

# On hitting times of bounded sets by random walks

Vlad Vysotsky

*Imperial College London,  
Arizona State University,  
St. Petersburg Division of Steklov Institute*

Warwick, May 19, 2015

## 1. The exit problem for random walks

Let  $S_n := x + X_1 + \dots + X_n$  be a random walk with i.i.d. increments  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ .

Use  $\mathbb{P}_x(\cdot)$  for the law of walk starting at  $x$  and  $\mathbb{E}_x f := \int f d\mathbb{P}_x$ .

Denote  $\tau_B := \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n \in B\}$  the hitting time of a set  $B$ .

A huge number of works is devoted to the asymptotic of  $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_B > n)$  under different assumptions of  $S_n$  and  $B$ .

## 1. The exit problem for random walks

Let  $S_n := x + X_1 + \dots + X_n$  be a random walk with i.i.d. increments  $X_1, X_2, \dots$ .

Use  $\mathbb{P}_x(\cdot)$  for the law of walk starting at  $x$  and  $\mathbb{E}_x f := \int f d\mathbb{P}_x$ .

Denote  $\tau_B := \inf\{n \geq 1 : S_n \in B\}$  the hitting time of a set  $B$ .

A huge number of works is devoted to the asymptotic of  $\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_B > n)$  under different assumptions of  $S_n$  and  $B$ .

- Unbounded  $B$ : a rather complete theory have been developed for  $B = (-\infty, 0) \subset \mathbb{R}$  (from Sparre-Andersen '50s to Rogozin '72). In higher dimensions, there are many result on exit times from cones (resent most by Denisov and Wachtel '14).
- Bounded  $B$ : much less was known (Kesten and Spitzer '63, Port and Stone '67).

**Kesten-Spitzer:** For *any* aperiodic RW in  $\mathbb{Z}^{1,2}$  and any *finite*  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^{1,2}$ , there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_B > n)}{\mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{\{0\}} > n)} := g_B(x), \quad x \notin B.$$

The hard case is that of recurrent random walks.

- For  $\mathbb{Z}^1$ , if  $S_n$  is centred and asymptotically  $\alpha$ -stable with  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{\{0\}} > n) \sim cn^{1/\alpha-1}L(n)$ .

Moreover, if  $\text{Var}(X_1) < \infty$ , then  $\alpha = 2$  and  $L(n) = \text{const}$ .

**Kesten-Spitzer:** For *any* aperiodic RW in  $\mathbb{Z}^{1,2}$  and any *finite*  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^{1,2}$ , there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_B > n)}{\mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{\{0\}} > n)} := g_B(x), \quad x \notin B.$$

The hard case is that of recurrent random walks.

- For  $\mathbb{Z}^1$ , if  $S_n$  is centred and asymptotically  $\alpha$ -stable with  $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ , then  $\mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{\{0\}} > n) \sim cn^{1/\alpha-1}L(n)$ .

Moreover, if  $\text{Var}(X_1) < \infty$ , then  $\alpha = 2$  and  $L(n) = \text{const}$ .

- $g_B(x)$  is **harmonic** for the **walk killed at hitting  $B$** , that is

$$g_B(x) = \mathbb{E}_x g_B(S_1) \text{ for } x \in B^c \text{ and } g(x) := 0 \text{ on } B.$$

Why:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_B > n+1) &= \int_B \mathbb{P}_y(\tau_B > n) \mathbb{P}_x(S_1 \in dy) \\ &\sim \mathbb{P}_0(\tau_{\{0\}} > n) \mathbb{E}_x g_B(S_1) \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau_B > 1\}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Physical interpretation:**  $g_B(x)$  is the potential energy of the field due to the unit equilibrium charge on  $B$ .

Spitzer made this rigorous: for any aperiodic recurrent walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^{1,2}$ , the **potential kernel**

$$a(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (\mathbb{P}_0(S_k = 0) - \mathbb{P}_x(S_k = 0))$$

exists and solves  $\Delta a = \delta_0$ , where  $\Delta = P - I$ . For any finite  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^{1,2}$ , the **equilibrium charge** on  $B$  is

$$\mu^*(y) = \begin{cases} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_z(S_{T-B} = -y), & d = 2 \text{ or } d = 1, \sigma^2 = \infty, \\ \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(S_{T-B} = -y) + \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(S_{T-B} = -y), & o/w. \end{cases}$$

**Physical interpretation:**  $g_B(x)$  is the potential energy of the field due to the unit equilibrium charge on  $B$ .

Spitzer made this rigorous: for any aperiodic recurrent walk in  $\mathbb{Z}^{1,2}$ , the **potential kernel**

$$a(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (\mathbb{P}_0(S_k = 0) - \mathbb{P}_x(S_k = 0))$$

exists and solves  $\Delta a = \delta_0$ , where  $\Delta = P - I$ . For any finite  $B \subset \mathbb{Z}^{1,2}$ , the **equilibrium charge** on  $B$  is

$$\mu^*(y) = \begin{cases} \lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \mathbb{E}_z(S_{T-B} = -y), & d = 2 \text{ or } d = 1, \sigma^2 = \infty, \\ \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(S_{T-B} = -y) + \frac{1}{2} \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} \mathbb{E}_x(S_{T-B} = -y), & o/w. \end{cases}$$

The potential  $h_B(x) := \sum_{y \in B} a(x-y)\mu^*(y)$  solves  $\Delta h_B = \mu^*$  and is constant on  $B$ , called the capacity. Then

$$g_B(x) = h_B(x) - \text{Cap}_B.$$

This is a very implicit representation.



## 2. Our assumptions and a lower bound

Assume that the walk is in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_1) := \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $M$  be the state space of the random walk, that is  $M := \lambda\mathbb{Z}$  if the walk is  $\lambda$ -arithmetic for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $M := \mathbb{R}$  if otherwise. Consider the basic case that  $B = (-d, d)$  for some  $d > 0$ . Put

$$p_n(x) := \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(-d,d)} > n), \quad x \notin B, x \in M.$$



## 2. Our assumptions and a lower bound

Assume that the walk is in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_1) := \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $M$  be the state space of the random walk, that is  $M := \lambda\mathbb{Z}$  if the walk is  $\lambda$ -arithmetic for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $M := \mathbb{R}$  if otherwise. Consider the basic case that  $B = (-d, d)$  for some  $d > 0$ . Put

$$p_n(x) := \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(-d,d)} > n), \quad x \notin B, x \in M.$$

Hitting times for half-lines: for any  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(-\infty,0)} > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{U_{\geq}(x)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}},$$

where  $U_{\geq}(x)$  is the renewal function. It is harmonic for the walk killed as it enters  $(-\infty, 0)$  and satisfies  $U_{\geq}(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(x - S_{\tau_{(-\infty,0)}})$ .

## 2. Our assumptions and a lower bound

Assume that the walk is in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_1) := \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Let  $M$  be the state space of the random walk, that is  $M := \lambda\mathbb{Z}$  if the walk is  $\lambda$ -arithmetic for some  $\lambda > 0$  and  $M := \mathbb{R}$  if otherwise. Consider the basic case that  $B = (-d, d)$  for some  $d > 0$ . Put

$$p_n(x) := \mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(-d,d)} > n), \quad x \notin B, x \in M.$$

Hitting times for half-lines: for any  $x \geq 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{P}_x(\tau_{(-\infty,0)} > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{U_{\geq}(x)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}},$$

where  $U_{\geq}(x)$  is the renewal function. It is harmonic for the walk killed as it enters  $(-\infty, 0)$  and satisfies  $U_{\geq}(x) = \mathbb{E}_x(x - S_{\tau_{(-\infty,0)}})$ .

Lower bound: for  $|x| \geq d$ , staying to one side of  $B$  gives

$$p_n(x) \geq \mathbb{P}_x(T_1 > n) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{U_d(x)}{\sigma\sqrt{n}}, \quad U_d(x) := \mathbb{E}_x|x - S_{T_1}|,$$

where  $T_1$  is the first moment of jump over either  $-d$  or  $d$ .

### 3. Results for the basic case

Let  $T_k$  be the moment of the  $k$ th jump over  $\{-d, d\}$  from the outside; let  $H_k := S_{T_k}$ ,  $k \geq 0$  be the overshoots; denote the # of jumps over  $(-d, d)$  before it is hit as  $\kappa := \min(k \geq 1 : |H_k| < d)$ .

#### Theorem 1

Let  $S_n$  be a random walk with  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 := \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Then for any  $d > 0$  and any  $x$  from the state space  $M$ ,

$$p_n(x) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} \frac{V_d(x)}{\sigma \sqrt{n}}, \quad V_d(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa} |H_i - H_{i-1}| \right].$$

Moreover, this holds uniformly for  $x = o(\sqrt{n})$ . Further,

- $V_d(x)$  is harmonic for the walk killed as it enters  $(-d, d)$ ;
- $0 < U_d(x) \leq V_d(x) < \infty$  for  $|x| \geq d$ ;
- $V_d(x) \sim |x|$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 4. Ideas of the proof

1. *It costs to jump over:*

There exists a  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(|H_1| \geq d) \leq \gamma.$$

This follows since  $H_1$  converge weakly as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  to the overshoots over “infinitely remote” levels.

## 4. Ideas of the proof

1. *It costs to jump over:*

There exists a  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(|H_1| \geq d) \leq \gamma.$$

This follows since  $H_1$  converge weakly as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  to the overshoots over “infinitely remote” levels.

2. *Regularity of  $p_n(x)$  in both  $x$  and  $n$  is needed.*

**Lemma:** For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $p_n(x) \leq C|x|n^{-1/2}$ .

Roughly,  $\mathbb{E}_x p_{n-T_1}(H_1) \mathbb{1}_{\{|H_1| \geq d, T_1 \leq n\}}$  is controlled by  $\mathbb{E}_x |H_1|$ .

## 4. Ideas of the proof

1. *It costs to jump over:*

There exists a  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}_x(|H_1| \geq d) \leq \gamma.$$

This follows since  $H_1$  converge weakly as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$  to the overshoots over “infinitely remote” levels.

2. *Regularity of  $p_n(x)$  in both  $x$  and  $n$  is needed.*

**Lemma:** For any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $n \geq 1$ ,  $p_n(x) \leq C|x|n^{-1/2}$ .

Roughly,  $\mathbb{E}_x p_{n-T_1}(H_1) \mathbb{1}_{\{|H_1| \geq d, T_1 \leq n\}}$  is controlled by  $\mathbb{E}_x |H_1|$ .

3. *The mechanism of stabilisation:*

For any  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  it holds that

$$\mathbb{E}_x |H_1| \leq \alpha|x| + K(\alpha), \quad |x| \geq d.$$

This follows from the known  $\mathbb{E}_x |H_1| = o(|x|)$  as  $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ .

## 5. General sets

Denote  $T'_k$  the moments of jumps over  $\{\inf B, \sup B\}$ ;  $H'_k := S'_{T'_k}$  the overshoots; and put  $\kappa' := \min\{k \geq 1 : T'_k \geq \tau_B\}$ .

## 5. General sets

Denote  $T'_k$  the moments of jumps over  $\{\inf B, \sup B\}$ ;  $H'_k := S'_{T'_k}$  the overshoots; and put  $\kappa' := \min\{k \geq 1 : T'_k \geq \tau_B\}$ .

### Theorem 2

Assume that  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 := \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $B$  is a bounded Borel set with the non-empty  $\text{Int}_M(B)$ . Then for any  $x \in M$ ,

$$p'_n(x) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}V_B(x)}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi n}}, \quad V_B(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa'} |H'_i - H'_{i-1}| \mathbb{1}_{\{H'_{i-1} \notin \text{Conv}(B)\}} \right].$$

Moreover, this holds uniformly for  $x = o(\sqrt{n})$ . It is true that  $0 < V_B(x) < \infty$  for  $x \notin \text{Conv}(B)$  and clearly,  $V_{(-d,d)}(x) = V_d(x)$ .



## 5. General sets

Denote  $T'_k$  the moments of jumps over  $\{\inf B, \sup B\}$ ;  $H'_k := S'_{T'_k}$  the overshoots; and put  $\kappa' := \min\{k \geq 1 : T'_k \geq \tau_B\}$ .

### Theorem 2

Assume that  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{E}X_1^2 := \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ , and  $B$  is a bounded Borel set with the non-empty  $\text{Int}_M(B)$ . Then for any  $x \in M$ ,

$$p'_n(x) \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}V_B(x)}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi n}}, \quad V_B(x) := \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{\kappa'} |H'_i - H'_{i-1}| \mathbb{1}_{\{H'_{i-1} \notin \text{Conv}(B)\}} \right].$$

Moreover, this holds uniformly for  $x = o(\sqrt{n})$ . It is true that  $0 < V_B(x) < \infty$  for  $x \notin \text{Conv}(B)$  and clearly,  $V_{(-d,d)}(x) = V_d(x)$ .

### Heuristics

1. It costs exponentially in time to stay within  $\text{Conv}(B) \setminus B$ .
2. Each return from  $B^c$  to  $\text{Conv}(B) \setminus B$  costs multiplicatively.
3. The rest is as in the basic case.

## 6. Conditional functional limit theorem

Define  $\hat{S}_n(t)$ : for  $t = k/n$  with a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  put  $\hat{S}_n(k/n) := S_k/(\sigma\sqrt{n})$ , and define the other values by linear interpolation.

### Theorem 3

Under assumptions of Thm 2, for any  $x \in M$  such that  $V_B(x) > 0$ ,

$$\text{Law}_x(\hat{S}_n(\cdot)|\tau_B > n) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} \text{Law}(\rho W_+) \quad \text{in } C[0, 1],$$

where  $W_+$  is a Brownian meander,  $\rho$  is a r.v. independent of  $W_+$  with the distribution given by  $\mathbb{P}(\rho = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2} \pm \frac{x - \mathbb{E}_x S_{\tau_B}}{2V_B(x)}$ .

For integer-valued asymptotically  $\alpha$ -stable walks ( $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ ) the weak convergence was proved by Belkin '72.

## 7. Applications to the largest problem

Define the largest gap (maximal spacing) within the range of  $S_n$ :

$$\text{Gap}(\{S_k\}_{k \geq 1}^n) := G_n := \max_{1 \leq k \leq n-1} (S_{(k+1,n)} - S_{(k,n)}),$$

where  $m_n := S_{(1,n)} \leq S_{(2,n)} \leq \dots \leq S_{(n,n)} =: M_n$  denote the elements of  $S_1, \dots, S_n$  arranged in the weakly ascending order.

### Theorem 4

If  $\mathbb{E}X_1 = 0$ ,  $\text{Var}(X_1) < \infty$ , then

$$G_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}} G,$$

where  $G$  is a non-degenerate proper random variable.