

Solutions to the midterm

Problem 1. (a) $\sigma_n f \geq 0$ as it is the convolution with the Fejér kernel F_n , which is positive. For $S_n f$, as the Dirichlet kernel D_n is not always positive, it is not true. For example, one can take f such that it is positive at the points where D_n is negative, and 0 at where D_n is positive, then

$$(S_n f)(0) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} f(x) D_n(-x) dx = \int f(x) D_n(x) dx < 0.$$

(b) Since the Cesàro sum of the Fourier series of a continuous function uniformly converges to f , the sequence $\{s_n\}$ has Cesàro limit $f(0)$. Since a_n is positive, we thus conclude that the series $\sum a_n$ itself sums to $f(0)$.

(c) A simple application of Plancherel's theorem; the answer is π .

(d) $f(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(e^{2\pi i x} + e^{-2\pi i x})$, so $\hat{f}(0) = 1$, $\hat{f}(1) = \hat{f}(-1) = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\hat{f}(n) = 0$ for all other n . As for the Fourier coefficients of f_k , we have

$$\hat{f}_k(n) = [\hat{f}(n)]^k,$$

so it easily follows that the limit is 1.

Problem 2. (a) The equation can be solved by odd extension to the whole real line, and then restricting the solution to positive x .

(b) The nontrivial part here is the uniform convergence of $u(t, \cdot)$ to f . Indeed, one needs f to be uniformly continuous on the real line, but this is true as long as f is continuous and vanishes at infinity.

Problem 3. (a) \hat{f} has compact support in $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, so it can be extended to a smooth periodic function on the whole real line, thus having an absolutely convergent Fourier series. The Fourier coefficients of \hat{f} are

$$a_n = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{-2\pi i \xi n} d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi(-n)} d\xi = f(-n).$$

Thus, by Plancherel and then Parseval, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |f(x)|^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} |\hat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \sum_n |f(-n)|^2 = \sum_n |f(n)|^2.$$

(b) We write \hat{f} in terms of its Fourier series as

$$\hat{f}(\xi) = \sum_n a_n e^{2\pi i n \xi} = \sum_n f(-n) e^{2\pi i n \xi} = \sum_n f(n) e^{-2\pi i n \xi},$$

and substitute into the inversion formula

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} d\xi = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi i \xi x} dx.$$

The answer should follow immediately. Everything is nicely convergent here as $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$.

(c) If we let $f_\lambda(x) = f(x/\lambda)$, then \hat{f}_λ has compact support in $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$, and we can use part(b).

Problem 4. The form of the density ρ is relatively easy to guess. In fact, if ρ is the density, then for any continuous function f on the circle, we should have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(\xi_n) \rightarrow \int_0^1 f(x) \rho(x) dx.$$

Taking f to be the trigonometric functions $e^{2\pi i k x}$, we see that the Fourier coefficients of ρ (viewed as a function periodically extended to the whole real line) are

$$a_0 = 1, a_1 = a_{-1} = \frac{1}{2},$$

and all others are 0. Thus, ρ should have the expression

$$\rho(x) = 1 + \frac{1}{2}(e^{2\pi i x} + e^{-2\pi i x}) = 1 + \cos(2\pi x).$$

Once you have got this expression, the proof just follows in exactly the same way as in the class.

Problem 5. (a) First of all, we observe that the limit f must be continuous. Thus, for any $\epsilon > 0$, we choose δ such that

$$\sup_n |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| < \epsilon, \quad |f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$$

whenever $|x - y| < \delta$. Now, we choose points y_1, \dots, y_K on the circle such that the union of their δ -neighborhoods covers the circle. Since f_n converges to f pointwise and there are only finitely many y_j 's, there exists N large enough such that for all $n > N$, we have

$$\sup_j |f_n(y_j) - f(y_j)| < \epsilon.$$

We should note that N here depends on ϵ (through δ) *only*. Thus, for any $x \in \mathbb{T}$, there is some y_j from the above K points such that $|x - y_j| < \delta$, and

$$|f_n(x) - f(x)| \leq |f_n(x) - f_n(y_j)| + |f_n(y_j) - f(y_j)| + |f(y_j) - f(x)|.$$

The first and third terms are smaller than ϵ because $|x - y_j| < \delta$. The second term is smaller than ϵ when $n > N$. Since N only depends on ϵ but NOT x , we have proved that

$$f_n \rightarrow f$$

uniformly on \mathbb{T} .

(b) The same as in class.

(c) This is the hardest part in the midterm. We first write

$$g_n(x) = f(x) - (S_n f)(x).$$

Part (b) shows that g_n converges pointwise to 0. Furthermore, since $f \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha$, the equicontinuity of g automatically implies the equicontinuity of $S_n f$, so it suffices to show the sequence $\{g_n\}$ is equicontinuous. By definition, g_n has the expression

$$g_n(x) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (f(x) - f(x-z))D_n(z)dz,$$

and hence

$$g_n(x) - g_n(y) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (f(x) - f(x-z) - f(y) + f(y-z))D_n(z)dz. \quad (0.1)$$

We now seek a bound on $|g_n(x) - g_n(y)|$ that is *independent* of n . First, we notice the Dirichlet kernel can be bounded by

$$|D_n(z)| = \left| \frac{\sin((2n+1)\pi z)}{\sin(\pi z)} \right| < \frac{C}{|z|}$$

on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then, we observe that the other part of the integrand can be bounded by

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x-z) - f(y) + f(y-z)| &\leq |f(x) - f(x-z)| + |f(y) - f(y-z)| \\ &\leq C|z|^\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - f(x-z) - f(y) + f(y-z)| &\leq |f(x) - f(y)| + |f(x-z) - f(y-z)| \\ &\leq C|x-y|^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain the bound

$$|f(x) - f(x-z) - f(y) + f(y-z)| < C(|x-y|^\alpha \wedge |z|^\alpha),$$

uniformly in x, y and z . As a consequence, (0.1) could then be written as

$$\begin{aligned} |g_n(x) - g_n(y)| &\leq C \int_{|z| < \frac{1}{2}} (|x-y|^\alpha \wedge |z|^\alpha) \cdot |z|^{-1} dz \\ &= C \left(\int_{|z| < |x-y|} |z|^{-1+\alpha} dz + \int_{|x-y| \leq |z| < \frac{1}{2}} \frac{|x-y|^\alpha}{|z|} dz \right) \\ &\leq C(|x-y|^\alpha + |x-y|^\alpha \log(1/|x-y|^\alpha)) \\ &< C|x-y|^\alpha \log(1/|x-y|). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the equicontinuity of $\{g_n\}$.