

Introduction to Chevalley Groups

Karina Kirkina

May 27, 2015

Definition

A *Lie group* is a smooth manifold G equipped with a group structure so that the maps $\mu : (x, y) \mapsto xy$, $G \times G \rightarrow G$ and $\iota : x \mapsto x^{-1}$, $G \rightarrow G$ are smooth.

Definition

A *Lie group* is a smooth manifold G equipped with a group structure so that the maps $\mu : (x, y) \mapsto xy$, $G \times G \rightarrow G$ and $\iota : x \mapsto x^{-1}$, $G \rightarrow G$ are smooth.

Definition

A *Lie algebra* is a vector space \mathfrak{L} over a field K on which a product operation $[x, y]$ is defined satisfying the following axioms:

- 1 $[x, y]$ is bilinear for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$.
- 2 $[x, x] = 0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{L}$.
- 3 (Jacobi identity) $[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0$ for $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{L}$.

Let G be a Lie group. Then the tangent space at the identity element, $T_e G$, naturally has the structure of a Lie algebra.

Let G be a Lie group. Then the tangent space at the identity element, $T_e G$, naturally has the structure of a Lie algebra.

This Lie algebra is finite-dimensional and has the same dimension as the manifold G . The Lie algebra of G determines G up to "local isomorphism", where two Lie groups are called locally isomorphic if they look the same near the identity element.

Let G be a Lie group. Then the tangent space at the identity element, $T_e G$, naturally has the structure of a Lie algebra.

This Lie algebra is finite-dimensional and has the same dimension as the manifold G . The Lie algebra of G determines G up to "local isomorphism", where two Lie groups are called locally isomorphic if they look the same near the identity element.

This is a one-to-one correspondence between connected simple Lie groups with trivial centre and simple Lie algebras.

Definition

Let V be a finite dimensional Euclidean space. For each non-zero vector r of V we denote by w_r the reflection in the hyperplane orthogonal to r . If x is any vector in V , this reflection is given by

$$w_r(x) = x - \frac{2(r, x)}{(r, r)} r.$$

A subset Φ of V is called a *root system* in V if the following hold:

- 1 Φ is a finite set of non-zero vectors.
- 2 Φ spans V .
- 3 If $r, s \in \Phi$ then $w_r(s) \in \Phi$.
- 4 If $r, s \in \Phi$ then $\frac{2(r, s)}{(r, r)}$ is an integer.
- 5 If $r, \lambda r \in \Phi$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then $\lambda = \pm 1$.

The elements of Φ are called *roots*.

Every root system Φ contains a subset Π of *fundamental roots*, satisfying

- ① Π is linearly independent.
- ② Every root in Φ is a linear combination of roots in Π with coefficients which are either all non-negative or all non-positive.

Every root system Φ contains a subset Π of *fundamental roots*, satisfying

- ① Π is linearly independent.
- ② Every root in Φ is a linear combination of roots in Π with coefficients which are either all non-negative or all non-positive.

Every choice of Π determines two subsets of Φ :

- a subset of *positive roots*, denoted by Φ^+ (all of whose coefficients are non-negative)
- a subset of *negative roots*, denoted by Φ^- (all of whose coefficients are non-positive).

Every root system Φ contains a subset Π of *fundamental roots*, satisfying

- ① Π is linearly independent.
- ② Every root in Φ is a linear combination of roots in Π with coefficients which are either all non-negative or all non-positive.

Every choice of Π determines two subsets of Φ :

- a subset of *positive roots*, denoted by Φ^+ (all of whose coefficients are non-negative)
- a subset of *negative roots*, denoted by Φ^- (all of whose coefficients are non-positive).

Every root in Φ is a linear combination of roots in Π with integer coefficients.

Definition

Let Φ be a root system. We denote by $W(\Phi)$ the group generated by the reflections w_r for all $r \in \Phi$. W is called the *Weyl group* of Φ .

Definition

Let Φ be a root system. We denote by $W(\Phi)$ the group generated by the reflections w_r for all $r \in \Phi$. W is called the *Weyl group* of Φ .

Each element of W transforms Φ into itself, and W operates faithfully on Φ . Since Φ is a finite set, W is a finite group.

Let J be a subset of Π . We define V_J to be the subspace of V spanned by J ; Φ_J to be $\Phi \cap V_J$; and W_J to be the subgroup of W generated by the reflections w_r with $r \in J$.

Proposition

Φ_J is a root system in V_J . J is a fundamental system in Φ_J . The Weyl group of Φ_J is W_J .

Definition

The subgroups W_J and their conjugates in W are called *parabolic subgroups* of W .

Proposition

The subgroups W_J for distinct subsets J of Π are all distinct.

Proposition

The subgroups W_J for distinct subsets J of Π are all distinct.

Theorem

Let J, K be subsets of Π . Then

- ① *the subgroup of W generated by W_J and W_K is $W_{J \cup K}$*
- ② $W_J \cap W_K = W_{J \cap K}$.

Proposition

The subgroups W_J for distinct subsets J of Π are all distinct.

Theorem

Let J, K be subsets of Π . Then

- 1 *the subgroup of W generated by W_J and W_K is $W_{J \cup K}$*
- 2 *$W_J \cap W_K = W_{J \cap K}$.*

So the parabolic subgroups W_J form a lattice in W that is in bijection with the lattice of subsets of Π .

Definition

For each element x of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} we define a linear map $\text{ad } x : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ by

$$\text{ad } x.y = [x, y] \quad y \in \mathfrak{L}.$$

This is called the *adjoint representation* of the Lie algebra.

Definition

For each element x of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} we define a linear map $\text{ad } x : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ by

$$\text{ad } x.y = [x, y] \quad y \in \mathfrak{L}.$$

This is called the *adjoint representation* of the Lie algebra.

$\text{ad } x$ is also a *derivation* of \mathfrak{L} , meaning that it satisfies the Leibnitz rule:

$$\text{ad } x.[y, z] = [\text{ad } x.y, z] + [y, \text{ad } x.z].$$

Definition

For each element x of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} we define a linear map $\text{ad } x : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ by

$$\text{ad } x \cdot y = [x, y] \quad y \in \mathfrak{L}.$$

This is called the *adjoint representation* of the Lie algebra.

$\text{ad } x$ is also a *derivation* of \mathfrak{L} , meaning that it satisfies the Leibnitz rule:

$$\text{ad } x \cdot [y, z] = [\text{ad } x \cdot y, z] + [y, \text{ad } x \cdot z].$$

Definition

For each $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$ we define the *Killing form* (x, y) by

$$(x, y) = \text{tr} (\text{ad } x \cdot \text{ad } y).$$

The Killing form is a bilinear symmetric scalar product.

Definition

For each element x of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} we define a linear map $\text{ad } x : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ by

$$\text{ad } x \cdot y = [x, y] \quad y \in \mathfrak{L}.$$

This is called the *adjoint representation* of the Lie algebra.

$\text{ad } x$ is also a *derivation* of \mathfrak{L} , meaning that it satisfies the Leibnitz rule:

$$\text{ad } x \cdot [y, z] = [\text{ad } x \cdot y, z] + [y, \text{ad } x \cdot z].$$

Definition

For each $x, y \in \mathfrak{L}$ we define the *Killing form* (x, y) by

$$(x, y) = \text{tr} (\text{ad } x \cdot \text{ad } y).$$

The Killing form is a bilinear symmetric scalar product.

Any associative algebra can be turned into a Lie algebra by defining the Lie product as $[x, y] = xy - yx$. So the algebra of $n \times n$ matrices is an example of a Lie algebra.

Definition

A subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} is called a Cartan subalgebra if it satisfies:

- 1 \mathfrak{h} is nilpotent, i.e. there exists an r such that $\underbrace{[[[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}], \mathfrak{h}], \dots]}_r = 0$.
- 2 \mathfrak{h} is self-normalising, i.e. it is not contained as an ideal in any larger subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} .

Definition

A subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} is called a Cartan subalgebra if it satisfies:

- ① \mathfrak{h} is nilpotent, i.e. there exists an r such that $\underbrace{[[[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}], \mathfrak{h}], \dots]}_r = 0$.
- ② \mathfrak{h} is self-normalising, i.e. it is not contained as an ideal in any larger subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} .

Every Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} has a Cartan subalgebra, and any two Cartan subalgebras are isomorphic. The dimension of the Cartan subalgebras is called the *rank* of \mathfrak{L} , usually denoted by l .

Definition

A subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of a Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} is called a Cartan subalgebra if it satisfies:

- ① \mathfrak{h} is nilpotent, i.e. there exists an r such that $\underbrace{[[[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}], \mathfrak{h}], \dots]}_r = 0$.
- ② \mathfrak{h} is self-normalising, i.e. it is not contained as an ideal in any larger subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} .

Every Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} has a Cartan subalgebra, and any two Cartan subalgebras are isomorphic. The dimension of the Cartan subalgebras is called the *rank* of \mathfrak{L} , usually denoted by l .

A Lie algebra is said to be *simple* if it has no ideals other than itself and the zero subspace. For a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} we have $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0$.

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{L} . Then \mathfrak{L} can be decomposed into a direct sum as follows:

$$\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_1} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_k}$$

where

- each \mathfrak{L}_{r_i} has dimension 1
- each \mathfrak{L}_{r_i} is invariant under Lie multiplication by \mathfrak{h} , i.e. $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{L}_{r_i}] = \mathfrak{L}_{r_i}$ for each i .

This is called a *Cartan decomposition* of \mathfrak{L} .

The set of 3×3 matrices of trace zero form a simple Lie algebra called \mathfrak{sl}_3 , under the Lie multiplication $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

The set of 3×3 matrices of trace zero form a simple Lie algebra called \mathfrak{sl}_3 , under the Lie multiplication $[A, B] = AB - BA$.

A Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h} of \mathfrak{sl}_3 is given by the diagonal matrices. We can check that we indeed have $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & f \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} ad - da & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & be - eb & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & cf - fc \end{pmatrix} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This subalgebra has dimension 2.

The subspaces \mathfrak{L}_{r_i} are the 1-dimensional subspaces spanned by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The subspaces \mathfrak{L}_{r_i} are the 1-dimensional subspaces spanned by the matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can check that these subspaces are indeed invariant under multiplication by elements of $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] &= \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a-b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

There are 6 of these subspaces, so \mathfrak{sl}_3 has dimension 8.

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_1} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_k}$ be a Cartan decomposition.

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_1} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_k}$ be a Cartan decomposition.

In each 1-dimensional subspace \mathfrak{L}_r we pick a non-zero element e_r . Then for each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $[he_r]$ is a scalar multiple of e_r and we write

$$[he_r] = r(h) e_r.$$

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_1} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_k}$ be a Cartan decomposition.

In each 1-dimensional subspace \mathfrak{L}_r we pick a non-zero element e_r . Then for each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $[he_r]$ is a scalar multiple of e_r and we write

$$[he_r] = r(h) e_r.$$

The map $r : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined like this is linear, so it is an element of \mathfrak{h}^* .

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and let $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_1} \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{L}_{r_k}$ be a Cartan decomposition.

In each 1-dimensional subspace \mathfrak{L}_r we pick a non-zero element e_r . Then for each $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $[he_r]$ is a scalar multiple of e_r and we write

$$[he_r] = r(h) e_r.$$

The map $r : \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined like this is linear, so it is an element of \mathfrak{h}^* .

Definition

The maps r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k of \mathfrak{h} to \mathbb{C} are called the *roots* of \mathfrak{L} and the subspaces $\mathfrak{L}_{r_1}, \mathfrak{L}_{r_2}, \dots, \mathfrak{L}_{r_k}$ are called the *root spaces* of \mathfrak{L} (relative to the given Cartan subalgebra \mathfrak{h}).

The roots r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k are all distinct and non-zero.

The roots are defined as elements of \mathfrak{h}^* , but we can also view them as elements of \mathfrak{h} as follows.

The roots are defined as elements of \mathfrak{h}^* , but we can also view them as elements of \mathfrak{h} as follows.

The Killing form of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} is non-singular. So it remains non-singular when restricted to \mathfrak{h} . So each element of \mathfrak{h}^* is expressible in the form $h \mapsto (x, h)$ for a unique element $x \in \mathfrak{h}$.

The roots are defined as elements of \mathfrak{h}^* , but we can also view them as elements of \mathfrak{h} as follows.

The Killing form of a simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} is non-singular. So it remains non-singular when restricted to \mathfrak{h} . So each element of \mathfrak{h}^* is expressible in the form $h \mapsto (x, h)$ for a unique element $x \in \mathfrak{h}$.

The element x associated to the map $h \mapsto r(h)$ may be identified with the root r . So r can be regarded either as an element of \mathfrak{h} or as an element of \mathfrak{h}^* , the relationship between these two being:

$$r(h) = (r, h), \quad h \in \mathfrak{h}.$$

Let Φ denote the finite set of roots viewed as a subset of \mathfrak{h} .

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the set of all \mathbb{R} -linear combinations of Φ . Then $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real vector space of the same dimension as the complex dimension of \mathfrak{h} . The Killing form is positive definite on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$, so $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be regarded as a Euclidean space.

Let Φ denote the finite set of roots viewed as a subset of \mathfrak{h} .

Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ denote the set of all \mathbb{R} -linear combinations of Φ . Then $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a real vector space of the same dimension as the complex dimension of \mathfrak{h} . The Killing form is positive definite on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$, so $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}$ can be regarded as a Euclidean space.

Then the set of roots Φ form a root system as defined previously.

Suppose that r, s are linearly independent roots. Since the set Φ is finite, the sequence of roots

$$-pr + s, \dots, s, \dots, qr + s$$

(for $p, q \geq 0$) is finite. This is called the *r-chain of roots through s*.

Suppose that r, s are linearly independent roots. Since the set Φ is finite, the sequence of roots

$$-pr + s, \dots, s, \dots, qr + s$$

(for $p, q \geq 0$) is finite. This is called the *r-chain of roots through s*.

For example, in the root system B_2 , the *a-chain of roots through $-2a - b$* is

$$-2a - b, -a - b, -b$$

so in this case $p = 0$ and $q = 2$.

The reflection w_r acts on the root s by $w_r(s) = s - \frac{2(r,s)}{(r,r)}r$. In fact w_r has the effect of inverting each r -chain of roots. So $-pr + s$ and $qr + s$ are mirror images in the hyperplane orthogonal to r . So

$$((-pr + s) + (qr + s), r) = 0.$$

The reflection w_r acts on the root s by $w_r(s) = s - \frac{2(r,s)}{(r,r)}r$. In fact w_r has the effect of inverting each r -chain of roots. So $-pr + s$ and $qr + s$ are mirror images in the hyperplane orthogonal to r . So

$$((-pr + s) + (qr + s), r) = 0.$$

It follows that $\frac{2(r,s)}{(r,r)} = p - q$, so if we define

$$A_{rs} = \frac{2(r,s)}{(r,r)}$$

then A_{rs} is an integer which satisfies $w_r(s) = s - A_{rs}r$ and $A_{rs} = p - q$.

The reflection w_r acts on the root s by $w_r(s) = s - \frac{2(r,s)}{(r,r)}r$. In fact w_r has the effect of inverting each r -chain of roots. So $-pr + s$ and $qr + s$ are mirror images in the hyperplane orthogonal to r . So

$$((-pr + s) + (qr + s), r) = 0.$$

It follows that $\frac{2(r,s)}{(r,r)} = p - q$, so if we define

$$A_{rs} = \frac{2(r,s)}{(r,r)}$$

then A_{rs} is an integer which satisfies $w_r(s) = s - A_{rs}r$ and $A_{rs} = p - q$.

If we take the r, s to be fundamental roots, then the integers A_{rs} are the entries of the *Cartan matrix* of \mathfrak{L} .

Theorem

Let Φ be an indecomposable root system. Then there exists a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} which has a root system equivalent to Φ .

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{L} , \mathfrak{L}' be simple Lie algebras over \mathbb{C} with Cartan subalgebras \mathfrak{h} , \mathfrak{h}' of the same dimension l . Let p_1, p_2, \dots, p_l and p'_1, p'_2, \dots, p'_l be sets of fundamental roots for \mathfrak{L} , \mathfrak{L}' and let

$$A_{ij} = \frac{2(p_i, p_j)}{(p_i, p_i)}, \quad A'_{ij} = \frac{2(p'_i, p'_j)}{(p'_i, p'_i)}.$$

Let

$$h_{p_i} = \frac{2p_i}{(p_i, p_i)}$$

and let $e_{p_i} \in \mathfrak{L}_{p_i}$, $e_{-p_i} \in \mathfrak{L}_{-p_i}$ be chosen so that $[e_{p_i}, e_{-p_i}] = h_{p_i}$. Define $h_{p'_i}, e_{p'_i}, e_{-p'_i}$ similarly in \mathfrak{L}' .

Suppose $A_{ij} = A'_{ij}$ for all i, j . Then there exists a unique isomorphism $\theta : \mathfrak{L} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}'$ such that $\theta(h_{p_i}) = h_{p'_i}$, $\theta(e_{p_i}) = e_{p'_i}$, $\theta(e_{-p_i}) = e_{-p'_i}$.

In particular any two simple Lie algebras over \mathbb{C} with equivalent root systems are isomorphic.

Any complex simple Lie algebra is isomorphic to one of the following:

A_l ($l \geq 1$), of dimension $l(l+2)$

B_l ($l \geq 2$), of dimension $l(2l+1)$

C_l ($l \geq 3$), of dimension $l(2l+1)$

D_l ($l \geq 4$), of dimension $l(2l-1)$

G_2 , of dimension 14

F_4 , of dimension 52

E_6 , of dimension 78

E_7 , of dimension 133

E_8 , of dimension 248

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and

$$\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{r \in \Phi} \mathfrak{L}_r$$

be a Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{L} . Let $h_r \in \mathfrak{L}_r$ be the co-root corresponding to the root r . Then, for each root $r \in \Phi$, an element e_r can be chosen in \mathfrak{L}_r such that

$$\begin{aligned} [e_r, e_{-r}] &= h_r, \\ [e_r, e_s] &= \pm(p+1)e_{r+s}, \end{aligned}$$

where p is the greatest integer for which $s - pr \in \Phi$.

The elements $\{h_r, r \in \Pi; e_r, r \in \Phi\}$ form a basis for \mathfrak{L} , called a Chevalley basis. The basis elements multiply together as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} [h_r, h_s] &= 0, \\ [h_r, e_s] &= A_{rs}e_s, \\ [e_r, e_{-r}] &= h_r, \\ [e_r, e_s] &= 0 \quad \text{if } r+s \notin \Phi, \\ [e_r, e_s] &= N_{r,s}e_{r+s} \quad \text{if } r+s \in \Phi, \end{aligned}$$

where $N_{r,s} = \pm(p+1)$.

The multiplication constants of the algebra with respect to the Chevalley basis are all integers.

Lemma

Let \mathfrak{L} be a Lie algebra over a field of characteristic 0 and δ be a derivation of \mathfrak{L} which is nilpotent, i.e. satisfies $\delta^n = 0$ for some n . Then

$$\exp \delta = 1 + \delta + \frac{\delta^2}{2} + \cdots + \frac{\delta^{n-1}}{(n-1)!}$$

is an automorphism of \mathfrak{L} .

Fact: if \mathfrak{L} is a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with Cartan decomposition $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \sum_{r \in \Phi} \mathfrak{L}_r$ and Chevalley basis $\{h_r, r \in \Pi; e_r, r \in \Phi\}$, then the map $\text{ad } e_r$ is a nilpotent derivation of \mathfrak{L} .

Let $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\text{ad } (\zeta e_r) = \zeta \text{ad } e_r$ is also a nilpotent derivation of \mathfrak{L} . So $\exp(\zeta \text{ad } e_r)$ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{L} . We define

$$x_r(\zeta) = \exp(\zeta \text{ad } e_r).$$

$$\begin{aligned} x_r(\zeta).e_r &= e_r, \\ x_r(\zeta).e_{-r} &= e_{-r} + \zeta h_r - \zeta^2 e_r, \\ x_r(\zeta).h_r &= h_r - 2\zeta e_r. \end{aligned}$$

Also, if r and s are linearly independent:

$$\begin{aligned} x_r(\zeta).h_s &= h_s - A_{sr}\zeta e_r, \\ x_r(\zeta).e_s &= e_s + N_{r,s}\zeta e_{r+s} + \frac{1}{2!}N_{r,s}N_{r,r+s}\zeta^2 e_{2r+s} + \cdots + \frac{1}{q!}N_{r,s}N_{r,r+s} \cdots N_{r,(q-1)r+s}\zeta^q e_{qr+s} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^q M_{r,s,i}\zeta^i e_{ir+s}, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_{r,s,i} = \pm \binom{p+i}{i}$.

So the automorphism $x_r(\zeta)$ transforms each element of the Chevalley basis into a linear combination of basis elements, the coefficients being non-negative integral powers of ζ with rational integer coefficients.

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with Chevalley basis $\{h_r, r \in \Pi; e_r, r \in \Phi\}$.

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with Chevalley basis $\{h_r, r \in \Pi; e_r, r \in \Phi\}$.

We define $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to be the subset of \mathfrak{L} consisting of all \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of basis elements. $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is then a Lie ring over \mathbb{Z} .

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with Chevalley basis $\{h_r, r \in \Pi; e_r, r \in \Phi\}$.

We define $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to be the subset of \mathfrak{L} consisting of all \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of basis elements. $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is then a Lie ring over \mathbb{Z} .

Let K be any field. We form the tensor product of the additive group of K with the additive group of $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and define

$$\mathfrak{L}_K = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with Chevalley basis $\{h_r, r \in \Pi; e_r, r \in \Phi\}$.

We define $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to be the subset of \mathfrak{L} consisting of all \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of basis elements. $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is then a Lie ring over \mathbb{Z} .

Let K be any field. We form the tensor product of the additive group of K with the additive group of $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and define

$$\mathfrak{L}_K = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Then \mathfrak{L}_K is a vector space over K with basis

$$\{\mathbf{1}_K \otimes h_r, r \in \Pi; \mathbf{1}_K \otimes e_r, r \in \Phi\}$$

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with Chevalley basis $\{h_r, r \in \Pi; e_r, r \in \Phi\}$.

We define $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to be the subset of \mathfrak{L} consisting of all \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of basis elements. $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is then a Lie ring over \mathbb{Z} .

Let K be any field. We form the tensor product of the additive group of K with the additive group of $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and define

$$\mathfrak{L}_K = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Then \mathfrak{L}_K is a vector space over K with basis

$$\{1_K \otimes h_r, r \in \Pi; 1_K \otimes e_r, r \in \Phi\}$$

We can make \mathfrak{L}_K into a Lie algebra over K by defining

$$[1_K \otimes x, 1_K \otimes y] = 1_K \otimes [x, y].$$

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} with Chevalley basis $\{h_r, r \in \Pi; e_r, r \in \Phi\}$.

We define $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to be the subset of \mathfrak{L} consisting of all \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of basis elements. $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is then a Lie ring over \mathbb{Z} .

Let K be any field. We form the tensor product of the additive group of K with the additive group of $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ and define

$$\mathfrak{L}_K = K \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}.$$

Then \mathfrak{L}_K is a vector space over K with basis

$$\{1_K \otimes h_r, r \in \Pi; 1_K \otimes e_r, r \in \Phi\}$$

We can make \mathfrak{L}_K into a Lie algebra over K by defining

$$[1_K \otimes x, 1_K \otimes y] = 1_K \otimes [x, y].$$

The multiplication constants of \mathfrak{L}_K with respect to this new basis are the multiplication constants of \mathfrak{L} with respect to the old basis, interpreted as elements of the prime subfield of K .

Now let $A_r(\zeta)$ be the matrix representing $x_r(\zeta)$ with respect to the Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{L} .

Now let $A_r(\zeta)$ be the matrix representing $x_r(\zeta)$ with respect to the Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{L} .

The coefficients of $A_r(\zeta)$ have form $a\zeta^i$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \geq 0$.

Now let $A_r(\zeta)$ be the matrix representing $x_r(\zeta)$ with respect to the Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{L} .

The coefficients of $A_r(\zeta)$ have form $a\zeta^i$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \geq 0$.

Let $t \in K$ and define $\bar{A}_r(t)$ to be the matrix obtained from $A_r(\zeta)$ by replacing each coefficient $a\zeta^i$ by $\bar{a}t^i$, where \bar{a} is the element of the prime field of K corresponding to $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Now let $A_r(\zeta)$ be the matrix representing $x_r(\zeta)$ with respect to the Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{L} .

The coefficients of $A_r(\zeta)$ have form $a\zeta^i$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \geq 0$.

Let $t \in K$ and define $\bar{A}_r(t)$ to be the matrix obtained from $A_r(\zeta)$ by replacing each coefficient $a\zeta^i$ by $\bar{a}t^i$, where \bar{a} is the element of the prime field of K corresponding to $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $x_r(t)$ to be the linear map of \mathfrak{L}_K into itself represented by $\bar{A}_r(t)$.

Now let $A_r(\zeta)$ be the matrix representing $x_r(\zeta)$ with respect to the Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{L} .

The coefficients of $A_r(\zeta)$ have form $a\zeta^i$ where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \geq 0$.

Let $t \in K$ and define $\bar{A}_r(t)$ to be the matrix obtained from $A_r(\zeta)$ by replacing each coefficient $a\zeta^i$ by $\bar{a}t^i$, where \bar{a} is the element of the prime field of K corresponding to $a \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Define $x_r(t)$ to be the linear map of \mathfrak{L}_K into itself represented by $\bar{A}_r(t)$.

Then $x_r(t)$ is an automorphism of \mathfrak{L}_K for each $r \in \Phi$, $t \in K$.

Definition

The (adjoint) *Chevalley group* of type \mathfrak{L} over the field K , denoted by $\mathfrak{L}(K)$, is defined to be the group of automorphisms of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{L}_K generated by the $x_r(t)$ for all $r \in \Phi$, $t \in K$.

Proposition

The group $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ is determined up to isomorphism by the simple Lie algebra \mathfrak{L} over \mathbb{C} and the field K .

Theorem

Let K be any field.

- ① $A_l(K)$ is isomorphic to the linear group $PSL_{l+1}(K)$.
- ② $B_l(K)$ is isomorphic to the orthogonal group $P\Omega(K, f_B)$
- ③ $C_l(K)$ is isomorphic to the symplectic group $PSp_{2l}(K)$
- ④ $D_l(K)$ is isomorphic to the orthogonal group $P\Omega(K, f_D)$.

Fix $r \in \Phi$. Let X_r be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $x_r(t)$ for all $t \in K$.

Fix $r \in \Phi$. Let X_r be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $x_r(t)$ for all $t \in K$.

Then X_r is called a *root subgroup*.

Fix $r \in \Phi$. Let X_r be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $x_r(t)$ for all $t \in K$.

Then X_r is called a *root subgroup*.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}x_r(t_1).x_r(t_2) &= \exp(t_1 \operatorname{ad} e_r). \exp(t_2 \operatorname{ad} e_r) \\ &= \exp((t_1 + t_2) \operatorname{ad} e_r) \\ &= x_r(t_1 + t_2).\end{aligned}$$

Fix $r \in \Phi$. Let X_r be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $x_r(t)$ for all $t \in K$.

Then X_r is called a *root subgroup*.

We have

$$\begin{aligned}x_r(t_1).x_r(t_2) &= \exp(t_1 \operatorname{ad} e_r). \exp(t_2 \operatorname{ad} e_r) \\ &= \exp((t_1 + t_2) \operatorname{ad} e_r) \\ &= x_r(t_1 + t_2).\end{aligned}$$

Also, $x_r(t) = 1$ if and only if $t = 0$, so each root subgroup X_r is isomorphic to the additive group of the field K .

We define U to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $x_r(t)$ for $r \in \Phi^+$, $t \in K$.

We define V to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $x_r(t)$ for $r \in \Phi^-$, $t \in K$.

We define U to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $x_r(t)$ for $r \in \Phi^+$, $t \in K$.

We define V to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $x_r(t)$ for $r \in \Phi^-$, $t \in K$.

The subgroups U and V are called the *unipotent subgroups* because their elements operate on $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ as unipotent linear transformations (where a linear transformation u is said to be unipotent if $u - 1$ is nilpotent, or equivalently if all of the eigenvalues of u are 1).

Theorem

Let $G = \mathfrak{L}(K)$ be a Chevalley group over an arbitrary field K . Let r, s be linearly independent roots of \mathfrak{L} and let t, u be elements of K .

Define the commutator

$$[x_s(u), x_r(t)] = (x_s(u))^{-1}(x_r(t))^{-1}x_s(u)x_r(t).$$

Then we have

$$[x_s(u), x_r(t)] = \prod_{i,j>0} x_{ir+js}(C_{ijrs}(-t)^i u^j),$$

where the product is taken over all pairs of positive integers i, j for which $ir + js$ is a root, in order of increasing $i + j$. Each of the constants C_{ijrs} is one of $\pm 1, \pm 2, \pm 3$.

Theorem

Let $G = \mathfrak{L}(K)$ be a Chevalley group and let U be the subgroup generated by the root subgroups X_r with $r \in \Phi^+$. Then

- 1 U is nilpotent
- 2 Each element of U is uniquely expressible in the form

$$\prod_{r_i \in \Phi^+} x_{r_i}(t_i),$$

where the product is taken over all positive roots in increasing order.

Fix a root $r \in \Phi$. Recall that $SL_2(K)$ is the group of 2×2 matrices over the field K with determinant 1.

Fix a root $r \in \Phi$. Recall that $SL_2(K)$ is the group of 2×2 matrices over the field K with determinant 1.

Theorem

Let K be any field. Then there is a homomorphism ϕ_r from $SL_2(K)$ onto the subgroup $\langle X_r, X_{-r} \rangle$ of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ under which

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & t \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x_r(t),$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ t & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto x_{-r}(t).$$

Let $h_r(\lambda)$ denote the image of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

under the homomorphism ϕ_r from $SL_2(K)$ onto subgroup $\langle X_r, X_{-r} \rangle$. We define H to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $h_r(\lambda)$ for all $r \in \Phi$, $\lambda \neq 0 \in K$.

Let $h_r(\lambda)$ denote the image of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

under the homomorphism ϕ_r from $SL_2(K)$ onto subgroup $\langle X_r, X_{-r} \rangle$. We define H to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by the elements $h_r(\lambda)$ for all $r \in \Phi$, $\lambda \neq 0 \in K$.

$h_r(\lambda)$ operates on the Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{L}_K by

$$h_r(\lambda).h_s = h_s, \quad h_r(\lambda).e_s = \lambda^{A_{rs}} e_s.$$

So each element of H is an automorphism of \mathfrak{L}_K which operates trivially on \mathfrak{H}_K and transforms each root vector e_s into a multiple of itself.

We have the following facts about the subgroup H :

- H normalises each root subgroup X_r
- H normalises each of U and V
- Hence UH and VH are both subgroups of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$
- $UH \cap V = 1$
- $VH \cap U = 1$
- $UH \cap VH = H$.

Let n_r denote the image of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

under the homomorphism ϕ_r from $SL_2(K)$ onto subgroup $\langle X_r, X_{-r} \rangle$. We define N to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by H and the elements n_r for all $r \in \Phi$.

Let n_r denote the image of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

under the homomorphism ϕ_r from $SL_2(K)$ onto subgroup $\langle X_r, X_{-r} \rangle$. We define N to be the subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ generated by H and the elements n_r for all $r \in \Phi$.

n_r operates on the Chevalley basis of \mathfrak{L}_K by

$$n_r \cdot h_s = h_{w_r(s)}, \quad n_r \cdot e_s = \pm e_{w_r(s)}.$$

So the element n_r of the Chevalley group $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ is closely related to the element w_r of the Weyl group W .

Theorem

There is a homomorphism from N onto W with kernel H under which $n_r \mapsto w_r$ for all $r \in \Phi$. Thus H is a normal subgroup of N and N/H is isomorphic to W .

- Let B denote the subgroup UH
- $\mathfrak{L}(K) = BNB$ (This is called the *Bruhat decomposition*)
- For each subset J of Π , let W_J be the subgroup of W generated by the w_i for $i \in J$ and let N_J be the subgroup mapping to W_J under the natural homomorphism. Then $P_J = BN_JB$ is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$
- There is a 1-1 correspondence between the double cosets of B in G and the elements of W
- We define a *parabolic subgroup* of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ to be one that contains some conjugate of B .
- The subgroups P_J are the only subgroups of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ containing B (so every parabolic subgroup of $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ is isomorphic to some P_J).
- Distinct subgroups P_J, P_K cannot be conjugate in $\mathfrak{L}(K)$.
- We have $P_J \cap P_K = P_{J \cap K}$. Thus the subgroups P_J form a lattice isomorphic to the lattice of subsets of Π .

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra over \mathbb{C} and K be an arbitrary field. Then the (adjoint) Chevalley group $\mathfrak{L}(K)$ is simple, except for the cases $A_1(2)$, $A_1(3)$, $B_2(2)$ and $G_2(2)$.

Every (adjoint) Chevalley group (even a non-simple one) has trivial centre.

Theorem

Let \mathfrak{L} be a simple Lie algebra with $\mathfrak{L} \neq A_1$ and let K be a field. For each root r of \mathfrak{L} and each element t of K introduce a symbol $\bar{x}_r(t)$. Let \bar{G} be the abstract group generated by the elements $\bar{x}_r(t)$ subject to relations

$$\bar{x}_r(t_1)\bar{x}_r(t_2) = \bar{x}_r(t_1 + t_2),$$

$$[\bar{x}_s(u), \bar{x}_r(t)] = \prod_{i,j>0} \bar{x}_{ir+js}(C_{ijrs}(-t)^i u^j),$$

$$\bar{h}_r(t_1)\bar{h}_r(t_2) = \bar{h}_r(t_1 t_2), \quad t_1 t_2 \neq 0,$$

$$\text{where } \bar{h}_r(t) = \bar{n}(t)\bar{n}_r(-1)$$

$$\text{and } \bar{n}_r(t) = \bar{x}_r(t)\bar{x}_{-r}(-t^{-1})\bar{x}_r(t).$$

Let \bar{Z} be the centre of \bar{G} . Then \bar{G}/\bar{Z} is isomorphic to the Chevalley group $G = \mathfrak{L}(K)$.