Wachspress Objects and the Reconstruction of Convex Polytopes from Partial Data

Martin Winter

University of Warwick

19. December, 2023

The setting: convex polytopes

$$P = \mathrm{conv}\{p_1, ..., p_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^d$$



- always convex
- general dimension $d \geq 2$
- general geometry & combinatorics (not only simple/simplicial/lattice/...)
- always of full dimension
- terminology: faces, vertices, edges, facets, ...

Combinatorics of polytopes







edge-graph ... $G_P := \{\,$vertices and edges of $P\,\}$

skeleton ... embedding $p : G_P \to \mathbb{R}^d$ of the edge-graph

face lattice ... $\mathcal{F}(P) := \{\,$faces of P ordered by inclusion$\,\}$

or combinatorial type

Wachspress objects



Wachspress objects

"A family of objects that appear as bridges between algebra, geometry and combinatorics"

- Wachspress coordinates
- Wachspress variety
- Wachspress ideal
- Wachspress map
- adjoint polynomial
- adjoint hypersurface
- Izmestiev matrix
- ...

Wachspress objects

"A family of objects that appear as bridges between algebra, geometry and combinatorics"

- **Wachspress coordinates**
- Wachspress variety
- Wachspress ideal
- Wachspress map
- adjoint polynomial
- adjoint hypersurface
- Izmestiev matrix
- ...

Generalized barycentric coordinates

$\{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 1)\}$

$\downarrow$

Generalized barycentric coordinates (GBCs): $\alpha : P \to \Delta_n$ satisfy

$$\sum_i \alpha_i(x) p_i = x \quad \text{(linear precision)}$$

Generalized barycentric coordinates

$$\{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 1)\}$$

Generalized barycentric coordinates (GBCs): $\alpha : P \to \Delta_n$ satisfy

$$\sum_i \alpha_i(x) p_i = x \quad \text{(linear precision)}$$

There are ...

- harmonic coordinates,
- mean value coordinates,
- ...
- **Wachspress coordinates** (Wachspress 1975; Warren, 1996)

Generalized barycentric coordinates

$$\{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 1)\}$$
$$\downarrow$$

Generalized barycentric coordinates (GBCs): $\alpha : P \to \Delta_n$ satisfy

$$\sum_i \alpha_i(x) p_i = x \quad \text{(linear precision)}$$

There are ...

- harmonic coordinates,
- mean value coordinates,
- ...
- **Wachspress coordinates** (Wachspress 1975; Warren, 1996)

  ... have many non-trivially equivalent definitions

The many faces of Wachspress coordinates

I. Unique rational GBCs of lowest possible degree (Warren, 2003)

$$\alpha_i(x) = \frac{\mathrm{p}_i(x)}{\mathrm{q}(x)} \qquad \text{where } \mathrm{q}(x) = \sum_i \mathrm{p}_i(x) \text{ ... adjoint polynomial}$$

- there are not always polynomial GBCs
- degree $=$ #facets $- \, d$

THE MANY FACES OF WACHSPRESS COORDINATES

I. Unique rational GBCs of lowest possible degree (WARREN, 2003)

$$\alpha_i(x) = \frac{\mathrm{p}_i(x)}{\mathrm{q}(x)} \qquad \text{where } \mathrm{q}(x) = \sum_i \mathrm{p}_i(x) \text{ ... adjoint polynomial}$$

- there are not always polynomial GBCs
- degree $=$ #facets $- d$
- **Wachspress variety**

  ... $V := \mathrm{im}(\alpha) \subseteq \Delta_n$
- **Wachspress ideal** ... $I(V)$

  $\cong$ Stanley-Reisner ideal



The many faces of Wachspress coordinates

II. Relative cone volumes (Ju et al., 2005)

polar dual ... $P^\circ := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, p_i \rangle \le 1$ for all $i \in V(G_P)\}$.



$$\alpha_i = \frac{\mathrm{vol}(F_i^\circ)}{\|p_i\| \, \mathrm{vol}(P^\circ)}$$

The many faces of Wachspress coordinates

III. From spectral embeddings of the edge-graph (W., 2023)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta \in \mathrm{Spec}(A) \quad &\Longrightarrow \quad u_1, ..., u_d \in \mathrm{Eig}_\theta(A) \\
&\Longrightarrow \quad \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} — & p_1 & — \\ & \vdots & \\ — & p_n & — \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}
\end{aligned}
$$

The many faces of Wachspress coordinates

III. From spectral embeddings of the edge-graph (W., 2023)

$$\theta \in \mathrm{Spec}(A) \implies u_1, \dots, u_d \in \mathrm{Eig}_\theta(A)$$
$$\implies \begin{bmatrix} | & & | \\ u_1 & \cdots & u_d \\ | & & | \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} — & p_1 & — \\ & \vdots & \\ — & p_n & — \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times d}$$

Colin de Verdière embedding

- A polytope skeleton is a ~~spectral embedding~~ of the edge-graph w.r.t. some weighted adjacency matrix M (Izmestiev, 2010)

$$\alpha_i := \sum_j M_{ij}$$

The many faces of Wachspress coordinates

IV. Via a variation of volume

$$P^\circ(\mathbf{c}) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, p_i \rangle \leq c_i \text{ for all } i \in V(G_P)\}.$$

where $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, ..., c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$.



The many faces of Wachspress coordinates

IV. Via a variation of volume

$$P^\circ(\mathbf{c}) := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \langle x, p_i \rangle \le c_i \text{ for all } i \in V(G_P)\}.$$

where $\mathbf{c} = (c_1, ..., c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Expand $\mathrm{vol}(P^\circ(\mathbf{c}))$ at $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{1}$:

$$\mathrm{vol}(P^\circ(\mathbf{c})) = \mathrm{vol}(P^\circ) + \langle \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Wachspress} \\ \text{coordinates}}}{\tilde{\alpha}}, \mathbf{c} - \mathbf{1} \rangle + \tfrac{1}{2}(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{1})^\top \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Izmestiev} \\ \text{matrix}}}{\tilde{M}}(\mathbf{c} - \mathbf{1}) + \cdots$$



Wachspress coordinates across disciplines



- **adjoint polynomial** q cuts out minimal degree surface that passes through "external non-faces"
- algebraic statistics
  - moment varieties of polytopes
  - Bayesian statistics
- intersection theory (computing Segre classes of monomial schemes)
- P with adjoint polynomial is a **positive geometry** (*cf.* the permutahedron from theoretical physics)
- Has also been defined on polycons and smooth convex bodies
- **Izmestiev matrix** has been used
  - to encode polytopal symmetries in colorings of the edge-gaph
  - for progress on the Hirsch conjecture

Reconstruction of polytopes from partial data



Reconstruction of polytopes

"In how far is a polytope determined by partial combinatorial and geometric data, up to isometry, affine transformation or combinatorial equivalence?"



Reconstruction of polytopes

"In how far is a polytope determined by partial combinatorial and geometric data, up to isometry, affine transformation or combinatorial equivalence?"



- Does the edge-graph determine the combinatorics?

Reconstruction of polytopes

"In how far is a polytope determined by partial combinatorial and geometric data, up to isometry, affine transformation or combinatorial equivalence?"



- Does the edge-graph determine the combinatorics? **No.**

Reconstruction of polytopes

"In how far is a polytope determined by partial combinatorial and geometric data, up to isometry, affine transformation or combinatorial equivalence?"



- Does the edge-graph determine the combinatorics? **No.**
- Does combinatorics + edge-lengths determine the geometry?

Reconstruction of polytopes

"In how far is a polytope determined by partial combinatorial and geometric data, up to isometry, affine transformation or combinatorial equivalence?"



- Does the edge-graph determine the combinatorics? **No.**
- Does combinatorics + edge-lengths determine the geometry? **No.**

Flexible polytopes



Two opposing effects ...

Simple polytopes:

- combinatorics can be reconstructed (Blind & Mani; Kalai)
- geometry cannot be reconstructed



Simplicial polytopes:

- geometry can be reconstructed, once combinatorics is known (Cauchy)
- combinatorics cannot always be reconstructed (e.g. cyclic polytopes)

Two opposing effects ...

Simple polytopes:

- combinatorics can be reconstructed (Blind & Mani; Kalai)
- geometry cannot be reconstructed



Simplicial polytopes:

- geometry can be reconstructed, once combinatorics is known (Cauchy)
- combinatorics cannot always be reconstructed (e.g. cyclic polytopes)

... *what additional data is needed to permit a reconstruction?*

Reconstruction of pointed polytopes

"Rigidity, Tensegrity and Reconstruction of Polytopes under Metric Constraints"
(arXiv:2302.14194, accepted at IMRN)

Pointed polytopes

:= polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ + point $x_P \in \mathbb{R}^d$



Pointed polytopes

$:=$ polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ + point $x_P \in \mathbb{R}^d$



Conjecture. (W., 2023)

A pointed polytope P with $x_P \in \mathrm{int}(P)$ is uniquely determined (up to isometry) by its edge-graph, edge lengths and radii.

implies *e.g.* reconstruction of matroids from base exchange graph

Point in the interior is necessary ...

Conjecture. (W., 2023)

A pointed polytope P with $x_P \in \mathrm{int}(P)$ is uniquely determined (up to isometry) by its edge-graph, edge lengths and radii.



Tensegrity version

Conjecture. (W., 2023)

If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^e$ are pointed polytopes with the same edge-graph and

(i) $x_Q \in \operatorname{int}(Q)$

(ii) *edges in Q are at most as long as in P,*

(iii) *radii in Q are at least as large as in P,*

then P and Q are isometric.

"A polytope cannot become larger if all its edges become shorter."



Conjecture holds in special cases (W., 2023)

The conjecture holds in the following cases:

I. Q is a small perturbation of P

- one can replace Q by a graph embedding $q\colon G_P \to \mathbb{R}^d$
- $\cong$ locally rigid as a framework

II. P and Q are centrally symmetric

- one can replace Q by a centrally symmetric graph embedding $q\colon G_P \to \mathbb{R}^e$
- $\cong$ universally rigid as a centrally symmetric framework

III. P and Q are combinatorially equivalent

- in particular true for polytope of dimension $d \leq 3$

Conjecture holds in special cases (W., 2023)

The conjecture holds in the following cases:

I. Q is a small perturbation of P

- one can replace Q by a graph embedding $q\colon G_P \to \mathbb{R}^d$
- $\cong$ locally rigid as a framework



$P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ $\qquad$ $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^e$ $\qquad$ $q : G_P \to \mathbb{R}^e$

Conjecture holds in special cases (W., 2023)

The conjecture holds in the following cases:

I. Q is a small perturbation of P

- one can replace Q by a graph embedding $q\colon G_P \to \mathbb{R}^d$
- $\cong$ locally rigid as a framework

II. P and Q are centrally symmetric

- one can replace Q by a centrally symmetric graph embedding $q\colon G_P \to \mathbb{R}^e$
- $\cong$ universally rigid as a centrally symmetric framework

III. P and Q are combinatorially equivalent

- in particular true for polytope of dimension $d \leq 3$

General graph embedding version is false



Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \mathrm{int}(Q)$,

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \operatorname{int}(Q)$,

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \mathrm{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

WARMUP: SIMPLICES

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \mathrm{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \mathrm{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|q_i - q_j\|^2$$

Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \mathrm{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

$$\rotatebox{90}{$\geq$} \text{ (ii)}$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|q_i - q_j\|^2$$

Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \mathrm{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

$$\wedge\!\mid \text{(iii)} \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \vee\!\mid \text{(ii)}$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|q_i - q_j\|^2$$

Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \mathrm{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

$$\wedge\!| \text{ (iii)} \qquad \vee\!| \text{ (i)} \qquad \vee\!| \text{ (ii)}$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|q_i - q_j\|^2$$

Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \operatorname{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{‖ (iii)} & \text{‖ (i)} & \text{‖ (ii)} \end{array}$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|q_i - q_j\|^2$$

WARMUP: SIMPLICES

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \operatorname{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

$$\| \text{ (iii)} \qquad \| \text{ (i)} \qquad \| \text{ (ii)}$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 = \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|q_i - q_j\|^2$$

Therefore $P \simeq Q$. $\square$

Warmup: simplices

$P, Q \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ simplices,

(i) $0 \in \operatorname{int}(Q)$, $\implies 0 = \sum_i \alpha_i q_i$ ... convex combination

(ii) edges in Q are at most as long as in P.

(iii) radii in Q are at least as large as in P.

Proof.

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \Big\|\sum_i \alpha_i p_i\Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i\alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \| \ \text{(iii)} & \| \ \text{(i)} & \vee\!| \ ?? \end{array}$$

$$\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 = \Big\|\sum_i \alpha_i q_i\Big\|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} \alpha_i\alpha_j \|q_i - q_j\|^2$$

Therefore $P \simeq Q$. $\square$

Expansion of polytopes

Fix $\alpha \in \Delta_n$ $:= \{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 1\}$

α**-expansion:** $$\|P\|_\alpha^2 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

Expansion of polytopes

Fix $\alpha \in \Delta_n := \{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 1\}$

$$\alpha\textbf{-expansion:} \quad \|P\|_\alpha^2 := \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

"If edges shrink, then the expansion decreases."

Expansion of polytopes

Fix $\alpha \in \Delta_n := \{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 1\}$

α-**expansion:** $$\|P\|_\alpha^2 := \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

"If edges shrink, then the expansion decreases, if α is chosen suitably."

Expansion of polytopes

Fix $\alpha \in \Delta_n := \{(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n_{\geq 0} \mid \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n = 1\}$

α-expansion: $$\|P\|_\alpha^2 := \tfrac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \alpha_i \alpha_j \|p_i - p_j\|^2$$

"If edges shrink, then the expansion decreases, if α is chosen suitably."

Key theorem (W., 2023)

Let α be the Wachspress coordinates of some interior point of P. If edges in $q : G_P \to \mathbb{R}^e$ are not longer than in P, then

$$\|q\|_\alpha \leq \|P\|_\alpha,$$

with equivalence if and only if $\alpha \simeq_{\text{affine}} P$.

Consequences

Corollary.

A pointed polytope is uniquely determined (up to affine transformations) by its edge-graph, edge lengths and Wachspress coordinates.



A polytope can be reconstructed in polynomial time (via semidefinite program).

Are we done … ?

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 & = & \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 & + & \|P\|_\alpha^2 \\
\wedge\!\mid & & \vee\!\mid & & \vee\!\mid \\
\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 & = & \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 & + & \|Q\|_\alpha^2
\end{array}$$

Are we done ... ?

$$\sum_i \alpha_i q_i \overset{?}{=} 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 & = & \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 & + & \|P\|_\alpha^2 \\
\geq & & \leq & & \leq \\
\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 & = & \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 & + & \|Q\|_\alpha^2
\end{array}$$

Are we done ... ?

$$\sum_i \alpha_i q_i \stackrel{?}{=} 0$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 &=& \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 &+& \|P\|_\alpha^2 \\ \rotatebox{90}{$\leq$} && \rotatebox{90}{$\geq$} && \rotatebox{90}{$\geq$} \\ \sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 &=& \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 &+& \|Q\|_\alpha^2 \end{array}$$

What is α?

- Wachspress coordinates of *some* point in P

  ... and at the same time ...

- convex coordinates of the special point in Q

Can we have this?

THE WACHSPRESS MAP $\phi\colon P \to Q$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 & = & \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i p_i \Big\|^2 & + & \|P\|_\alpha^2 \\
\wedge\!| & & \vee\!| & & \vee\!| \\
\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 & = & \Big\| \sum_i \alpha_i q_i \Big\|^2 & + & \|Q\|_\alpha^2
\end{array}$$

The **Wachspress map** $\phi : P \to Q$ maps

$$x \in P \;\longmapsto\; \alpha(x) \in \Delta_n \;\longmapsto\; \phi(x) := \sum_i \alpha_i(x) q_i \in Q$$

The Wachspress map $\phi\colon P \to Q$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 & = & \|x\|^2 & + & \|P\|_\alpha^2 \\
\wedge\!| & & \vee\!| & & \vee\!| \\
\sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 & = & \|\phi(x)\|^2 & + & \|Q\|_\alpha^2
\end{array}$$

The **Wachspress map** $\phi : P \to Q$ maps

$$x \in P \;\longmapsto\; \alpha(x) \in \Delta_n \;\longmapsto\; \phi(x) := \sum_i \alpha_i(x) q_i \in Q$$

THE WACHSPRESS MAP $\phi\colon P \to Q$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = & \|x\|^2 & + \|P\|_\alpha^2 \\ \wedge\!\mid & \vee\!\mid & \vee\!\mid \\ \sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 = & \|\phi(x)\|^2 & + \|Q\|_\alpha^2 \end{array}$$

The **Wachspress map** $\phi : P \to Q$ maps

$$x \in P \;\longmapsto\; \alpha(x) \in \Delta_n \;\longmapsto\; \phi(x) := \sum_i \alpha_i(x) q_i \in Q$$

The remaining question: how to find $x \in \operatorname{int}(P)$ with $\|x\| \geq \|\phi(x)\|$?

We can have it in special cases ...

Key lemma.

If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $q : G_P \to \mathbb{R}^e$ satisfy

(i) *there is $x \in \operatorname{int}(P)$ with $\|x\| \geq \|\phi(x)\|$,* (e.g. if $\phi(x) = 0$)

(ii) *edges in q are at most as long as in P,*

(iii) *radii in q are at least as large as in P,*

then q is isometric the skeleton of P.

We can have it in special cases ...

Key lemma.

If $P \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $q : G_P \to \mathbb{R}^e$ satisfy

(i) *there is $x \in \operatorname{int}(P)$ with $\|x\| \geq \|\phi(x)\|$,* *(e.g. if $\phi(x) = 0$)*

(ii) *edges in q are <u>at most</u> as long as in P,*

(iii) *radii in q are <u>at least</u> as large as in P,*

then q is isometric the skeleton of P.

Resolved special cases:

- P and q centrally symmetric $(\phi(0) = 0)$
- q a small perturbation of P's skeleton $(0 \in B_\epsilon(0) \subset P \longrightarrow 0 \in \phi(B_\epsilon(0)))$
- P and Q combinatorially equivalent ($\phi\colon P \to Q$ is surjective)

Using Wachspress coordinates and Izmestiev matrix

Recalling the statement

Key theorem (W., 2023)

Let α be the Wachspress coordinates of some interior point of P. If edges in $q : G_p \to \mathbb{R}^e$ are not longer than in P, then

$$\|q\|_\alpha \leq \|P\|_\alpha.$$

"The skeleton of P has the maximal α-expansion among all embeddings of G_P whose edges are not longer than in P."

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \|q\|_\alpha \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|q_i - q_j\| \leq \|p_i - p_j\|, \quad \text{for all } ij \in E \\ & q_1, ..., q_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

Proof via semidefinite programming

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \|q\|_\alpha \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|q_i - q_j\| \le \|p_i - p_j\|, \quad \text{for all } ij \in E \\ & q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

Proof via semidefinite programming

$$\begin{array}{rll} \max & \|q\|_\alpha & \\ \text{s.t.} & \|q_i - q_j\| \le \|p_i - p_j\|, & \text{for all } ij \in E \\ & q_1, ..., q_n \in \mathbb{R}^n & \end{array}$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \text{by translation invariance}$$

$$\begin{array}{rll} \max & \sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 & \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_i \alpha_i q_i = 0 & \\ & \|q_i - q_j\| \le \|p_i - p_j\|, & \text{for all } ij \in E \\ & q_1, ..., q_n \in \mathbb{R}^n & \end{array}$$

Proof via semidefinite programming

$$\begin{array}{rl} \max & \|q\|_\alpha \\ \text{s.t.} & \|q_i - q_j\| \le \|p_i - p_j\|, \quad \text{for all } ij \in E \\ & q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array}$$

$\Downarrow$ by translation invariance

$$\begin{array}{rl} \max & \sum_i \alpha_i \|q_i\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_i \alpha_i q_i = 0 \\ & \|q_i - q_j\| \le \|p_i - p_j\|, \quad \text{for all } ij \in E \\ & q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{array}$$

$\Downarrow$ dual program

$$\begin{array}{rl} \min & \sum_{ij \in E} w_{ij} \|p_i - p_j\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & L_w - \operatorname{diag}(\alpha) + \mu\alpha\alpha^\top \succeq 0 \\ & w \ge 0, \mu \text{ free} \end{array}$$

Proof via semidefinite programming

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \|q\|_\alpha \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \|q_i - q_j\| \le \|p_i - p_j\|, \quad \text{for all } ij \in E \\ & q_1, ..., q_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\Big\Downarrow \quad \text{by translation invariance}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_\alpha^2 = \max \quad & \textstyle\sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \textstyle\sum_i \alpha_i q_i = 0 \\ & \|q_i - q_j\| \le \|p_i - p_j\|, \quad \text{for all } ij \in E \\ & q_1, ..., q_n \in \mathbb{R}^n \end{aligned}$$

$$\Big\Downarrow \quad \text{dual program}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|P\|_\alpha^2 = \min \quad & \textstyle\sum_{ij \in E} M_{ij} \|p_i - p_j\|^2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & L_w - \operatorname{diag}(\alpha) + \mu\alpha\alpha^\top \succeq 0 \\ & w \ge 0, \mu \text{ free} \end{aligned}$$

Izmestiev's theorem

Theorem. (Izmestiev, 2007)

The Izmestiev matrix satisfies

(i) $M_{ij} > 0$ *whenever* $ij \in E$,

(ii) $M_{ij} = 0$ *whenever* $i \neq j$ *and* $ij \notin E$,

(iii) $\dim\ker(M) = d$,

(iv) $MX_P = 0$, *where* $X_P^\top = (p_1, ..., p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times n}$,

(v) M *has a single positive eigenvalue of multiplicity 1.*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{ij\in E} M_{ij}\|p_i - p_j\|^2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} M_{ij}\|p_i - p_j\|^2 \\ &= \sum_i \Big(\sum_j M_{ij}\Big)\|p_i\|^2 - \sum_{i,j} M_{ij}\langle p_i, p_j\rangle \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i\|p_i\|^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\underbrace{MX_P}_{=0} X_P^\top) = \sum_i \alpha_i \|p_i\|^2 = \|P\|_\alpha^2.\end{aligned}$$

Izmestiev's theorem

Theorem. (Izmestiev, 2007)

The Izmestiev matrix satisfies

(i) $M_{ij} > 0$ *whenever* $ij \in E$,

(ii) $M_{ij} = 0$ *whenever* $i \neq j$ *and* $ij \notin E$,

(iii) $\dim\ker(M) = d$,

(iv) $MX_P = 0$, *where* $X_P^\top = (p_1, ..., p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times n}$,

(v) M *has a single positive eigenvalue of multiplicity 1.*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{ij\in E} M_{ij}\|p_i - p_j\|^2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} M_{ij}\|p_i - p_j\|^2 \\ &= \sum_i \Big(\sum_j M_{ij}\Big)\|p_i\|^2 - \sum_{i,j} M_{ij}\langle p_i, p_j\rangle \\ &= \sum_i \alpha_i\|p_i\|^2 - \operatorname{tr}(\underbrace{MX_P}_{=0}X_P^\top) = \sum_i \alpha_i\|p_i\|^2 = \|P\|_\alpha^2.\end{aligned}$$

Izmestiev's theorem

Theorem. (Izmestiev, 2007)

The Izmestiev matrix satisfies

(i) $M_{ij} > 0$ *whenever* $ij \in E$,

(ii) $M_{ij} = 0$ *whenever* $i \neq j$ *and* $ij \notin E$,

(iii) $\dim\ker(M) = d$,

(iv) $MX_P = 0$, *where* $X_P^\top = (p_1, ..., p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times n}$,

(v) M *has a single positive eigenvalue of multiplicity 1.*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{ij\in E} M_{ij}\|p_i - p_j\|^2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} M_{ij}\|p_i - p_j\|^2 \\
&= \sum_i \Big(\sum_j M_{ij}\Big)\|p_i\|^2 - \sum_{i,j} M_{ij}\langle p_i, p_j\rangle \\
&= \sum_i \alpha_i\|p_i\|^2 - \operatorname{tr}(\underbrace{MX_P}_{=0} X_P^\top) = \sum_i \alpha_i\|p_i\|^2 = \|P\|_\alpha^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Izmestiev's theorem

Theorem. (Izmestiev, 2007)

The Izmestiev matrix satisfies

(i) $M_{ij} > 0$ *whenever* $ij \in E$,

(ii) $M_{ij} = 0$ *whenever* $i \neq j$ *and* $ij \notin E$,

(iii) $\dim\ker(M) = d$,

(iv) $MX_P = 0$, *where* $X_P^\top = (p_1, ..., p_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{d\times n}$,

(v) M *has a single positive eigenvalue of multiplicity 1.*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{ij\in E} M_{ij}\|p_i - p_j\|^2 &= \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} M_{ij}\|p_i - p_j\|^2 \\
&= \sum_i \Big(\sum_j M_{ij}\Big)\|p_i\|^2 - \sum_{i,j} M_{ij}\langle p_i, p_j\rangle \\
&= \sum_i \alpha_i\|p_i\|^2 - \mathrm{tr}(\underbrace{MX_P}_{=0} X_P^\top) = \sum_i \alpha_i\|p_i\|^2 = \|P\|_\alpha^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thank you.



"Rigidity, Tensegrity and Reconstruction of Polytopes under Metric Constraints"
(`arXiv:2302.14194`, accepted at IMRN)