Scaling in Opinion Dynamics

Andrew Nugent

Supervised by Susana Gomes and Marie-Therese Wolfram

ODE model

- Opinions in $x_i \in [-1,1]$ for i = 1, ..., N.
- A function $\phi:\mathbb{R}\to [0,1]$ determines how individuals weight each others' opinions.
- Opinions evolve according to:

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi(|x_j - x_i|) (x_j - x_i)$$

ODE model

• Opinions evolve according to:

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi(|x_j - x_i|) (x_j - x_i)$$



Unfortunately... reality

1. Social interactions are discrete events, meaning

2. We cannot interact with everyone simultaneously, so

3. The order of interactions makes a difference.

4. Also, interactions occur **randomly**.

Unfortunately... reality

- 1. Social interactions are discrete events, meaning ⊠ Social interactions happen continuously and
- 2. We cannot interact with everyone simultaneously, so ☑ Everyone interacts **simultaneously**, so
- The order of interactions makes a difference.
 ☑ There is no sequence of interactions, and so no order.
- 4. Also, interactions occur randomly.
 ☑ Interactions are also deterministic.

Agent-based model

- 1. Choose two individuals *i* and *j* uniformly at random with replacement.
- 2. They interact with probability $p_{ij}(x)$, with individual *i* updating

their opinion according to:

$$x_i(t+h) = \begin{cases} x_i(t) + \mu^h \\ x_i(t) \end{cases} - x_i(t) \end{pmatrix}$$

- with probability $p_{ij}(x)$ with probability $1 - p_{ij}(x)$.
- 3. Repeating until time *T* is reached.

Closer to reality

- Social interactions are discrete events, meaning
 ☑ Social interactions are discrete events.
- We cannot interact with everyone simultaneously, so
 ☑ Individuals interact pairwise, not globally.
- 3. The order of interactions makes a difference. ☑ Interactions happen in an order.
- Also, interactions occur randomly.
 ☑ Interactions occur randomly.

Link to the ODE model?

<u>Claim</u>:

- 1. The ODE model can be obtained by rescaling the timestep hand update distance $\mu^h = Nh$ of the ABM.
- This means the ODE model approximates small but frequent pairwise interactions, so is a realistic model if these assumptions hold.

Link to the ODE model?



Establishing the link



Markov process

Consider a discrete time Markov process with state space \mathbb{R}^N , time step h > 0 and transition function:

$$\Pi^{h}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N^{2}} p_{ij}(x) & \text{if } y = x + e_{i} \mu^{h}(x_{j} - x_{i}) \text{ for some } i \neq j, \\ \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(1 - p_{ij}(x)\right) & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Markov process

$$\Pi^{h}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N^{2}} p_{ij}(x) & \text{if } y = x + e_{i} \mu^{h}(x_{j} - x_{i}) \text{ for some } i \neq j, \\ \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(1 - p_{ij}(x) \right) & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

SDE system

$$dX_i = b_i(X) dt + \sqrt{a_{ii}(X)} dW_i$$

Markov process

$$\Pi^{h}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{N^{2}} p_{ij}(x) & \text{if } y = x + e_{i} \mu^{h}(x_{j} - x_{i}) \text{ for some } i \neq j, \\ \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{i \neq j} \left(1 - p_{ij}(x)\right) & \text{if } y = x, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Approximating generator

SDE system

$$dX_i = b_i(X) \, dt + \sqrt{a_{ii}(X)} \, dW_i$$

Approximating generator

We calculate the mean and variance of increments to **approximate the drift and diffusion terms** of an SDE.

$$b_{i}^{h}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (y_{i} - x_{i}) \Pi^{h}(x, dy)$$
$$a_{ij}^{h}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (y_{i} - x_{i})(y_{j} - x_{j}) \Pi^{h}(x, dy)$$

A slight detour into generators...

And we're back

We calculate the mean and variance of increments to **approximate the drift and diffusion terms** of an SDE.

$$b_{i}^{h}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (y_{i} - x_{i}) \Pi^{h}(x, dy)$$
$$a_{ij}^{h}(x) = \frac{1}{h} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} (y_{i} - x_{i}) (y_{j} - x_{j}) \Pi^{h}(x, dy)$$

Convergence to SDE

By setting $\mu^h = Nh$ we obtain:

$$b_i^h(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij}(x) (x_j - x_i),$$
$$a_{ii}^h(x) = h\left(\sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij}(x) (x_j - x_i)^2\right).$$

Convergence to ODE

By setting $\mu^h = Nh$ we obtain:

$$b_i^h(x) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij}(x) (x_j - x_i),$$
$$a_{ii}^h(x) = h\left(\sum_{j=1}^N p_{ij}(x) (x_j - x_i)^2\right).$$

Using some results from Durrett's Stochastic Calculus, we have that as $h \rightarrow 0$ the ABM converges in probability to the solution of

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{ij}(x) (x_j - x_i)$$

Is this the ODE model?

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{ij}(x) (x_j - x_i)$$

$$p_{ij}(x) = \phi(|x_j - x_i|)$$
The interaction function is precisely the **probability** of an interaction occurring.

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \phi(|x_j - x_i|) (x_j - x_i|)$$

Link established!



What does this mean?

Result:

- 1. The ODE model can be obtained by rescaling the timestep hand update distance $\mu^h = Nh$ of the ABM.
- This means the ODE model approximates small but frequent pairwise interactions, so is a realistic model if these assumptions hold.

Following the link



External noise

Change the update rule by adding a new noise term ξ^h with mean zero and variance that decreases with h.

$$x_{i}(t+h) = \begin{cases} x_{i}(t) + \mu^{h} \left(x_{j}(t) - x_{i}(t) \right) + \xi^{h} \\ x_{i}(t) + \xi^{h} \end{cases}$$

with probability $p_{ij}(x)$ with probability $1 - p_{ij}(x)$.

This noise translates directly in the limiting model

$$dX_{i} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{ij}(X) \left(X_{j} - X_{i}\right) dt + \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \lim_{h \to 0} \left(\frac{\mathbb{E}\left[(\xi^{h})^{2}\right]}{h}\right)} d\beta_{i}$$

Same drift as ODE model New diffusion term

Noisy update distance

Replace the fixed update distance with a random variable

$$x_i(t+h) = \begin{cases} x_i(t) + \nu^h \left(x_j(t) - x_i(t) \right) & \text{with probability } p_{ij}(x) \\ x_i(t) & \text{with probability } 1 - p_{ij}(x) \end{cases}$$

Again, this noise translates into the limiting model

$$dX_{i} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{ij}(X) \left(X_{j} - X_{i}\right) dt + \sqrt{\frac{m_{2}(\nu)}{N^{2}} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{ij}(X) \left(X_{j} - X_{i}\right)^{2}\right)} d\beta_{i}$$

Ambiguity noise

Assume that the interaction probability is given by

$$p_{ij}(x) = \phi(|x_j - x_i|)$$

and updates are given by

$$x_i(t+h) = \begin{cases} x_i(t) + \mu^h \left(\omega_j(t) - x_i(t) \right) & \text{with probability } \phi(|\omega_j - x_i|) \\ x_i(t) & \text{with probability } 1 - \phi(|\omega_j - x_i|) \end{cases}$$

with $\omega_j = x_j + \eta^h$.

Then we obtain the same ODE as before!

$$\frac{dx_i}{dt} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} p_{ij}(x) (x_j - x_i)$$

Limitations





Scaling in Opinion Dynamics

Andrew Nugent

Supervised by Susana Gomes and Marie-Therese Wolfram



Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council

