

Remark 2 Integrals of motion for NLS (4.4)

- rigorous approach

$$(R1) \quad \partial_t \underline{\psi} = U_2 \underline{\psi}; \quad (R2) \quad \partial_x \underline{\psi} = U_1 \underline{\psi}$$

Just for this part, assume that $x \in [-a, a]$ with periodic BC's imposed on P, Q and $\underline{\psi}$.

$$(R2) \text{ gives } \underline{\psi}_t(y) = T_t(y, x, k) \underline{\psi}_t(x),$$

$$(R3) \quad \text{where } \begin{cases} \partial_y T(y, x, k) = U_1(y, k, t) T(y, x, k) \\ T_t(x, x, k) = \text{Id} \end{cases} \quad \text{(\textit{T} is the transition matrix)}$$

$$\text{Then } T_t(y, x, k) = P \exp \int_x^y U_1(z, k, t) dz$$

$$\left(= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_x^y dz_1 \dots \int_x^y dz_n P(U_1(z_1, k, t) \dots U_1(z_n, k, t)) \right)$$

P is the path-ordering operator,

$$P(U(z_1, \lambda) U(z_2, \lambda)) = \begin{cases} U_1(z_1, \lambda) U_1(z_2, \lambda) & z_1 < z_2 \\ U_1(z_2, \lambda) U_1(z_1, \lambda) & z_2 > z_1 \end{cases}$$

Time evolution of the transition matrix:

$$\partial_t T_t(y, x, k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_x^y dz_1 \dots \int_x^y dz_n$$

$$\sum_{m=1}^n P \left(U_1(z_1, k, t) \dots \underbrace{U_1(z_m, k, t)}_{\partial U_2(z_m, k, t)} \dots U_n(z_n, k, t) \right)$$

$$- [U_1(z_m, k, t), U_2(z_m, k, t)]$$

0 (under the sign of P-ordering)

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{m=1}^n \prod_{\substack{\ell=1 \\ \ell \neq m}}^n \int_x^y dz_{\ell} P \left(U_1(z_1) \dots \underbrace{(U_1(y) - U_1(x))}_{m\text{-th term}} \dots \right)$$

$$U_n(z_n) = U_2(y, k, t) T_t(y, x, k) - T_t(y, x, k) U_2(y, k, t) \quad (R4)$$

Define the monodromy matrix,

$$T_t(a, k) = T_t(a, -a, k) \quad (R5)$$

(R4) means

$$(R6) \quad \partial_t T_t(a, k) \stackrel{\text{Periodic BC}}{=} [U_2(a, k, t), T_t(a, k)]$$

The expansion of $\text{tr} T_t(a, k)$ in powers of k gives all the integrals of motion:

really, Taking the trace (in the space 4.6 of 2×2 matrices) of (R6) one finds

$$\partial_t \text{tr} T_t(q, k) = 0$$

Deriving the explicit expressions for integrals of motion is actually quite involved and is based on the analysis of the following matrix R-equation:

$$T_t(x, y, k) = \left(\mathbb{I} + W(x, t, \lambda) \right) e^{\overset{\text{Diagonal}}{\downarrow} Z(x, y, t, \lambda)} \times \left(\mathbb{I} + \underset{\text{Anti-diagonal}}{\uparrow} W(x, t, \lambda) \right)^{-1}$$



$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial x} + [W, U_D] + W U_A W - U_A = 0,$$

where $U_i = \underbrace{U_D}_{\text{Diag}} + \underbrace{U_A}_{\text{Anti-diag.}}$

$$\text{Let } (6) \begin{cases} \psi = e^{k^2 t/2} \phi \\ \bar{\psi} = e^{-k^2 t/2} \bar{\phi} \end{cases}$$

be two linearly-indep. solutions to the linear problem. (3)

$$\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(6') \begin{cases} \phi \sim \begin{pmatrix} e^{-ikx/2} \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, & x \rightarrow -\infty \\ \bar{\phi} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ -e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix}, & x \rightarrow -\infty \end{cases}$$

(6), (6') is well-motivated:

For $|x| \rightarrow \infty$

$$(*) \quad \partial_x \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -ik/2 & 0 \\ 0 & ik/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(**) \quad \partial_t \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} k^2/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -k^2/2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 e^{-i\frac{k}{2}x + \frac{k^2}{2}t} \\ c_2 e^{i\frac{k}{2}x - \frac{k^2}{2}t} \end{pmatrix}$$

#

Therefore,

(6)

$$(7) \begin{cases} \Phi_{(x,t)} \sim \begin{pmatrix} a(k,t) e^{-ikx/2} \\ b(k,t) e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ \bar{\Phi}_{(x,t)} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b}(k,t) e^{-ikx/2} \\ -\tilde{a}(k,t) e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix} \end{cases} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow +\infty$$

$a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ are "scattering amplitudes".

Using (XX) and (6):

$$\begin{aligned} +\frac{k^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} a e^{-ikx/2} \\ b e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \dot{a} e^{-ikx/2} \\ \dot{b} e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k^2}{2} a e^{-ikx/2} \\ -\frac{k^2}{2} b e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix} \\ -\frac{k^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{b} e^{-ikx/2} \\ \tilde{a} e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \dot{\tilde{b}} e^{-ikx/2} \\ -\dot{\tilde{a}} e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k^2}{2} \tilde{b} e^{-ikx/2} \\ +\frac{k^2}{2} \tilde{a} e^{ikx/2} \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

$$(8) \Rightarrow \begin{cases} a(k,t) = e^{-k^2 t} a_0(k) \\ b(k,t) = e^{-k^2 t} b_0(k) \\ \tilde{a}(k,t) = e^{-k^2 t} \tilde{a}_0(k) \\ \tilde{b}(k,t) = e^{-k^2 t} \tilde{b}_0(k) \end{cases}$$

(Mistake in the last?)

Moreover, define

$$W = \phi_1 \bar{\phi}_2 - \phi_2 \bar{\phi}_1 \text{ ("Wronskian")}$$

$$\partial_t W = \epsilon_{ij} \phi_i \dot{\bar{\phi}}_j$$
$$= \epsilon_{ij} (\dot{\phi}_i \bar{\phi}_j + \phi_i \dot{\bar{\phi}}_j)$$

Are we doing it for ϕ 's or $\bar{\phi}$'s?

$$= \epsilon_{ij} U_{2ik} \phi_k \bar{\phi}_j + \epsilon_{ij} \phi_i U_{j1k} \bar{\phi}_k$$

$$= \epsilon_{ij} U_{2ik} \phi_k \bar{\phi}_j - \epsilon_{ij} \phi_j U_{2ik} \bar{\phi}_k$$

$$= \epsilon_{ij} U_{2ik} \phi_k \bar{\phi}_j - \epsilon_{ik} \phi_k U_{2ij} \bar{\phi}_j$$

$$= \underbrace{(\epsilon_{ij} U_{2ik} - \epsilon_{ik} U_{2ij})}_{\text{skew-sym}} \phi_k \bar{\phi}_j$$

Similarly,
 $\partial_x W = (\text{Tr } U_1) W = 0$

$$= (\epsilon_{i2} U_{2i1} - \epsilon_{i1} U_{2i2}) W$$

$$= (U_{211} + U_{222}) W = (\text{tr } U_2) W = 0$$

Therefore $W: (x,t) \mapsto W(x,t)$ is constant.

$$\text{So } \lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty} W(x,t) = \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} W(x,t)$$

$$= -1 \Rightarrow$$

$$(9) \quad \tilde{a}_0(k) a_0(k) + \tilde{b}_0(k) b_0(k) = 1$$

(The authors are not using subscript 0)

Inverse scattering transform: from $a, b, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ to P, Q

(inverse scattering transform):

Define reflection coefficients r, \tilde{r} :

$$r(k) := \frac{b(k)}{a(k)} \quad \tilde{r}(k) := \frac{\tilde{b}(k)}{g \tilde{a}(k)}$$

Coupling constant

Define A_t, B_t :

$$(10) \quad \begin{cases} A_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dk}{2\pi} r(k) e^{ikx - k^2 t} \\ B_t(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \tilde{r}(k) e^{-ikx + k^2 t} \end{cases}$$

Let $A_{x,t}, B_{x,t} : L^2(\mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$

be a pair of integral-operators with

$$\text{the kernels } \begin{cases} A_{x,t}(\sigma, \sigma') = A_t(x + \sigma + \sigma') \\ B_{x,t}(\sigma, \sigma') = B_t(x + \sigma + \sigma') \end{cases}$$

N.B. Both kernels and functions obey the heat eq-n in space-time.

Assume A_t, B_t vanish sufficiently fast for $x \rightarrow \infty$.

$\langle \delta | \rightarrow \langle 0 |$? Claim $Q(x,t) = \langle \delta | A_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} A_{x,t})^{-1} | \delta \rangle$

(11) $P(x,t) = \langle \delta | B_{x,t} (I + g A_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} | \delta \rangle$

where for any integral operator \mathcal{O} , $\langle \delta | \mathcal{O} | \delta \rangle = \mathcal{O}(0,0)$
Kernel

Moreover,

$$(12) \quad gPQ = \partial_x^2 \log \text{Det}(I + g B_{x,t} A_{x,t})$$

Wow

Let's check (12) first.

Lemma.

$$(13) \quad \partial_x (B_{x,t} A_{x,t}) = - B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | A_{x,t}$$

$$(13') \quad \partial_x (A_{x,t} B_{x,t}) = - A_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | B_{x,t}$$

$$\# \quad B_{x,t} A_{x,t} (\delta, \delta')$$

$$= \int_0^\infty d\delta'' B_{x,t} (\delta + \delta'') A_{x,t} (\delta'' + \delta')$$

$$\partial_x B_{x,t} A_{x,t} (\delta, \delta')$$

$$= \int_0^\infty d\delta'' \frac{\partial}{\partial \delta''} (B_t(x + \delta + \delta'') A_t(x + \delta'' + \delta'))$$

$$= - B_t(x + \delta) A_t(x + \delta') \Rightarrow$$

$$\partial_x (B_{x,t} A_{x,t}) f(\delta) \Big|_{\delta=0}$$

$$= - \underbrace{B_t(x + \delta)}_{B_{x,t}(\delta_0)} \int_0^\infty d\delta' \underbrace{A_t(x + \delta') f(\delta')}_{(A_{x,t} f)(\delta_0)}$$

- a projector onto $B_t(x + \delta)$

In bracketed notations,

$$\partial_x B_{x,t} A_{x,t} = - B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | A_{x,t}$$

The proof for $\partial_x A_{x,t} B_{x,t}$ is exactly the same

The verification of (12): #

$$\begin{aligned}
& \partial_x \log \text{Det} (I + g B_{x,t} A_{x,t}) \\
&= \partial_x \text{Tr} \log (I + g B_{x,t} A_{x,t}) \\
&= -\partial_x \text{Tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-g)^n}{n} (B_{x,t} A_{x,t})^n \\
&= -\text{Tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-g)^n}{n} (B_{x,t} A_{x,t})^{n-1} \partial_x (B_{x,t} A_{x,t}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Cyclic property of Tr}}{=} -\text{Tr} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-g)^{n-1} (B_{x,t} A_{x,t})^{n-1} (-g B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | A_{x,t}) \\
&\stackrel{\text{Tr of a projector}}{=} +g \text{Tr} A_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} A_{x,t})^{-1} B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | \\
&= +g \langle \delta | A_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} A_{x,t})^{-1} B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \\
&= +g \langle \delta | A_{x,t} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-g)^n (B_{x,t} A_{x,t})^n B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \\
&= +g \langle \delta | \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-g)^n (A_{x,t} B_{x,t})^n A_{x,t} B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \\
&= +g \langle \delta | (I + A_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} A_{x,t} B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$= g \langle \delta / (I + \alpha_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} - I / \delta \rangle \quad (11)$$

$$\partial_x^2 \log \text{Det} (I + g B_{x,t} \alpha_{x,t})$$

$$= -g \langle \delta / (I + \alpha_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} \partial_x (\alpha_{x,t} B_{x,t}) (I + \alpha_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

$$= -g \langle \delta / (I + \alpha_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} \alpha_{x,t} / \delta \rangle \langle \delta / B_{x,t} (I + \alpha_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle = g P Q$$

The key to the above factorization is the link between $\partial_x (\alpha_{x,t} B_{x,t})$ and projectors. It seems very general - we didn't have to use any properties of A_t, B_t .

Verification of (11):

(12)

Summary of bracket notations:

$$(\mathcal{A}_{x,t} f)(t) = \int_0^\infty dt' A_t(x+t+t') f(t')$$

$$= \langle \delta_t | \mathcal{A}_{x,t} | f \rangle$$

$\langle \delta_t | f \rangle = f(t)$; $|\delta_w\rangle$ is the vector with components $\delta(w-v)$; $|\delta_0\rangle \equiv |\delta\rangle$.
 Natural notations: $f(t) = \langle \delta_t | f \rangle = \int dw \delta(t-w) f(w) = f(t)$. Tensor products corresponding

to finite rank operators are easy to represent: for $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)$, $|\varphi\rangle\langle\psi|$ acts as follows: $|f\rangle \mapsto |\varphi\rangle \underbrace{\langle\psi|f\rangle}_{L^2 \text{ inner product}}$

#

It follows from (10) that

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathcal{A}_{x,t} = \partial_x^2 \mathcal{A}_{x,t} \\ \partial_t \mathcal{B}_{x,t} = -\partial_x^2 \mathcal{B}_{x,t} \end{cases}$$

Recall also (13), (13').

The following is straight forward:

$$\partial_t (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} \mathcal{B}_{x,t})^{-1} = - (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} \mathcal{B}_{x,t})^{-1}$$

$$g \partial_t (\mathcal{A}_{x,t} \mathcal{B}_{x,t}) (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} \mathcal{B}_{x,t})^{-1}$$

Let's verify the first line of (1):

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_x Q &= \langle \delta | \partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} | \delta \rangle \\ &- g \langle \delta | \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} \partial_x (B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} \\ &| \delta \rangle = \langle \delta | (\partial_x^2 \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} | \delta \rangle \\ &- g \langle \delta | \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} \underbrace{(-\partial_x^2 B_{x,t}) \mathcal{A}_{x,t}}_{(*)} \\ &+ B_{x,t} (\partial_x^2 \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} | \delta \rangle \equiv \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \# (*) &= \partial_x \left(-(\partial_x B_{x,t}) \mathcal{A}_{x,t} + B_{x,t} \partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t} \right) \\ &\quad \partial_x B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | \mathcal{A}_{x,t} - B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | \partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t} \end{aligned}$$

Apply (13),
the kernel
 $\partial_x B_{x,t}$ has
the same properties
as $B_{x,t}$ for integration
by parts

$$\begin{aligned} &\equiv \langle \delta | \partial_x^2 \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} | \delta \rangle \\ &- g \langle \delta | \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} \partial_x B_{x,t} \\ &| \delta \rangle \langle \delta | \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} | \delta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ g \langle \delta | \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} B_{x,t} | \delta \rangle \\ &\langle \delta | \partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} | \delta \rangle \equiv \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \# \langle \delta | \mathcal{A} (I + g B \mathcal{A})^{-1} B | \delta \rangle &= \langle \delta | \mathcal{A} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-g)^k (B \mathcal{A})^k B | \delta \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta | \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-g)^k \underbrace{\mathcal{A} B \mathcal{A} B \mathcal{A} \dots B \mathcal{A} B}_{k \text{ times}} | \delta \rangle = \langle \delta | \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-g)^k (\mathcal{A} B)^{k+1} | \delta \rangle \\ &= \langle \delta | (I + g \mathcal{A} B)^{-1} \mathcal{A} B | \delta \rangle \\ &= - \langle \delta | \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-g)^{k-1} (\mathcal{A} B)^k \rangle = -g^{-1} \langle \delta | \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-g)^k (\mathcal{A} B)^k | \delta \rangle = -g^{-1} \langle \delta | (I + g \mathcal{A} B)^{-1} - 1 | \delta \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv \langle \delta / (\partial_x^2 \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

$$- g \langle \delta / \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} (\partial_x B_{x,t}) / \delta \rangle Q$$

$$- \langle \delta / ((I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} - I) / \delta \rangle \langle \delta / (\partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

(checked to $O(g)$)

$$\partial_x Q = \partial_x \langle \delta / \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

$$= \langle \delta / (\partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle - g \langle \delta / \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1}$$

$$\partial_x (B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

$$\stackrel{(13)}{=} \langle \delta / (\partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle + g \langle \delta / \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} B_{x,t} / \delta \rangle$$

$$\times Q(x,t) = \langle \delta / \partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

(checked to $O(g)$)

$$- \langle \delta / (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} - I / \delta \rangle Q(x,t)$$

$$\partial_x^2 Q = \langle \delta / \partial_x^2 \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

$$+ g \langle \delta / \partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} B_{x,t} / \delta \rangle \cdot Q(x,t)$$

$$- g \langle \delta / (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{x,t} / \delta \rangle \langle \delta / B_{x,t} (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle Q$$

$$- \langle \delta / (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} - I / \delta \rangle \langle \delta / \partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

$$+ \left(\langle \delta / (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} - I / \delta \rangle \right)^2 Q \equiv$$

$$\# \langle \delta / (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{x,t} / \delta \rangle = \langle \delta / \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-g)^k \underbrace{\mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t} \dots \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t}}_{k \text{ times}} / \delta \rangle$$

$$= \langle \delta / \mathcal{A}_{x,t} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-g)^k (B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^k / \delta \rangle = Q \#$$

$$\equiv \langle \delta / (\partial_x^2 \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle + g \langle \delta / \partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t} (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} B_{x,t} / \delta \rangle Q$$

$$- g P Q^2 - \langle \delta / (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} - I / \delta \rangle \langle \delta / (\partial_x \mathcal{A}_{x,t}) (I + g B_{x,t} \mathcal{A}_{x,t})^{-1} / \delta \rangle$$

$$+ \left(\langle \delta / (I + g \mathcal{A}_{x,t} B_{x,t})^{-1} - I / \delta \rangle \right)^2 Q$$

$$\partial_t Q - \partial_x^2 Q =$$

$$-g Q \left(\langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} \partial_x B_{x_t} | \delta \rangle + \langle \delta | \partial_x \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} B_{x_t} | \delta \rangle \right) + g P Q^2 - \left(\langle \delta | (I + g \partial_{x_t} B_{x_t})^{-1} I | \delta \rangle \right)^2 Q$$

Gives $2g P Q^2$ up to $O(g^2)$

$$= g P Q^2 - g Q \left(\langle \delta | \partial_x \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} B_{x_t} | \delta \rangle + \langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} (\partial_x B_{x_t}) | \delta \rangle \right)$$

$$+ \langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} (\partial_x B_{x_t}) | \delta \rangle$$

$$- \langle \delta | (I + g \partial_x A)^{-1} \partial_x B | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | (I + g \partial_x A)^{-1} \partial_x B | \delta \rangle$$

$$= g P Q^2 - g Q \left(\langle \delta | \partial_x \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} B | \delta \rangle + \langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} \partial_x B | \delta \rangle \right)$$

$$+ \langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} \partial_x B | \delta \rangle$$

$$- \underbrace{\langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} B | \delta \rangle \langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} B | \delta \rangle}_{\text{cancel}}$$

$$- \langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} \partial_x (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} B | \delta \rangle$$

$$= g P Q^2 - g Q \partial_x \langle \delta | \partial_{x_t} (I + g B_{x_t} A)^{-1} B | \delta \rangle$$

$$= g P Q^2 - g Q \partial_x \langle \delta | (I + g \partial_x A)^{-1} \partial_x B | \delta \rangle$$

$$= g P Q^2 + Q \partial_x \langle \delta | (I + g \partial_x A)^{-1} - I | \delta \rangle$$

$$= g P Q^2 + g Q \underbrace{\langle \delta | (I + g \partial_x A)^{-1} \partial_x A | \delta \rangle}_Q \underbrace{\langle \delta | \partial_x B (I + g \partial_x A)^{-1} | \delta \rangle}_P$$

$$= 2g P Q^2 \quad \text{Done}$$