

The geometry of Euler's equations

lecture 2

A Lie group G as a configuration space

Subgroup of $N \times N$
matrices for some N



G ... finite dimensional Lie group - we can think of it as a matrix group

Notation: a, b, \dots elements of the group

Basic point: we can move objects around (vector fields, forms, etc.)
by left (or right) multiplication.

$\xi = d/dt a(t) |_{t=0}$,
with $a(0) = a$

tangent
vector
at a

left mult. by b



ba

tangent
vector
at ba

$$b \cdot \xi = d/dt (b a(t)) |_{t=0}$$

for the right
multiplication
we can define
 $\xi \rightarrow \xi \cdot b$
in a similar way

co-vectors (\sim forms): the dual of $(\xi \rightarrow b \cdot \xi)$ moves forms from $T^*_{ba} G$ to $T^*_a G$
 $\alpha \in T^*_{ba} G \rightarrow b^* \cdot \alpha \in T^*_a G$ (abusing the notation: b^* is not the adjoint matrix)

The tangent space at the unit element: \mathfrak{g} (the Lie algebra of G)

The adjoint action of G on \mathfrak{g} :

$$\xi \rightarrow a \cdot \xi \cdot a^{-1} = \text{Ad}(a) \xi$$

Lie bracket on \mathfrak{g} :

Let $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\dot{b}(t)|_{t=0} = \eta$. Then

$$[\eta, \xi] = d/dt|_{t=0} \text{Ad}(b(t)) \xi$$


the group operation
induces the Lie algebra
structure

Structural constants of \mathfrak{g} :

$$e_1, \dots, e_n \quad \text{basis of } \mathfrak{g}, \quad [e_i, e_j] = c_{ij}^k e_k$$

$$\text{Jacobi identity} \quad [\xi, [\eta, \zeta]] + [\eta, [\zeta, \xi]] + [\zeta, [\xi, \eta]] = 0$$

Coordinates on TG and T^*G :



move the basis e_1, \dots, e_n by the left multiplication to each point of the group

get a frame of left invariant vector fields, still denoted e_1, \dots, e_n

Identify TG with $G \times \mathfrak{g}$ or with $G \times \mathbb{R}^n$ by using the frame.

$$(a, \xi) \in G \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow a \cdot \xi \in T_a G$$

or

$$(a; \xi^1, \dots, \xi^n) \rightarrow a \cdot (\xi^i e_i) \in T_a G$$

Similarly, T^*G is identified with $G \times \mathfrak{g}^*$ or with $G \times R^n$

$$(a, \alpha) \in G \times \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow (a^*)^{-1} \cdot \alpha \in T_a^* G$$

or

$$(a; y_1, \dots, y_n) \in G \times R^n \rightarrow (a^*)^{-1}(y_j e_j^*) \in T_a^* G$$



dual basis to e_1, \dots, e_n

The coordinates y_1, \dots, y_n can also be thought of as functions on T^*G .

Prolongation of the action of G on itself by the left multiplication to T^*G :

$$b \cdot (a; y_1, \dots, y_n) \rightarrow (ba, y_1, \dots, y_n)$$

Note that y_1, \dots, y_n considered as functions on T^*M are invariant under (the prolongation of) the left multiplication. This is more or less by definition.

Interpretation:
 T^*G ... we follow
 $a(t)$ and $d/dt y(t)$ (momentum)

$C^\infty(G \setminus T^*G)$ are exactly the functions of y_1, \dots, y_n .

In fact, in this case $Y = G \setminus T^*G$ can be identified with R^n or \mathfrak{g}^*

$Y = G \setminus T^*G$ reduced
 phase space:
 we "forget" $a(t)$
 and follow only
 $d/dt y(t)$

The functions y_1, \dots, y_n provide coordinates on Y (which are global)

$C^\infty(Y)$ inherits a Poisson bracket from T^*M . What is the bracket?

equations for y :
 $d/dt y(t) = \{H, y\}$

hamiltonian
 $H = H(y)$

enough to calculate $\{y_i, y_j\}$

This needs a little work, the main point
 is to use the formula
 $de^*_j(e_k, e_l) = -e^*_j([e_k, e_l])$
 for the invariant forms/fields
 -see next

Calculation: $\{y_i, y_j\} = c^k_{ij} y_k$

where c^k_{ij} are the structure constants of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g}

Canonical form of T^*G

e_1^*, \dots, e_n^* frame of the left-invariant forms, dual to the frame e_1, \dots, e_n

Recall the natural coordinates on T^*G :

$$(a; y_1, \dots, y_n) \in G \times \mathbb{R}^n \quad \leftrightarrow \quad y_j e_j^*$$

The canonical 1-form on T^*G : $\alpha = y_j e_j^*$

The symplectic form: $\omega = d\alpha = dy_j \wedge e_j^* + y_j de_j^* =$

$$= dy_j \wedge e_j^* - y_j c_{kl}^j e_k^* \wedge e_l^*$$

where we used the Cartan formula

$$d\alpha(\xi, \eta) = \xi \cdot \alpha(\eta) - \eta \cdot \alpha(\xi) - \alpha([\xi, \eta]) \quad \text{with } \alpha = e_p^*, \quad \xi = e_q, \quad \eta = e_r$$

$= 0$ in our case

$$[e_p, e_q] = c_{pq}^r e_r$$

In the local frame in T^*G given by $y_1, \dots, y_n, e_1, \dots, e_n$ the form ω is given by the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ -I & -C(y) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with notation} \quad y_k c^k_{ij} \sim C(y)$$

The inverse ω^{rs} of this (anti-symmetric) matrix is given by

$$\begin{pmatrix} -C(y) & -I \\ I & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The Poisson bracket is (in our conventions) $\{f, g\} = \omega^{rs} f_s g_r$

In particular, $\{y_i, y_j\} = c^k_{ij} y_k$,

Remark:

Note that the group G was any Lie group. We have shown:

If G is a Lie group and \mathfrak{g} is its Lie algebra with structural constants c_{ij}^k , then on the dual \mathfrak{g}^* of \mathfrak{g} the formula

$$\{y_i, y_j\} = c_{ij}^k y_k$$

defines a Poisson bracket on \mathfrak{g}^* .

This was already known to S. Lie, but he did not explore the implications.

In the 1960s this structure was used by A. A. Kirillov to obtain important results in representations of nilpotent groups, and to develop his “method of orbits”.

$b \in G \Rightarrow$ the left shifts $a \rightarrow ba$ extend to a symplectic diffeom. of T^*G :

represent the form $y_i e^*_i$ at a

in the coordinates (a, y_1, \dots, y_n) : $\mathbf{b} \cdot (a, y_1, \dots, y_n) = (ba, y_1, \dots, y_n)$

the infinitesimal version of these deformations:

$\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ generates an infinitesimal symplectic deformation of T^*G

$(a, y) \rightarrow (a + \epsilon \xi \cdot a, y)$

$ba = (1 + \epsilon \xi) a$

y does not change, since the coordinate functions y_i are invariant under the left shifts

Recall: Infinitesimal sympl. defs. \longleftrightarrow functions (perhaps modulo corrections)

What is the generating function f for $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{a} + \epsilon \xi \cdot \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$?


In the coordinates of lecture 1 it would be $f = p_i \xi^i$

We need to express this in the presently used coordinate frame $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n, e_1, \dots, e_n$

coordinates of the infinitesimal deformation in this frame:

$$(0, \dots, 0, [\text{Ad}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \xi]^1, \dots, [\text{Ad}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \xi]^n)$$

first coordinate



no shift in \mathbf{y}

need to use this form because our coordinate frame is left invariant (not right-invariant).



arises from $\xi \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^{-1} \cdot \xi \cdot \mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a} \cdot \text{Ad}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \xi$

recall $f = p_i \xi^i$ in coordinates
of lecture 1

Recall **Noether's Theorem**:

The generating function of the infinitesimal symplectic transformation above will be

$$f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y}) = \langle \mathbf{y}, \text{Ad}(\mathbf{a}^{-1}) \xi \rangle = \langle \text{Ad}(\mathbf{a}^{-1})^* \mathbf{y}, \xi \rangle$$

Moreover, **$f(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y})$ will be conserved for any Hamiltonian depending only on \mathbf{y}**

Exercise: check "by hand" that $\{f, y_i\} = 0$ for each i

Definition:

$$\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{y}) = \text{Ad}(\mathbf{a}^{-1})^* \mathbf{y} \in \mathfrak{g}^*$$


Example: rigid body rotation
 \mathbf{y} momentum in the coordinate
frame moving with the body
 $\text{Ad}(\mathbf{a}^{-1})\mathbf{y}$ momentum in the
coordinate frame fixed in space

is called the **moment map**.

For any Hamiltonian depending only on \mathbf{y} the evolution preserves \mathbf{M} , or **$d\mathbf{M}/dt = \mathbf{0}$** .

This is because the ξ above can be taken as any element of \mathfrak{g}

← determined by $C_i(y)=c_i$, C_i the Casimir functions, $\{C_i, y_j\}=0$ for each $j=1, \dots, n$
 “Symplectic leaves” of the Poisson manifold \mathfrak{g}^* (a connected G)



A subspace through y generated by all possible vectors $dy/dt=\{H,y\}$, as H runs through all $H=H(y)$ is contained in the tangent space to the orbit $O_y = \{Ad^*(a)y, a \in G\}$ (because of the conservation of M for any such H , for example).

Vice versa, any vector in $T_y O_y$ can be obtained in this way.


Hence: **Symplectic leaves** \longleftrightarrow **orbits**

(See A.A.Kirillov’s book for more and implications to representations.)

Hence the evolution on \mathfrak{g}^* given by $H(y)$ and $\{ \quad , \quad \}$ really describes a family of hamiltonian systems (parametrized by the orbits)

Example: non-degenerate stationary points are (locally) parametrized by the orbits:

manifold of stationary points (transversal to the orbit foliation)



Non-degenerate critical point of H on one orbits implies critical points in neighboring orbits

orbits

Example: the simplest non-commutative group G

(Commutative G leads to $\{y_i, y_j\}=0$)

$$G = \left\{ A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} ; a > 0, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$


the group of orientation-preserving
affine transformation of \mathbb{R}
(maps of the form $x \rightarrow ax+b$) with $a>0$

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ X = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\mathfrak{g}^* = \left\{ P = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; p, q \in \mathbb{R} \right\}$$

$$\langle P, X \rangle = px + qy$$

$$\text{Ad}(A) \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -b & a \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{Ad}(A)^* \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -b \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}$$



Left invariant geodesics

given by Hamiltonian

$$H(p,q)=(p^2+q^2)/2$$

$$\{p,q\} = q$$

$$dp/dt = \{H,p\} = q^2$$


$$dq/dt = \{H,q\} = -pq$$

Momentum conservation:

$$da/dt = pa, \quad db/dt = qa$$

Example of solutions: $a(t) = a_0 \tanh(t)$
 $b(t) = a_0/\cosh(t)$

G



Remark: Direct Lagrangian approach (see also V.I. Arnold's book)

L left-invariant Lagrangian on TG

$a(t)$ curve in G

$v(t) = a^{-1} da/dt$ velocity in the coordinates of the left-invariant frame

$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} L(v(t)) dt$ action

$a_\epsilon(t) = a(t)b_\epsilon(t)$ with $b_\epsilon \sim 1 + \epsilon\xi$ "nearby trajectory"

$v_\epsilon = a_\epsilon^{-1} da_\epsilon/dt = \text{Ad}(b_\epsilon^{-1})v + b_\epsilon^{-1}db_\epsilon/dt$ velocity of the nearby trajectory

$$v_\epsilon = v + \epsilon (- [\xi, v] + d\xi/dt) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$L(v_\epsilon) = L(v) + \epsilon L_v(- [\xi, v] + d\xi/dt) + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (L(v_\epsilon) - L(v)) dt = - \epsilon \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (\langle dL_v/dt, \xi \rangle + \langle L_v, [\xi, v] \rangle) dt + O(\epsilon^2)$$

$F(v)$ defined by $\langle F(v), \xi \rangle = - \langle L_v, [\xi, v] \rangle$, or (abusing notation), $F(v) = \text{Ad}^*(v) L_v$

Equation for v: $dL_v/dt = F(v)$ (does not involve a(t); recovering a: $da/dt = a(t) v(t)$)

Other calculable geodesics for left-invariant metrics:

SI(2,R) \sim motion of a rigid body in the hyperbolic plane

H₃ three-dimensional Heisenberg group, Caratheodory metrics

S³ or **SO(3)** \sim three-dimensional rigid body (Euler's equations for rotating bodies)

and more


We expect: 3d group with a left-invariant hamiltonian
 \Rightarrow co-adjoint orbits have dimension at most 2
 \Rightarrow equations for geodesics solvable by quadratures

On the other hand: 6d groups (such as **SI(2,C)** or **SO(4)**) – will often have 4d orbits – potential for “chaos” in the reduced equations

Special Hamiltonians can still give integrability:


Kovalevskaya's top, n-dim rigid body in Euclidean space (Manakov)

Ideal Incompressible Fluids



Configuration space: $G = \text{Diff}_0(\Omega)$ volume-preserving diffeomorphisms of Ω (group under map composition)

Motion the fluid: curves in G , $t \rightarrow \phi^t$
 $t \rightarrow \phi^t(x)$ trajectory of a “particle”



Symmetries: ϕ^t is a solution, $\psi \in G \Rightarrow \phi^t \circ \psi$ is a solution
“particle re-labeling”

Hamilton's principle: the actual fluid motions "solutions"

are extremals of

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{\Omega} |\dot{\phi}^t(x)|^2 dx dt$$


among curves ϕ^t in G with ϕ^{t_1} and ϕ^{t_2} fixed

This leads to Euler's equations for

$$u(x, t) = \dot{\phi}(\phi^{-1}(x, t), t)$$

we are now using right shifts,
rather than the left shifts....

It's not hard to get the equation by direct calculation – but we will follow the Hamiltonian approach, which also immediately gives the conservation laws coming from the invariance by G ("particle re-labelings")




The Lie algebra of $G = \text{Diff}_0(\Omega)$ and its dual

$U = T_{\text{identity}} G \dots\dots\dots$ the Lie algebra of $G \sim$ div-free vector fields in Ω tangent to the boundary

$u, v \in U \dots\dots\dots [u, v]$ the usual Lie bracket of u, v (is exactly the bracket induced from the group)

Adjoint action: $\text{Ad}(\phi) u = \phi_{\#} u \quad (\phi_{\#} u)(x) = D\phi(\phi^{-1}(x)) u(\phi^{-1}(x))$



The dual U^* linear functionals on U can be obtained most naturally by

$$u \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} a_i(x) u^i(x) dx = \langle a, u \rangle$$

where a is viewed as a 1-form.

The co-adjoint action (of $G = \text{Diff}_0(\Omega)$ on U^*)

$$\langle a, \phi_{\#} u \rangle = \langle \phi^* a, u \rangle$$

$\phi^* a$ the usual pull-back

$$[\phi^* a(\phi(x))]_i = a_j(x) \phi^j_{,i}(x)$$


$$\text{Ad}^*(\phi) a = \phi^* a$$

So it seems natural to identify U^* with one-forms, but the problem is that the correspondence is not one-to-one:

$$a = df \quad \Rightarrow \quad \langle a, u \rangle = 0 \text{ for each } u \in U: \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla f \cdot u = \int_{\Omega} -f (\text{div } u) = 0$$

To get a one-to-one correspondence

replace 1-forms by (1-forms)/(exact differentials df)



Or, in the “vector calculus” notation:

$$a \sim (a_1, a_2, a_3) \longrightarrow \omega = \text{curl } a$$

Action of $\text{Diff}_0(\Omega)$

in the “a-coordinates”

$$\text{Ad}^*(\phi) a = \phi^* a$$

In the “ ω -coordinates”

$$\text{Ad}^*(\phi) \omega = \phi_{\#} \omega$$

Duality in between U and U^* in the ω -coordinates on U^*

$$a \sim a_i dx^i \qquad da \sim \text{curl } a \sim \omega \sim \omega^i \partial/\partial x^i$$

vector potential of u , analogue
of the 2d stream function

$$u \in U, \quad u = \text{curl } \Psi, \quad \text{div } \Psi = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad \Psi \wedge n = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad (\text{when } \Omega \text{ is topologically trivial})$$

for simplicity you can also think of $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^3$

$$\int_{\Omega} a \cdot u \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \omega \Psi \, dx \quad (\text{Check that the boundary term vanishes due to the boundary conditions})$$

In these “coordinates” the basic variable is $\omega = \omega(x,t)$ (“vorticity”)

The vector fields $u \in U$ are identified with their vector potentials Ψ

The Hamiltonian = kinetic energy : $\omega \in U^*, \quad \text{curl } u = \omega, \quad \text{div } u = 0, \quad u \cdot n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0,$
 $-\Delta \Psi = \omega, \quad \text{div } \Psi = 0, \quad \Psi \wedge n|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$

$$H = H(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} |u|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \omega \Psi \, dx$$

Poisson bracket

Lie bracket in U in terms of the vector potentials Ψ

$$u = \text{curl } \Psi, \quad v = \text{curl } \Phi \in U, \quad w = [u, v], \quad w = \text{curl } \Theta$$

$$w = [u, v] = u \nabla v - v \nabla u = - \text{curl } (u \wedge v) \quad (\text{we used } \text{div } u = \text{div } v = 0)$$

$$\Theta = - \text{curl } \Psi \wedge \text{curl } \Phi$$

Ψ and Φ can be considered as linear function on U^*

$$l_\Psi : \omega \rightarrow \int_\Omega \omega \Psi \quad \text{and} \quad l_\Phi : \omega \rightarrow \int_\Omega \omega \Phi$$

$$\text{Poisson bracket: } \{l_\Psi, l_\Phi\} = l_\Theta = l_{-\text{curl } \Psi \wedge \text{curl } \Phi}$$

“variational derivative”,
expressing F' and G'
using the duality with U
(need some smoothness)

$$\text{general functionals: } \{F, G\}(\omega) = \int_\Omega \omega (- \text{curl } (\delta F / \delta \omega) \wedge \text{curl}(\delta G / \delta \omega)) dx$$

Example: $H(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} \omega \Psi$ (the Euler Lagrangian introduced earlier)

$$\delta H / \delta \omega = \Psi \quad (\text{with } -\Delta \Psi = \omega \quad + \quad \text{boundary cond.})$$

$$\omega = \text{curl } u, \text{ div } u = 0 \quad + \quad \text{boundary cond.}, \quad u = \text{curl } \Psi$$

$$d/dt \int_{\Omega} \omega \Phi = \{H, \int_{\Omega} \omega \Phi\}(\omega) = \int_{\Omega} \omega (-\text{curl } \Psi \wedge \text{curl } \Phi) = \int_{\Omega} \text{curl}(\omega \wedge u) \Phi$$

evolution of the “coordinate” given by Φ

Analogous to the
finite-dimensional
equation
 $dy_i/dt = \{H, y_i\}$

Φ is arbitrary div-free with $\Phi \wedge n = 0$ at the boundary

$$\omega_t + \text{curl}(u \wedge \omega) = 0 \quad \text{or}$$

vorticity formulation of Euler’s equations

$$\omega_t + [u, \omega] = 0$$

Noether’s theorem (conservation of the moment function)

$$\text{Ad}^*(\phi^t)^{-1} \omega(t) = \omega(0)$$

or


$$\omega(\mathbf{t}) = \phi_{\#} \omega(\mathbf{0})$$


Helmholtz’s law

“vorticity moves with the flow”

Consequences of Helmholtz's law

Vortex filament at initial time





folding necessary
not to increase energy


volume of the filament
must be preserved

velocity near the filament increases

ω
vorticity is "stretched"

eventually it will
presumably
become very
complicated

must become very thin
at many places (preservation
of volume) – high velocities,
a lot of folding necessary so
that energy is not increased



A benefit of the co-adjoint orbit approach:


some “reduced” systems (such as point vortices, vortex fillaments,...) come with natural hamiltonian structure.

- 1) 2d sets of a given number of points can be moved around by $\text{Diff}_0(\Omega)$ - finite dimensional orbits – finite dimensional Hamiltonian system - “point vortices” (Hamiltonian can be taken from the original Euler’s eq. if we remove the infinite “self-energy” of each vortex.

- 2) 3d curves can be moved around by $\text{Diff}_0(\Omega)$
get a natural symplectic structure on the “manifold of curves”
for certain “weak filaments” energy \sim length
curves with the sympl. structure, Hamiltonian = length,
- flow of curves by binormal curvature

Geometric picture of the steady-states for 2d Euler:

manifold of stationary points (transversal to the orbit foliation)



Can be established rigorously in 2d under some (reasonable) assumptions (A. Choffrut, V.S.)

References:

V.I.Arnold: Mathematical Methods of Classical Mechanics

V.I.Arnold, B. A. Khesin: Topological Fluid Mechanics

A.A.Kirillov: Lectures on the orbit method

J.Marsden, A.Weinstein: Coadjoint orbits and Clebsch variables for incompressible fluids, Physica D 7 (1983), no. 1-3, 305 - 323