

Separable elastic Banach spaces are universal

Bünyamin Sarı, University of North Texas
joint with Dale Alspach

Warwick, 2015

Elastic Banach space

[**Johnson-Odell, '05**] A Banach space X is K -*elastic* if every isomorph of X K -embeds into X ;

$$X' \approx X \implies X' \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Elastic Banach space

[**Johnson-Odell, '05**] A Banach space X is *K-elastic* if every isomorph of X K -embeds into X ;

$$X' \approx X \implies X' \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Equivalently,

$$Y \hookrightarrow X \implies Y \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Elastic Banach space

[**Johnson-Odell, '05**] A Banach space X is K -*elastic* if every isomorph of X K -embeds into X ;

$$X' \approx X \implies X' \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Equivalently,

$$Y \hookrightarrow X \implies Y \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Say X is *elastic* if it is K -elastic for some $K < \infty$.

Elastic Banach space

[**Johnson-Odell, '05**] A Banach space X is K -*elastic* if every isomorph of X K -embeds into X ;

$$X' \approx X \implies X' \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Equivalently,

$$Y \hookrightarrow X \implies Y \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Say X is *elastic* if it is K -elastic for some $K < \infty$.

Example. $C[0, 1]$ is 1-elastic.

Elastic Banach space

[**Johnson-Odell, '05**] A Banach space X is K -*elastic* if every isomorph of X K -embeds into X ;

$$X' \approx X \implies X' \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Equivalently,

$$Y \hookrightarrow X \implies Y \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Say X is *elastic* if it is K -elastic for some $K < \infty$.

Example. $C[0, 1]$ is 1-elastic. So if $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$, then X is elastic.

Elastic Banach space

[**Johnson-Odell, '05**] A Banach space X is K -*elastic* if every isomorph of X K -embeds into X ;

$$X' \approx X \implies X' \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Equivalently,

$$Y \hookrightarrow X \implies Y \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Say X is *elastic* if it is K -elastic for some $K < \infty$.

Example. $C[0, 1]$ is 1-elastic. So if $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$, then X is elastic.

Conjecture [JO] If X is (separable, ∞ -dim) elastic, then $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$.

Elastic Banach space

[**Johnson-Odell, '05**] A Banach space X is K -*elastic* if every isomorph of X K -embeds into X ;

$$X' \approx X \implies X' \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Equivalently,

$$Y \hookrightarrow X \implies Y \overset{K}{\hookrightarrow} X.$$

Say X is *elastic* if it is K -elastic for some $K < \infty$.

Example. $C[0, 1]$ is 1-elastic. So if $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$, then X is elastic.

Conjecture [JO] If X is (separable, ∞ -dim) elastic, then $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$.

Theorem [Alspach, S.] If X is separable elastic infinite dimensional, then $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$.

The context this notion arises

Given X , the diameter of the isomorphism class

$$D(X) = \sup\{d(X_1, X_2) : X_1 \approx X \approx X_2\}$$

where d is the Banach-Mazur distance.

The context this notion arises

Given X , the diameter of the isomorphism class

$$D(X) = \sup\{d(X_1, X_2) : X_1 \approx X \approx X_2\}$$

where d is the Banach-Mazur distance.

Theorem [JO] For separable infinite dimensional X , $D(X) = +\infty$.

The context this notion arises

Given X , the diameter of the isomorphism class

$$D(X) = \sup\{d(X_1, X_2) : X_1 \approx X \approx X_2\}$$

where d is the Banach-Mazur distance.

Theorem [JO] For separable infinite dimensional X , $D(X) = +\infty$.

Observe: $D(X) < \infty \implies X'$ is $D(X)$ -elastic for all $X' \approx X$.

The context this notion arises

So sufficient to prove

Theorem [JO] If X is separable and X' is K -elastic for all $X' \approx X$, then X is finite dimensional.

The context this notion arises

So sufficient to prove

Theorem [JO] If X is separable and X' is K -elastic for all $X' \approx X$, then X is finite dimensional.

Steps of the proof

1. X separable elastic $\implies c_0 \hookrightarrow X$.

(A tricky Bourgain index argument, separability needed here.)

The context this notion arises

So sufficient to prove

Theorem [JO] If X is separable and X' is K -elastic for all $X' \approx X$, then X is finite dimensional.

Steps of the proof

1. X separable elastic $\implies c_0 \hookrightarrow X$.

(A tricky Bourgain index argument, separability needed here.)

2. For every $C < \infty$ construct weakly null normalized $(x_n) \in X$ and equivalent norm $|\cdot|_C$ on X so that every subsequence has length $n = n(C)$ blocks $(y_i)_1^n$ which are badly ($\geq C$) unconditional.

(Start with c_0 -sequence, construct a family of bad renormings and embed back using elastic, and use [AOST] to construct a dominating one away from c_0 and ℓ_1 and do Maurey-Rosenthal.)

The context this notion arises

So sufficient to prove

Theorem [JO] If X is separable and X' is K -elastic for all $X' \approx X$, then X is finite dimensional.

Steps of the proof

1. X separable elastic $\implies c_0 \hookrightarrow X$.
(A tricky Bourgain index argument, separability needed here.)
2. For every $C < \infty$ construct weakly null normalized $(x_n) \in X$ and equivalent norm $|\cdot|_C$ on X so that every subsequence has length $n = n(C)$ blocks $(y_i)_1^n$ which are badly ($\geq C$) unconditional.
(Start with c_0 -sequence, construct a family of bad renormings and embed back using elastic, and use [AOST] to construct a dominating one away from c_0 and ℓ_1 and do Maurey-Rosenthal.)
3. But every X with a normalized basis can be renormed $|\cdot|_n$ so that all blocks of length n are 3 unconditional while the basis is still normalized in $|\cdot|_n$.
(Easy.)

The new proof of the diameter theorem

Once we prove

$$X \text{ separable elastic} \implies C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X,$$

the proof of [JO] becomes an easy observation:

Let (e_i) be a monotone normalized basis in $C[0, 1]$. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $|\cdot|_n$ be a renorming of $C[0, 1]$ so that every normalized block sequence $(x_i)_1^n$ of (e_i) of length n is 3-unconditional in $|\cdot|_n$ (The easy Step 3 above). By assumption, $C[0, 1]$ K -embeds into $(C[0, 1], |\cdot|_n)$. Since the basis (e_i) is reproducible, there exists a block sequence (u_i) of the basis in $(C[0, 1], |\cdot|_n)$ that is $K + \varepsilon$ equivalent to (e_i) . So then (e_i) must be block n unconditional with constant $3(K + \varepsilon)$. Since n is arbitrary and (e_i) is not unconditional, this is a contradiction.

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The Main Theorem. Let X be a separable elastic Banach space. If a sequence of $C_0(\alpha_n)$ spaces embed into X where each $\alpha_n < \omega_1$, then $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_0(\alpha_n)\right)_{c_0}$ embeds into X .

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The Main Theorem. Let X be a separable elastic Banach space. If a sequence of $C_0(\alpha_n)$ spaces embed into X where each $\alpha_n < \omega_1$, then $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_0(\alpha_n)\right)_{c_0}$ embeds into X .

This shows $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$ since

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The Main Theorem. Let X be a separable elastic Banach space. If a sequence of $C_0(\alpha_n)$ spaces embed into X where each $\alpha_n < \omega_1$, then $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_0(\alpha_n)\right)_{c_0}$ embeds into X .

This shows $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$ since

- $C_0(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}) \approx C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha n}})$ for all n , and $\left(\sum_{n=1}^n C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha n}})\right)_{c_0} \approx C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha+1}})$. Similar for limit ordinals α .

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The Main Theorem. Let X be a separable elastic Banach space. If a sequence of $C_0(\alpha_n)$ spaces embed into X where each $\alpha_n < \omega_1$, then $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_0(\alpha_n)\right)_{c_0}$ embeds into X .

This shows $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$ since

- $C_0(\omega^{\omega^\alpha}) \approx C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha n}})$ for all n , and $\left(\sum_{n=1}^n C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha n}})\right)_{c_0} \approx C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha+1}})$. Similar for limit ordinals α .
- So the Main theorem yields $C(\alpha) \hookrightarrow X$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$.

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The Main Theorem. Let X be a separable elastic Banach space. If a sequence of $C_0(\alpha_n)$ spaces embed into X where each $\alpha_n < \omega_1$, then $\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_0(\alpha_n)\right)_{c_0}$ embeds into X .

This shows $C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$ since

- $C_0(\omega^{\alpha}) \approx C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha n}})$ for all n , and $\left(\sum_{n=1}^n C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha n}})\right)_{c_0} \approx C_0(\omega^{\omega^{\alpha+1}})$. Similar for limit ordinals α .
- So the Main theorem yields $C(\alpha) \hookrightarrow X$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1$.
- **Bourgain.** $C(\alpha) \hookrightarrow X$ for all $\alpha < \omega_1 \implies C[0, 1] \hookrightarrow X$.

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The proof requires

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The proof requires

- a ‘higher dimensional’ Bourgain index argument,

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The proof requires

- a ‘higher dimensional’ Bourgain index argument,

and effective (algorithmic) versions of

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The proof requires

- a ‘higher dimensional’ Bourgain index argument,

and effective (algorithmic) versions of
- the weak injectivity of $C(\alpha)$ (**Pelczynski**)

Embedding $C[0, 1]$

The proof requires

- a ‘higher dimensional’ Bourgain index argument,

and effective (algorithmic) versions of
- the weak injectivity of $C(\alpha)$ (**Pelczynski**)
- the reproducibility property of their canonical bases (**Lindenstrauss-Pelczynski**)

An ordinal index for direct sums

$(\sum Y_n)$ -trees \mathcal{T} on X with constants C, D .

An ordinal index for direct sums

$(\sum Y_n)_Z$ -trees \mathcal{T} on X with constants C, D .

Let Z be a space with a 1-unconditional basis (z_n) , $(\sum Y_n)_Z$ be the direct sum of spaces Y_n with norm $\|\cdot\|_n$ with respect to (z_n) . Consider a tree \mathcal{T} of tuples consisting of pairs of subspaces and isomorphisms

$$((X_1, T_1), (X_2, T_2), \dots, (X_k, T_k)),$$

where $X_n \subseteq X$ and $T_n : X_n \rightarrow Y_n$ such that $\|T_n\| \leq C$, $\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq 1$, and for all $x_n \in X_n$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^k x_n \right\| \leq \|(T_n x_n)\|_Z \leq D \left\| \sum_{n=1}^k x_n \right\|, \quad 1 \leq n \leq k.$$

Partially order \mathcal{T} by extension.

An ordinal index for direct sums

Theorem. Let Z be a Banach space with a normalized 1-unconditional basis (z_n) , and let X and $Y_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$ be separable Banach spaces. If \mathcal{T} is a $(\sum Y_n)_Z$ -tree in X with index ω_1 and constants C, D , then X contains a subspace which is D -isomorphic to $(\sum Y_n)_Z$.

A glimpse into the proof

Want to show for all $\epsilon > 0$ and limit $\alpha < \omega_1$, X contains a $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -tree of order at least α with both constants $K(1 + \epsilon)$.

A glimpse into the proof

Want to show for all $\epsilon > 0$ and limit $\alpha < \omega_1$, X contains a $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -tree of order at least α with both constants $K(1 + \epsilon)$.

For this construct Banach spaces V^α , $\alpha < \omega_1$ which are isomorphic to subspaces of X and contain $(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -trees of order α for each $N \geq 1$.

A glimpse into the proof

Want to show for all $\epsilon > 0$ and limit $\alpha < \omega_1$, X contains a $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -tree of order at least α with both constants $K(1 + \epsilon)$.

For this construct Banach spaces V^α , $\alpha < \omega_1$ which are isomorphic to subspaces of X and contain $(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -trees of order α for each $N \geq 1$.

We will show each Y_n has a **good basis** (*complementably reproducible*) $(y_{n,k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$. This means that for every embeddings $T_n : Y_n \rightarrow X$ with $\|T_n\| \leq K$ and $\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq 1$, we can find subsequences $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n}$ such that

- $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n} \approx (y_{n,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

A glimpse into the proof

Want to show for all $\epsilon > 0$ and limit $\alpha < \omega_1$, X contains a $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -tree of order at least α with both constants $K(1 + \epsilon)$.

For this construct Banach spaces V^α , $\alpha < \omega_1$ which are isomorphic to subspaces of X and contain $(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -trees of order α for each $N \geq 1$.

We will show each Y_n has a **good basis** (*complementably reproducible*) $(y_{n,k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$. This means that for every embeddings $T_n : Y_n \rightarrow X$ with $\|T_n\| \leq K$ and $\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq 1$, we can find subsequences $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n}$ such that

- $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n} \approx (y_{n,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $(T_n y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is (equivalent to) a block basis,

A glimpse into the proof

Want to show for all $\epsilon > 0$ and limit $\alpha < \omega_1$, X contains a $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -tree of order at least α with both constants $K(1 + \epsilon)$.

For this construct Banach spaces V^α , $\alpha < \omega_1$ which are isomorphic to subspaces of X and contain $(\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} Y_n)_{c_0}$ -trees of order α for each $N \geq 1$.

We will show each Y_n has a **good basis** (*complementably reproducible*) $(y_{n,k})_{k=1}^{\infty}$. This means that for every embeddings $T_n : Y_n \rightarrow X$ with $\|T_n\| \leq K$ and $\|T_n^{-1}\| \leq 1$, we can find subsequences $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n}$ such that

- $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n} \approx (y_{n,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$,
- $(T_n y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is (equivalent to) a block basis,
- for each m there is a projection $\|P_m\| \lesssim K$ from $V = [T_n y_{n,k} : k \in K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}]$ onto $[T_m y_{m,k} : k \in K_m]$ with $P_m y \approx 0$ for all $y \in [T_n y_{n,k} : k \in K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq m]$.

A glimpse into the proof

Construction of V^ω

A glimpse into the proof

Construction of V^ω

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define a norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ on $V = [T_n y_{n,k} : k \in K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}]$ by
(resetting constants)

$$\|y\|_i = \sup \left\{ \|R_m T_m^{-1} P_m y\| : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \vee \frac{\|y\|}{iC},$$

where C is good basis constant, R_n be the basis equivalence from $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n}$ to $(y_{n,k})_{k=1}^\infty$.

A glimpse into the proof

Construction of V^ω

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define a norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ on $V = [T_n y_{n,k} : k \in K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}]$ by
(resetting constants)

$$\|y\|_i = \sup \left\{ \|R_m T_m^{-1} P_m y\| : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \vee \frac{\|y\|}{iC},$$

where C is good basis constant, R_n be the basis equivalence from $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n}$ to $(y_{n,k})_{k=1}^\infty$.

This is an equivalent norm on V .

A glimpse into the proof

Construction of V^ω

For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define a norm $\|\cdot\|_i$ on $V = [T_n y_{n,k} : k \in K_n, n \in \mathbb{N}]$ by
(resetting constants)

$$\|y\|_i = \sup \left\{ \|R_m T_m^{-1} P_m y\| : m \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \vee \frac{\|y\|}{iC},$$

where C is good basis constant, R_n be the basis equivalence from $(y_{n,k})_{k \in K_n}$ to $(y_{n,k})_{k=1}^\infty$.

This is an equivalent norm on V .

For each i , let $V^i = (V, \|\cdot\|_i)$. V^i 's have good bases. Embed back into X using K -elastic, reset the constants to get V^ω .

Bases in $C(\alpha)$

The standard bases $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=0}^\infty$ of $C(\omega^\alpha)$ are described inductively.

Bases in $C(\alpha)$

The standard bases $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=0}^\infty$ of $C(\omega^\alpha)$ are described inductively.

- For $C(\omega)$, let $x_0^1 = 1_{(0,\omega]}$ and $x_n^1 = 1_{\{n\}}$ for all $n < \omega$.

Bases in $C(\alpha)$

The standard bases $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=0}^\infty$ of $C(\omega^\alpha)$ are described inductively.

- For $C(\omega)$, let $x_0^1 = 1_{(0,\omega]}$ and $x_n^1 = 1_{\{n\}}$ for all $n < \omega$.
- If the basis $(x_n^{\gamma-1})_n$ for $C(\omega^{\gamma-1})$ is defined for each $k < \omega$ let $x_{k,n}^{\gamma-1}$ have support in $(\omega^\gamma(k-1), \omega^\gamma k]$ and satisfy

$$x_{k,n}^{\gamma-1}(\rho) = x_n^{\gamma-1}(\rho - \omega^{\gamma-1}(k-1)) \text{ for } \omega^{\gamma-1}(k-1) < \rho \leq \omega^{\gamma-1}k.$$

Bases in $C(\alpha)$

The standard bases $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=0}^\infty$ of $C(\omega^\alpha)$ are described inductively.

- For $C(\omega)$, let $x_0^1 = 1_{(0,\omega]}$ and $x_n^1 = 1_{\{n\}}$ for all $n < \omega$.
- If the basis $(x_n^{\gamma-1})_n$ for $C(\omega^{\gamma-1})$ is defined for each $k < \omega$ let $x_{k,n}^{\gamma-1}$ have support in $(\omega^\gamma(k-1), \omega^\gamma k]$ and satisfy

$$x_{k,n}^{\gamma-1}(\rho) = x_n^{\gamma-1}(\rho - \omega^{\gamma-1}(k-1)) \text{ for } \omega^{\gamma-1}(k-1) < \rho \leq \omega^{\gamma-1}k.$$

- If γ is a limit fix $(\gamma_k) \nearrow \gamma$, and let $x_{k,n}^\gamma$ have support in $(\omega^{\gamma_{k-1}}, \omega^{\gamma_k}]$ and satisfy

$$x_{k,n}^\gamma(\rho) = x_n^{\gamma_k}(\rho - \omega^{\gamma_{k-1}}), \text{ for } \omega^{\gamma_{k-1}} < \rho \leq \omega^{\gamma_k}$$

Put $x_0^\gamma = 1_{(0, \omega^\gamma]}$ and let $(x_j^\gamma)_{j \geq 1}$ be the collection defined.

Put $x_0^\gamma = 1_{(0, \omega^\gamma]}$ and let $(x_j^\gamma)_{j \geq 1}$ be the collection defined. Then **the order of the basis** is such that whenever the support of one function is contained in another, the top function precedes in the order.

Put $x_0^\gamma = 1_{(0, \omega^\gamma]}$ and let $(x_j^\gamma)_{j \geq 1}$ be the collection defined. Then **the order of the basis** is such that whenever the support of one function is contained in another, the top function precedes in the order.

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$

- $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\alpha$ is a standard basis for $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$.

Put $x_0^\gamma = 1_{(0, \omega^\gamma]}$ and let $(x_j^\gamma)_{j \geq 1}$ be the collection defined. Then **the order of the basis** is such that whenever the support of one function is contained in another, the top function precedes in the order.

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$

- $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\alpha$ is a standard basis for $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$.
- $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\alpha$ is a shrinking basis.

Put $x_0^\gamma = 1_{(0, \omega^\gamma]}$ and let $(x_j^\gamma)_{j \geq 1}$ be the collection defined. Then **the order of the basis** is such that whenever the support of one function is contained in another, the top function precedes in the order.

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$

- $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\alpha$ is a standard basis for $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$.
- $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\alpha$ is a shrinking basis.

It has many subsequences 1-equivalent to the basis. In fact,

Proposition. The basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ is two-player subsequentially 1-reproducible.

Put $x_0^\gamma = 1_{(0, \omega^\gamma]}$ and let $(x_j^\gamma)_{j \geq 1}$ be the collection defined. Then **the order of the basis** is such that whenever the support of one function is contained in another, the top function precedes in the order.

For each $\alpha < \omega_1$

- $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\alpha$ is a standard basis for $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$.
- $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\alpha$ is a shrinking basis.

It has many subsequences 1-equivalent to the basis. In fact,

Proposition. The basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\alpha$ is two-player subsequentially 1-reproducible.

This means that for all $\epsilon_k > 0$ and embedding $T : C_0(\omega^\alpha) \rightarrow Y$, there is a winning strategy for the second player in a two-player game in Y for picking a subsequence $(Tx_{n_k})_{k=1}^\infty$ and blocks $(w_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ of the basis (y_n) of Y such that

- 1 $\|Tx_{n_k} - w_k\| < \epsilon_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,
- 2 (x_{n_k}) is 1-equivalent to (x_n) .

Pelczynski's weak injectivity

Let $T : C_0(\omega^\alpha) \rightarrow X$ be an isomorphic embedding, and for $\rho \leq \omega^\alpha$ let δ_ρ Dirac functional. Let $(y_\rho^*)_{\rho \leq \omega^\alpha} \subset 2\|(T^*)^{-1}\|B_{X^*}$ satisfy $T^*y_\rho^* = \delta_\rho$ for all $\rho \leq \omega^\alpha$. Then there is a compact subset Γ of $[1, \omega^\alpha]$ homeomorphic to $[1, \omega^\alpha]$ and a (weak*) compact subset $(w_\rho^*)_{\rho \in \Gamma}$ of Y^* such that

Pelczynski's weak injectivity

Let $T : C_0(\omega^\alpha) \rightarrow X$ be an isomorphic embedding, and for $\rho \leq \omega^\alpha$ let δ_ρ Dirac functional. Let $(y_\rho^*)_{\rho \leq \omega^\alpha} \subset 2\|(T^*)^{-1}\|B_{X^*}$ satisfy $T^*y_\rho^* = \delta_\rho$ for all $\rho \leq \omega^\alpha$. Then there is a compact subset Γ of $[1, \omega^\alpha]$ homeomorphic to $[1, \omega^\alpha]$ and a (weak*) compact subset $(w_\rho^*)_{\rho \in \Gamma}$ of Y^* such that

- $S^*w_\rho^* = \delta_\rho$ for all $\rho \in \Gamma$, the map $\rho \rightarrow w_\rho^*$ is a homeomorphism,

Pelczynski's weak injectivity

Let $T : C_0(\omega^\alpha) \rightarrow X$ be an isomorphic embedding, and for $\rho \leq \omega^\alpha$ let δ_ρ Dirac functional. Let $(y_\rho^*)_{\rho \leq \omega^\alpha} \subset 2\|(T^*)^{-1}\|B_{X^*}$ satisfy $T^*y_\rho^* = \delta_\rho$ for all $\rho \leq \omega^\alpha$. Then there is a compact subset Γ of $[1, \omega^\alpha]$ homeomorphic to $[1, \omega^\alpha]$ and a (weak*) compact subset $(w_\rho^*)_{\rho \in \Gamma}$ of Y^* such that

- $S^*w_\rho^* = \delta_\rho$ for all $\rho \in \Gamma$, the map $\rho \rightarrow w_\rho^*$ is a homeomorphism,
- there is a subsequence of $(x_m^\alpha)_{m \in M}$ equivalent to (x_n^α) , with contractively complemented closed linear span such that the restriction to Γ induces an isomorphism R from the span of the subsequence onto $C_0(\Gamma)$ and $R^*\delta_\rho = S^*w_\rho^*$ for all $\rho \in \Gamma$.

Pelczynski's weak injectivity

The mapping $S : [x_m : m \in M] \rightarrow C_0(\Gamma)$ where $\Gamma = \{\gamma(m) : m \in M\}$ satisfying $(Sx_m)(\gamma(k)) = x_m(\gamma(k))$ is a surjective isometry,

Pelczynski's weak injectivity

The mapping $S : [x_m : m \in M] \rightarrow C_0(\Gamma)$ where $\Gamma = \{\gamma(m) : m \in M\}$ satisfying $(Sx_m)(\gamma(k)) = x_m(\gamma(k))$ is a surjective isometry,

and the projection P is of the form TEV where $V : X \rightarrow C_0(\Gamma)$ is defined by $(Vz)(\gamma(m)) = w_m^*(z)$ for all $z \in X$, E is the extension operator which maps $C_0(\Gamma)$ into $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ with range in $[x_m : m \in M]$ with $SE = I$.

Pelczynski's weak injectivity

The mapping $S : [x_m : m \in M] \rightarrow C_0(\Gamma)$ where $\Gamma = \{\gamma(m) : m \in M\}$ satisfying $(Sx_m)(\gamma(k)) = x_m(\gamma(k))$ is a surjective isometry,

and the projection P is of the form TEV where $V : X \rightarrow C_0(\Gamma)$ is defined by $(Vz)(\gamma(m)) = w_m^*(z)$ for all $z \in X$, E is the extension operator which maps $C_0(\Gamma)$ into $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ with range in $[x_m : m \in M]$ with $SE = I$.

Explicitly

$$E_f(\beta) = \begin{cases} f(\beta) & \text{if } \beta \in \Gamma, \\ f(\gamma(m)) & \text{if } x_m(\beta) = 1, x_{m'}(\beta) = 0 \text{ for all } m' > m, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Pelczynski's weak injectivity

The mapping $S : [x_m : m \in M] \rightarrow C_0(\Gamma)$ where $\Gamma = \{\gamma(m) : m \in M\}$ satisfying $(Sx_m)(\gamma(k)) = x_m(\gamma(k))$ is a surjective isometry,

and the projection P is of the form TEV where $V : X \rightarrow C_0(\Gamma)$ is defined by $(Vz)(\gamma(m)) = w_m^*(z)$ for all $z \in X$, E is the extension operator which maps $C_0(\Gamma)$ into $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ with range in $[x_m : m \in M]$ with $SE = I$.

Explicitly

$$E_f(\beta) = \begin{cases} f(\beta) & \text{if } \beta \in \Gamma, \\ f(\gamma(m)) & \text{if } x_m(\beta) = 1, x_{m'}(\beta) = 0 \text{ for all } m' > m, \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

The norm of the projection P is at most $\|T\| \sup_{m \in M} \|w_m^*\|$.

Effective version

Proposition. Let α be a countable ordinal. A standard basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ is two-player 2-complementably subsequentially 1-reproducible.

Effective version

Proposition. Let α be a countable ordinal. A standard basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ is two-player 2-complementably subsequentially 1-reproducible.

This means (roughly) if the second player has a winning strategy in the following two-player game. Let $\epsilon > 0$, T be an isomorphic embedding of X into Y with a basis (y_i) , and (u_j) be a block basis of (y_i) satisfying certain conditions.

Effective version

Proposition. Let α be a countable ordinal. A standard basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ is two-player 2-complementably subsequentially 1-reproducible.

This means (roughly) if the second player has a winning strategy in the following two-player game. Let $\epsilon > 0$, T be an isomorphic embedding of X into Y with a basis (y_i) , and (u_j) be a block basis of (y_i) satisfying certain conditions.

On k th turn, the first player chooses a tail subspace $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and a block u_{j_k} with $\min \text{supp} u_{j_k} > l_{k-1}$ and the second player chooses a block w_k in $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and an integer $l_k > \max \text{supp} u_{j_k}$ (put $l_0 = 0$).

Effective version

Proposition. Let α be a countable ordinal. A standard basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ is two-player 2-complementably subsequentially 1-reproducible.

This means (roughly) if the second player has a winning strategy in the following two-player game. Let $\epsilon > 0$, T be an isomorphic embedding of X into Y with a basis (y_i) , and (u_j) be a block basis of (y_i) satisfying certain conditions.

On k th turn, the first player chooses a tail subspace $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and a block u_{j_k} with $\min \text{supp} u_{j_k} > l_{k-1}$ and the second player chooses a block w_k in $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and an integer $l_k > \max \text{supp} u_{j_k}$ (put $l_0 = 0$).

The second player wins if

Effective version

Proposition. Let α be a countable ordinal. A standard basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ is two-player 2-complementably subsequentially 1-reproducible.

This means (roughly) if the second player has a winning strategy in the following two-player game. Let $\epsilon > 0$, T be an isomorphic embedding of X into Y with a basis (y_i) , and (u_j) be a block basis of (y_i) satisfying certain conditions.

On k th turn, the first player chooses a tail subspace $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and a block u_{j_k} with $\min \text{supp} u_{j_k} > l_{k-1}$ and the second player chooses a block w_k in $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and an integer $l_k > \max \text{supp} u_{j_k}$ (put $l_0 = 0$).

The second player wins if

- (i) $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|Tx_{n_k} - w_k\| < \epsilon$ for some (n_k) ;

Effective version

Proposition. Let α be a countable ordinal. A standard basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ is two-player 2-complementably subsequentially 1-reproducible.

This means (roughly) if the second player has a winning strategy in the following two-player game. Let $\epsilon > 0$, T be an isomorphic embedding of X into Y with a basis (y_i) , and (u_j) be a block basis of (y_i) satisfying certain conditions.

On k th turn, the first player chooses a tail subspace $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and a block u_{j_k} with $\min \text{supp} u_{j_k} > l_{k-1}$ and the second player chooses a block w_k in $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and an integer $l_k > \max \text{supp} u_{j_k}$ (put $l_0 = 0$).

The second player wins if

- (i) $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|Tx_{n_k} - w_k\| < \epsilon$ for some (n_k) ;
- (ii) (x_{n_k}) is 1-equivalent to (x_n) ; and

Effective version

Proposition. Let α be a countable ordinal. A standard basis $(x_n^\alpha)_{n=1}^\infty$ of $C_0(\omega^\alpha)$ is two-player 2-complementably subsequentially 1-reproducible.

This means (roughly) if the second player has a winning strategy in the following two-player game. Let $\epsilon > 0$, T be an isomorphic embedding of X into Y with a basis (y_i) , and (u_j) be a block basis of (y_i) satisfying certain conditions.

On k th turn, the first player chooses a tail subspace $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and a block u_{j_k} with $\min \text{supp} u_{j_k} > l_{k-1}$ and the second player chooses a block w_k in $[y_i]_{i \geq t_k}$ and an integer $l_k > \max \text{supp} u_{j_k}$ (put $l_0 = 0$).

The second player wins if

- (i) $\sum_{k=1}^\infty \|Tx_{n_k} - w_k\| < \epsilon$ for some (n_k) ;
- (ii) (x_{n_k}) is 1-equivalent to (x_n) ; and
- (iii) there is a projection P from Y onto $[w_k]$ with $\|P\| \leq 2\|T\|\|T^{-1}\|$, and $\|Pz\| < \epsilon\|z\|$ for all $z \in [u_{j_k}]$.