

Null sequences which are defined by ℓ_p spaces

Kati Ain

University of Tartu

Relations Between Banach Space Theory and
Geometric Measure Theory

We establish numerous equivalences for a sequence (x_n) in a Banach space X to be a (p, r) -null sequence.

The talk is based on the following paper:

K. Ain, E. Oja, On (p, r) -null sequences and their relatives, Math. Nachr. (2015) DOI: [10.1002/mana.201400300](https://doi.org/10.1002/mana.201400300).

The (p, r) -compactness

Throughout:

X Banach space, B_X its closed unit ball.

$1 \leq p < \infty$, $1 \leq r \leq p^*$ ($1/p + 1/p^* = 1$).

Definition (A–Lillemets–Oja, 2012)

$K \subset X$ is **relatively (p, r) -compact** if

$K \subset (p, r)\text{-conv}(x_n) := \{\sum_n a_n x_n : (a_n) \in B_{\ell_r}\}$ (where $(a_n) \in B_{c_0}$ if $r = \infty$) for some $(x_n) \in \ell_p(X)$.

Definition (A–Lillemets–Oja, 2012)

$T \in \mathcal{K}_{(p,r)}(X, Y)$, i.e., linear $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is **(p, r) -compact** if $T(B_X) \subset Y$ is relatively (p, r) -compact in Y .

- ▶ (p, p^*) -compactness = p -compactness (Sinha–Karn, 2002)

p -null sequences

Definition (Delgado–Piñeiro, 2011)

$(x_n) \subset X$ is **p -null** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $(z_k) \in \varepsilon B_{\ell_p(X)}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in (p, p^*)$ -conv (z_k) , $n \geq N$.

Theorem (Delgado–Piñeiro–Oja)

$(x_n) \subset X$ is p -null $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ is null and relatively p -compact.

Proof of Theorem relies

- ▶ in [Delgado–Piñeiro, 2011] on a version of approximation property depending on p – special case of X ,
- ▶ in [Oja, 2012] on the description of the space of p -null sequences as Chevet–Saphar tensor product,
- ▶ in [Lassalle–Turco, 2014] on the use of the Carl–Stephani theory.

Motivation

- Prove the Theorem in some general (p, r) -case.
- Use the Carl–Stephani theory in a more efficient way.
- Use the developed direct method for further extended cases.

Preliminaries

\mathbf{b} - the class of all bounded subsets in all Banach spaces

$\mathbf{g}(X)$:= subsets of X that are of type \mathbf{g} where $\mathbf{g} \subset \mathbf{b}$

- \mathbf{w} := all relatively weakly compact subsets in all Banach spaces
- \mathbf{k} := all relatively compact subsets in all Banach spaces
- $\mathbf{k}_{(p,r)}$:= all relatively (p, r) -compact subsets in all Banach spaces

For an operator ideal \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{g}) \subset \mathbf{b}$ is given by

$\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{g})(X) := \{E \subset X : E \subset T(F) \text{ for some } F \in \mathbf{g}(Y) \text{ and } T \in \mathcal{A}(Y, X)\}$

Proposition (Grothendieck)

$$\mathbf{k} = \overline{\mathcal{F}}(\mathbf{b}) = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{b}).$$

Theorem

$$\mathbf{k}_{(p,r)} = \mathcal{N}_{(p,1,r^*)}(\mathbf{k}) = \mathcal{K}_{(p,r)}(\mathbf{k}).$$

Carl-Stephani theory

Let \mathcal{A} be an operator ideal

Definition (Carl–Stephani, 1985)

$(x_n) \subset X$ is **\mathcal{A} -null** if there exist a Banach space Y , $(y_n) \in c_0(Y)$, and $T \in \mathcal{A}(Y, X)$ such that $x_n = Ty_n \forall n$.

Definition (Carl–Stephani, 1985)

$K \subset X$ is **\mathcal{A} -compact** if $K \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k})(X)$.

Theorem (Lassalle–Turco, 2012)

$(x_n) \subset X$ is \mathcal{A} -null $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ is null and \mathcal{A} -compact.

(p, r) -null sequences

Definition (A–Oja, 2012)

$(x_n) \subset X$ is **(p, r) -null** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $(z_k) \in \varepsilon B_{\ell_p(X)}$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in (p, r)\text{-conv}(z_k)$, $n \geq N$.

▶ $(p, p^*)\text{-null} = p\text{-null}$

Definition

$(x_n) \subset X$ **uniformly (p, r) -null** if there exists $(z_k) \in B_{\ell_p(X)}$ with the following property: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in \varepsilon (p, r)\text{-conv}(z_k)$ for all $n \geq N$.

Main theorem

Theorem (Delgado–Piñeiro–Oja)

$(x_n) \subset X$ is p -null $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ is null and relatively p -compact.

Theorem

For $(x_n) \subset X$ TFAE:

- 1 (x_n) is (p, r) -null,
- 2 (x_n) is null and relatively (p, r) -compact,
- 3 (x_n) is null and $\mathcal{N}_{(p,1,r^*)}$ -compact,
- 4 (x_n) is null and $\mathcal{K}_{(p,r)}$ -compact,
- 5 (x_n) is $\mathcal{N}_{(p,1,r^*)}$ -null,
- 6 (x_n) is $\mathcal{K}_{(p,r)}$ -null,
- 7 (x_n) is uniformly (p, r) -null.

Definition

$K \subset X$ is **relatively unconditionally (p, r) -compact** (i.e., $K \in \mathbf{u}_{(p,r)}(X)$) if $K \subset (p, r)\text{-conv}(x_n) := \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n : (a_n) \in B_{\ell_r}\}$ for some $(x_n) \in \ell_p^u(X)$.

Definition

Linear $T : Y \rightarrow X$ is **unconditionally (p, r) -compact** (i.e., $T \in \mathcal{U}_{(p,r)}(Y, X)$) if $T(B_Y) \in \mathbf{u}_{(p,r)}(X)$.

Definition

$(x_n) \subset X$ **unconditionally (p, r) -null** if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(z_k) \in \ell_p^u(X)$ with $\|(z_k)\|_p^w \leq \varepsilon$ such that $x_n \in (p, r)\text{-conv}(z_k)$ for all $n \geq N$.

Definition

$(x_n) \subset X$ **uniformly unconditionally (p, r) -null** if there exists $(z_k) \in B_{\ell_p^u(X)}$ with the following property: for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x_n \in \varepsilon (p, r)\text{-conv}(z_k)$ for all $n \geq N$.

Theorem

For $(x_n) \subset X$ TFAE:

- 1 (x_n) is unconditionally (p, r) -null,
- 2 (x_n) is null and relatively unconditionally (p, r) -compact,
- 3 (x_n) is null and $\mathcal{N}_{(\infty, p^*, r^*)}$ -compact,
- 4 (x_n) is null and $\mathcal{U}_{(p, r)}$ -compact,
- 5 (x_n) is $\mathcal{N}_{(\infty, p^*, r^*)}$ -null,
- 6 (x_n) is $\mathcal{U}_{(p, r)}$ -null,
- 7 (x_n) is uniformly unconditionally (p, r) -null.

Theorem (Kim 2014)

$(x_n) \subset X$ is unconditionally p -null $\Leftrightarrow (x_n)$ is null and relatively unconditionally p -compact.

Definition

$K \subset X$ is **relatively weakly (p, r) -compact** (i.e., $K \in \mathbf{w}_{(p,r)}(X)$) if $K \subset (p, r)\text{-conv}(x_n) := \{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n x_n : (a_n) \in B_{\ell_r}\}$ for some $(x_n) \in \ell_p^w(X)$

Definition

Linear $T : Y \rightarrow X$ is **weakly (p, r) -compact** (i.e., $T \in \mathcal{W}_{(p,r)}(Y, X)$) if $T(B_Y) \in \mathbf{w}_{(p,r)}(X)$.

Definition

$(x_n) \subset X$ is **weakly (p, r) -null** if for every $x^* \in X^*$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $(z_k) \in \ell_p^w(X)$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x^*(x_n)| \leq \varepsilon$ and $x_n \in (p, r)\text{-conv}(z_k)$ for all $n \geq N$.

Definition

$(x_n) \subset X$ is **uniformly weakly (p, r) -null** if there exists $(z_k) \in \ell_p^w(X)$ with the following property: for every $x^* \in X^*$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x^*(x_n)| \leq \varepsilon$ and $x_n \in (p, r)\text{-conv}(z_k)$ for all $n \geq N$.

Definition

$(x_n) \subset X$ is **weakly \mathcal{A} -null** if there exist a Banach space Y , a weakly null sequence (y_n) in Y , and $T \in \mathcal{A}(Y, X)$ such that $x_n = Ty_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition

$K \subset X$ is **weakly \mathcal{A} -compact** if $K \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{w})(X)$ where \mathbf{w} denotes the class of all relatively weakly compact sets.

Theorem

Let $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $1 < r \leq p^*$ with $r < \infty$ if $p = 1$. For $(x_n) \subset X$ TFAE:

- 1 (x_n) is weakly (p, r) -null,
- 2 (x_n) is weakly null and relatively weakly (p, r) -compact,
- 3 (x_n) is weakly null and weakly $\mathcal{W}_{(p,r)}$ -compact,
- 4 (x_n) is weakly $\mathcal{W}_{(p,r)}$ -null,
- 5 (x_n) is uniformly weakly (p, r) -null.

Thank you!