

Typical behaviour of extremes of chaotic dynamical systems for general observables

Tobias Kuna



Models from Statistical Mechanics
in Applied Sciences
12.9.2013,
University of Warwick

In collaboration with
V. Lucarini (Hamburg, Reading) J. Wouters (Hamburg),
D. Feranda (Hamburg)

- General theory of extremes
- Extremes for dynamical systems
- Distance vs generic observables
- Linear Response
- Open Problem

Extreme values, i.i.d. case

- Let X_i be i.i.d. RV.
- Extremes

$$M_n := \max_{i \leq n} X_i$$

in analogy to

$$S_n := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$$

- One says that X fulfils an extreme value law iff there exists normalizations

$$(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

such that

$$\frac{M_n - b_n}{a_n}$$

converges in distribution.

Extreme values, i.i.d. case

- $(a_n)_n$ and $(b_n)_n$ are essentially unique up to a scaling symmetry

$$x \mapsto ax + b, \quad a > 0$$

- Classification of limit laws
- Pareto-Fréchet

$$P(Y \leq x) = e^{-y^{-\alpha}} \quad y > 0$$

- Weibull, maximal value

$$P(Y \leq y) = e^{-(x_{max}-y)^\alpha} \quad y < x_{max}$$

- Gumbel

$$P(Y \leq y) = e^{-e^{-y}}$$

Domain of attraction of Extreme laws

- Let F be the cumulative distribution function
- Tippett-Fischer-Gnedenko theorem
- X has Pareto-Fréchet distributed Extreme law iff

$$1 - F(x) = x^{-\alpha} l_F(x)$$

where l_F are log-factors (slowly varying function)

- X has Weibull distributed Extreme law iff there exists $x_{max} := \inf\{x | F(x) = 1\}$ and

$$1 - F(x_{max} - x) = (x_{max} - x)^{\alpha} l_F(1/(x_{max} - x))$$

- Statistical prediction of unseen extreme events
- Easiest Pareto-Fréchet case
- Log-log plot gives

$$\ln(1 - F(e^{x+x_0})) \sim \alpha x + \ln(1 - F(e^{x_0}))$$

for a large enough threshold x_0 .

- In extremal regime one gets a line
- Extreme events can be predicted by linear interpolation

Extreme values for non i.i.d. case

- One need to check two properties
- Over-threshold: $P(X \geq a)$
- Some kind of mixing property
- If both hold; limit laws as in the i.i.d. case
- Recurrence of maxima is Poisson distributed

Extreme values for dynamical systems

- Let $\Phi_t : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a dynamical system, with

$$\Phi_{t+s} = \Phi_s \circ \Phi_t$$

- Large time behaviour controlled by invariant measure
- Krylov-Bogolyubov theorem

$$\mu := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T (\Phi_T)_\# \mu_0$$

- SRB measure: if μ_0 Lebesgue measure
- equivalent definition: small noise limit
- Roughly: dynamical system with random initial condition large times distributed w.r.t. SRB

Extreme values for dynamical systems

- Series of works: P. Collet, A. Freitas, J. Freitas, M. Todd, C. Gupta, M. Holland, M. Nicol, G. Turchetti, and S. Vaienti
- Observable: $A(x) := d(x, x_0)^\beta$.
- x_0 in the compact attractor of Φ_t
- Distribution of maxima

$$t_i := \inf\{t | A(t) \geq A(t_{i-1})\}$$

What is the distribution of $(A(t_i))_i$.

- Weibull distributed if strong enough mixing.

Extreme values for dynamical systems

- Idea of proof:
- Chaotic (hyperbolic systems)
- locally invariant split into subspace of expanding and contracting directions
- d_u dimension of expanding directions
- attractor splits locally along this split of subspaces
- attractor in stable direction like Cantor set
- d_s Hausdorff dimension of Cantor set
- local scaling of volume

$$\mu(d(x, x_0) < C) \sim C^{d_s + d_u}$$

Extreme values for dynamical systems

- Scaling behaviour of over thresholds

$$\frac{\mu(d(x, x_0)^\alpha > B + A_0)}{\mu(d(x, x_0)^\alpha > A_0)}$$

gives rise to Weibull index $(d_s + d_u)/\alpha$

- Mixing property implies proper extreme value distribution
- Restrictions
 - ① x_0 has to be in the attractor
 - ② low dimensional systems
 - ③ hyperbolic systems
 - ④ Geometric link between observable and attractor

Meteorological application

- High dimensional dynamical system
- Complicated attractor structure
- Observables are often additive like energy, momentum, density etc.
- Model uncertainty

Extreme values for generic observables

- Let A be a generic observable.
- As the attractor is very thin it has typically not the maximum on the attractor
- Locally around the maximum

$$A(x) = A(x_0) + \nabla A(x_0) \cdot x + \text{quadratic terms}$$

- Scaling of measure

$$\frac{\mu(A(x) \geq A(x_0) - T - B_0)}{\mu(A(x) \geq A(x_0) - B_0)} = C \left(1 - \frac{T}{(A(x_0) - B_0)} \right)^\delta$$

- $\delta = d_s + \frac{1}{2}d_u$.
- M. Holland, R. Vitolo, P. Rabassa, A. Sterk

Extreme values for generic observables

- Geometrical picture
- Denote the attractor by Ω
- locally A is linear
- locally Ω is a paraboloid
-

$$\mu(A(x) \geq A(x_0) - T)$$

paraboloid cut by plane

- unstable directions are normal to $\nabla A(x_0)$
- If $\nabla A(x_0)$ is not parallel to one of the stable directions
- then volumes scale like d_s .

Geometry Generic?

- Problem: hyperbolic theory works for generic points in attractor
- x_0 is by construction on surface
- Surface is of measure zero.
- Question: " $\nabla A(x_0)$ is not parallel to one of the stable directions" is **generic**?
- True for product of horse shoe
- False for generic differential deformation of horseshoe
- True for generic continuous deformation of horseshoe?
- Conclusion: Property is not stable in the the usual categories

Response theory for Extreme values

- Linear Response theory (rigorous D. Ruelle)
- Small perturbations of dynamics

$$\Phi_t \mapsto \Phi_t^{(\varepsilon)}$$

- Perturbative expansion
- for ergodic means of observables

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \int A(\Phi_t^{(\varepsilon)}(x)) dx \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int \nabla A(\Phi_n(x)) \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Phi_1^{(\varepsilon)}(x) \mu(dx) \end{aligned}$$

Response theory for Extreme values

- Shape parameters can be expressed via moments

$$\zeta^{(\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\frac{m_2 - m_1^2}{m_1^2}} \right)$$

where m_i are the moments of the conditional distribution over threshold

$$\mu(A(x) \geq \cdot + A_0 | A(x) \geq A_0)$$

Response theory for Extreme values

- By response theory we get that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \zeta^{(\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{d_s + d_u/2} \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} d_s^{(\varepsilon)}$$

- If we follow the conjecture for chaotic system that local scaling is the Kaplan-Yorke dimension

$$d_{KY} - d_u = d_s = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\lambda_k}{|\lambda_{n+1}|}$$

- For practical purposes $d_{KY}^{(\varepsilon)}$ should be smooth.

Open problem

- These are only hypothesis
- Numerical investigation.
- For low dimension depends on fine structure of system
- No universality, what is proper generalisation
- Influence of scales?
- Symmetry, indistinguishable particles
- Which category of conjugation of dynamical systems is appropriate
- Study of surface of attractor

- THANK YOU FOR YOU ATTENTION