

Squares of conjugacy classes of S_n

Ohad Sheinfeld

The Hebrew University of Jerusalem

July 6, 2026

Joint work with:

Nathan Keller and Noam Lifshitz

The Goal

- **Thompson's conjecture:** Every finite simple group has a conjugacy class C such that $C^2 = G$

The Goal

- **Thompson's conjecture:** Every finite simple group has a conjugacy class C such that $C^2 = G$ (Larsen & Tiep'24 for large groups).

The Goal

- **Thompson's conjecture:** Every finite simple group has a conjugacy class C such that $C^2 = G$ (Larsen & Tiep'24 for large groups).
- **Quantitative version in S_n :** How large must a single conjugacy class $A = \sigma^{S_n}$ be to guarantee that $A^2 \supseteq A_n$?

(Investigated since the 1960s)

The Goal

- **Thompson's conjecture:** Every finite simple group has a conjugacy class C such that $C^2 = G$ (Larsen & Tiep'24 for large groups).
- **Quantitative version in S_n :** How large must a single conjugacy class $A = \sigma^{S_n}$ be to guarantee that $A^2 \supseteq A_n$?

(Investigated since the 1960s)

Larsen & Shalev (*J. AMS '07, Invent. Math. '08*)

$$|A| \geq |S_n| \cdot e^{-n^{1/4-\epsilon}} \text{ is sufficient.}$$

The Goal

- **Thompson's conjecture:** Every finite simple group has a conjugacy class C such that $C^2 = G$ (Larsen & Tiep'24 for large groups).
- **Quantitative version in S_n :** How large must a single conjugacy class $A = \sigma^{S_n}$ be to guarantee that $A^2 \supseteq A_n$?

(Investigated since the 1960s)

Larsen & Shalev (*J. AMS '07, Invent. Math. '08*)

$$|A| \geq |S_n| \cdot e^{-n^{1/4-\epsilon}} \text{ is sufficient.}$$

Our Result (*Keller, Lifshitz, Sheinfeld – Forum Math. Sigma '24*)

$$|A| \geq |S_n| \cdot e^{-n^{2/5-\epsilon}} \text{ is sufficient.}$$

The Standard Tool: Convolution

To prove that A^2 hits a target conjugacy class C , we use the convolution operator $M_C : L^2(S_n) \rightarrow L^2(S_n)$:

$$M_C f := f * 1_C := \sum_x f(x) 1_C(x^{-1}g)$$

(Convolving with the indicator 1_C).

The Standard Tool: Convolution

To prove that A^2 hits a target conjugacy class C , we use the convolution operator $M_C : L^2(S_n) \rightarrow L^2(S_n)$:

$$M_C f := f * 1_C := \sum_x f(x) 1_C(x^{-1}g)$$

(Convolving with the indicator 1_C).

It turns out that the following inner product counts the number of intersections between A^2 and C :

$$\langle 1_A, M_C 1_A \rangle$$

where 1_A is the **indicator function** of our set.

The Standard Tool: Convolution

To prove that A^2 hits a target conjugacy class C , we use the convolution operator $M_C : L^2(S_n) \rightarrow L^2(S_n)$:

$$M_C f := f * 1_C := \sum_x f(x) 1_C(x^{-1}g)$$

(Convolving with the indicator 1_C).

It turns out that the following inner product counts the number of intersections between A^2 and C :

$$\langle 1_A, M_C 1_A \rangle$$

where 1_A is the **indicator function** of our set.

If we can show that this inner product is greater than 0, we win.

The Method of Larsen and Shalev

The Algebraic Dream: Since $1_C, 1_A$ are class functions, representation theory gives us

$$\langle 1_A, 1_A * 1_C \rangle = \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)} = 1 + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)}$$

The Method of Larsen and Shalev

The Algebraic Dream: Since $1_C, 1_A$ are class functions, representation theory gives us

$$\langle 1_A, 1_A * 1_C \rangle = \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)} = 1 + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)}$$

Character Bounds

- Larsen and Shalev proved **character bounds** $|\chi(\sigma)| < ?$

The Method of Larsen and Shalev

The Algebraic Dream: Since $1_C, 1_A$ are class functions, representation theory gives us

$$\langle 1_A, 1_A * 1_C \rangle = \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)} = 1 + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)}$$

Character Bounds

- Larsen and Shalev proved **character bounds** $|\chi(\sigma)| < ?$
- They used them to upper bound $|\frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)}|$ for $\chi \neq 1$.

The Method of Larsen and Shalev

The Algebraic Dream: Since $1_C, 1_A$ are class functions, representation theory gives us

$$\langle 1_A, 1_A * 1_C \rangle = \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)} = 1 + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)}$$

Character Bounds

- Larsen and Shalev proved **character bounds** $|\chi(\sigma)| < ?$
- They used them to upper bound $|\frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)}|$ for $\chi \neq 1$.
- Derived $\langle 1_A, 1_A * 1_C \rangle > 0$, where $|A|$ is sufficiently large.

The Method of Larsen and Shalev

The Algebraic Dream: Since $1_C, 1_A$ are class functions, representation theory gives us

$$\langle 1_A, 1_A * 1_C \rangle = \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)} = 1 + \sum_{\chi \neq 1} \frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)}$$

Character Bounds

- Larsen and Shalev proved **character bounds** $|\chi(\sigma)| < ?$
- They used them to upper bound $|\frac{\chi(C)\chi(A)^2}{\chi(1)}|$ for $\chi \neq 1$.
- Derived $\langle 1_A, 1_A * 1_C \rangle > 0$, where $|A|$ is sufficiently large.

The 1/4 Wall

The character bounds depend on the number of small cycles of A, C . They could have many small cycles so the method got stuck at $n^{1/4}$ cycles.

If we manage to reduce the problem to conjugacy classes with less small cycles, we could improve the bound.

The Pivot: Removing cycles

We were able to find a method to transfer the intersection problem of (A^2, C) to the intersection problem of a new pair (A'^2, C') where the conjugacy class C' has less small cycles than the conjugacy class C .

The Pivot: Removing cycles

We were able to find a method to transfer the intersection problem of (A^2, C) to the intersection problem of a new pair (A'^2, C') where the conjugacy class C' has less small cycles than the conjugacy class C .

The Catch: The remaining set A is no longer a conjugacy class!

The Pivot: Removing cycles

We were able to find a method to transfer the intersection problem of (A^2, C) to the intersection problem of a new pair (A'^2, C') where the conjugacy class C' has less small cycles than the conjugacy class C .

The Catch: The remaining set A is no longer a conjugacy class! But it turns out it is a **global set**.

The Pivot: Removing cycles

We were able to find a method to transfer the intersection problem of (A^2, C) to the intersection problem of a new pair (A'^2, C') where the conjugacy class C' has less small cycles than the conjugacy class C .

The Catch: The remaining set A is no longer a conjugacy class! But it turns out it is a **global set**.

Look at the inner product now:

$$\langle 1_A, M_C(1_A) \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Eigenvalue of } M_C} \lambda \times \underbrace{\left(\text{Norm of } 1_A \text{ restricted to } V_\lambda \right)}_{???}$$

The Pivot: Removing cycles

We were able to find a method to transfer the intersection problem of (A^2, C) to the intersection problem of a new pair (A'^2, C') where the conjugacy class C' has less small cycles than the conjugacy class C .

The Catch: The remaining set A is no longer a conjugacy class! But it turns out it is a **global set**.

Look at the inner product now:

$$\langle 1_A, M_C(1_A) \rangle = \sum_{\lambda \in \text{Eigenvalue of } M_C} \lambda \times \underbrace{\left(\text{Norm of } 1_A \text{ restricted to } V_\lambda \right)}_{???}$$

compared to

$$\langle 1_A, M_C(1_A) \rangle = \sum_{\chi} \frac{\chi(C)}{\chi(1)} \cdot \chi(A)^2$$

The Challenge: Since 1_A is no longer a class function, we don't know to bound the norm of 1_A restricted to V_λ .

The Secret Weapon: Hypercontractivity

The Obstacle: If 1_A puts mass on low-dimension representations (where eigenvalues are high), the bounds completely fail.

The Secret Weapon: Hypercontractivity

The Obstacle: If 1_A puts mass on low-dimension representations (where eigenvalues are high), the bounds completely fail.

The Dream:

- **Low-dimension reps:** Large eigenvalues $\times$ almost zero mass.
- **High-dimension reps:** Small eigenvalues $\times$ large mass.

The Secret Weapon: Hypercontractivity

The Obstacle: If 1_A puts mass on low-dimension representations (where eigenvalues are high), the bounds completely fail.

The Dream:

- **Low-dimension reps:** Large eigenvalues $\times$ **almost zero mass**.
- **High-dimension reps:** **Small eigenvalues** $\times$ large mass.

The Solution: Import **Hypercontractivity** on S_n .

- It allows to bound the mass of global functions on low-dimension representations.

The Secret Weapon: Hypercontractivity

The Obstacle: If 1_A puts mass on low-dimension representations (where eigenvalues are high), the bounds completely fail.

The Dream:

- **Low-dimension reps:** Large eigenvalues $\times$ **almost zero mass**.
- **High-dimension reps:** **Small eigenvalues** $\times$ large mass.

The Solution: Import **Hypercontractivity** on S_n .

- It allows to bound the mass of global functions on low-dimension representations.

Representation theory + Hypercontractivity = Better Bounds

In Preparation (Keller, Lifshitz, Marmor, Sheinfeld):

If $|A| \geq |S_n| \cdot (n!)^{-\epsilon}$ then $A_n \subseteq A^2$

Previous Result (Keller, Lifshitz, Sheinfeld – *Forum Math. Sigma* '24)

$|A| \geq |S_n| \cdot e^{-n^{2/5-\epsilon}}$ is sufficient.

Thank You!