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Goals

1. Understand the *phase coexistence of active-inactive regions* at the point where the *dynamical phase transition* observed in *glassy systems* occurs. Very well captured by KCMs.

2. Determine an *effective model* of the dynamics in the phase transition from the simplest possible model. Is there something simpler than a KCM?
2D binary mixture of hard disks with different radius (Keys et al Phys. Rev. X 1, 021013, 2011).

**Inactive particles vs Active particles**

- **Dynamic heterogeneity**: inactive regions with *slow* dynamics and active regions with *fast dynamics*.
- **Facilitated** dynamics: mobility in a region *leads* to motion of neighbouring particles. An *adjacent* excitation is required for both the birth or death of an excitation.
Introduction

Activity vs Inactivity

**Inactive** regions surrounded by **active** particles.

- The *persistent time* \((t_p)\): time a particle remains inactive before the first move.
- The *exchange time* \((t_x)\): time between two consecutive moves. (*Jung et al* 2004).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Not a glass</th>
<th>A glass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dynamic heterogeneity</strong></td>
<td>(\langle t_p \rangle = \langle t_x \rangle = \tau)</td>
<td>(\langle t_x \rangle \ll \langle t_p \rangle)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Facilitation</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Particles close to <em>excitation lines</em> diffuse more quickly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stokes-Einstein relations:</strong></td>
<td>(D\eta/T = \text{constant})</td>
<td>Satisfied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(D\tau_\alpha = \text{constant})</td>
<td>Broken, since (\tau_\alpha \approx \langle t_p \rangle) and (D \approx \delta x^2/\langle t_x \rangle): ((D\tau_\alpha \approx \delta x^2\langle t_p \rangle/\langle t_x \rangle))</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dynamic heterogeneity (bubbles of inactivity) and facilitation (excitation lines) are captured by kinetically constrained models (KCMs).
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Dynamic heterogeneity (bubbles of inactivity) and facilitation (excitation lines) are captured by **kinetically constrained models** (KCMs).

- One spatial dimension (discrete) + one temporal dimension (continuous)
- It is a coarse-grained model with $n_i = 1$ if active and $n_i = 0$ if inactive.
- Dynamic is controlled by a local **constraint**.
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Dynamic heterogeneity (bubbles of inactivity) and facilitation (excitation lines) are captured by **kinetically constrained models** (KCMs).

- One spatial dimension (discrete) + one temporal dimension (continuous)
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Statics vs Dynamics

- Non-conservative system
- Site \( i \) changes state with rate \( f_i[(1 - c)n_i + c(1 - n_i)] \), where \( c \) is the density of active sites and \( f_i \) is the kinetic constraint.
- \( f_i = 1 \) if constraint is satisfied and \( f_i = 0 \) otherwise.
- \( f_i \) depends on the neighbourhood but not on \( n_i \).
- Detailed balanced holds w.r.t Boltzmann distribution (Bernoulli product measure) with energy \( \sum_i n_i \) and inverse temperature \( \beta \left( c = 1/(1 + e^\beta) \right) \).
- Since statics is trivial, we need a dynamical description.
A dynamic observable: the Activity

Let $C(t)$ be a configuration of the system at time $t$. We define a (discrete) observable as

$$A[\text{trajectory}] = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \alpha(C(t_{k-1}) \rightarrow C(t_k)),$$

over $K$ changes of configurations between times 0 and $T$.

**Activity**

The activity is $K[\text{trajectory}] = \#\text{events}$, i.e. $\alpha(C(t_{k-1}) \rightarrow C(t_k)) = 1$ when configuration changes.
Evolution of a KCM

The master equation for the evolution of the probability $P(C, A, t)$ is

$$\frac{\partial P(C, A, t)}{\partial t} = \sum_{C'} W(C' \rightarrow C) P(C', A - \alpha(C' \rightarrow C), t) - r(C) P(C, A, t)$$

having measured a value $A$ of the observable between 0 and $t$.

$r(C) = \sum_{C'} W(C \rightarrow C')$ is the escape rate.

**Continuous time:** at each site there is a clock that changes the state $n_i$ after a time $\Delta t$ given by $p(\Delta t) = W(n_i \rightarrow 1 - n_i)e^{-W(n_i \rightarrow 1-n_i)\Delta t}$. 
To have certain dynamics one can *bias* a trajectory according to a parameter $s$, with trajectory with *lower than normal activity* ($s > 0$) or *higher than normal activity* ($s < 0$):

$$P[\text{trajectory}] \rightarrow P_s[\text{trajectory}] = \frac{P[\text{trajectory}]e^{-sK[\text{trajectory}]}}{Z_s},$$

where $Z_s = \langle e^{-sK[\text{trajectory}]} \rangle$.

For large observation times:

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} \frac{\ln Z_s}{t} \rightarrow \psi_K(s).$$

$\psi_K(s)$ is a **large deviation function**, also known as *dynamical free energy*. 
First order phase transition

\( \psi_K(s) \) presents a singularity at \( s = 0 \):
- \( s < 0 \): trajectory where \( \langle K_t \rangle \) is larger.
- \( s = 0 \): trajectory with coexistence of active and inactive regions.
- \( s > 0 \): trajectory where \( \langle K_t \rangle \) is smaller.

Figure: Active-Inactive transition (Garrahan et al (2007)).
Fredrickson-Andersen Model (FA-1f)

- The transition rates in the FA model are

\[
W(n_i \rightarrow 1 - n_i) = f_i(n_j) \frac{e^{\beta(n_i - 1)}}{1 + e^{-\beta}},
\]

where \( f_i = 1 \) if \( \sum_{j \sim i} n_j > 0 \), i.e. if there is at least one active site in the neighbourhood, otherwise \( f_i = 0 \).

- For an activation: \( W(0 \rightarrow 1) = f_i \frac{1}{1 + e^{\beta}} \). The term \( c = 1/(1 + e^{\beta}) \) is the density of active sites.

- Mean distance between excitations: \( l = 1/c \)
Typical histories (with $s = 0$) for different densities

Histories of length $L = 100$ and $t = 1000$ for $c = 0.1$ and $0.6$. 
Part 1
Describe bubbles of inactivity

There is not an effective model to understand the phase coexistence of activity and inactivity which is generic in glasses.

Such a model must describe how bubbles of inactivity evolve in space-time.

1. Order columns of inactivity
2. Columns $\rightarrow$ Nodes
3. Build adjacency matrix (from overlap of nodes)
4. Find bubbles by identifying one node, its neighbours,…
Statistics on bubbles

Focus on one bubble...
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Geometrical Properties

Area

Temporal extension

Temporal perimeter

Number of Nodes

Spatial extension

Spatial perimeter
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Histograms for the Area

Varying $L$: Curves superimpose for different $L$

Varying $c$: As $c$ decreases, bigger bubbles appear
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Statistics

Spatial Perimeter

\[
\langle P_S \rangle 
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
data & 
\zeta_1 = 0.000 \\
\zeta_2 & = 1.002 
\end{align*}
\]

\[
P_S \sim \Delta t^{1.002} \text{ for large bubbles}
\]
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Statistics

Area

\[ A \sim \Delta t^{1.470} \text{ for large bubbles, close to Brownian with } \zeta = 3/2. \]

*S. Majumdar et al. 2005
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Spatial extension

\[ \Delta x \sim \Delta t^{0.549} \] for large bubbles, bigger than Brownian where \( \zeta = 1/2 \)
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Statistics

Mean shape of bubbles

The probability that r.w. arrives to $x_1$ at time $t_1$ given that started at $x_0$ at time $t_0$ is:

$$P_\varepsilon(x_1, t_1 | x_0, t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi D(t_1 - t_0)}} \left[ e^{\frac{-(x_1-x_0-\varepsilon)^2}{2D(t_1-t_0)}} - e^{\frac{-(x_1-x_0+\varepsilon)^2}{2D(t_1-t_0)}} \right]$$

The mean value of $x(t, t_1)$ is:

$$\langle x(t, t_1) \rangle_{[t_0, t_1]} = \frac{\int_0^\infty dx \ x \ P_\varepsilon(x_1, t_1 | x, t) P_\varepsilon(x, t | x_0, t_0)}{\int_0^\infty dx P_\varepsilon(x_1, t_1 | x, t) P_\varepsilon(x, t | x_0, t_0)}$$
Numerical mean shape

By scaling average curves of bubbles with duration $\Delta t - \varepsilon < \Delta t < \Delta t + \varepsilon$ as $F'(\tau) \sim \Delta t^{-\zeta} f(\tau \Delta t)$.

$\zeta = 0.5$: 

$\zeta = 0.6$: 

![Graph 1](image1.png)

![Graph 2](image2.png)
Part 2
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Towards an Effective model

FA model for small $c$

At low temperature (small $c$) the borders of the bubbles of inactivity in the FA model have some few active sites (left). The dynamics in the FA can be reproduced by a model of branching-coalescence (right) (Whitelan, Berthier, Garrahan 2005).

Borders of activity look like random walkers that \textit{branch}, \textit{diffuse} and \textit{coalesce}. 
Branching-Coalescing process

We can simulate the system as a branching-coalescing process if
- it captures the same dynamics that the FA model
- Is valid at finite time scales
- Is analytically tractable
Model of branching coalescence

- Initial condition with density $c$ of active sites.
**Model of branching coalescence**

- Initial condition with density $c$ of active sites.
- A configuration $C$ changes after a time $\Delta t$ as $p(\Delta t) = r(C)e^{-r(C)\Delta t}$ where the escape rate is

$$r(C) = \sum_i f_i(C)[(1 - n_i)c + n_i(1 - c)] = r_{\text{act}}(C) + r_{\text{inact}}(C)$$

with activation and inactivation terms.
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(Towards an) Effective model

Model of branching coalescence

- Initial condition with density $c$ of active sites.
- A configuration $C$ changes after a time $\Delta t$ as $p(\Delta t) = r(C)e^{-r(C)\Delta t}$ where
- the escape rate is

$$r(C) = \sum_i f_i(C)\left[(1 - n_i)c + n_i(1 - c)\right] = r_{\text{act}}(C) + r_{\text{inact}}(C)$$

- An activation with probability: $p_{\text{act}} = \frac{r_{\text{act}}(C)}{r(C)}$ and an inactivation with
  probability: $p_{\text{inact}} = \frac{r_{\text{inact}}(C)}{r(C)}$. 
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(Towards an) Effective model

Model of branching coalescence

- Initial condition with density $c$ of active sites.
- A configuration $C$ changes after a time $\Delta t$ as $p(\Delta t) = r(C)e^{-r(C)\Delta t}$ where

  $$r(C) = \sum_i f_i(C)[(1 - n_i)c + n_i(1 - c)] = r_{\text{act}}(C) + r_{\text{inact}}(C)$$

- The escape rate is

- An activation with probability: $p_{\text{act}} = \frac{r_{\text{act}}(C)}{r(C)}$ and an inactivation with probability: $p_{\text{inact}} = \frac{r_{\text{inact}}(C)}{r(C)}$.
- A border of activity constrained to have maximum 3 active sites.
Model of branching coalescence

- Initial condition with density $c$ of active sites.
- A configuration $C$ changes after a time $\Delta t$ as $p(\Delta t) = r(C)e^{-r(C)\Delta t}$ where
  - the escape rate is
    \[ r(C) = \sum_i f_i(C)[(1 - n_i)c + n_i(1 - c)] = r_{\text{act}}(C) + r_{\text{inact}}(C) \]
  - An activation with probability: $p_{\text{act}} = \frac{r_{\text{act}}(C)}{r(C)}$ and an inactivation with probability: $p_{\text{inact}} = \frac{r_{\text{inact}}(C)}{r(C)}$.
- A border of activity constrained to have maximum 3 active sites.
Strategy

In *continuous space*: two random walkers will cross an infinite number of times.

In *discrete space*: is reflected in small bubbles. Focus on branching/coalescing points of large bubbles (of duration $T > 10$)
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(Towards an) Effective model

Analysis

The rates are the same by time reversal symmetry. How often do we have a branching/coalescing event?

To have just branching and coalescing points we use a contraction algorithm until there’s no isolated point...
Analysis

The rates are the same by time reversal *symmetry*. How often do we have a branching/coalescing event?

To have just branching and coalescing points we use a *contraction* algorithm until there’s no isolated point...
Analysis

The rates are the same by time reversal symmetry. How often do we have a branching/coalescing event?

To have just branching and coalescing points we use a contraction algorithm until there's no isolated point...
We end up with a brownian net with just branching and coalescing points.

How many events occur along the borders of a bubble? The frequency of branching/coalescing should not depend on size of bubbles.
Probability distribution of frequency $\nu$

We can study the PDF of the frequency $\nu$ of branching and coalescing events for different bubbles’ sizes.
Are branching/coalescing rates independent of bubble size?

Mean frequency $\bar{\nu}$:

Average number of events:
**Perspectives**

1. Check that the effective model from “brownian net” is realistic.
2. Convergence of the distribution of the event rates as statistics is increased
3. Determine average frequency $\bar{\nu}$?
4. How $\nu$ and $D$ are related to $c$? Scaling limits?
5. What information do we have about the phase coexistence?
6. Low temperature limits for other KCM?
7. For a biased dynamics ($s < 0$ or $s > 0$), what can be relevant from this simple model?
Thank you for listening!
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Order parameter

Activity

\[ K = \Delta t \sum_{t=0}^{t_{\text{obs}}} \sum_{i=1}^{L} (r_i(t + \Delta t) - r_i(t))^2 \]

where \( L \) is the total number of particles considered and \( t_{\text{obs}} \) is the amount of time the systems is observed.

The mean activity is

\[ \langle K \rangle = Lt_{\text{obs}} \langle (r_1(t + \Delta t) - r_1(t))^2 \rangle = 2dLt_{\text{obs}}D\Delta t \]
Tests

For an un**constrained model**, the probability of a configuration \( \vec{n} = (n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_L) \) is \( P_{eq}^{unc}(\vec{n}) = c^n(1 - c)^{L-n} \), therefore the average value of a site is

\[
\frac{1}{L} \left\langle \sum_i n_i \right\rangle^{unc} = c.
\]

For a **constrained model** where \( \sum_i n_i > 0 \), the probability has a normalization factor \( Z P_{eq}(\vec{n}) = \frac{c^n(1-c)^{L-n}}{Z} \) which is found to be \( Z = 1 - (1 - c)^L \). The average value of a site is

\[
\langle n_j \rangle_{eq} = \frac{c}{1 - (1 - c)^L}.
\]

We compare this value with the one obtained numerically. The bigger the lattice, the more accurate the numerical value is.
Temporal Perimeter
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Perimeter geometry

\[ P_T \sim \Delta t \text{ for all of the bubbles and } P_S \sim \Delta t \text{ for large bubbles.} \]