$ and $\sigma_{v}(p)$.


This space is independent of parametrization. One should think of the origin of the vector space as the point $p$.

Definition 2.1.7. The unit vector

$$
\mathbf{N}_{\sigma}(u, v)=\frac{\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}}{\left\|\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}\right\|}
$$

is the standard normal to the surface at point $p=\sigma(u, v)$.
Here are examples of parametrized surfaces. For the pictures of these, look at Hichin's notes.

## Example:

1. A plane:

$$
\sigma(u, v)=\mathbf{a}+u \mathbf{b}+v \mathbf{c}
$$

for constant vectors $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$ and $\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c} \neq 0$. The normal vector

$$
\mathbf{N}=\frac{\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}}{\|\mathbf{b} \times \mathbf{c}\|}
$$

2. A cylinder:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(u, v)=(a \cos u, a \sin u, v), a>0 \\
\mathbf{N}=(\cos u, \sin u, 0)
\end{gathered}
$$

3. A cone (without cone point):

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(a u \cos v, a u \sin v, u)
$$

4. A helicoid:

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(a u \cos v, a u \sin v, v)
$$

5. A sphere (minus a half circle connecting poles) in spherical coordinates: $U=\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \times(0,2 \pi)$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(u, v)=(a \cos v \cos u, a \sin v \cos u, a \sin u) \\
\mathbf{N}=-\frac{1}{a} \sigma
\end{gathered}
$$

6. A torus

$$
\sigma(u, v)=((a+b \cos u) \cos v,(a+b \cos u) \sin v, b \sin u),
$$

$a>b$ are constants.
7. A surface of revolution

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u))
$$

is obtained by rotating a plane curve (called profile curve) $\gamma(u)=$ $(f(u), 0, g(u))$ around $z$-axis. We assume $f(u)>0$ for all $u$. We have

$$
\sigma_{u}=\left(f_{u} \cos v, f_{u} \sin v, g_{u}\right), \sigma_{v}=(-f \sin v, f \cos v, 0)
$$

So

$$
\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}=(-f \dot{g} \cos v,-f \dot{g} \sin v, f \dot{f}),\left\|\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}\right\|^{2}=f^{2}\left(\dot{f}^{2}+\dot{g}^{2}\right) \neq 0
$$

8. A generalized cylinder

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(u, v)=\gamma(u)+v \mathbf{a} . \\
\sigma_{u}=\dot{\gamma}, \sigma_{v}=\mathbf{a}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\sigma$ is regular if $\gamma$ is never tangent to the ruling a.
But usually, a surface has more than one patches. That is the reason why we need more preparation of surfaces local theory than that of curves. For curves, only one patch is enough since the topology is simpler. The following example shows how a closed (i.e. compact without boundary) surface is different from a closed curve, where we can use a periodic one patch parametrization.

Example 2.1.8. The unit sphere $S^{2}=\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} ; x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1\right\}$ is a regular surface. We let $\sigma_{1}: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$.

$$
\sigma_{1}(x, y)=\left(x, y, \sqrt{1-x^{2}-y^{2}}\right),(x, y) \in U=B_{1}(0)
$$

is a parametrization. Please check the 3 conditions (general statement is Proposition 2.1.9).

Similarly $\sigma_{2}(x, y)=\left(x, y,-\sqrt{1-x^{2}-y^{2}}\right),(x, y) \in U=B_{1}(0)$ is also a parametrization. And $\sigma_{1}(U) \cup \sigma_{2}(U)$ covers $S^{2}$ minus equator $z=0$.

With 4 more parametrizations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{3}(x, z) & =\left(x, \sqrt{1-x^{2}-z^{2}}, z\right) \\
\sigma_{4}(x, z) & =\left(x,-\sqrt{1-x^{2}-z^{2}}, z\right) \\
\sigma_{5}(y, z) & =\left(\sqrt{1-y^{2}-z^{2}}, y, z\right) \\
\sigma_{6}(y, z) & =\left(-\sqrt{1-y^{2}-z^{2}}, y, z\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

they cover $S^{2}$. So $S^{2}$ is a regular surface.
To check each $\sigma_{i}$ is a parametrization, one could prove the following more general result, whose proof is left as an exercise.

Proposition 2.1.9. If $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function in an open set $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, then the graph of $f$, i.e. $\sigma(x, y)=(x, y, f(x, y))$ for $(x, y) \in U$ is a regular surface.

### 2.1.1 Compact surfaces

A subset $X$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is compact if it is closed and bounded (i.e. $X$ is contained in some open ball).

Non-examples: A plane is not compact. The open $\operatorname{disc}\left\{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^{3} \mid x^{2}+\right.$ $\left.y^{2}<1, z=0\right\}$ is not compact.

There are very few compact surfaces:
Example 2.1.10. Any sphere is compact. Let us consider the unit sphere $S^{2}$.

It is bounded because it is contained in the open ball $D_{2}(0)$.
To show $S^{2}$ is closed, i.e. the complement is open: if $\|p\| \neq 1$, say $\|p\|>1$. Let $\epsilon=\|p\|-1, D_{\epsilon}(p)$ does not intersect $S^{2}$. This is because if $q \in D_{\epsilon}(p)$, then $\|q\| \geq\|p\|-\|p-q\|>\|p\|-\epsilon=1$.

Other examples are torus $\Sigma_{1}=T^{2}$, and surface of higher "genus" $\Sigma_{g \geq 2}$.
Theorem 2.1.11. For any $g \geq 0, \Sigma_{g}$ has an atlas such that it is a smooth surface. Moreover, every compact surface is diffeomorphic to one of $\Sigma_{g}$.

### 2.1.2 Level sets

There is another family of regular surfaces: the level sets. Suppose that $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is smooth. For each $p \in U$, we have Jacobian

$$
d f_{p}=\nabla f(p)=\left(f_{x_{1}}, f_{x_{2}}, \cdots, f_{x_{n}}\right)(p) .
$$

Definition 2.1.12. We say $p \in U$ is a critical point if $d f_{p}=0$. Otherwise it is regular.

The image $f(p)$ of a critical point is called a critical value. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is a regular value if every point of the level set $f^{-1}(t)$ is regular.

The following shows the notions of regular surface and regular value coincide in some sense.

Theorem 2.1.13. If $f: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function and $t \in f(U)$ is a regular value of $f$, then $f^{-1}(t)$ is a regular surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Proof. Let $p$ be a point of $f^{-1}(t)$. Without loss, we assume $f_{z}(p) \neq 0$. Define $F: U \subset \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ by

$$
F(x, y, z)=(x, y, f(x, y, z)) .
$$

Its Jacobian is

$$
d F_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 \\
f_{x} & f_{y} & f_{z}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

$\operatorname{det}\left(d F_{p}\right)=f_{z} \neq 0$.
Thus by Inverse Function Theorem, we have a neighbourhood $V \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of $p$ and $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of $F(p)$ such that $F: V \rightarrow W$ is invertible and $F^{-1}: W \rightarrow V$ is smooth, i.e. $F^{-1}(u, v, w)=(u, v, g(u, v, w))$ with $(u, v, w) \in W$ and $g$ smooth. Especially $g(u, v, t)=h(u, v)$ is smooth, where $h$ takes value from $W^{\prime}=\{(u, v) \mid(u, v, t) \in W\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Since $F\left(f^{-1}(t) \cap V\right)=\{(u, v, t)\} \cap W$, the graph of $h(u, v)$ is $F^{-1}(u, v, t)=f^{-1}(t) \cap V$. Hence $h: W^{\prime} \rightarrow f^{-1}(t) \cap V$ is a parametrization containing $p$. Hence by Proposition 2.1.9 $f^{-1}(t)$ is a regular surface.

Example 2.1.14. - $f(x, y, z)=x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2} . \nabla f=(2 x, 2 y, 2 z)$. Thus $f^{-1}(t)$ is an embedded surface for all $t>0$. It is a sphere of radius $t$.

- $f(x, y, z)=x^{2}+y^{2}-z^{2} . \nabla f=(2 x, 2 y,-2 z) . f^{-1}(0)$ is a cone which is singular at the origin. $f^{-1}(t)$ is a regular surface for $t \neq 0$. It is a hyperboloid - 1 -sheeted for $t>0$ and 2 -sheeted for $t<0$.


### 2.2 The First Fundamental Form

Choose a parametrization $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of $S$, such that $p \in \sigma(U)$ and $\sigma\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)=p$. A curve $\gamma$ lies on $S$ and passes through $p$ when $t=t_{0}$ if $\gamma(t)=\sigma(u(t), v(t))$ with $u\left(t_{0}\right)=u_{0}$ and $v\left(t_{0}\right)=v_{0}$. By Inverse Function Theorem, both $u$ and $v$ are smooth.

Since $\|\dot{\gamma}\|^{2}=<\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}>=E \dot{u}^{2}+2 F \dot{u} \dot{v}+G \dot{v}^{2}$, where

$$
E=\sigma_{u} \cdot \sigma_{u}, F=\sigma_{u} \cdot \sigma_{v}, G=\sigma_{v} \cdot \sigma_{v}
$$

the arc length of such a curve from $t=a$ to $t=b$ is

$$
\int_{a}^{b}\|\dot{\gamma}(t)\| d t=\int_{a}^{b} \sqrt{E \dot{u}^{2}+2 F \dot{u} \dot{v}+G \dot{v}^{2}} d t
$$

Definition 2.2.1. The first fundamental form of a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the expression

$$
I=E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2}
$$

This is just the quadratic form

$$
Q(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v})=\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v}
$$

on the tangent plane written in terms of the basis $\sigma_{u}$ and $\sigma_{v}$. (And we assume the formal computations $d u\left(\sigma_{u}\right)=d v\left(\sigma_{v}\right)=1, d u\left(\sigma_{v}\right)=d v\left(\sigma_{u}\right)=0$.) So it tells us how the surface $S$ inherits the inner product of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. It is represented in this basis by the symmetric matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is clear that the first fundamental form only depends on $S$ and $p$. Especially, it does not depend on the parametrization. A reparametrization $\tilde{\sigma}=\sigma \circ f$ will change it to the same form $\bar{E} d x^{2}+2 \bar{F} d x d y+\bar{G} d y^{2}$ which is identical to the one calculated from coordinate change

$$
d u=u_{x} d x+u_{y} d y, d v=v_{x} d x+v_{y} d y
$$

where $f(x, y)=(u(x, y), v(x, y))$. It helps us to make measurement (e.g. Length of curves, angles, areas) on the surface directly, so we say a property of $S$ is intrinsic if it can be expressed in terms of the first fundamental form.

## Example:

1. Plane $\sigma(u, v)=\mathbf{a}+u \mathbf{b}+v \mathbf{c}$ with $\mathbf{b} \perp \mathbf{c}$ and

$$
\|\mathbf{b}\|=\|\mathbf{c}\|=1
$$

$\sigma_{u}=\mathbf{b}, \sigma_{v}=\mathbf{c}$, so

$$
E=\|\mathbf{b}\|^{2}=1, F=\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{c}=0, G=\|\mathbf{c}\|^{2}=1
$$

The first fundamental form is

$$
I=d u^{2}+d v^{2}
$$

2. Surface of revolution

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u)) .
$$

We could assume the profile curve $\gamma(u)=(f(u), 0, g(u))$ is unit-speed, i.e. $f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1$, and $f>0$. We have

$$
\sigma_{u}=\left(f_{u} \cos v, f_{u} \sin v, g_{u}\right), \sigma_{v}=(-f \sin v, f \cos v, 0)
$$

So

$$
E=f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1, F=0, G=f^{2}
$$

Hence

$$
I=d u^{2}+f(u)^{2} d v^{2}
$$

The unit sphere $S^{2}$ is a special case where $u=\theta, v=\phi, f(\theta)=$ $\cos \theta, g(\theta)=\sin \theta$. We have

$$
I=d \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2}
$$

3. Generalized cylinder $\sigma(u, v)=\gamma(u)+v \mathbf{a}$. We assume $\gamma$ is unit-speed, a is a unit vector, and $\dot{\gamma} \perp \mathbf{a}$. Since $\sigma_{u}=\dot{\gamma}, \sigma_{v}=\mathbf{a}$,

$$
I=d u^{2}+d v^{2}
$$

Exercise: Calculate the first fundamental form for all other examples in previous section.

Observe that the first fundamental form of a generalized cylinder is the same as that of a plane! This is not a coincidence. The reason is the generalized cylinder is obtained from bending a piece of paper. Or it could be cut through one of its ruling to a flat paper. This is called a local isometry.

### 2.3 Length, Angle, Area: Isometric, Conformal, Equiareal

In this section, we explore several intrinsic properties.


### 2.3.1 Length: Isometry

Definition 2.3.1. Two surfaces $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are isometric if there is a diffeomorphism $f: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}$ which maps curves in $S_{1}$ to curves in $S_{2}$ of the same length. The map $f$ is called an isometry.

The map from a plane to a cylinder is not an isometry since it is not a diffeomorphism. But indeed it has the second property. A smooth map like this is called a local isometry. This suggests us to look at this definition for a coordinate patch.

Theorem 2.3.2. The coordinate patches $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are isometric if and only if there exist parametrizations $\sigma_{1}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\sigma_{2}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the same first fundamental form, and $\sigma_{1}(V)=U_{1}, \sigma_{2}(V)=U_{2}$.

Proof. Suppose such parametrizations exist, then the identity map is an isometry since the first fundamental form determines the length of curves.

Conversely, assume $U_{1}, U_{2}$ are isometric. And let the charts be $\sigma_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\sigma_{2}: V_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$. So we could assume the diffeomorphism is realized by $f: V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$. Then

$$
\sigma_{2} \circ f, \sigma_{1}: V_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}
$$

are parametrizations from the same open set $V=V_{1}$. So the fundamental forms are defined using same coordinate $(u, v)$ as

$$
E_{1} d u^{2}+2 F_{1} d u d v+G_{1} d v^{2}, E_{2} d u^{2}+2 F_{2} d u d v+G_{2} d v^{2}
$$

We have

$$
\int_{I} \sqrt{E_{1} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{1} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{1} \dot{v}^{2}} d t=\int_{I} \sqrt{E_{2} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{2} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{2} \dot{v}^{2}} d t
$$

for all curves and all intervals. Take derivative, we have

$$
\sqrt{E_{1} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{1} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{1} \dot{v}^{2}}=\sqrt{E_{2} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{2} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{2} \dot{v}^{2}}
$$

for all $u(t)$ and $v(t)$. Hence $E_{1}=E_{2}, F_{1}=F_{2}, G_{1}=G_{2}$.

### 2.3.2 Angle: conformal

One notices that the dot product inherited from $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is also preserved under isometry (and vice versa), since it is determined by the first fundamental form:

$$
\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\|\mathbf{v}+\mathbf{w}\|^{2}-\|\mathbf{v}\|^{2}-\|\mathbf{w}\|^{2}\right)
$$

Hence, the angle is also an intrinsic invariant. Let us define it.
Look at two curves $\alpha, \beta$ on the surface $S$ intersecting at $t=0$. The angle between them at $t=0$ is given by

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{\dot{\alpha} \cdot \dot{\beta}}{\|\dot{\alpha}|\|\mid\| \dot{\beta} \|}, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi
$$

Everything is expressed in terms of the coefficients of the first fundamental form.

Definition 2.3.3. Two surfaces $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$ are conformal if there is a diffeomorphism $f$ which preserves the angle for any pair of curves.

Notice the invariance of the expression of $\cos \theta$ if we scale the first fundamental form by a positive function $\lambda^{2}$. Hence we have a similar characterization as for isometry.

Theorem 2.3.4. The coordinate patches $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ are conformal if and only if there exist parametrizations $\sigma_{1}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\sigma_{2}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ with $\sigma_{1}(V)=U_{1}, \sigma_{2}(V)=U_{2}$, and $E_{2}=\lambda^{2} E_{1}, F_{2}=\lambda^{2} F_{1}, G_{2}=\lambda^{2} G_{1}$ in $V$, where $\lambda^{2}$ is a nowhere zero differentiable function in $V$.

We call them locally conformal. The most important property of conformal maps is the following.

Theorem 2.3.5. Any two regular surfaces are locally conformal.
To prove the theorem, we need to choose a special parametrization. For a neighborhood of any point of a regular surface by Isothermal parametrization, in which the first fundamental form is $\lambda^{2}(u, v)\left(d u^{2}+d v^{2}\right)$.

### 2.3.3 Area: equiareal

Let us focus on a parametrized surface $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$. There are two families of curves $u=$ const and $v=$ const. Fix $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in U$, we have the following picture.

The area of the "parallelogram" is

$$
\left\|\sigma_{u} \Delta u \times \sigma_{v} \Delta v\right\|=\left\|\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}\right\| \Delta u \Delta v
$$



Local "parallelogram"

Definition 2.3.6. The area $A_{\sigma}(R)$ of the part $\sigma(R)$ of $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ for region $R \subset U$ is

$$
A_{\sigma}(R)=\int_{R}\left\|\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}\right\| d u d v=\int_{R} \sqrt{E G-F^{2}} d u d v .
$$

The second equality follows from

$$
\left\|\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\sigma_{u}\right\|^{2}\left\|\sigma_{v}\right\|^{2}-\left(\sigma_{u} \cdot \sigma_{v}\right)^{2}=E G-F^{2} .
$$

As a corollary, we know the area of a surface patch is unchanged by reparametrization.

There is a characterization for equiareal map.
Theorem 2.3.7. A diffeomrphism $f: U_{1} \rightarrow U_{2}$ is equiareal, i.e. it takes any region in $S_{1}$ to a region of same area in $S_{2}$, if and only if for any surface patch $\sigma$ on $S_{1}$, the first fundamental forms of charts $\sigma$ and $f \circ \sigma$ satisfy

$$
E_{1} G_{1}-F_{1}^{2}=E_{2} G_{2}-F_{2}^{2}
$$

We summarize that being isometric is a stronger condition than being conformal or equiareal.

### 2.4 The Second Fundamental Form

The first fundamental form describe the intrinsic geometry of a surface, namely independent of the choice of its sitting in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The second fundamental form describes how the surface is bent in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.


Möbius band

### 2.4.1 Normals and orientability

A unit normal to surface $S$ at $p$, up to sign, is a unit vector perpendicular to $T_{p} S$. Recall that we define standard unit normal for a parametrization $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ as

$$
\mathbf{N}_{\sigma}=\frac{\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}}{\left\|\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}\right\|}
$$

However, we do not always have a smooth choice of the unit normal at any point of $S$. For instance, the Möbius band is such an example. Intuitively, if we walk along the middle circle of it, after one turn, the normal vector $\mathbf{N}$ will come back as $-\mathbf{N}$. In other words, we cannot make a consistent choice of a definite "side" on Möbius band. But apparently, $\mathbf{N}_{\sigma}$ is a smooth choice on one surface patch. Actually, the reason of this phenomenon is $\mathbf{N}_{\sigma}$ depends on the choice of patches.

Let $\tilde{\sigma}: \tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be another. Then

$$
\tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{u}} \times \tilde{\sigma}_{\tilde{v}}=\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{u}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tilde{v}}-\frac{\partial u}{\partial \tilde{v}} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \tilde{u}}\right) \sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}=\operatorname{det} J(\Phi) \sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}
$$

where $J(\Phi)$ is the Jacobian of the transition map $\Phi=\tilde{\sigma}^{-1} \circ \sigma$. So $\mathbf{N}_{\tilde{\sigma}}=$ $\pm \mathbf{N}_{\sigma}$. The sign is that of $\operatorname{det} J(\Phi)$.

Definition 2.4.1. A surface $S$ is orientable if we have a smooth choice of unit normal at any point of $S$. Such a choice of unit normal vector field is called an orientation of $S$.

A surface with a chosen orientation is called oriented.
Example 2.4.2. Every compact surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is orientable. This is because every compact surface is diffeomorphic to one of $\Sigma_{g}$.

The next follows from the above discussion.

Proposition 2.4.3. A surface $S$ is orientable if there exists an atlas $\mathcal{A}$ of $S$ such that for transition map $\Phi$ between any two charts in $\mathcal{A}$, we have $\operatorname{det} J(\Phi)>0$.

After on, without particular mentioning, our surface will be orientable.

### 2.4.2 Gauss map and second fundamental form

Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a surface with an orientation $\mathbf{N}$, we have the Gauss map

$$
\mathcal{G}: S \rightarrow S^{2}, p \mapsto \mathbf{N}_{p},
$$

where $\mathbf{N}_{p}$ is the unit normal of $S$ at $p$. The rate at which $\mathbf{N}$ varies across $S$ is measured by the derivative. It is denoted as $D_{p} \mathcal{G}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{G}(p)} S^{2}$. But as planes in $\mathbb{R}^{3}, T_{\mathcal{G}(p)} S^{2}$ and $T_{p} S$ are parallel since both are perpendicular to $\mathbf{N}$. So we actually look at the Weingarten map

$$
\mathcal{W}_{p, S}=-D_{p} \mathcal{G}: T_{p} S \rightarrow T_{p} S
$$

It is defined as the unique linear map determined by

$$
\mathcal{W}\left(\sigma_{u}\right)=-\mathbf{N}_{u}, \mathcal{W}\left(\sigma_{v}\right)=-\mathbf{N}_{v}
$$

for any parametrization $\sigma$.
Exercise: Prove $\mathcal{W}$ is independent of the choice of surface parametrization.
Parallel to the discussion of first fundamental form, we have
Definition 2.4.4. The second fundamental form of an oriented surface is the expression

$$
I I=L d u^{2}+2 M d u d v+N d v^{2}
$$

where $L=\sigma_{u u} \cdot \mathbf{N}, M=\sigma_{u v} \cdot \mathbf{N}, N=\sigma_{v v} \cdot \mathbf{N}$.
There is another expression. Note that $\sigma_{u} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0$, we have

$$
\left(\sigma_{u} \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)_{u}=\sigma_{u u} \cdot \mathbf{N}+\sigma_{u} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{u}=0
$$

and similarly

$$
\sigma_{v u} \cdot \mathbf{N}+\sigma_{v} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{u}=0, \sigma_{u v} \cdot \mathbf{N}+\sigma_{u} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{v}=0, \sigma_{v v} \cdot \mathbf{N}+\sigma_{v} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{v}=0 .
$$

Hence we also have

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=-\sigma_{u} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{u} \\
M=-\sigma_{u} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{v}=-\sigma_{v} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{u} \\
N=-\sigma_{v} \cdot \mathbf{N}_{v}
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence the second fundamental form is the symmetric bilinear form

$$
I I(\mathbf{w})=\mathcal{W}_{p, S}(\mathbf{w}) \cdot \mathbf{w}=<\mathcal{W}_{p, S}(\mathbf{w}), \mathbf{w}>
$$

It is represented by

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L & M \\
M & N
\end{array}\right)
$$

in terms of basis $\sigma_{u}$ and $\sigma_{v}$.
There is a third interpretation. Recall that the curvature of a curve could be understand as $\mathbf{t}^{\prime} \cdot \mathbf{n}$, or the second term of Taylor expansion of $\gamma(s)$. We could understand the second fundamental form in a similar way. We look at Taylor expression
$\sigma(u+\Delta u, v+\Delta v)-\sigma(u, v)=\sigma_{u} \Delta u+\sigma_{v} \Delta v+\frac{1}{2}\left(\sigma_{u u}(\Delta u)^{2}+2 \sigma_{u v} \Delta u \Delta v+\sigma_{v v}(\Delta v)^{2}\right)+R$
where $\lim _{\Delta u, \Delta v \rightarrow 0} \frac{R}{(\Delta u)^{2}+(\Delta v)^{2}}=0$. Since $\sigma_{u} \cdot \mathbf{N}=\sigma_{v} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0$,
$(\sigma(u+\Delta u, v+\Delta v)-\sigma(u, v)) \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{1}{2}\left(L(\Delta u)^{2}+2 M \Delta u \Delta v+N(\Delta v)^{2}\right)+R^{\prime}$.
The fourth interpretation is more geometric: we take surface $\sigma(u, v)$ and push it inwards a distance $t$ along its normal to get a family of surfaces

$$
R(u, v, t)=\sigma(u, v)-t \mathbf{N}(u, v)
$$

We calculate the first fundamental form $E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2}$ of $R$ which depends on $t$, then the derivative

$$
\left.\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2}\right)\right|_{t=0}=L d u^{2}+2 M d u d v+N d v^{2}
$$

where $L d u^{2}+2 M d u d v+N d v^{2}$ is the second fundamental form of $\sigma$. So it describes how the first fundamental form varies along the unit normal direction.

## Example:

1. Plane $\sigma(u, v)=\mathbf{a}+u \mathbf{b}+v \mathbf{c}$ has $\sigma_{u u}=\sigma_{u v}=\sigma_{v v}=0$. So the second fundamental form vanishes.
2. Surface of revolution

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u))
$$

We again assume $f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1$ and $f>0$. We have

$$
\sigma_{u}=\left(f_{u} \cos v, f_{u} \sin v, g_{u}\right), \sigma_{v}=(-f \sin v, f \cos v, 0)
$$

So

$$
\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}=\left(-f g_{u} \cos v,-f g_{u} \sin v, f f_{u}\right),\left\|\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}\right\|=f .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{N} & =\left(-g_{u} \cos v,-g_{u} \sin v, f_{u}\right), \\
\sigma_{u u} & =\left(f_{u u} \cos v, f_{u u} \sin v, g_{u u}\right), \\
\sigma_{u v} & =\left(-f_{u} \sin v, f_{u} \cos v, 0\right), \\
\sigma_{v v} & =(-f \cos v,-f \sin v, 0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So the second fundamental form

$$
I I=\left(f_{u} g_{u u}-f_{u u} g_{u}\right) d u^{2}+f g_{u} d v^{2} .
$$

There are two special cases:
(a) Unit sphere: $u=\theta, v=\phi, f(\theta)=\cos \theta, g(\theta)=\sin \theta$.

$$
I I=d \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2}
$$

the same as its first fundamental form.
(b) Unit cylinder: $f(u)=1, g(u)=u$. So

$$
I I=d v^{2}
$$

This is different from that of a plane, although their first fundamental forms are the same.

These examples tells us second fundamental form is an extrinsic concept, although it is not independent of the first fundamental form.

Exercise: Prove the converse of Example 1: If the second fundamental form vanishes, it is part of a plane.

### 2.5 Curvatures

### 2.5.1 Definitions and first properties

The shape of a surface influences the curvature of curves on the surface. Let $\gamma(t)=\sigma(u(t), v(t))$ be a unit-speed curve on an oriented surface $S$. Hence $\dot{\gamma}=\dot{u} \sigma_{u}+\dot{v} \sigma_{v} \in T_{\gamma(t)} S$, which means $\dot{\gamma} \perp \mathbf{N}$. So $\mathbf{N}, \dot{\gamma}$ and $\mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma}$ is a right handed orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Since $\ddot{\gamma} \perp \dot{\gamma}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{\gamma}=\kappa_{n} \mathbf{N}+\kappa_{g} \mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\kappa_{n}$ is called the normal curvature and $\kappa_{g}$ is called the geodesic curvature of $\gamma$. Notice when $\sigma$ is a plane and $\gamma$ a plane curve, the geodesic curvature is just the signed curvature $\kappa_{s}$.

On a general (non-oriented) surface, only magnitudes of $\kappa_{n}$ and $\kappa_{g}$ are well defined.

Proposition 2.5.1. 1. $\kappa_{n}=\ddot{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{N}, \kappa_{g}=\ddot{\gamma} \cdot(\mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma})$.
2. $\kappa^{2}=\kappa_{n}^{2}+\kappa_{g}^{2}$.
3. $\kappa_{n}=\kappa \cos \psi, \kappa_{g}=\kappa \sin \psi$, where $\kappa$ is the curvature of $\gamma$ and $\psi$ is the angle between $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{n}$ of $\gamma$.

Proof. The first is obtained by multiplying $\mathbf{N}$ and $\mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma}$ respectively to (2.1).

The second is by multiplying $\ddot{\gamma}$ to it.
For the last notice $\ddot{\gamma}=\kappa \mathbf{n}$. Comparing the coefficients of 2.1) and

$$
\kappa \mathbf{n}=\kappa \cos \psi \mathbf{N}+\kappa \sin \psi \mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma}
$$

gives us the equalities.
Proposition 2.5.2. If $\gamma$ is a unit-speed curve on $S$,

$$
\kappa_{n}=I I(\dot{\gamma})
$$

In other words, for $\gamma(t)=\sigma(u(t), v(t))$,

$$
\kappa_{n}=L \dot{u}^{2}+2 M \dot{u} \dot{v}+N \dot{v}^{2}
$$

Proof. Since $\mathbf{N} \cdot \dot{\gamma}=0, \mathbf{N} \cdot \ddot{\gamma}=-\dot{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \dot{\gamma}$. So

$$
\kappa_{n}=\mathbf{N} \cdot \ddot{\gamma}=-\dot{\mathbf{N}} \cdot \dot{\gamma}=<\mathcal{W}(\dot{\gamma}), \dot{\gamma}>=I I(\dot{\gamma})
$$

So $\kappa_{n}$ only depends on the point $p$ and the tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}(p)$, but not the curve $\gamma$.

Theorem 2.5.3 (Meusnier's Theorem). Let $p \in S, \mathbf{v} \in T_{p} S$ a unit vector. Let $\Pi_{\theta}$ be the plane containing $\mathbf{v}$ and making angle $\theta \neq 0$ with $T_{p} S$. Suppose $\Pi_{\theta}$ intersects $S$ in a curve with curvature $\kappa_{\theta}$. Then $\kappa_{\theta} \sin \theta$ is independent of $\theta$.

Proof. Let $\gamma_{\theta}=\Pi_{\theta} \cap S$, and parametrize it by arc length.
Then at $p, \dot{\gamma}_{\theta}= \pm \mathbf{v}$, so $\ddot{\gamma}_{\theta} \perp \mathbf{v}$ and $\| \Pi_{\theta}$ since $\gamma_{\theta}$ is a plane curve. Thus $\psi=\frac{\pi}{2}-\theta$ and $\kappa_{\theta} \sin \theta=\kappa_{n}$, independent of $\theta$.

The Weingarten map is a linear map. It could be viewed as a symmetric $2 \times 2$ matrix after fixing basis, say $\sigma_{u}, \sigma_{v}$, since the second fundamental form is a symmetric bilinear form. Its determinant and trace are two invariant associate with it, which is independent of the choice of basis.

Definition 2.5.4. Let $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ be the Weingarten map at $p \in S$. Then the Gaussian curvature

$$
K=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{W}_{p}\right)
$$

and mean curvature

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(\mathcal{W}_{p}\right)
$$

For a linear map/matrix, we also look at their eigenvalues and eigenvectors. For $\mathcal{W}$, the eigenvalues are real numbers since it is symmetric.

So at $p \in S$, there are $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ and a basis $\left\{\mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathbf{t}_{2}\right\}$ of $T_{p} S$ such that

$$
\mathcal{W}\left(\mathbf{t}_{1}\right)=\kappa_{1} \mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathcal{W}\left(\mathbf{t}_{2}\right)=\kappa_{2} \mathbf{t}_{2}
$$

Moreover, if $\kappa_{1} \neq \kappa_{2}$, then $<\mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathbf{t}_{2}>=0$. We call $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ principal curvatures, and $\mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathbf{t}_{2}$ principal vectors. Points of the surface with $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{2}$ is called umbilical points, where $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ is $\kappa_{1} \cdot I_{2 \times 2}$ and every direction is a principal direction.

Hence, for any points, there is an orthonormal basis of $T_{p} S$ consisting of principal vectors. We also know that

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa_{1}+\kappa_{2}\right), K=\kappa_{1} \cdot \kappa_{2}
$$

Theorem 2.5.5 (Euler's Theorem). Let $\gamma$ be a curve on an oriented surface $S$, and let $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ be the principal curvatures with principal vectors $\mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathbf{t}_{2}$. Then the normal curvature of $\gamma$ is

$$
\kappa_{n}=\kappa_{1} \cos ^{2} \theta+\kappa_{2} \sin ^{2} \theta
$$

where $\theta$ is the angle from $\mathbf{t}_{1}$ to $\dot{\gamma}$ in the orientation of $T_{p} S$ (which is denoted as $\widehat{\mathbf{t}_{1} \dot{\gamma}}$ ).

Proof. We assume $\left\{\mathbf{t}_{1}, \mathbf{t}_{2}\right\}$ is an orthonormal basis and $\widehat{\mathbf{t}_{1} \mathbf{t}_{2}}=\frac{\pi}{2}$. So

$$
\dot{\gamma}=\cos \theta \mathbf{t}_{1}+\sin \theta \mathbf{t}_{2}
$$

Then

$$
\kappa_{n}=I I(\dot{\gamma})=\cos ^{2} \theta \cdot I I\left(\mathbf{t}_{1}\right)+2 \sin \theta \cos \theta<\mathcal{W}\left(\mathbf{t}_{1}\right), \mathbf{t}_{2}>+\sin ^{2} \theta \cdot I I\left(\mathbf{t}_{2}\right)
$$

Here, recall $I I(\mathbf{v})=<\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{v}), \mathbf{v}>$.
Finally, the conclusion follows since

$$
<\mathcal{W}\left(\mathbf{t}_{i}\right), \mathbf{t}_{j}>=<\kappa_{i} \mathbf{t}_{i}, \mathbf{t}_{j}>= \begin{cases}\kappa_{i} & i=j \\ 0 & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

We want to remark that Meusnier's Theorem and Euler's Theorem are most ancient results on the theory of surfaces.

Corollary 2.5.6. The principal curvatures at a point of a surface are maximum and minimum of the normal curvature of all curves on the surface that pass through this point.

Proof. If $\kappa_{1} \geq \kappa_{2}$, then $\kappa_{1} \geq \kappa_{n} \geq \kappa_{2}$.

### 2.5.2 Calculation of Gaussian and mean curvatures

Now we want to calculate Gaussian curvature $K$ and mean curvature $H$ in terms of first and second fundamental forms. Let $\sigma(u, v)$ be a chart, and

$$
I=E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2}, I I=L d u^{2}+2 M d u d v+N d v^{2}
$$

We denote

$$
\mathcal{F}_{I}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
E & F \\
F & G
\end{array}\right), \mathcal{F}_{I I}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L & M \\
M & N
\end{array}\right)
$$

Proposition 2.5.7. Let $\sigma$ be a parametrization. Then the matrix $\mathcal{W}_{p}$ with respect to the basis $\left\{\sigma_{u}, \sigma_{v}\right\}$ of $T_{p} S$ is $\mathcal{F}_{I I} \mathcal{F}_{I}^{-1}=\left(\mathcal{F}_{I}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{I I}\right)^{T}$.

Proof. We know that $\mathcal{W}\left(\sigma_{u}\right)=-\mathbf{N}_{u}, \mathcal{W}\left(\sigma_{v}\right)=-\mathbf{N}_{v}$. So the matrix of $\mathcal{W}$ is

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) .
$$

where

$$
-\mathbf{N}_{u}=a \sigma_{u}+b \sigma_{v},-\mathbf{N}_{v}=c \sigma_{u}+d \sigma_{v}
$$

Paring each with $\sigma_{u}, \sigma_{v}$, we have

$$
L=a E+b F, M=a F+b G, M=c E+d F, N=c F+d G
$$

i.e.

$$
\mathcal{F}_{I I}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \mathcal{F}_{I}
$$

## Corollary 2.5.8

$$
H=\frac{L G-2 M F+N E}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)}, K=\frac{L N-M^{2}}{E G-F^{2}} .
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{gathered}
K=\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{F}_{I}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{I I}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det} \mathcal{F}_{I I}}{\operatorname{det} \mathcal{F}_{I}}=\frac{L N-M^{2}}{E G-F^{2}} . \\
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{I}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{I I} & =\frac{1}{E G-F^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
G & -F \\
-F & E
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L & M \\
M & N
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{E G-F^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
L G-M F & M G-N F \\
M E-L F & N E-M F
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned} .\left\{\begin{array}{l}
N E
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
H=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{trace}\left(\mathcal{F}_{I}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{I I}\right)=\frac{L G-2 M F+N E}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)} .
$$

Example 2.5.9. Surface of revolution

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u)) .
$$

We again assume $f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1$ and $f>0$.

$$
I=d u^{2}+f^{2} d v^{2}, I I=\left(f_{u} g_{u u}-f_{u u} g_{u}\right) d u^{2}+f g_{u} d v^{2} .
$$

Hence

$$
K=\frac{\left(f_{u} g_{u u}-f_{u u} g_{u}\right) f g_{u}}{f^{2}}
$$

Taking derivative on $f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1$, we have

$$
f_{u} f_{u u}+g_{u} g_{u u}=0 .
$$

So

$$
\left(f_{u} g_{u u}-f_{u u} g_{u}\right) g_{u}=-f_{u u}\left(f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}\right)=-f_{u u},
$$

and

$$
K=-\frac{f_{u u} f}{f^{2}}=-\frac{f_{u u}}{f} .
$$

Especially, for a unit sphere $u=\theta, v=\phi, f(\theta)=\cos \theta, g(\theta)=\sin \theta$. We thus have $K=1$.

Gauss uses another way to define $K$, roughly speaking it is the ratio of the area changed under Gaussian map $\mathcal{G}$, or

$$
\lim _{R \rightarrow p} \frac{\operatorname{Area}(\mathcal{G}(R))}{\operatorname{Area} R} .
$$

Next theorem makes it precisely.

Theorem 2.5.10. Let $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a parametrization, with $\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right) \in U$. Let $R_{\delta}=\left\{(u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid\left(u-u_{0}\right)^{2}+\left(v-v_{0}\right)^{2} \leq \delta^{2}\right\}$. Then

$$
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \frac{A_{\mathbf{N}}\left(R_{\delta}\right)}{A_{\sigma}\left(R_{\delta}\right)}=|K|
$$

where $K$ is the Gaussian at $\sigma\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)$.
Proof. Recall that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{A_{\mathbf{N}}\left(R_{\delta}\right)}{A_{\sigma}\left(R_{\delta}\right)}=\frac{\int_{R_{\delta}}\left\|\mathbf{N}_{u} \times \mathbf{N}_{v}\right\| d u d v}{\int_{R_{\delta}}\left\|\sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}\right\| d u d v} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\mathbf{N}_{u} \times \mathbf{N}_{v} & =\left(a \sigma_{u}+b \sigma_{v}\right) \times\left(c \sigma_{u}+d \sigma_{v}\right) \\
& =(a d-b c) \sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v} \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{F}_{I}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{I I}\right) \sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v} \\
& =K \sigma_{u} \times \sigma_{v}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we could choose $\delta$ small, such that $\left|K(u, v)-K\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|<\epsilon$ if $(u, v) \in R_{\delta}$. So

$$
\left|K\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|-\epsilon<\frac{A_{\mathbf{N}}\left(R_{\delta}\right)}{A_{\sigma}\left(R_{\delta}\right)}<\left|K\left(u_{0}, v_{0}\right)\right|+\epsilon
$$

This finishes the proof.

### 2.5.3 Principal curvatures

Let us come back to principal curvatures. They are the roots $\kappa$ of $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{F}_{I}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{I I}-\kappa I\right)=0$, which is

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\mathcal{F}_{I I}-\kappa \mathcal{F}_{I}\right)=0
$$

$\mathbf{t}=\xi \sigma_{u}+\eta \sigma_{v}$ is a principal vector if

$$
\left(\mathcal{F}_{I I}-\kappa \mathcal{F}_{I}\right)\binom{\xi}{\eta}=\binom{0}{0} .
$$

Example 2.5.11. For unit sphere

$$
I=I I=d \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2} .
$$

So principal curvatures are repeated roots $\kappa=1$ and thus every tangent vector is principal, every point is umbilical.

Example 2.5.12. For cylinder

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(u, v)=(\cos v, \sin v, u) \\
& I=d u^{2}+d v^{2}, I I=d v^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Principal curvatures are solutions of

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0-\kappa & 0 \\
0 & 1-\kappa
\end{array}\right)=0
$$

So $\kappa=0,1$, and no point is umbilical.
The principal vector

$$
\mathbf{t}_{1}=\sigma_{u}=(0,0,1), \mathbf{t}_{2}=\sigma_{v}=(-\sin v, \cos v, 0)
$$

Proposition 2.5.13. Let $S$ be a connected surface of which every point is umbilical. Then $S$ is an open subset of a plane or a sphere.

Proof. For every tangent vector $\mathbf{t}, \mathcal{W}(\mathbf{t})=\kappa \mathbf{t}$ where $\kappa$ is the principal curvature. Since $\mathcal{W}\left(\sigma_{u}\right)=-\mathbf{N}_{u}, \mathcal{W}\left(\sigma_{v}\right)=-\mathbf{N}_{v}$, then

$$
\mathbf{N}_{u}=-\kappa \sigma_{u}, \mathbf{N}_{v}=-\kappa \sigma_{v}
$$

Hence by taking derivatives,

$$
\kappa_{v} \sigma_{u}=\kappa_{u} \sigma_{v}
$$

Since $\sigma_{u}$ and $\sigma_{v}$ are linearly independent, $\kappa_{u}=\kappa_{v}=0$. Thus $\kappa \equiv C$.
If $\kappa=0, \mathbf{N}$ is constant. Then $(\mathbf{N} \cdot \sigma)_{u}=(\mathbf{N} \cdot \sigma)_{v}=0$, so $\mathbf{N} \cdot \sigma \equiv C$. Thus $\sigma(U)$ is an open subset of the plane $P \cdot \mathbf{N} \equiv C$.

If $\kappa \neq 0, \mathbf{N}=-\kappa \sigma+\mathbf{a}$. Hence

$$
\left\|\sigma-\frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{a}\right\|^{2}=\left\|-\frac{1}{\kappa} \mathbf{N}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{\kappa^{2}}
$$

So $\sigma(U)$ is an open subset of the sphere with centre $\kappa^{-1} \mathbf{a}$ and radius $|\kappa|^{-1}$.
To complete the proof, notice that each patch is contained in a plane or a sphere. But if the images of two patches intersect, they must clearly be part of the same plane or same sphere. So complete the proof.

Principal curvature at $p \in S$ provides the information about shape. We choose the coordinates as following: $p$ is the origin, $T_{p} S$ is the $x y$-plane in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, principal vectors $\mathbf{t}_{1}=(1,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{t}_{2}=(0,1,0)$ and $\mathbf{N}=(0,0,1)$. We could always choose such a coordinate up to an isometry, i.e. rotation and translation, of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Let $\sigma$ be a parametrization with $\sigma(0,0)=0$ (point $p$ ). The tangent plane is $\{(x, y, 0)\}=s \sigma_{u}(0,0)+t \sigma_{v}(0,0)$. Taylor expansion gives us $\sigma(s, t)=\sigma(0,0)+s \sigma_{u}(0,0)+t \sigma_{v}(0,0)+\frac{1}{2}\left(s^{2} \sigma_{u u}(0,0)+2 s t \sigma_{u v}(0,0)+t^{2} \sigma_{v v}(0,0)\right)+\cdots$ If $x, y$ (hence $s, t)$ are small, we have $\sigma(s, t) \approx(x, y, z)$ where $z \approx \frac{1}{2}\left(s^{2} \sigma_{u u}(0,0)+2 s t \sigma_{u v}(0,0)+t^{2} \sigma_{v v}(0,0)\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}=\frac{1}{2}\left(L s^{2}+2 M s t+N t^{2}\right)$.

Since

$$
\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{t})=x \mathcal{W}\left(\mathbf{t}_{1}\right)+y \mathcal{W}\left(\mathbf{t}_{2}\right)=\kappa_{1} x \mathbf{t}_{1}+\kappa_{2} y \mathbf{t}_{2}=\left(\kappa_{1} x, \kappa_{2} y, 0\right)
$$

for $\mathbf{t}=(x, y, 0)$, hence

$$
L s^{2}+2 M s t+N t^{2}=<\mathcal{W}(\mathbf{t}), \mathbf{t}>=\kappa_{1} x^{2}+\kappa_{2} y^{2} .
$$

So near $p, S$ is approximated by $z=\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa_{1} x^{2}+\kappa_{2} y^{2}\right)$.
There are 4 cases of local behaviour:

1. Elliptic if $K_{p}>0$, so $z=\frac{1}{2}\left(\kappa_{1} x^{2}+\kappa_{2} y^{2}\right)$ is an elliptic paraboloid.
2. Hyperbolic if $K_{p}<0$, it is a hyperbolic paraboloid.
3. Parabolic if one of $\kappa_{1}, \kappa_{2}$ is zero, and the other is non-zero. It is a parabolic cylinder.
4. Planar if both $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{2}=0$ ( or $\mathcal{W}_{p} \equiv 0$ ). We need higher derivatives to know the shape.

### 2.6 Gauss's Theorema Egregium

Since the definitions of curvatures involve the second fundamental form, they are usually not intrinsic. But actually Gaussian curvature $K$ is an intrinsic invariant.

Theorem 2.6.1 (Gauss's Theorema Egregium). The Gaussian curvature $K$ of a surface is invariant of the first fundamental form.

In this section, we prove it by detailed calculations.
For regular surface $S$, and a chart $\sigma: U \rightarrow S, \sigma_{u}, \sigma_{v}, \mathbf{N}$ would be a basis. We express $\sigma_{u u}, \sigma_{u v}, \sigma_{v v}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{u u}=\Gamma_{11}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \sigma_{v}+L_{1} \cdot \mathbf{N}  \tag{2.2}\\
& \sigma_{u v}=\Gamma_{12}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \sigma_{v}+L_{2} \cdot \mathbf{N}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \sigma_{v v}=\Gamma_{22}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \sigma_{v}+L_{3} \cdot \mathbf{N} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ are called Christoffel symbols.
First, by taking dot product with $\mathbf{N}, L_{1}=L, L_{2}=M, L_{3}=N$.
Next, we claim $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}$ only depends on the first fundamental form. More precisely,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\frac{G E_{u}-2 F F_{u}+F E_{v}}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)}, \Gamma_{11}^{2}=\frac{2 E F_{u}-E E_{v}-F E_{u}}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)} \\
\Gamma_{12}^{1}=\frac{G E_{v}-F G_{u}}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)}, \Gamma_{12}^{2}=\frac{E G_{u}-F E_{v}}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)}  \tag{2.5}\\
\Gamma_{22}^{1}=\frac{2 G F_{v}-G G_{u}-F G_{v}}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)}, \Gamma_{22}^{2}=\frac{E G_{v}-2 F F_{v}+F G_{u}}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)}
\end{gather*}
$$

They are determined by following set of equations

$$
\begin{cases}\left(\frac{2.2}{} \cdot\right. & \sigma_{u}: \\
\left(\begin{array}{l}
11
\end{array} 1 \cdot E+\Gamma_{11}^{2} \cdot F=\sigma_{u u} \cdot \sigma_{u}=\frac{1}{2} E_{u}\right. \\
(\overline{2.2}) \cdot \sigma_{v}: & \Gamma_{11}^{1} \cdot F+\Gamma_{11}^{2} \cdot G=\sigma_{u u} \cdot \sigma_{v}=\left(\sigma_{u} \cdot \sigma_{v}\right)_{u}-\sigma_{u} \cdot \sigma_{u v}=F_{u}-\frac{1}{2} E_{v} \\
(\overline{2.3}) \cdot \sigma_{u}: & \Gamma_{12}^{1} \cdot E+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \cdot F=\sigma_{u v} \cdot \sigma_{u}=\frac{1}{2} E_{v} \\
(\overline{2.3} \cdot & \sigma_{v}: \\
\Gamma_{12}^{1} \cdot F+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \cdot G=\sigma_{u v} \cdot \sigma_{v}=\frac{1}{2} G_{u} \\
(\overline{2.4} \cdot & \sigma_{u}: \\
\Gamma_{22} \cdot E+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \cdot F=\sigma_{v v} \cdot \sigma_{u}=F_{v}-\frac{1}{2} G_{u} \\
\left(\Gamma_{22}^{1} \cdot F+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \cdot G=\sigma_{v v} \cdot \sigma_{v}=\frac{1}{2} G_{v}\right.\end{cases}
$$

Finally, recall that we have determined

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{N}_{u}=a_{11} \sigma_{u}+a_{21} \sigma_{v} \\
& \mathbf{N}_{v}=a_{12} \sigma_{u}+a_{22} \sigma_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

in Proposition 2.5.7, where

$$
\left(a_{i j}\right)_{2 \times 2}=-\mathcal{F}_{I}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{I I}=-\frac{1}{E G-F^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
L G-M F & M G-N F \\
M E-L F & N E-M F
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Especially $K=\frac{L N-M^{2}}{E G-F^{2}}$.
Now Gauss's Theorema Egregium follows from any of the following equations.

Proposition 2.6.2 (Gauss equations).

$$
\begin{aligned}
E K & =\left(\Gamma_{11}^{2}\right)_{v}-\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2}\right)_{u}+\Gamma_{11}^{1} \Gamma_{12}^{2}+\Gamma_{11}^{2} \Gamma_{22}^{2}-\Gamma_{12}^{1} \Gamma_{11}^{2}-\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
F K & =\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1}\right)_{u}-\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1}\right)_{v}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \Gamma_{12}^{1}-\Gamma_{11}^{2} \Gamma_{22}^{1} \\
& =\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2}\right)_{v}-\left(\Gamma_{22}^{2}\right)_{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{1} \Gamma_{12}^{2}-\Gamma_{22}^{1} \Gamma_{11}^{2} \\
G K & =\left(\Gamma_{22}^{1}\right)_{u}-\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1}\right)_{v}+\Gamma_{22}^{1} \Gamma_{11}^{1}+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \Gamma_{12}^{1}-\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1}\right)^{2}-\Gamma_{12}^{2} \Gamma_{22}^{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. For first "FK": $\left(\sigma_{u u}\right)_{v}=\left(\sigma_{u v}\right)_{u}$. Then

$$
\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{11}^{2} \sigma_{v}+L \mathbf{N}\right)_{v}=\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \sigma_{v}+M \mathbf{N}\right)_{u}
$$

which is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1}\right)_{v}-\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1}\right)_{u}\right) \sigma_{u}+\left(\left(\Gamma_{11}^{2}\right)_{v}-\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2}\right)_{u}\right) \sigma_{v}+\left(L_{v}-M_{u}\right) \mathbf{N} \\
= & \Gamma_{12}^{1} \sigma_{u u}+\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2}-\Gamma_{11}^{1}\right) \sigma_{u v}-\Gamma_{11}^{2} \sigma_{v v}-L \mathbf{N}_{v}+M \mathbf{N}_{u} \\
= & \Gamma_{12}^{1}\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{11}^{2} \sigma_{v}+L \mathbf{N}\right)+\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2}-\Gamma_{11}^{1}\right)\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \sigma_{v}+M \mathbf{N}\right) \\
& -\Gamma_{11}^{2}\left(\Gamma_{22}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \sigma_{v}+N \mathbf{N}\right)-L\left(a_{12} \sigma_{u}+a_{22} \sigma_{v}\right)+M\left(a_{11} \sigma_{u}+a_{21} \sigma_{v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $\sigma_{u}$ :

$$
\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1}\right)_{v}-\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1}\right)_{u}=\Gamma_{12}^{2} \Gamma_{12}^{1}-\Gamma_{11}^{2} \Gamma_{22}^{1}-L a_{12}+M a_{11}
$$

where $a_{11}=\frac{M F-L G}{E G-F^{2}}, a_{12}=\frac{N F-M G}{E G-F^{2}}$.

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
L a_{12}-M a_{11} & =\frac{1}{E G-F^{2}}(L(N F-M G)-M(M F-L G)) \\
& =\frac{F\left(L N-M^{2}\right)}{E G-F^{2}}=F K
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of first "FK".
For the others:
"EK": equating coefficients of $\sigma_{v}$ in $\left(\sigma_{u u}\right)_{v}=\left(\sigma_{u v}\right)_{u}$.
Second ' FK ': equating coefficients of $\sigma_{u}$ in $\left(\sigma_{u v}\right)_{v}=\left(\sigma_{v v}\right)_{u}$.
"GK": equating coefficients of $\sigma_{v}$ in $\left(\sigma_{u v}\right)_{v}=\left(\sigma_{v v}\right)_{u}$.
Notice if we substitute Equations (2.5) of Christoffel symbols into the 4 equations, we have the same Gaussian curvature:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K=\frac{-1}{2 \sqrt{E G-F^{2}}}\left(\left(\frac{E_{v}-F_{u}}{\sqrt{E G-F^{2}}}\right)_{v}-\left(\frac{F_{v}-G_{u}}{\sqrt{E G-F^{2}}}\right)_{u}\right) \\
&-\frac{1}{4\left(E G-F^{2}\right)^{2}} \cdot \operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
E & E_{u} & E_{v} \\
F & F_{u} & F_{v} \\
G & G_{u} & G_{v}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Abstractly, the Gaussian curvature has the following form

$$
K=-\frac{1}{2\left(E G-F^{2}\right)}\left(E_{v v}-2 F_{u v}+G_{u u}\right)+\mathcal{R}
$$

where the remainder $\mathcal{R}$ is quadratic in the first derivatives of $E, F, G$.
What if we compare coefficients of $\mathbf{N}$ in both equations? We would have the following

Proposition 2.6.3 (Codazzi-Mainardi Equations).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{v}-M_{u}=L \Gamma_{12}^{1}+M\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2}-\Gamma_{11}^{1}\right)-N \Gamma_{11}^{2} \\
& M_{v}-N_{u}=L \Gamma_{22}^{1}+M\left(\Gamma_{22}^{2}-\Gamma_{12}^{1}\right)-N \Gamma_{12}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The first equation is obtained by comparing the coefficients of $\mathbf{N}$ in $\left(\sigma_{u u}\right)_{v}=\left(\sigma_{u v}\right)_{u}$. The second is from $\left(\sigma_{u v}\right)_{v}=\left(\sigma_{v v}\right)_{u}$.

Along with Equations (2.5) of Christoffel symbols, Gauss and CodazziMainardi equations are the equations of the coefficients of the first and second fundamental forms. They are called the compatible equations of surfaces. Actually, these are only relations of compatibility between the first and the second fundamental forms by a theorem of Bonnet. This should be compared with the fundamental theorem of curves Theorem 1.7.3.

Theorem 2.6.4 (Bonnet). Let $E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2}$ and $L d u^{2}+2 M d u d v+$ $N d v^{2}$ be two arbitrary fundamental forms in an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$. And $E>0, G>0, E G-F^{2}>0$. If the coefficients of these fundamental forms satisfy the Gauss and Codazzi-Mainardi equations, then there is a unique, up to a rigid motion of the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, surface $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ for which these forms are the first and the second fundamental forms, respectively.

### 2.6.1 Gaussian curvature for special cases

There are several specially cases we have most interests.
Corollary 2.6.5. Let the first fundamental form be $E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2}$.

1. If $F=0$, then

$$
K=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{E G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left(\frac{G_{u}}{\sqrt{E G}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{E_{v}}{\sqrt{E G}}\right)\right)
$$

2. If $E=1, F=0$,

$$
K=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial^{2} \sqrt{G}}{\partial u^{2}}
$$

Proof. 1 implies 2 because $K=-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left(\frac{G_{u}}{\sqrt{G}}\right)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial^{2} \sqrt{G}}{\partial u^{2}}$.
To prove 1, we calculate the Christoffel symbols:

$$
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\frac{E_{u}}{2 E}, \Gamma_{11}^{2}=-\frac{E_{v}}{2 G}, \Gamma_{12}^{1}=\frac{E_{v}}{2 E}, \Gamma_{12}^{2}=\frac{G_{u}}{2 G}, \Gamma_{22}^{1}=-\frac{G_{u}}{2 E}, \Gamma_{22}^{2}=\frac{G_{v}}{2 G}
$$

So

$$
E K=-\left(\frac{E_{v}}{2 G}\right)_{v}-\left(\frac{G_{u}}{2 G}\right)_{u}+\frac{E_{u} G_{u}}{4 E G}-\frac{E_{v} G_{v}}{4 G^{2}}+\frac{E_{v}^{2}}{4 E G}-\frac{G_{u}^{2}}{4 G^{2}}
$$

i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
-2 K \sqrt{E G} & =\frac{E_{v v}+G_{u u}}{\sqrt{E G}}-\frac{E_{v}\left(E G_{v}+E_{v} G\right)}{2 \sqrt{(E G)^{3}}}-\frac{G_{u}\left(E_{u} G+E G_{u}\right)}{2 \sqrt{(E G)^{3}}} \\
& =\frac{E_{v v}}{\sqrt{E G}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{E_{v}(E G)_{v}}{\sqrt{(E G)^{3}}}+\frac{G_{u u}}{\sqrt{E G}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{G_{u}(E G)_{u}}{\sqrt{(E G)^{3}}} \\
& =\left(\frac{E_{v}}{\sqrt{E G}}\right)_{v}+\left(\frac{G_{u}}{\sqrt{E G}}\right)_{u}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example 2.6.6. Surface of revolution

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u))
$$

We again assume $f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1$ and $f>0$. We have $E=1, F=0, G=f^{2}(u)$. So

$$
K=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial^{2} \sqrt{G}}{\partial u^{2}}=-\frac{f_{u u}}{f}
$$

This is the same as our previous calculation.

### 2.7 Surfaces of constant Gaussian curvature

Let us look at surface of revolution

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u))
$$

with $f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1$ and $f>0$. We have shown

$$
K=-\frac{f_{u u}}{f}
$$

We want to look at these surfaces with constant Gaussian curvature. There are three cases.

Before that, let us first summarize the effect of dilation

$$
(x, y, z) \mapsto(a x, a y, a z), a \neq 0
$$

$E, F, G$ are multiplied by $a^{2} ; L, M, N$ are multiplied by $a ; H$ is multiplied by $a^{-1} ; K$ is multiplied by $a^{-2}$. So the constant Gaussian curvatures are reduced to the cases of $K=1,0,-1$.

1. $K=0$ everywhere.

So $f_{u u}=0$ or $f(u)=a u+b$. Since $f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1$, we know $|a| \leq 1$ and $g_{u}= \pm \sqrt{1-a^{2}}$. Hence

$$
g(u)= \pm \sqrt{1-a^{2}} u+C
$$

We could assume $C=0$ by translating along $z$-axis, and the sign is + by rotating degree $\pi$ for the profile curve if necessary. Then we have the surface

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(b \cos v, \sin v, 0)+u\left(a \cos v, a \sin v, \sqrt{1-a^{2}}\right)
$$

This is a ruled surface in the sense that this is a union of straight lines (the $v$-curves $v \equiv c$ gives straight lines).
2. $K>0$ and $K=-\frac{1}{R^{2}}$.

We have the differential equation

$$
f_{u u}+\frac{f}{R^{2}}=0
$$

So $f(u)=a \cos \left(\frac{u}{R}+b\right)$. By reparametrization $\tilde{u}=u+R b$, we could assume $b=0$ in the expression. Then $g(u)=\int \sqrt{1-\frac{a^{2}}{R^{2}} \sin ^{2} \frac{u}{R}} d u$. If $a=R$, we have $f(u)=R \cos \frac{u}{R}, g(u)=R \sin \frac{u}{R}$. It is a sphere of radius $R$.
3. $K<0$. Up to a dilation of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we could assume $K=-1$.

We have $f_{u u}-f=0$ whose solutions are

$$
f(u)=a e^{u}+b e^{-u}
$$

$g(u)$ could be expressed in terms of elementary functions only if one of $a$ or $b$ is zero. If $b=0$, we could assume $a=1$ by translation $\tilde{u}=u+c$. (It is similar for $a=0$.) In this case, $f(u)=e^{u}$, and

$$
g(u)=\int \sqrt{1-e^{2 u}} d u
$$

We must have $u \leq 0$, so we assume $\sin \theta=e^{u}>0$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \sqrt{1-e^{2 u}} d u & =\int \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin \theta} d \theta=-\int \frac{\cos ^{2} \theta}{\sin ^{2} \theta} d \cos \theta \\
& =\cos \theta-\int \frac{1}{1-\cos ^{2} \theta} d \cos \theta \\
& =\cos \theta-\frac{1}{2} \ln (\cos \theta+1)+\frac{1}{2} \ln (1-\cos \theta) \\
& =\cos \theta-\frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{(\cos \theta+1)^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta} \\
& =\cos \theta-\ln (\csc \theta+\cot \theta) \\
& =\sqrt{1-e^{2 u}}-\ln \left(e^{-u}+\sqrt{e^{-2 u}-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\cosh ^{-1}(v)=\ln \left(v+\sqrt{v^{2}-1}\right)$, the profile curve in $x z$-plane is

$$
z=\sqrt{1-x^{2}}-\cosh ^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)
$$

This is the tractrix we met in Example Sheet 1. The condition $u \leq 0$ read as $0<x \leq 1$. This surface of revolution is called a pseudo-sphere. It is amusing to calculate the Gaussian curvature in terms of principal curvatures. One could calculate that the curvature of the tractrix is $\tan \theta$. Since $\mathbf{n}=\mathbf{N}$ we know $\mathbf{N}_{u}$ is parallel to $\sigma_{u}$ which is one of the principal directions, and we have $\kappa_{1}=\kappa_{n}=\kappa \cos \psi=\tan \theta$. For the circle, we know the radius is $\sin \theta$ thus the curvature is $\csc \theta$. But the angle between $\mathbf{n}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ is $\pi-\theta$. So $\kappa_{2}=\kappa_{n}=\kappa \cos \psi=-\cot \theta$. Thus $K=\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}=-1$.

Notice that in above calculation, the surface of constant curvatures are more than one might expect. However locally, plane, sphere, pseudo-sphere are the only possibilities.

Proposition 2.7.1. Any point of a surface of constant Gaussian curvature is contained in a patch that is isometric to an open subset of a plane, a sphere, or a pseudo-sphere.

We will prove this theorem in a later stage.
Notice a plane or a pseudo-sphere is not compact. For compact surface, we have the following stronger result.

Theorem 2.7.2. Every connected compact surface whose Gaussian curvature is constant is a sphere.

The proof consists the following parts.
Proposition 2.7.3. Suppose $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a compact surface. Then there is a point $p \in S$ with $K(p)>0$.
Proof. Because $S$ is compact, the continuous function $f(\mathbf{x})=\|\mathbf{x}\|$ achieves maximum at $p \in S$. Let $f(p)=R$. So any curve $\alpha \subset S$ at $p$ which is the intersection with a plane orthogonal to the tangent plane at $p$ has curvature at least $\frac{1}{R}$ (Proposition 1.9.3). So every normal curvature is no less than $\frac{1}{R}$, and so $K(p) \geq \frac{1}{R^{2}}>0$.

Proposition 2.7.4. Suppose $p$ is not an umbilical point of $S$. Then there is a "principal coordinate" near p, so that u-curves (v-curves respectively) are lines of curvature with principal curvature $\kappa_{1}$ ( $\kappa_{2}$ resp.). Especially,

$$
I=E d u^{2}+G d v^{2}, I I=L d u^{2}+N d v^{2}
$$

Proof. We apply the fundamental theorem of ODE. If $p$ is not umbilical, we can choose a neighborhood of it such that all points are not umbilical. In this neighborhood, principal curvatures are smooth functions $\kappa_{1}=H+$ $\sqrt{H^{2}-K}, \kappa_{2}=H-\sqrt{H^{2}-K}$. The principal vectors $\mathbf{t}_{1}$ of $\kappa_{1}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{2}$ of $\kappa_{2}$ form well-defined vector fields $X$ and $Y$ near $p$, since $p$ is not umbilical. These are the direction fields of some ODE $\frac{d \mathbf{x}}{d t}=\mathbf{t}_{i}$ and the integral curves are $u$-curves and $v$-curves.

For the fundamental forms, on a non-umbilical point, the principal vectors $\mathbf{t}_{1}$ of $\kappa_{1}$ and $\mathbf{t}_{2}$ of $\kappa_{2}$ are orthogonal, so $F=0$. Since tangent directions of $u$-curves and $v$-curves are principal, $M=0$.

Proposition 2.7.5. $p$ is not umbilical and $\kappa_{1}(p)>\kappa_{2}(p)$. Suppose $\kappa_{1}$ has a local maximum at $p$ and $\kappa_{2}$ has a local minimum at $p$. Then $K(p) \leq 0$.

Proof. Choose principal coordinate, by switching $u$ and $v$ if necessary, we could assume $\kappa_{1}=\frac{L}{E}$ and $\kappa_{2}=\frac{N}{G}$. We know $\left(\kappa_{1}\right)_{v}=\left(\kappa_{2}\right)_{u}=0$, so

$$
E_{v}=-\frac{2 E}{\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)_{v}=0, G_{u}=\frac{2 G}{\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)_{u}=0
$$

The equality follows from an exercise in Example Sheet 4.
Under our coordinates,

$$
\begin{aligned}
K & =-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{E G}}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u}\left(\frac{G_{u}}{\sqrt{E G}}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial v}\left(\frac{E_{v}}{\sqrt{E G}}\right)\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 E G}\left(G_{u u}+E_{v v}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 E G}\left(\frac{2 G}{\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}}\left(\kappa_{2}\right)_{u u}-\frac{2 E}{\kappa_{1}-\kappa_{2}}\left(\kappa_{1}\right)_{v v}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\kappa_{1}$ is a local maximum at $p$, we know $\left(\kappa_{1}\right)_{v v} \leq 0$. And $\kappa_{2}$ is a local minimum, so $\left(\kappa_{2}\right)_{u u} \geq 0$. Hence $K \leq 0$.

Proof. (of the theorem 2.7.2) Since $S$ is compact and $K$ is constant, we have $K>0$ by Proposition 2.7.3. We assume $\kappa_{1} \geq \kappa_{2}$. By compactness, $\kappa_{1}$ reaches maximum at some $p \in S$. Since $K=\kappa_{1} \kappa_{2}$ is a positive constant, $\kappa_{2}$ reaches minimum at the same point $p$. By Proposition 2.7.5, $p$ is umbilical, i.e $\kappa_{1}(p)=\kappa_{2}(p)$.

Then for any other point $q \in S$. we have

$$
\kappa_{1}(p) \geq \kappa_{1}(q) \geq \kappa_{2}(q) \geq \kappa_{2}(p)=\kappa_{1}(p) .
$$

This implies $\kappa_{1}(q)=\kappa_{2}(q)$, i.e. every point of $S$ is umbilical. By Proposition 2.5.13, we know this is a sphere.

### 2.8 Parallel transport and covariant derivative

Running with constant velocity on the earth is actually not a constant move in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, since the directions keep changing. But it should be a constant move in certain sense since that is what we feel as the runner. To achieve this, we need to compare velocity at each tangent plane.

The velocity vectors form a tangent vector field along its trajectory, i.e a curve $\gamma$ on a surface $S$. Here, by a tangent vector field $\mathbf{v}$ along $\gamma$, we mean a smooth map from the interval $(a, b)$ to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that $\mathbf{v}(t) \in T_{\gamma(t)} S$ for all $t \in(a, b)$.

Denote the rate of change of $\mathbf{v}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ by $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$. In our previous example, the tangent vectors of a great circle on a sphere, $\dot{\mathbf{v}}$ is the normal direction. So, we are interested in its tangent component

$$
\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v}=\dot{\mathbf{v}}-(\dot{\mathbf{v}} \cdot \mathbf{N}) \mathbf{N} .
$$

One can check that $\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0$. It is defined on any surface, orientable or not, since this is unchanged if $\mathbf{N}$ is replaced by $-\mathbf{N}$.

This $\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v}$ is called the covariant derivative of $\mathbf{v}$ along $\gamma$.
Definition 2.8.1. $\mathbf{v}$ is said to be parallel along $\gamma$ if $\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v}=0$ at every point of $\gamma$.

By definition, $\mathbf{v}$ is parallel along $\gamma$ if and only if $\dot{\mathbf{v}} \perp T_{\gamma(t)} S$.
Here is a local calculation of this condition.
Proposition 2.8.2. Let $\gamma(t)=\sigma(u(t), v(t))$ be a curve, and $\mathbf{w}(t)=\alpha(t) \sigma_{u}+$ $\beta(t) \sigma_{v}$ be a tangent vector field along $\gamma$. Then $\mathbf{w}$ is parallel long $\gamma$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\alpha}+\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{1} \dot{v}\right) \alpha+\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{1} \dot{v}\right) \beta=0 \\
& \dot{\beta}+\left(\Gamma_{11}^{2} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \dot{v}\right) \alpha+\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \dot{v}\right) \beta=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{w}}= & \dot{\alpha} \sigma_{u}+\dot{\beta} \sigma_{v}+\alpha\left(\dot{u} \sigma_{u u}+\dot{v} \sigma_{u v}\right)+\beta\left(\dot{u} \sigma_{u v}+\dot{v} \sigma_{v v}\right) \\
= & \dot{\alpha} \sigma_{u}+\dot{\beta} \sigma_{v}+\alpha \dot{u}\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{11}^{2} \sigma_{v}+L \mathbf{N}\right) \\
& +(\alpha \dot{v}+\beta \dot{u})\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \sigma_{v}+M \mathbf{N}\right)+\beta \dot{v}\left(\Gamma_{22}^{1} \sigma_{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \sigma_{v}+N \mathbf{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{w}= & \left(\dot{\alpha}+\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{1} \dot{v}\right) \alpha+\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{1} \dot{v}\right) \beta\right) \sigma_{u} \\
& +\left(\dot{\beta}+\left(\Gamma_{11}^{2} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \dot{v}\right) \alpha+\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \dot{v}\right) \beta\right) \sigma_{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

And the result follows.

## Examples:

1. When in the plane, $E=G=1, F=0$. So $\Gamma_{i j}^{k}=0$. And $\nabla_{\gamma} \mathbf{v}=$ $\dot{\alpha} \sigma_{u}+\dot{\beta} \sigma_{v}$ is the usual vector derivative.
2. $S$ is a unit sphere with latitude-longitude parametrization

$$
\sigma(\theta, \phi)=(\cos \phi \cos \theta, \sin \phi \cos \theta, \sin \theta)
$$

So

$$
\begin{gathered}
I=d \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2} \\
\Gamma_{11}^{1}=\Gamma_{11}^{2}=\Gamma_{22}^{2}=\Gamma_{12}^{1}=0, \Gamma_{12}^{2}=-\tan \theta, \Gamma_{22}^{1}=\sin \theta \cos \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

Let the curve $\gamma$ be a circle of latitude $\theta=\theta_{0}$, i.e

$$
\gamma(\phi)=\sigma\left(\theta_{0}, \phi\right),-\frac{\pi}{2}<\theta_{0}<\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

So the equations become

$$
\dot{\alpha}=-\beta \sin \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{0}, \dot{\beta}=\alpha \tan \theta_{0}
$$

If $\theta_{0}=0, \alpha, \beta$ are constants. Especially, the tangent field $\sigma_{\phi}$ is parallel along $\theta_{0}=0$.
If $\theta_{0} \neq 0$,

$$
\ddot{\alpha}+\alpha \sin ^{2} \theta_{0}=0
$$

so

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(\phi)=A \cos \left(\phi \sin \theta_{0}\right)+B \sin \left(\phi \sin \theta_{0}\right) \\
& \beta(\phi)=A \frac{\sin \left(\phi \sin \theta_{0}\right)}{\cos \theta_{0}}-B \frac{\cos \left(\phi \sin \theta_{0}\right)}{\cos \theta_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider $\mathbf{w}(0)=\sigma_{\phi}$ which is tangent to $\gamma(0)$. Then $\alpha(0)=$ $0, \beta(0)=1$ and $A=0, B=-\cos \theta_{0}$. Hence

$$
\mathbf{w}(\phi)=-\cos \theta_{0} \sin \left(\phi \sin \theta_{0}\right) \sigma_{\theta}+\cos \left(\phi \sin \theta_{0}\right) \sigma_{\phi}
$$

In general $\mathbf{w}(\phi)$ is not the tangent vector $\sigma_{\phi}$ of $\gamma$.

A natural question motivated from the previous example is: when the tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}$ is parallel along $\gamma$ ? Or when do we drive along a "straight" road on a surface?

It is measured by the tangent component of the acceleration $\ddot{\gamma}$.

### 2.9 Geodesics

Definition 2.9.1. A curve $\gamma$ on a surface $S$ is called a geodesic if $\ddot{\gamma}(t)$ is zero or perpendicular to the tangent plane of surface at $\gamma(t)$, i.e. parallel to its unit normal.

By definition, $\gamma$ is a geodesic if and only if $\dot{\gamma}$ is parallel along $\gamma$, i.e. when $\nabla_{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}=0$.

Proposition 2.9.2. Let $\mathbf{w}(t)$ and $\mathbf{v}(t)$ be parallel vector fields along $\gamma$ : $I \rightarrow S$. Then $\mathbf{w}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t)$ is constant, in particular, $\|\mathbf{w}(t)\|$ and $\|\mathbf{v}(t)\|$ are constant, and the angle between them is constant.

Proof. We have $\frac{d}{d t} \mathbf{w}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t)=\dot{\mathbf{w}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t)+\mathbf{w}(t) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t)$.
$\mathbf{w}(t)$ is parallel along $\gamma$ means $\dot{\mathbf{w}}(t) \perp T_{\gamma(t)} S$. So $\dot{\mathbf{w}}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t)=0$. Similarly, $\mathbf{w}(t) \cdot \dot{\mathbf{v}}(t)=0$.

So $\mathbf{w}(t) \cdot \mathbf{v}(t)$ is a constant.
By taking $\mathbf{v}(t)=\mathbf{w}(t)=\dot{\gamma}(t)$, we have the following.
Corollary 2.9.3. Any geodesic has constant speed.
Thus we could always choose unit-speed geodesic if we want, because if $\|\dot{\gamma}\|=\lambda, \tilde{\gamma}(t)=\gamma\left(\frac{t}{\lambda}\right)$ does so.

Recall we have defined geodesic curvature $\kappa_{g}=\ddot{\gamma} \cdot(\mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma}$ ) (if $\gamma$ is unit-speed), along with the normal curvature $\kappa_{n}=\ddot{\gamma} \cdot \mathbf{N}$. It gives another equivalent definition of geodesic.

Proposition 2.9.4. A unit-speed curve on $S$ is a geodesic if and only if $\kappa_{g} \equiv 0$.

Proof. " $\Rightarrow$ ": It is clear since $\mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma} \in T_{\gamma(t)} S$.
$" \Leftarrow ": \ddot{\gamma} \perp \mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma}$ since $\kappa_{g}=0 ; \ddot{\gamma} \perp \dot{\gamma}$ since $\gamma$ is unit-speed. So $\ddot{\gamma} \perp T_{\gamma(t)} S$ or $\ddot{\gamma} \| \mathbf{N}$.

### 2.9.1 General facts for geodesics

Here comes several simple examples of geodesics.
Fact 1: Any part of straight line on a surface is a geodesic.
Proof. $\gamma(t)=\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{b} t$, so $\ddot{\gamma}=0$.

Example 2.9.5. Lines in the plane; rulings of any ruled surface, such as those of a cylinder or a cone.

Fact 2: Any normal section of a surface is a geodesic. Here a normal section means the intersection $C$ of $S$ with a plane $\Pi$, such that $\Pi \perp T_{p} S$ for any $p \in C$.

Proof. By Meusnier's Theorem 2.5.3, $\kappa_{n}=\kappa_{\theta} \sin \theta= \pm \kappa(C)$. So $\kappa_{g}=0$ since $\kappa^{2}=\kappa_{g}^{2}+\kappa_{n}^{2}$.

Example 2.9.6. 1. All great circles on a sphere.
2. The intersection of a generalized cylinder with a plane which is perpendicular to the ruling.

Question 2.9.7. Do we have other geodesics on a sphere other than (part of) great circles?

We need the following geodesic equations.
Theorem 2.9.8. A curve $\gamma$ on a surface $S$ is a geodesic if and only if for $\gamma(t)=\sigma(u(t), v(t))$ and $I_{\sigma}=E d u^{2}+2 F d u d v+G d v^{2}$, the following two equations hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}(E \dot{u}+F \dot{v}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{u} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{u} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{u} \dot{v}^{2}\right) \\
\frac{d}{d t}(F \dot{u}+G \dot{v}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{v} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{v} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{v} \dot{v}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

There is another equivalent form.
Theorem 2.9.9. A curve $\gamma$ on a surface $S$ is a geodesic if and only if for $\gamma(t)=\sigma(u(t), v(t))$, the following equations hold

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddot{u}+\Gamma_{11}^{1} \dot{u}^{2}+2 \Gamma_{12}^{1} \dot{u} \dot{v}+\Gamma_{22}^{1} \dot{v}^{2}=0 \\
& \ddot{v}+\Gamma_{11}^{2} \dot{u}^{2}+2 \Gamma_{12}^{2} \dot{u} \dot{v}+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \dot{v}^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. We have shown as Proposition 2.8.2 that $\mathbf{w}(t)=\alpha(t) \sigma_{u}+\beta(t) \sigma_{v}$ is parallel long $\gamma$ if and only if

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\alpha}+\left(\Gamma_{11}^{1} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{1} \dot{v}\right) \alpha+\left(\Gamma_{12}^{1} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{1} \dot{v}\right) \beta=0 \\
& \dot{\beta}+\left(\Gamma_{11}^{2} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{12}^{2} \dot{v}\right) \alpha+\left(\Gamma_{12}^{2} \dot{u}+\Gamma_{22}^{2} \dot{v}\right) \beta=0
\end{aligned}
$$

And by definition, $\gamma$ is a geodesic if and only if $\dot{\gamma}$ is parallel along $\gamma$. Since $\dot{\gamma}=\dot{u} \sigma_{u}+\dot{v} \sigma_{v}$, we have the two equations in the theorem.

Now we prove Theorem 2.9.8 is equivalent to Theorem 2.9.9

Proof. Two equations in Theorem 2.9 .8 is equivalent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E \ddot{u}+F \ddot{v}+\frac{1}{2} E_{u} \dot{u}^{2}+E_{v} \dot{u} \dot{v}+\left(F_{v}-\frac{1}{2} G_{u}\right) \dot{v}^{2}=0 \\
& F \ddot{u}+G \ddot{v}+\left(F_{u}-\frac{1}{2} E_{v}\right) \dot{u}^{2}+G_{u} \dot{u} \dot{v}+\frac{1}{2} G_{v} \dot{v}^{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Solve $\ddot{u}$ and $\ddot{v}$, we have the following set of equations which are equivalent to the above two.
$\left(E G-F^{2}\right) \ddot{u}+\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{u} G+F E_{v}-2 F F_{u}\right) \dot{u}^{2}+\left(E_{v} G-G_{u} F\right) \dot{u} \dot{v}+\left(G F_{v}-\frac{1}{2} G_{u} G-\frac{1}{2} F G_{v}\right) \dot{v}^{2}=0$
$\left(E G-F^{2}\right) \ddot{v}+\frac{1}{2}\left(2 E F_{u}-E_{v} E-E_{u} F\right) \dot{u}^{2}+\left(G_{u} E-E_{v} F\right) \dot{u} \dot{v}+\frac{1}{2}\left(G_{v} E-2 F_{v} F+G_{u} F\right) \dot{v}^{2}=0$
Comparing with 2.5, we know these are exactly the equations in Theorem 2.9.9.

Example 2.9.10. Let us determine all geodesics on $S^{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma(\theta, \phi)=(\cos \theta \cos \phi, \cos \theta \sin \phi, \sin \theta) \\
I=d \theta^{2}+\cos ^{2} \theta d \phi^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume $\gamma(t)=\sigma(\theta(t), \phi(t))$ is unit-speed, i.e.

$$
\dot{\theta}^{2}+\dot{\phi}^{2} \cos ^{2} \theta=1
$$

If $\gamma$ is a geodesic, second equation in Theorem 2.9.8 tells us

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\dot{\phi} \cos ^{2} \theta\right)=0
$$

So $\dot{\phi} \cos ^{2} \theta=\Omega$ is a constant. If $\Omega=0, \dot{\phi}=0$ so $\phi$ is constant and $\gamma$ is a great circle passing through north and south poles.

If $\Omega \neq 0$, by unit-speed condition

$$
\dot{\theta}^{2}=1-\frac{\Omega^{2}}{\cos ^{2} \theta}
$$

So along $\gamma$,

$$
\left(\frac{d \theta}{d \phi}\right)^{2}=\frac{\dot{\theta}^{2}}{\dot{\phi}^{2}}=\cos ^{2} \theta\left(\Omega^{-2} \cos ^{2} \theta-1\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pm\left(\phi-\phi_{0}\right) & =\int \frac{d \theta}{\cos \theta \sqrt{\Omega^{-2} \cos ^{2} \theta-1}} \\
& =\int \frac{d \theta}{\cos ^{2} \theta} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Omega^{-2}-1-\tan ^{2} \theta}} \\
& =\sin ^{-1}\left(\frac{\tan \theta}{\sqrt{\Omega^{-2}-1}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tan \theta & = \pm \sqrt{\Omega^{-2}-1} \sin \left(\phi-\phi_{0}\right) \\
& =\mp \sqrt{\Omega^{-2}-1} \sin \phi_{0} \cos \phi \pm \sqrt{\Omega^{-2}-1} \cos \phi_{0} \sin \phi
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\gamma(t)$ satisfies $z=a x+b y$ where $a=\mp \sqrt{\Omega^{-2}-1} \sin \phi_{0}, b= \pm \sqrt{\Omega^{-2}-1} \cos \phi_{0}$. In other words, $\gamma(t)$ is the intersection of the sphere with a plane passing through origin, i.e. a great circle.

Actually, the above example also follows from the following
Fact 3: There is a unique geodesic through any given point of a surface in any given tangent direction.

More precisely,
Proposition 2.9.11. Let $p \in S, \mathbf{t} \in T_{p} S$ with $\|\mathbf{t}\|=1$. Then there exists a unique unit-speed geodesic $\gamma$ on $S$ which passes through $p$ and tangent to $\mathbf{t}$.

Proof. Let $p=\sigma(a, b), \mathbf{t}=c \sigma_{u}+d \sigma_{v}$.
A unit-speed curve $\gamma(t)=\sigma(u(t), v(t))$ passes through $p$ if $u\left(t_{0}\right)=$ $a, v\left(t_{0}\right)=b$; is tangent to $\mathbf{t}$ if $\dot{u}\left(t_{0}\right)=c, \dot{v}\left(t_{0}\right)=d$.

The geodesic equations in Theorem 2.9.9 read abstractly as

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}=f(u, v, \dot{u}, \dot{v}) \\
\ddot{v}=g(u, v, \dot{u}, \dot{v})
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $f, g$ are smooth.
So by existence and uniqueness theorem of ODE, for any initial value problem $u\left(t_{0}\right)=a, v\left(t_{0}\right)=b, \dot{u}\left(t_{0}\right)=c, \dot{v}\left(t_{0}\right)=d$ associated to the system, there is a unique solution. This is the geodesic passing through $p$ and tangent to $\mathbf{t}$.

Example 2.9.12. 1. Plane. There is a unique straight line passing through any point with given slope $y-y_{0}=k\left(x-x_{0}\right)$.
2. Sphere. There is a unique great circle passing through any point and tangent to any given direction at this point. It means starting from any given place on the earth, choose a direction to go, there is a unique route without turns.

Fact 4: Any local isometry $f: S_{1} \rightarrow S_{2}$ takes the geodesics of $S_{1}$ to the geodesics of $S_{2}$.

Reason: For any surface patch $\sigma$ of $S_{1}, \sigma$ and $f \circ \sigma$ have the same first fundamental form. Notice geodesic equations only involve first fundamental form. So if $\gamma_{1}(t)=\sigma(u(t), v(t))$ is a geodesic on $S_{1}, \gamma_{2}=f \circ \sigma(u(t), v(t))$ is a geodesic on $S_{2}$.

Example 2.9.13. Unit cylinder $x^{2}+y^{2}=1$. It is obtained from the plane by

$$
(u, v) \mapsto(\cos u, \sin u, v)
$$

We have learnt that all straight lines on cylinder, i.e. those parallel to zaxis, are geodesics. These correspond to the lines parallel to $y$-axis in the plane.

We also know circles obtained by intersecting with plane $z=c$ are geodesics by Fact 2. These correspond to the lines parallel to $x$-axis on the plane.

What else? Straight lines on the plane $y=m x+c$ (if not parallel to $y$-axis) is mapped to

$$
\gamma(u)=(\cos u, \sin u, m u+c)
$$

on cylinder. These are helix we have studied in curve theory. By Fact 4, they are geodesics.

These are all the geodesics since now for any point and any direction, there is a unique such curve.

### 2.9.2 Geodesics on surfaces of revolution

We look at the surface of revolution

$$
\sigma(u, v)=(f(u) \cos v, f(u) \sin v, g(u))
$$

with $f_{u}^{2}+g_{u}^{2}=1$ and $f>0$. So

$$
I=d u^{2}+f^{2}(u) d v^{2}
$$

Hence two geodesic equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{d t}(E \dot{u}+F \dot{v}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{u} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{u} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{u} \dot{v}^{2}\right) \\
\frac{d}{d t}(F \dot{u}+G \dot{v}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{v} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{v} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{v} \dot{v}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

read as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (r 1): \quad \ddot{u}=f(u) \frac{d f}{d u} \dot{v}^{2} \\
& (r 2): \frac{d}{d t}\left(f^{2}(u) \dot{v}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We may consider unit-speed geodesics, so we have

$$
(r 3): \dot{u}^{2}+f^{2}(u) \dot{v}^{2}=1
$$

We have the followings:

1. Every meridian $v=v_{0}$ is a geodesic.
( $r 2$ ) is obviously satisfied for $v$-curves. (r3) implies $\dot{u}= \pm 1$, so ( $r 1$ ) holds as well.
2. A parallel $u=u_{0}$ is a geodesic if and only if $\frac{d f}{d u}=0$ when $u=u_{0}$.

If $u=u_{0}$, (r3) implies $\dot{v} \neq 0$ is a constant, so (r2) holds. (r1) holds if and only if $\frac{d f}{d u}=0$ at $u=u_{0}$.
3. Let $\theta \in\left[0, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]$ be the angle of a geodesic with a parallel that intersects it, $R$ be the radius of the parallel at the intersection. Then we have Clairaut's relation:

$$
R \cos \theta=\text { const }
$$

Here ( $r 2$ ) implies $f^{2} \dot{v}=$ const. On the other hand,

$$
\cos \theta=\frac{<\sigma_{v}, \sigma_{u} \dot{u}+\sigma_{v} \dot{v}>}{\left\|\sigma_{v}\right\|}=f \dot{v}
$$

Since $f=R$, so $R \cos \theta=$ const.
The converse is also true: If $R \cos \theta$ is a constant and no point has parallel tangent vector, then it is a geodesic.
4. $(r 2)+(r 3) \Rightarrow(r 1)$ when $\dot{v}, \dot{u} \neq 0$.
$(r 2) \Rightarrow f^{2} \dot{v}=C \neq 0$. And (r3) becomes $\dot{u}^{2}+C \dot{v}=1$. Take derivatives for the above two equations, we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\ddot{v}=-\frac{\dot{v} \cdot 2 f \frac{d f}{d u} \cdot \dot{u}}{f^{2}}, \\
2 \dot{u} \ddot{u}+C \ddot{v}=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
2 \dot{u} \ddot{u}=\dot{v}^{2} \cdot 2 f \frac{d f}{d u} \cdot \dot{u} .
$$

This is just ( $r 1$ ).
5. Finally, we could solve the geodesic

$$
(r 3) \times\left(\frac{d t}{d v}\right)^{2}:\left(\frac{d t}{d v}\right)^{2}=f^{2}+\left(\frac{d t}{d v} \cdot \frac{d u}{d t}\right)^{2}=f^{2}+\left(\frac{d u}{d v}\right)^{2} .
$$

Since ( $r 2$ ) implies $f^{2} \frac{d v}{d t}=C$, we have

$$
\frac{f^{4}}{C^{2}}-f^{2}=\left(\frac{d u}{d v}\right)^{2}
$$

So

$$
\frac{d u}{d v}=\frac{1}{C} f \sqrt{f^{2}-C^{2}}
$$

or

$$
v=C \int \frac{1}{f} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{f^{2}-C^{2}}} d u+C^{\prime}
$$

### 2.9.3 Geodesics and shortest paths

On a plane or a unit sphere, shortest paths are geodesics. But not every geodesic is the shortest path.

A natural question is : for $p, q \in S$, is a shortest path between $p$ and $q$ always a geodesic?

We need to compare with nearby curves. Assume $\gamma(t)$ is a unit-speed curve in a surface patch $\sigma$. Look at a family of curves $\gamma^{\tau}$ on $\sigma$ with $-\delta<$ $\tau<\delta$, such that

1. There exists $\epsilon>0$, such that $\gamma^{\tau}(t)$ is defined for $t \in(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and all $\tau \in(-\delta, \delta)$.
2. For some $a, b$, with $-\epsilon<a<b<\epsilon$, we have $\gamma^{\tau}(a)=p, \gamma^{\tau}(b)=q$ for all $\tau \in(-\delta, \delta)$.
3. The map from $(-\delta, \delta) \times(-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ into $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

$$
(\tau, t) \mapsto \gamma^{\tau}(t)
$$

is smooth.
4. $\gamma^{0}=\gamma$.

Recall the length between $p$ and $q$ is

$$
L(\tau)=\int_{a}^{b}\left\|\dot{\gamma}^{\tau}\right\| d t
$$

Theorem 2.9.14. The unit speed curve $\gamma$ is a geodesic if and only if $\frac{d}{d \tau} L(0)=0$ for all families of curves $\gamma^{\tau}$ with $\gamma^{0}=\gamma$.

Note that we cannot assume $\gamma^{\tau}$ be unit speed as well for $\tau \neq 0$. Otherwise $L(\tau)$ is fixed.

Let us sketch the proof of Theorem 2.9.14. First, if we call $V(t)=$ $\left.\frac{\partial \gamma^{\tau}}{\partial \tau}(t)\right|_{\tau=0}$ the variational field and $A(t)=\nabla_{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}$ the acceleration vector. By computation, $L^{\prime}(0)=-\int_{a}^{b}<A(t), V(t)>d t$. It is then clear that when $\gamma$ is a geodesic, $A(t)=\nabla_{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}=0$, which implies $L^{\prime}(0)=0$ for all families of curves $\gamma^{\tau}$.

For the other direction of implication, we choose the variational field $V(t)=f(t) A(t)$ where $f \geq 0$ is a smooth function with $f(a)=f(b)=0$, and $A(s)=\nabla_{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}$. Then the result follows from

$$
L^{\prime}(0)=-\int_{a}^{b}<A(t), V(t)>d t=-\int_{a}^{b} f(t)|A(t)|^{2} d t
$$

If we assume $L^{\prime}(0)=0$ for all these families, we have $A(t)=\nabla_{\gamma} \dot{\gamma}=0$ by the arbitrary choice of $f(t)$.

Corollary 2.9.15. A shortest path is always a geodesic.
Proof. If $\gamma$ is a shortest path, then $L(\tau)$ must have absolute minimum when $\tau=0$. So $\frac{d}{d \tau} L(\tau)=0$ when $\tau=0$, which implies $\tau$ is a geodesic by above theorem.

But the converse need not to be true. On a non-compact surface, there might not exist shortest curve for a given pair of points. Think about $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash 0$ and $p, q=( \pm 1,0)$. But for compact surfaces, there is always one shortest curve.

### 2.9.4 Geodesic coordinates

Let $p \in S, \gamma$ a unit speed geodesic with $\gamma(0)=p$. For any $v$, let $\tilde{\gamma}^{v}(u)$ be a unit speed geodesic such that $\tilde{\gamma}^{v}(0)=\gamma(v)$ and perpendicular to $\gamma$ at $\gamma(0)$. Define $\sigma(u, v)=\tilde{\gamma}^{v}(u)$.

Proposition 2.9.16. There is an open subset $U \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ containing $(0,0)$ such that $\sigma: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a parametrization of $S$. Moreover,

$$
I_{\sigma}=d u^{2}+G(u, v) d v^{2},
$$

where $G$ is a smooth function with

$$
G(0, v)=1, G_{u}(0, v)=0
$$

whenever $(0, v) \in U$.
Proof. $\gamma(v)=\sigma(0, v) . \sigma_{u}(0, v)=\left.\frac{d}{d u} \tilde{\gamma}^{v}(u)\right|_{u=0}, \sigma_{v}(0, v)=\frac{d}{d v} \gamma(v)$, and they are perpendicular to each other.

So if $\sigma(u, v)=(f, g, h)$, the Jacobian

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
f_{u} & f_{v} \\
g_{u} & g_{v} \\
h_{u} & h_{v}
\end{array}\right)
$$

has rank 2 at $u=v=0$. Hence at least one of $2 \times 2$ block is invertible at $(0,0)$, say

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
f_{u} & f_{v} \\
g_{u} & g_{v}
\end{array}\right)
$$

By inverse function theorem, there is an open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that the map $F(u, v)=(f(u, v), g(u, v))$ is bijection from $U$ to an open set $F(U) \subset$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and its inverse $F(U) \rightarrow U$ is also smooth (i.e $F$ is a diffeomorphism onto its image). So the matrix is invertible for all $U$ and $\sigma_{u}, \sigma_{v}$ are linearly independent. So $\sigma$ is a parametrization.

We calculate

$$
E=\left\|\sigma_{u}\right\|^{2}=\left\|\frac{d}{d u} \tilde{\gamma}^{v}(u)\right\|^{2}=1
$$

since $\tilde{\gamma}^{v}(u)$ is unit speed.
Also $\left.F\right|_{u=0}=0$ since $\sigma_{u}(0, v) \perp \sigma_{v}(0, v)$. Apply the second equation in Proposition 2.9.8

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(F \dot{u}+G \dot{v})=\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{v} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{v} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{v} \dot{v}^{2}\right)
$$

to $\tilde{\gamma}^{v}$, where $v$ is a constant implies $\dot{v}=0, E=1$ implies $E_{v}=0$ and $t=u$. So we have $F_{u}=0$, and hence $F=0$ everywhere. All together,

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{\sigma}=d u^{2}+G(u, v) d v^{2} \\
G(0, v)=\left\|\sigma_{v}(0, v)\right\|^{2}=\left\|\frac{d \gamma}{d v}\right\|^{2}=1
\end{gathered}
$$

since $\gamma$ is unit-speed. Apply the first equation in Proposition 2.9.8

$$
\frac{d}{d t}(E \dot{u}+F \dot{v})=\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{u} \dot{u}^{2}+2 F_{u} \dot{u} \dot{v}+G_{u} \dot{v}^{2}\right)
$$

to $\gamma(v)=\tilde{\gamma}^{v}(0)=\sigma(0, v)$, where $u=0$ implies $\dot{u}=0$ and $t=v$. So $G_{u}(0, v)=2 F_{v}(0, v)=0$.

Actually, we a partial converse statement: If $I=d u^{2}+g^{2}(u, v) d v^{2}$, then $v=$ const $=c$ is a geodesic. We could definitely apply geodesic equations to check this fact. However, there is an alternative way. We could actually prove that they are shortest path among all curves with $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)=\sigma(a, c)$ and $\gamma\left(t_{2}\right)=\sigma(b, c)$ with $u\left(t_{1}\right)=a, u\left(t_{2}\right)=b$.

$$
L(\gamma)=\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \sqrt{\dot{u}^{2}+g^{2} \dot{v}^{2}} d t \geq \int_{a}^{b} d u=b-a
$$

An application of the geodesic coordinates is the following
Theorem 2.9.17. Any point of a surface of constant Gaussian curvature is contained in a patch that is isometric to an open subset of a plane, a sphere or a pseudosphere.

Proof. Only need to consider the cases $K=-1,0,1$. Take a geodesic patch $\sigma(u, v)$ with $\sigma(0,0)=p$. Write $g=\sqrt{G}$, then

$$
I=d u^{2}+g^{2}(u, v) d v^{2}
$$

Since when $E=1$ and $F=0, K=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{G}} \frac{\partial^{2} \sqrt{G}}{\partial u^{2}}$. So

$$
\frac{\partial^{2} g}{\partial u^{2}}+K g=0
$$

with $g(0, v)=1, g_{u}(0, v)=0$.

1. $K=0$. $g(u, v)=\alpha(v) u+\beta(v)$ and the initial conditions imply $\alpha=$ $0, \beta=1$, and

$$
I=d u^{2}+d v^{2} .
$$

So $\sigma$ is isometric to an open subset of the plane.
2. $K=1$. $g(u, v)=\alpha(v) \cos u+\beta(v) \sin u$. Initial conditions imply $\alpha=1, \beta=0$, and

$$
I=d u^{2}+\cos ^{2} u d v^{2},
$$

the same as unit sphere. So $\sigma$ is isometric to an open subset of $S^{2}$.
3. $K=-1$. $g(u, v)=\alpha(v) e^{-u}+\beta(v) e^{u}$. Initial condition tells us $\alpha=$ $\frac{1}{2}=\beta$. So

$$
I=d u^{2}+\cosh ^{2} u d v^{2} .
$$

This indeed tell us for every surface with $K=-1$, including pseudosphere, the geodesic coordinate has such first fundamental form. Hence, all are local isometric to each other and especially to pseudosphere.

Exercise: If we reparametrize the fundamental form $I=d u^{2}+\cosh ^{2} u d v^{2}$ by $V=e^{v} \tanh u, W=\frac{e^{v}}{\cosh u}$, then we have

$$
I=\frac{d V^{2}+d W^{2}}{W^{2}} .
$$

### 2.9.5 Half plane model of hyperbolic plane

Let us look at the half-plane model $\mathcal{H}$ of pseudosphere. This means the last parametrizaton appeared above:

$$
I_{\mathcal{H}}=\frac{d v^{2}+d w^{2}}{w^{2}}
$$

where $v$ takes any real value and $w>0$. So it basically gives a metric on the half space and $K=-1$.

Fact 1: Hyperbolic angles in $\mathcal{H}$ is the same as Euclidean angles.
This is because $I_{\mathcal{H}}$ is conformal to the Euclidean metric $I=d v^{2}+d w^{2}$.
Fact 2: Geodesics are half-lines orthogonal to $w=0$ and the semi-circles with centres on $w=0$.

We show it by
Step 1: They are geodesics.
It is easy to see $v \equiv C$ is a geodesic since it is a shortest path: $\int \frac{d v^{2}+d w^{2}}{w^{2}}>$ $\int \frac{d w^{2}}{w^{2}}$.

We show the circles $\left(v-v_{0}\right)^{2}+w^{2}=R^{2}$ are geodesics. We use polar coordinates

$$
v-v_{0}=r \cos \theta, w=r \sin \theta
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sigma_{r}=\sigma_{v} \cdot \frac{\partial v}{\partial r}+\sigma_{w} \cdot \frac{\partial w}{\partial r}=\sigma_{v} \cos \theta+\sigma_{w} \sin \theta \\
\sigma_{\theta}=-\sigma_{v} r \sin \theta+\sigma_{w} r \cos \theta
\end{gathered}
$$

So

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|\sigma_{r}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{w^{2}}\left(\cos ^{2} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta\right)=\frac{1}{r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} \\
\sigma_{r} \cdot \sigma_{\theta}=0 \\
\left\|\sigma_{\theta}\right\|^{2}=\frac{1}{w^{2}} r^{2}=\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\tilde{\theta}=\int_{0}^{\theta} \frac{1}{\sin \theta} d \theta, \tilde{r}=r$, then

$$
I=d \tilde{\theta}^{2}+\frac{1}{\tilde{r}^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta} d \tilde{r}^{2}
$$

So $\tilde{r} \equiv R$ is a geodesic, which is $\left(v-v_{0}\right)^{2}+w^{2}=R^{2}$.
Step 2: They are all the geodesics.
This is because for any point, any direction, there is a unique circle with centre at $w=0$. (How to construct it?)

### 2.10 Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

Recall Hopf's Umlaufsatz tells us for a simple closed curve in the plane

$$
\int \kappa_{s} d s=2 \pi
$$

Now we want to generalize it to a simple closed curve $C$ in a surface $S \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$. We assume $C$ is the boundary of a set $\Delta \subset S$ which is homeomorphic to a disc. Then we have

Theorem 2.10.1 (Local Gauss-Bonnet).

$$
\int_{C} \kappa_{g} d s=2 \pi-\int_{Y} K d A
$$

The following proof is given by Donaldson.
Let us first introduce an algebraic lemma whose proof is left as an exercise. Let $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a plane through the origin and $\mathbf{N}$ be a unit normal to $P$. For $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in P$, set

$$
\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}=(\mathbf{x} \times \mathbf{y}) \cdot \mathbf{N}
$$

Then

Lemma 2.10.2. For any three vectors $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in P$, we have

$$
(\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}) \mathbf{z}+(\mathbf{y} \wedge \mathbf{z}) \mathbf{x}+(\mathbf{z} \wedge \mathbf{x}) \mathbf{y}=0
$$

Now let us prove local Gauss-Bonnet.
Proof. We use polar coordinates $(r, \theta)$ in the plane. We suppose a local parametrization $\sigma$ of $S$ maps the unit disc to $\Delta$ and the unit circle to $C$. For $r \leq 1$ we let $C_{r}$ be the closed curve $\sigma(r, \theta)$ in $S$. We set

$$
I(r)=\int_{C_{r}} \kappa_{g} d s
$$

Let $\Delta_{r}$ be the image of the disc of radius $r$. We compute

$$
\frac{d}{d r}\left(I(r)+\int_{\Delta_{r}} K d A\right)
$$

We parametrize $C_{r}$ by arc length and let $\mathbf{t}$ be the tangent to curve $C_{r}$ and $\mathbf{N}$ be the normal to $S$. Thus $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0$ everywhere. Then
$I(r)=\int_{C_{r}} \kappa_{g} d s=\int_{C_{r}}(\mathbf{N} \times \dot{\gamma}) \cdot \ddot{\gamma} d s=-\int_{C_{r}}\left(\mathbf{t}_{s} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N} d s=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N} d \theta$.
Thus

$$
\frac{d I}{d r}=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}\right) d \theta
$$

Now consider

$$
S=\frac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)-\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\left(\mathbf{t}_{r} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)
$$

Then
$S=\left(\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \times \mathbf{t}_{r}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}+\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta r} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}+\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}_{r}\right)-\left(\left(\mathbf{t}_{r} \times \mathbf{t}_{\theta}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}+\left(\mathbf{t}_{r \theta} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}+\left(\mathbf{t}_{r} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}_{\theta}\right)$
which is

$$
S=2\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \times \mathbf{t}_{r}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}+\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}_{r}-\left(\mathbf{t}_{r} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}_{\theta} .
$$

Since $\mathbf{t}$ is a unit vector, so the vectors $\mathbf{t}_{r}, \mathbf{t}_{\theta}, \mathbf{N}$ are orthogonal to it. Thus the three vectors are on the same plane and

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & =\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}_{r}-\left(\mathbf{t}_{r} \times \mathbf{t}\right) \cdot \mathbf{N}_{\theta} \\
& =\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \cdot\left(\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{N}_{r}\right)-\mathbf{t}_{r} \cdot\left(\mathbf{t} \times \mathbf{N}_{\theta}\right) \\
& =\left(\mathbf{t}_{\theta} \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)\left(\mathbf{t} \wedge \mathbf{N}_{r}\right)-\left(\mathbf{t}_{r} \cdot \mathbf{N}\right)\left(\mathbf{t} \wedge \mathbf{N}_{\theta}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{t} \cdot\left(\left(\mathbf{t} \wedge \mathbf{N}_{\theta}\right) \mathbf{N}_{r}-\left(\mathbf{t} \wedge \mathbf{N}_{r}\right) \mathbf{N}_{\theta}\right) \\
& =-\mathbf{t} \cdot\left(\left(\mathbf{N}_{\theta} \wedge \mathbf{N}_{r}\right) \mathbf{t}\right) \\
& =\mathbf{N}_{r} \wedge \mathbf{N}_{\theta} \\
& =K \sigma_{r} \wedge \sigma_{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

This third equality is because of $\mathbf{t}, \mathbf{N}_{r}, \mathbf{N}_{\theta}$ are orthogonal to $\mathbf{N}$ and thus the cross products are parallel to it. The fourth is because of $\mathbf{t} \cdot \mathbf{N}=0$. The fifth is because of the lemma. The last is because the calculation in Theorem 2.5.10.

Hence

$$
\frac{d I}{d r}=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} K \sigma_{r} \wedge \sigma_{\theta} d \theta
$$

Let $E, F, G$ be the components of the first fundamental form in the $r, \theta$ coordinates. Then

$$
\frac{d I}{d r}=-\int_{0}^{2 \pi} K \sqrt{E G-F^{2}} d \theta
$$

But

$$
\int_{\Delta_{r}} K d A=\int_{0}^{r} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} K \sqrt{E G-F^{2}} d \theta d \rho
$$

so

$$
\frac{d}{d r} \int_{\Delta_{r}} K d A=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} K \sqrt{E G-F^{2}} d \theta d \rho
$$

Hence the two derivatives cancel and we conclude that

$$
I(r)+\int_{\Delta_{r}} K d A
$$

is a constant, independent of $r$.
Finally, $\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} I(r)=2 \pi$ since it approaches that of the plane over very small regions. Hence the proof is complete.

### 2.10.1 Geodesic polygons

The geodesic polygons are regions formed by the intersection of geodesics. For spheres, we know all geodesics are great circles; for plane, all geodesics are straight lines; for hyperbolic plane, we also classify the geodesics. So we see geodesic polygons are generalizations of polygons in a plane. How to calculate the areas?

Now, let us digress on the version of local Gauss-Bonnet for piecewise smooth curves. It $\gamma$ is such a curve with $\Gamma_{i}$ as each smooth component. Let $\theta_{i}$ be the internal angle. Since locally, especially at the corners, the $\kappa_{g}$ approaches to signed curvature of the plane curve which measures the turning angle. Hence the integration of geodesic curvature would read as

$$
\int_{\gamma} \kappa_{g} d s=\sum \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \kappa_{g} d s+\sum\left(\pi-\theta_{j}\right)
$$

It could be proven rigorously by approximating $\gamma$ by smooth curves.

Now, let $\Delta$ be a geodesic polygon, homeomorphic to the disc, whose boundary is made up of smooth geodesics $\Gamma_{i}$ meeting at corners. By local Gauss-Bonnet, let $\theta_{j}$ be the internal angle at corner $j$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Delta} K d A & =2 \pi-\sum \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \kappa_{g} d s-\sum\left(\pi-\theta_{j}\right) \\
& =2 \pi-\left(n \pi-\sum \theta_{j}\right) \\
& =-(n-2) \pi+\sum \theta_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

For sphere, $K=1$, so

$$
\operatorname{area}(\Delta)=\sum \theta_{j}-(n-2) \pi
$$

Especially, it implies angle sum of $n$-gon is greater than $(n-2) \pi$. So for example, a half unit sphere has area $2 \pi$. A triangle obtained by the 3 great circles cut by $x, y, z$ planes has area $\frac{\pi}{2}$.

For pseudosphere, $K=-1$.

$$
\operatorname{area}(\Delta)=(n-2) \pi-\sum \theta_{j} .
$$

So the angle sum of $n$-gon is less than $(n-2) \pi$. So especially any ideal triangle in the hyperbolic plane (i.e. a triangle with all vertices on the $x$-axis) has area $\pi$.

This circle of ideas applies to shortest path geodesics. First we define a surface $S$ is simply connected if any simple closed curve divides $S$ into two regions, one of them is homeomorphic to a disc.

Theorem 2.10.3. If $S$ is a simply connected surface of $K \leq 0$, then there exists no more than one geodesics through any 2 points on $S$.

Proof. If there are two geodesics $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ pass through $P, Q$, then they form a 2-gon since $S$ is simply connected. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be the two angles at $P$ and $Q$. Apply local Gauss-Bonnet to this 2-gon,

$$
\int K d A+\pi-\alpha+\pi-\beta=2 \pi
$$

which implies

$$
\alpha+\beta=\int K d A \leq 0
$$

Hence $\alpha=\beta=0$, contradicting to the assumption that there are two geodesics.

We have two immediate corollaries.
Corollary 2.10.4. If $S$ is a simply connected surface of $K \leq 0$, then

1. There are no closed geodesic on $S$.
2. Any arc of a geodesic on $S$ is a shortest path.

### 2.10.2 Global Gauss-Bonnet

A triangulation of a region $R \subset S$ is a finite family of triangles $T_{i}, i=$ $1, \cdots, n$, such that

1. $\cup_{i=1}^{n} T_{i}=R$.
2. If $T_{i} \cap T_{j} \neq \emptyset$, then $T_{i} \cap T_{j}$ is either a common edge or a common vertex.

Theorem 2.10.5. Every compact surface has a triangulation.
We denote

- $V=$ total number of vertices;
- $E=$ total number of edges;
- $F=$ total number of faces (triangles).

Definition 2.10.6. The Euler numer $\chi$ of a triangulation is

$$
\chi=V-E+F .
$$

Example 2.10.7. Tetrahedron, Octahedron and Icosahedron give triangulations of a sphere. Actually, we could divide sphere into polygonal region and make the same definitions of $V, E, F, \chi$.

|  | $V$ | $E$ | $F$ | $\chi$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tetrahedron | 4 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Octahedron | 6 | 12 | 8 | 2 |
| Icosahedron | 12 | 30 | 20 | 2 |
| Texahedron | 8 | 12 | 6 | 2 |
| Dodecahedron | 20 | 30 | 12 | 2 |

Especially, we observe that $\chi=2$ in all the above examples.
Theorem 2.10.8 (Global Gauss-Bonnet). For any compact surface $S$ and any triangulation on it

$$
\int_{S} K d A=2 \pi \chi(S)
$$

Corollary 2.10.9. 1. $\chi(S)$ depends only on $S$ and not on the choice of the triangulations.
2. $\int_{S} K d A$ does not depend on the way surface is embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

Recall the classification of compact surfaces: $S^{2}, T^{2}, \cdots, \Sigma_{g}, \cdots$ Since we only need one triangulation to determine the Euler number, we know there is a triangulation of $T^{2}$ with $V=1, E=3, F=2$, then $\chi\left(T^{2}\right)=0$.

For $\Sigma_{g}$, we use induction to claim

Theorem 2.10.10. $\chi\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=2-2 g$.
Proof. We prove it by induction.
We understand $\Sigma_{g+1}$ as the gluing of $\Sigma_{g}$ and $T^{2}$ along a triangle in the triangulations $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{T}^{\prime \prime}$ of $\Sigma_{g}$ and $T^{2}$. It induces a triangulation of $\Sigma_{g+1}$. So

$$
V=V^{\prime}+V^{\prime \prime}-3, E=E^{\prime}+E^{\prime \prime}-3, F=F^{\prime}-1+F^{\prime \prime}-1 .
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi\left(\Sigma_{g+1}\right) & =V-E+F \\
& =\chi\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)+\chi\left(T^{2}\right)-2 \\
& =2-2 g+0-2 \\
& =2-2(g+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.10.11. $\int_{\Sigma_{g}} K d A=4 \pi(1-g)$
Now, let us prove global Gauss-Bonnet theorem.
Proof. For any triangular region, we have

$$
\int_{\Delta} K d A=2 \pi-\sum \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \kappa_{g} d s-\sum\left(\pi-\theta_{j}\right) .
$$

Add them, we have

$$
\sum_{\alpha} \int_{\Delta_{\alpha}} K d A=\int_{S} K d A
$$

Let us look at each component. For $\sum \int_{\Gamma_{i}} \kappa_{g} d s$, each edge is shared by two triangles which induce different orientations on the edge. So in the sum $\sum_{\alpha} \int_{\Delta_{\alpha}} K d A$, these terms add up to 0 .

For the rest, $2 \pi$ adds up to $2 \pi F$. The angle $\sum \theta_{j}$ adds up to $2 \pi V$ since each vertex has degree $2 \pi$. And finally, $-3 \pi$ in the last component adds up to

$$
-3 \pi F=-3 \pi \cdot \frac{2 E}{3}=-2 \pi E
$$

We use $3 F=2 E$ since each face has 3 edges and each edge is counted twice in the sum.

Add all these up to $2 \pi(F-E+V)=2 \pi \chi(S)$.

### 2.11 Vector fields and Euler number

On a regular surface $S$, let $V$ be a smooth tangent vector field on $S$, i.e $V=\alpha(u, v) \sigma_{u}+\beta(u, v) \sigma_{v}$ locally.

Definition 2.11.1. A point $p \in S$ at which $V=0$ is called a singular (or stationary) point.

Now we introduce the index of singular points. Take a surface patch $\sigma$ containing $p$, let $\gamma$ be a simple closed (positively oriented) regular curve with period $l$, which contains $p$ as the unique singular point in its interior. Let $\phi(t)$ be the angle from $\sigma_{u}$ to $V(t)$, so $\phi(l)-\phi(0)=2 \pi j$ where $j$ is an integer. We call $j$ the index of the singular point. We could write it in terms of formula

$$
j(p)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{l} \frac{d \phi}{d t} d t
$$

Notice this $j$ is finite and independent of $\gamma$ and initial point $\gamma(0)$.
Let us see several examples.

source: $V(x, y)=(x, y), j=1$

sink: $V(x, y)=(-x,-y), j=1$

center (vortex): $V(x, y)=(y,-x), j=1$

simple saddle: $V(x, y)=(x,-y), j=-1$

monkey saddle: $j=-2$

dipole: $j=2$

Theorem 2.11.2 (Poincaré-Hopf). Let $V$ be a smooth vector field on a compact surface $S$ with finitely many singular points $p_{1}, \cdots, p_{n}$, then

$$
\sum_{r} j\left(p_{r}\right)=\chi(S)
$$

Since $\chi\left(S^{2}\right)=2$, we have
Corollary 2.11.3 (Hairy-Ball theorem). Any tangent vector field on $S^{2}$ has singular point.

This means you cannot comb a hairy ball without cow-lick.
source

sink

$$
j_{1}+j_{2}=2
$$



$$
j_{1}+j_{2}=2
$$


$j=2$


No singularity

two simple saddles: $j_{1}+j_{2}=-2$

Now we prove Poincaré-Hopf theorem.

Proof. We prove our result in 2 steps.

1. $\sum j\left(p_{r}\right)$ has the same value for all vector fields. Consider two vector fields $F$ and $F^{\prime}$.

Triangulate $S$, such that every 2 -cell at most has one singularity of $F$ or $F^{\prime}$. Calculate $\left(j_{F}-j_{F^{\prime}}\right)(p)$. Notice if $p$ is not singular for $F^{\prime}, j_{F^{\prime}}(p)=0$.
$\left(j_{F}-j_{F^{\prime}}\right)$ is $\frac{1}{2 \pi}$ of the change in the difference of directions of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$. But for $\sum\left(j_{F}-j_{F^{\prime}}\right)$, every edge bounds two 2-cells, and will be calculated twice in opposite signs. So $\sum\left(j_{F}-j_{F^{\prime}}\right)=0$ or $\sum j_{F}=\sum j_{F^{\prime}}$.
2. Construct a special vector field and calculate the sum $\sum_{r} j\left(p_{r}\right)$.

So we do triangulation. For each triangle, introduce 4 additional points: 1 in the centre and 3 on each side. Add vectors such that the centre is a


Add 4 points and subdivide triangles

vector fields in a new triangle
sink, each original vertex is a source and the ones on sides are saddle. We could extend the vector into each small triangle. No other singularities other than these. So now, each vertex of the original triangulation corresponds to a source, which has index 1. Each face corresponds to a sink, which has index 1 and each edge corresponds to a saddle, which has index -1 . Hence

$$
\sum_{r} j\left(p_{r}\right)=V+F-E=\chi(S) .
$$


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In some books, torsion is defined as $-\tau$ in our notation.

