

Summer School - Number Theory for Cryptography

F. Morain

Tutorial, 2013/06/25

1. Implement the AMR test.
2. Find a (probable) family of composite integers N satisfying $F(N) = \varphi(N)/4$.

Answer. This is taken from a paper by Beauchemin, Brassard, Crpeau and Goutier at CRYPTO'86.

Let us decide to find a family of numbers with two prime factors only. Write $N = p_1 p_2$ with $p_1 = 2^{s_1} t_1 + 1$, $p_2 = 2^{s_2} t_2 + 1$ with $1 \leq s_1 \leq s_2$. Note that $\varphi(N) = 2^{s_1+s_2} t_1 t_2$. Monier's formula gives us

$$F(N) = \left[1 + \frac{2^{2s_1} - 1}{2^2 - 1} \right] T_1 T_2$$

with $T_i = \gcd(p_i - 1, N - 1)$. We decide to try to have maximal odd part for $T_1 T_2$ by forcing $T_i = t_i$, which means that $t_i \mid N - 1$. But

$$N - 1 = (1 + 2^{s_1} t_1)(1 + 2^{s_2} t_2) - 1 = 2^{s_2} t_2 + 2^{s_1} t_1 + 2^{s_1+s_2} t_1 t_2.$$

The only possibility for $t_1 \mid N - 1$ is to have $t_1 \mid t_2$. The same being true for t_2 , we must have $t_1 = t_2$. Monier's formula now reads

$$F(N) = \left[1 + \frac{2^{2s_1} - 1}{2^2 - 1} \right] t_1 t_2 = \left[1 + \frac{2^{2s_1} - 1}{2^2 - 1} \right] \frac{\varphi(N)}{2^{s_1+s_2}}.$$

The last thing to do is solve

$$\left[1 + \frac{2^{2s_1} - 1}{2^2 - 1} \right] \frac{1}{2^{s_1+s_2}} = \frac{1}{4}$$

or

$$\left[1 + \frac{2^{2s_1} - 1}{3} \right] = 2^{s_1+s_2-2},$$

equivalently

$$2^{2s_1-1} + 1 = 3 \cdot 2^{s_1+s_2-3}.$$

If $s_1 > 1$, the left hand side is odd, which implies $s_1 + s_2 = 3$. Since $1 < s_1 \leq s_2$, this is impossible. Now, we have $s_1 = 1$ and this implies $s_2 = 2$, or the family $(2t + 1)(4t + 1)$ with both terms prime.

We need $\pm t + 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$, leading to $t \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{3}$, or $3 \mid t$. Remembering that t should be odd, we get $t = 6m + 3$, leading to $N = (12m + 7)(24m + 13)$ with both factors simultaneously prime. This is the heuristic part, since we cannot prove that there exists an infinite number of m 's leading to simultaneous prime values. However, examples are easy to find : $(m, N) = (0, 7 \times 13), (1, 19 \times 37), (2, 31 \times 61)$; $m = 6, 11, 16, \dots$

It might be possible to prove this is the only possible family, with more work.

3. Prove Pocklington's theorem.
 4. a) Implement the $N - 1$ and find proven primes of the form $2 \cdot k! + 1$.
b) Same question with the $N + 1$ test and the family $2 \cdot k! - 1$.
 5. We consider the equation $k\varphi(N) = N - 1$ for integers k and N .
a) solve the equation when $k = 1$.
- Answer.** $\varphi(N) = N - 1$ is only possible for prime N 's.

From now on, fix some $k > 1$.

b) Give elementary properties of N 's satisfying the equation.

Answer. N is a Carmichael number, hence odd, squarefree and for all $p_i \mid N$, one has $p_j \not\equiv 1 \pmod{p_i}$. Moreover, N has at least three (distinct) prime factors.

c) Find non-trivial bounds on the number of prime divisors t of a solution N to the equation.

Answer. We already know that $t \geq 3$. Let us give some results already obtained by Lehmer in his 1932 paper. We will often use the easy property that

Lemma. $x \mapsto x/(x-1)$ is decreasing.

Prop. (Lehmer) If $3 \leq t \leq 6$, then $k = 2$.

Proof: write

$$k = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} - \frac{1}{\varphi(N)}.$$

We have $N \geq 105$ and $\varphi(N) \geq 48$ so that $k < 3$. \square

Prop. (Lehmer) If $k = 3$, then $t > 32$.

Proof: $(N, 3) = 1$ implies $p_1 \geq 5$. Then $N \geq 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23$ and $\varphi(N) \geq 18247680$ and

$$3 = \prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} - \frac{1}{\varphi(N)}$$

leading to

$$\prod_{i=1}^t \frac{p_i}{p_i - 1} \geq 3 + \frac{1}{18247680} > 3.000000054$$

leading to the result, since the first larger value has 3.013375475 for $p_{33} = 149$, the previous one having value 2.993151479.

Until today, no non-trivial solution is known. Some are known for $k\varphi(N) = N + 1$, as for some other $N - a$. Some further properties can be found in recent papers (key word: *Lehmer totient problem*). \square

5. a) Implement the AKS algorithm and prove that 89 is prime.

b) Implement Berrizbeitia's variant and find some proven primes.